Prevalence of dysmenorrhea in female students in a Chinese university: a prospective study
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ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of dysmenorrhea in a prospective approach. Menstruation-related diary data were obtained from 2640 female college students in North Sichuan Medical College; dysmenorrhea and related factors were analyzed. Dysmenorrhea occurred in 56.4% of students; 6.5% of dysmenorrheal students suffered from “hard to bear” (unbearable) menstrual pain, and 6.5% had pre-menstrual dysmenorrhea. The more severe dysmenorrhea was, the longer dysmenorrhea lasted, and the longer the duration of menstruation and the larger the amount of menstrual blood flow appeared to be. Dysmenorrhea occurred on 37% of the menstrual dates on average and was unrelated to irregularity of menstrual cycles. The percentages of students taking medicine with mild, moderate and unbearable dysmenorrhea were 4.0%, 13.3% and 23.7%, respectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Many investigations have been undertaken to determine the prevalence of dysmenorrhea (painful menstruation), the commonest (not necessarily the most serious) complaint associated with menstruation [1]. The prevalence varied widely between investigations. As published in English, for example, the prevalence (rate of occurrence) of dysmenorrhea ranged from 72.3% to 89.5% in Nigerian and Turkey university students [2,3], and from 59.7% to 85.0% in adolescent girls or young women [4-8]. In China, university/college students were the main subjects investigated and the prevalence of dysmenorrhea (reported in Chinese journals) varied from 31.5%-41.9% [9-13] to 57.1%-79.4% [14-18]. Previous investigations were, however, retrospective ones by means of questionnaires. In some investigations it was stated that the dysmenorrheal data were about the menstrual pain that occurred every month or frequently [11] or during the past 3 months [8], and in most other investigations it was unknown whether the dysmenorrhea was defined as the menstrual pain that occurred during the past 1 or several weeks, or during the last 1 or several periods. This would cloud the prevalence data. This current investigation was therefore undertaken, by adopting a prospective approach (by means of diary), to study the prevalence of dysmenorrhea in female students in a Chinese university.

2. METHODS

The students investigated were Grades 2004-2007 female undergraduate students residing on the campus of North Sichuan Medical College. 37 of them and 2 male undergraduates constituting the Students Group were recruited as volunteers to assist in the investigation.

A diary table was distributed to students on October 21, 2007. They were requested to keep record of their menstrual dates, amount of menstrual blood flow (too little, moderate or too much), dysmenorrhea (lower abdominal pain associated with menstruation) and medications taken for menstrual disorder. The completed diary tables were collected by January 18, 2008 (the end of the semester).

2876 students participated in the study. 230 of them wrote on the diary that they forgot to fill in part of the menstrual data and 6 students had no menstruation dur-
ing investigation. These students being excluded, the analysis in this study was based on data from the other 2640 students. These students were, on October 2007 at the beginning of investigation, aged 20.3 ± 1.3 (SD) full years (range, 16-26; median, 20; 5th and 95th percentiles, 18 and 22).

The first menstruation during the period of investigation was used for the analysis of dysmenorrhea (including pre-menstrual dysmenorrhea), which was divided into 3 levels: mild (not affecting life or work, without worry or concern), moderate and unbearable (the original Chinese words means “hard to bear” literally). When a student had 1 or more days of unbearable pain, she was classified into the group of unbearable dysmenorrhea. When she had no unbearable pain but had 1 or more days of moderate pain, she was grouped into moderate dysmenorrhea. Mild dysmenorrheal students had mild but no unbearable or moderate pain.

3. RESULTS

The prevalence of dysmenorrhea was 56.4%. 64.7% of the dysmenorrheal students had mild dysmenorrhea, 28.8% moderate dysmenorrhea and 6.5% unbearable dysmenorrhea (Table 1). 3.67% of the 2640 students had pre-menstrual dysmenorrhea and 1.10% had only pre-menstrual pain without pain during menstruation. An average of 8.0% of dysmenorrheal students took medicine; the percentages of students taking medicine with mild, moderate and unbearable dysmenorrhea were 4.0%, 13.3% and 23.7%, respectively.

The average duration of menstruation was 3.79 ± 1.22 days (median, 4 days); 261 (9.89%) students had abnormal menstrual cycles [19] > 40 days or < 18 days. Compared to non-dysmenorrheal students, dysmenorrheal students were slightly older in age, had slightly longer menstruation, and had more days on which they felt there was more menstrual blood loss (Table 1). The number of dates with dysmenorrhea during menstruation increased significantly with the increase of dysmenorrheal levels (Table 1). In dysmenorrheal students, the mean number of menstrual dates in the menstrual period was 4.84 ± 1.24 and dysmenorrhea occurred on 37.1% ± 22.8% of the menstrual dates. [Note, for each period of menstruation, the number of menstrual dates is 1 larger than the duration (days) of menstruation.] There was no significant difference in the percentage of students with abnormal menstrual cycles between groups of students without and with dysmenorrhea (Table 1).

