Responses of the perfused liver of neonatal type 2 diabetic rats to gluconeogenic and ammoniogenic substrates
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ABSTRACT

The responses of livers from rats with type 2 diabetes to alanine (gluconeogenesis and ammonia detoxification) and other gluconeogenic substrates were investigated. The experimental system was the isolated perfused rat liver. Neonatal type 2 diabetes was induced with streptozotocin. Ammoniogenesis from endogenous substrates was 610% higher in livers from diabetic rats when compared to the control condition. Alanine (2.5 mM) ammoniogenesis was 285% higher in livers of diabetic rats. Gluconeogenesis from the following substrates was smaller in the liver of diabetic rats: Alanine (−43.5%), lactate (−28.3%) and glycerol (−30.5%). Pyruvate gluconeogenesis was normal. The high rate of ammoniogenesis explains the moderate hyperammonemia of type 2 diabetic rats. The enzymatic machinery of the gluconeogenic pathway of type 2 diabetic rats seems to be adapted to low rates of glucose removal by extrahepatic tissues. A significant contribution of gluconeogenesis to the fasting hyperglycemia can be expected only by short-term up-regulation mechanisms.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Increased hepatic glucose production is characteristic of type 1 diabetes mellitus [1,2]. Particularly in the fasted state, elevated hepatic gluconeogenesis seems to be the main cause for the hyperglycemic condition in type 1 diabetes [3]. If the hyperglycemic condition in type 2 diabetes is also at least partly dependent on enhanced gluconeogenesis is not clear. There are reports claiming that this dependence is more accentuated in severely hyperglycemic patients and that it tends to diminish or even vanish in moderately hyperglycemic patients [4]. There are also studies in which no enhanced gluconeogenesis was found in type 2 diabetic patients [5]. It is generally believed that the enhanced gluconeogenesis is caused by an increased efficiency of the gluconeogenic pathway in combination with an augmented mobilization of glucose precursors to the liver [6,7].

Although gluconeogenesis can be measured in vivo using appropriate tracer techniques [4,8,9] it is of interest to reproduce the increased hepatic gluconeogenesis in isolated cell systems because this allows conclusions about its mechanisms. In the isolated perfused rat liver for example, the gluconeogenic activity reflects the enzymatic capacities. These, in turn, reflect the medium- and long-term effects of the circulating hormones on the expression of enzymes and other factors. For type 1 diabetes mellitus, increased gluconeogenesis in isolated hepatocytes or the isolated perfused liver from a variety of substrates has been found [10-15]. When alanine was the substrate, enhanced gluconeogenesis was found in the fasted state, combined with increased rates of urea production and increased rates of alanine incorporation into proteins [11]. These observations with alanine are consistent with the increased rates of mobilization of this amino acid in type 1 diabetes [6]. All these data reveal that short-term regulation operates most probably as a secondary mechanism for the enhanced gluconeogenesis in type 1 diabetes, the medium and long-term expression of key enzymes playing the decisive role [16].

Experiments in which gluconeogenesis was measured in the liver of rats with type 2 diabetes have not been done until now. They are of interest, however, because they will provide the same information about the enzymatic machinery that is already available for type 1 diabetes. The hypothesis that can be formulated is that en-
hanced gluconeogenesis should be detectable in the iso-
lated rat liver of type 2 diabetic rats in the same way as it
was detected in livers from type 1 diabetic animals. En-
hanced gluconeogenesis in the isolated organ would be
reflecting mainly medium- and long-term effects of the
circulating hormones on enzyme expression. With this
hypothesis in mind, in the present work experiments
were conducted with livers of type 2 diabetic rats. The
neonatal streptozotocin-induced rat model of type 2 dia-
betes mellitus was used. It has been praised as a good
model for type 2 diabetes in humans because it presents
several of its characteristics [17]. In addition to glu-
coneogenesis, nitrogen metabolism from alanine was
also measured. Evaluation of nitrogen metabolism is of
interest because, in addition to hyperglycemia, type 2
diabetic rats also present moderate hyperammonemia
[18]. For comparative purposes other gluconeogenic
precursors, such as lactate and pyruvate, were also in-
vestigated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The liver perfusion apparatus was built in the workshops
of the University of Maringá. Enzymes and coenzymes
used in the assay procedures and streptozotocin were
purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, USA).
All other chemicals were from the best available grade.