4. DISCUSSION

This is a large prospective investigation undertaken to study the prevalence of dysmenorrhea. It demonstrated that the prevalence of dysmenorrhea in female college students in a Chinese university was 56.4%. It also demonstrated for the first time that 1) dysmenorrhea occurred on < 40% of the menstrual dates on average, and 2) the more severe dysmenorrhea was, the longer dysmenorrhea lasted: the mean number of dysmenorrheal dates during menstruation in unbearable dysmenorrheal students was > 60% larger than that in mild dysmenorrheal students (Table 1). It was previously reported that the severity of dysmenorrhea increased with increasing duration of menstruation or amount of menstrual flow [5-6]. As also shown in the current study, the prevalence or severity of dysmenorrhea was unrelated to irregularity of menstrual cycles (Table 1).

The prevalence of dysmenorrhea in college students, a relatively convenient sample to study, was reported with wide variation in previous studies (see the Introduction). A recent (data collected in June 2006) large (n=15392) study in 7 universities for minority nationalities reported, for example, an overall prevalence of 65.6% among the second and third year college students on campus, with no significant differences between major nationalities; however, the prevalence was 84.8% in 1 university (n = 2097) [18]. Strictly speaking, our result of 56.4% obtained prospectively was not comparable to previous (retrospective) results. A dysmenorrheal woman was defined in this study as suffering from dysmenorrhea in one (the first) menstrual period during investigation. So the dysmenorrheal prevalence obtained in this study reflected the prevalence among the women at certain time point. If a woman was defined as dysmenorrheal even if dysmenorrhea occurred in only one of her past 10 or 20 periods, each woman would likely be dysmenorrheal, i.e. the prevalence would be approximating to 100%. The prevalence (85%) of dysmenorrhea occurring during the past 3 months among high school students [8], for example, would certainly overestimate the prevalence at certain time point. Previous studies were usually retrospective, associated with recall bias, and in most previous studies it was unknown how the dysmenorrhea was defined (see the Introduction).

Severity of dysmenorrhea is a subjective feeling, which may be variable between groups of women. Severe (in comparison with mild or moderate) dysmenorrhea was reported in 10%-42% of Western dysmenorrheal women [4-6,8] while the percentage was 6.5%-6.7% in Chinese dysmenorrheal college students [9,15]. Menstruation may be likened to an internal bleeding, wound or trauma and would naturally cause some concern, painful or uncomfortable feeling, to which women would get accustomed one way or another. It is therefore those who feel “hard to bear” (unbearable) that we should pay special attention to. As estimated in the present study, this subgroup of people with unbearable
dysmenorrhea accounted for 6.5% of the dysmenorrheal students, similar to the “severe” (treatment was needed and school study could not be continued) results (6.5%-6.7%) previously reported in Chinese college students [9,15]. Even with unbearable dysmenorrhea, the students just seemed to bear it without taking medicine—all less than 25% of them took medicine. Menstruation-related health education programs are therefore needed on campus to promote the menstrual health of students.

The percentage of dysmenorrheal students taking medicine for dysmenorrhea was previously reported to be 50%-58% among Turkey and British undergraduate students [3,20], 52%-58% among American and Australian high school students [7,8], more than 43.8% among Chinese undergraduate students [18], and 33%-38% among young Swedish women [5,6]. These results are much higher than our result (8.0%). This may be indicative of wide variation in the attitude of medicine taking or in the tolerance to pain between groups (people) or regions (places), and/or indicative of the “cumulative effects” in retrospective studies, like the overestimation of dysmenorrheal prevalence as described above.

Dysmenorrhea in the present study is essentially primary dysmenorrhea [1] since no students in this investigation reported underlying pelvic pathology during study. In talking with some dysmenorrheal students during study, we identified a couple of students whose dysmenorrhea might be secondary, but this was not confirmed because they did not seek further consultation or examination.
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Table 1. Main results (mean ± SD) for college students without dysmenorrhea and with mild, moderate or unbearable dysmenorrhea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without (n = 1150)</th>
<th>Mild (n = 964)</th>
<th>Moderate (n = 429)</th>
<th>Unbearable (n = 97)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (full years) *</td>
<td>20.2 ± 1.3bc</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>20.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>20.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of menstruation (days) *</td>
<td>3.72 ± 1.20</td>
<td>3.81 ± 1.20</td>
<td>3.91 ± 1.30</td>
<td>3.90 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dates with dysmenorrhea during menstruation *</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.91c</td>
<td>2.07 ± 1.06c</td>
<td>2.43 ± 1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dates with little blood flow during menstruation *</td>
<td>1.50 ± 1.49</td>
<td>1.54 ± 1.41</td>
<td>1.70 ± 1.48</td>
<td>1.69 ± 1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dates with moderate blood flow during menstruation *</td>
<td>2.99 ± 1.71</td>
<td>2.97 ± 1.54</td>
<td>2.81 ± 1.60</td>
<td>2.64 ± 1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dates with much blood flow during menstruation *</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.61abc</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.60ac</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of students with abnormal menstrual cycle *</td>
<td>10.17%</td>
<td>9.02%</td>
<td>11.19%</td>
<td>9.28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data were significantly different (P ≤ 0.05) between the 4 groups or the 3 dysmenorrheal groups (Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance on ranks); significantly different (P ≤ 0.05) from the group of mild, moderate or unbearable dysmenorrhea (Dunn’s method for multiple comparison).

*Abnormal menstrual cycle: ≤ 17 or ≥ 41 days; there was no significant difference between the percentages (χ² test: P > 0.50).
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