Neonatal type 2 diabetes mellitus was induced as pre-
viously described [19,20]. Male newborn (2 days old)
Wistar rats were injected intraperitoneally with strepto-
tocin (160 mg/kg) dissolved in citrate buffer. Control
rats were injected with citrate buffer. Seven weeks later,
diabetes was confirmed by blood glucose levels (8-10 mM),
glucose appearance in urine and 24 hours urinary vol-
ume (generally 500% above normal). After seven weeks
the mean weights of the control and diabetic rats were
220 ± 2.8 and 203.2 ± 5.2 g, respectively. All animal
experiments were done according to the universally ac-
cepted standards for animal experimentation.

Rats were fed ad libitum with a standard laboratory
diet (Purina®), but food was withdrawn 24 hours prior to
the perfusion experiments. For the surgical procedure,
rats were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of
sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg). Hemoglobin-free,
non-recirculating perfusion was undertaken according to
the technique described elsewhere [21,22]. After cannu-
lation of the portal and cava veins the liver was posi-
tioned in a plexiglass chamber. The hepatic artery was
closed (monovascular perfusion) and the bile duct was
left open. The flow was maintained constant by a peri-
staltic pump (Minipuls 3, Gilson, France) and was ad-
justed to between 30 and 35 ml min⁻¹, depending on the
liver weight. The perfusion fluid was Krebs-Henseleit-
bicarbonate buffer (pH 7.4), saturated with a mixture of
oxygen and carbon dioxide (95:5) by means of a mem-
brane oxygenator with simultaneous temperature adjust-
ment at 37°C. The composition of the Krebs-Henseleit-
bicarbonate buffer is: 115 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃,
5.68 mM KCl, 1.2 mM Na₂SO₄, 1.18 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 mM
NaH₂PO₄ and 2.5 mM CaCl₂. L-Alanine (2.5 mM), lac-
tate (2.5 mM), pyruvate (1 mM) or glycerol (2 mM) were
dissolved in the perfusion fluid. Samples of the effluent
perfusion fluid were collected at 4 minute intervals and
analyzed for their metabolite content. The following
compounds were assayed by means of standard enzym-
ic procedures [23]: lactate, pyruvate, glucose, urea,
ammonia, glutamine and glutamate. The oxygen con-
centration in the outflowing perfusate was monitored
polarographically employing a teflon-shielded platinum
electrode adequately positioned in a plexiglass chamber
at the exit of the perfusate [22].

Rats after a 24-hours’ fast were used because this
minimizes interference of endogenous glycogen [24]. As
shown by previous work the fasting glycogen levels of
control and type 2 diabetic rats are very low when com-
pared to the fed state [18].

Basal rates (absence of substrates) as well as incre-
ments caused by substrates (L-alanine, lactate, pyruvate
or glycerol) were evaluated. The latter were calculated
by subtracting the basal rates (before substrate infusion)
from the steady-state rates found at the end of the sub-
strate infusion period. The error parameters presented in
graphs and tables are standard errors of the means. Dif-
fences between pairs of means were analyzed by
means of Student’s t test. The 5% level (p < 0.05) was
adopted as a criterion of significance.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 lists the basal rates of metabolite release of liv-
ers from 24-hours fasted rats perfused with substrate-
free medium. Under such conditions the livers are de-
pendent solely on endogenous sources. The rates were
referred to the wet liver weights which were not different
in control and diabetic rats, namely 3.31 ± 0.09 and 3.53
± 0.13 g per 100 g body weight, respectively. As re-
vealed by Table 1 most basal rates were low. This did not
occur with oxygen uptake and it should be noted that it
was 13.6% lower in the liver of diabetic rats. Ammonia
production, however, was 610% higher in the diabetic
condition. All other parameters were similar in both the
control and the diabetic condition.

Figure 1 illustrates the experimental protocol that was
employed in the present study as well as the time course
of the changes in three selected parameters. Sampling of
the effluent perfusate for metabolite determination was
always initiated after oxygen uptake stabilization (zero
time). Alanine infusion was initiated at 12 minutes in the
time scale of Figure 1. The time courses of the changes
in ammonia and glucose production and oxygen uptake were represented. The basal values of ammonia production, oxygen uptake and glucose release correspond to those ones listed in Table 1. The introduction of alanine caused increases in all parameters which tended to new steady-states during the following 30 minutes. Differences between the control and diabetic conditions were not only maintained but even accentuated because glucose production from alanine was smaller in the diabetic condition.

Figure 1 reveals that new steady-state levels were reached in consequence of alanine infusion. The steady-state rates and the increments caused by alanine infusion were evaluated and listed in Table 2. In addition to the three parameters that were represented in Figure 1, the rates of urea, lactate, pyruvate, glutamate and glutamine production were also shown in Table 2. Alanine infusion caused increases in all parameters and not only in those ones shown in Figure 1. The increment in ammonia production caused by alanine was higher in the diabetic condition. The increments in oxygen uptake, urea, glucose and glutamine productions, however, were smaller in the diabetic condition. Gluconeogenesis from alanine was, thus, smaller in the liver of diabetic rats (−43.5%). The total nitrogen flux generated by alanine infusion can be approximated by the sum of ammonia production + (2 × urea production) + (2 × glutamine production) + glutamate production, after subtracting the basal rates (before alanine infusion). In livers of control rats this calculation yielded a total nitrogen flux of 1.18 μmol min⁻¹ g⁻¹; in the diabetic condition the corresponding value was 0.88 μmol min⁻¹ g⁻¹, the difference amounting to 25.6%.

Glucose production from lactate, pyruvate and glycerol was investigated by using essentially the same experimental protocol illustrated for alanine in Figure 1. The results are summarized in Tables 3 to 5. Table 3 shows that gluconeogenesis from 2.5 mM lactate was 28.3% smaller in livers from diabetic rats. The final oxygen uptake was also considerably smaller in the diabetic condition. Pyruvate production from lactate tended to be more pronounced in the diabetic condition, but there was no statistical significance at the 5% level. For pyruvate metabolism, on the other hand, no significant differences were found in glucose production, oxygen uptake and lactate production, as revealed by Table 4. There was a strong tendency, however, for higher values of glucose production in the diabetic condition (p = 0.061). Glucose production from glycerol however, was 30.5% smaller in the diabetic condition as revealed by Table 5. Lactate production from glycerol, however, was not statistically different. Pyruvate production from glycerol was negligibly small.

4. DISCUSSIONS

The results reveal that the metabolism of livers from neonatal type 2 diabetic rats presents a few differences when compared to livers from normal and also type 1 diabetic rats. These differences encompass both ammonia detoxification and carbohydrate metabolism. The liver of type 1 diabetic rats has been reported to present considerably higher rates of urea production [11]. This makes a clear contrast with the liver of type 2 diabetic rats where ureogenesis was found to be close to normal (Tables 1 and 2). Consistently, the plasma urea level of type 1 diabetic rats is very high, but normal in type 2 diabetic rats [2-18]. The perfused liver of type 2 diabetic rats, on the other hand, presented higher rates of ammonia production under both conditions examined in the present work, i.e., during substrate-free perfusion and during alanine infusion (Tables 1 and 2). In relative terms the difference was more pronounced in the
**Table 1.** Basal rates of metabolites release and oxygen uptake in perfused livers from control and type 2 diabetic rats. Significant differences are indicated by an asterisk (p < 0.05). The results come from experiments in which various substrates were infused at 12 minutes perfusion time as illustrated by Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic flux</th>
<th>Control (µmol min⁻¹ (g liver wet weight)⁻¹)</th>
<th>Diabetic (µmol min⁻¹ (g liver wet weight)⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia production</td>
<td>0.029 ± 0.012 (n = 9)</td>
<td>0.206 ± 0.028* (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea production</td>
<td>0.140 ± 0.016 (n = 9)</td>
<td>0.171 ± 0.003 (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine production</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.007 (n = 9)</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.006 (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate production</td>
<td>0.034 ± 0.003 (n = 9)</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.007 (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake</td>
<td>2.470 ± 0.065 (n = 18)</td>
<td>2.135 ± 0.063* (n = 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose production</td>
<td>0.080 ± 0.010 (n = 17)</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.006 (n = 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate production</td>
<td>0.052 ± 0.007 (n = 13)</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.014 (n = 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate production</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.002 (n = 13)</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.006(n=13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Metabolic fluxes in livers from control and type 2 diabetic rats caused by alanine infusion (2.5 mM). The data were obtained from experiments in which alanine was infused during 30 minutes. Asterisks (*) and crosses (†) indicate values in the diabetic condition that are statistically different from the corresponding control values according to Student’s t test (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n = 9) (µmol min⁻¹ (g liver wet weight)⁻¹)</th>
<th>Diabetic (n = 5) (µmol min⁻¹ (g liver wet weight)⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia production</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.312 ± 0.041†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea production</td>
<td>0.499 ± 0.030</td>
<td>0.416 ± 0.019†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine production</td>
<td>0.255 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.191 ± 0.008†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate production</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.010†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake</td>
<td>3.064 ± 0.102</td>
<td>2.349 ± 0.073†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose production</td>
<td>0.364 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.238 ± 0.011†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate production</td>
<td>0.307 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.285 ± 0.024†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate production</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.028</td>
<td>0.160 ± 0.018†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Metabolic fluxes in livers from control and type 2 diabetic rats caused by lactate infusion (2.5 mM). The data were obtained from experiments in which lactate was infused during 30 minutes. Asterisks (*) and crosses (†) indicate values in the diabetic condition that are statistically different from the corresponding control values according to Student’s t test (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n = 4) (µmol min⁻¹ (g liver wet weight)⁻¹)</th>
<th>Diabetic (n = 5) (µmol min⁻¹ (g liver wet weight)⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake</td>
<td>3.195 ± 0.228</td>
<td>2.631 ± 0.070†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose production</td>
<td>0.998 ± 0.119</td>
<td>0.737 ± 0.021†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate production</td>
<td>0.200 ± 0.101</td>
<td>0.334 ± 0.097†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
absence of alanine, a condition where ammonia comes solely from endogenous catabolic reactions. Even the second route of ammonia detoxification, namely glutamine production [25], seems to be impaired in the liver of type 2 diabetic rats, as can be judged from its lower rates in the presence of alanine (Table 2). The higher rates of ammonia production can be indicating that nitrogen catabolism in livers of type 2 diabetic rats surpasses the capacity of the urea cycle when this route depends solely on endogenous substrates and on endogenous substrates plus alanine. In principle this conclusion receives support from the higher plasma ammonia levels in type 2 diabetic rats [18]. It must be remarked, however, that the difference in the plasma ammonia levels between diabetic and control rats, as reported previously [18], is relatively small (+28%) when compared to the difference in ammoniogenesis (+285% in the presence of alanine). Extrapolation to the in vivo conditions is always subject to error, but it principle one would expect a more severe hyperammonemia in type 2 diabetic rats. Possibly there is some in vivo mechanism or mechanisms that avoid the development of severe hyperammonemia. One of these mechanisms could be higher rates of renal excretion. Alternatively, there could be a more efficient transformation of ammonia into urea and glutamine in vivo than that one found in the isolated perfused liver due to the presence of factors capable of stimulating ureogenesis.

With reference to the main hypothesis of the present work, it is apparent from the results of the present study that hepatic gluconeogenesis in neonatal type 2 diabetic rats is lower than that in non-diabetic rats, at least with the relevant precursors lactate, alanine and glycerol. This can also be interpreted as meaning, in principle at least, that the enzymatic machinery of the liver from neonatal type 2 diabetic rats is not adapted to higher rates of gluconeogenesis but much more to the lower rates of glucose uptake by peripheral tissues [26]. No significant difference was found when pyruvate was the substrate. There was a tendency toward higher rates of glucose synthesis in the diabetic condition without statistical significance, however, possibly due to the small number of rats used in these experiments. However, pyruvate is the only substrate used in the present work that induces, in the once-through perfused liver at least, an oxidizing state in the liver cells when it is present alone (i.e., very low NADH/NAD ratios) [27]. This is a situation that does not normally occur in vivo where the pyruvate concentrations are normally very low and the lactate to pyruvate ratios high [27]. These results with livers of type 2 diabetic rats are in sharp contrast with those obtained with the liver of type 1 diabetic rats, where increased gluconeogenesis with virtually all substrates was observed [10-15]. Although the rates of oxygen uptake were always lower in the liver of diabetic rats even in the presence of gluconeogenic substrates, it is unlikely that ATP availability could be limiting glucose synthesis.

Table 4. Metabolic fluxes in livers from control and type 2 diabetic rats caused by pyruvate infusion (1.0 mM). The data were obtained from experiments in which glyceral infusions were carried out during 20 minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n = 4)</th>
<th>Diabetic (n = 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate in the presence of pyruvate</td>
<td>Increment caused by pyruvate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake</td>
<td>2.657 ± 0.101</td>
<td>0.361 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose production</td>
<td>0.399 ± 0.049</td>
<td>0.340 ± 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate production</td>
<td>1.143 ± 0.063</td>
<td>1.103 ± 0.059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Metabolic fluxes in livers from control and type 2 diabetic rats caused by glycerol infusion (2.0 mM). The data were obtained from experiments in which glycerol was infused during 20 minutes. Asterisks (*) and crosses (†) indicate values in the diabetic condition that are statistically different from the corresponding control values according to Student’s t test (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n = 4)</th>
<th>Diabetic (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate in the presence of glycerol</td>
<td>Increment caused by glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake</td>
<td>2.696 ± 0.126</td>
<td>0.173 ± 0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose production</td>
<td>0.609 ± 0.049</td>
<td>0.518 ± 0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate production</td>
<td>0.140 ± 0.049</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown previously, the ATP content of the hepatic tissue of type 2 diabetic rats under the same conditions as those used in the present work (24-hours fast) is even higher than that of normal rats [18]. Furthermore, there was a clear correlation between the increments in oxygen uptake caused by lactate, alanine and pyruvate and the gluconeogenic activity. This reinforces the general notion that gluconeogenesis controls the extra oxygen uptake and not the contrary.

It should be stressed with reference to the contribution of gluconeogenesis to the fasting hyperglycemia in animals and patients with type 2 diabetes that conflicting results have been reported. There are studies proposing a significant, though relatively small, contribution of gluconeogenesis to the fasting hyperglycemia [4,6,8] while others claim that the contribution is not significant [6,26]. Our results thus agree much more with those studies in which no enhanced gluconeogenesis was found in type 2 diabetic patients.

Extrapolations of the observations of the present work to the in vivo conditions or to different species (mice, humans) must always be done carefully. Even so, it should be stressed that in vivo lower rates of gluconeogenesis in humans or animals bearing type 2 diabetes have never been reported. Consequently it seems worth to discuss the possible factors that could lead to an at least normal or slightly above normal in vivo gluconeogenesis in spite of impaired enzymatic machinery. If one assumes that the enzymatic machinery is the result of long- or even medium-term regulation, efficient mechanisms of short-term up-regulation must be operative in type 2 diabetes, at least in the rat. There are several possibilities to be considered: 1) different concentrations of hormones able to stimulate gluconeogenesis; 2) higher substrate concentrations in the diabetic condition; 3) increased plasma concentrations of free fatty acid, which are known to stimulate gluconeogenesis [24-28]. With reference to the hormonal factors, it is known that glucagon, in addition to its medium- and long-term effects [16] can also promote short-term up-regulation of gluconeogenesis [29,30]. Insulin, in contrast, does not exert short-term effects in the liver [10,11]. Elevated glucagon levels have been found in type 2 diabetic humans [9-31] and in neonatal streptozotocin type 2 diabetic rats at least during certain stages after streptozotocin injection [17]. Consequently a short-term up-regulation by glucagon must be considered as a real possibility. It must be mentioned, however, that the stimulatory effect of glucagon on gluconeogenesis is relatively modest unless the cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio is very high [29,30]. Concerning the possible contribution of increased substrate concentrations one cannot expect a significant contribution from lactate. The latter is by far the most important gluconeogenic substrate, but its normal concentration in blood (around 2 mM) is already saturating for gluconeogenesis [28,32] so that increments would not enhance glucose synthesis. Some positive effect favouring gluconeogenesis in the diabetic state could be expected, however, by a shift in the redox potential of the cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ couple towards a more oxidized state (lower NADH/NAD⁺ ratios). This would have the consequence of increasing the pyruvate concentration by virtue of the near-equilibrium of the lactate dehydrogenase reaction [27]. This positive effect is to be expected from the observation that when pyruvate was the sole substrate, a condition which means a strong oxidizing state for the cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ couple [27], the difference between gluconeogenesis in the diabetic and the normal state was practically abolished. In a specific study with type 2 diabetic patients [31], plasma glycerol was increased by a factor of 1.46 and glycerol gluconeogenesis was increased more than twofold. From the glycerol concentration increase one would expect maximally a 1.46-fold increase in gluconeogenesis from this substrate. This disproportion, two-fold versus 1.46-fold, can be regarded as an indication that another factor or factors are contributing to the enhanced gluconeogenesis. One of these factors could be the more elevated glucagon concentration, as already mentioned. However, the more elevated fatty acid concentrations, which seem to be a frequent phenomenon in type 2 diabetes [4,31], could be equally contributing as stimulatory effectors.

In conclusion, the enzymatic machinery of the gluconeogenic pathway of neonatal type 2 diabetic rats seems to be adapted to low rates of glucose removal by extracellular tissues rather than to enhanced glucose production. Gluconeogenesis at rates high enough to contribute significantly to the fasting hyperglycemia can be generated only by short-term up-regulation which could, in principle, be produced by high glucagon, glycerol and fatty acids concentrations.
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