Effects of Olive Oil and Grape Seed Oil on Lipid Profile and Blood Pressure in Patients with Hyperlipidemia: A Randomized Clinical Trial
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Hyperlipidemia is one of clear risk factors of diabetes. Regarding its importance, this study was designed to compare the effects of olive oil and grape seed oil on serum lipids and blood pressure in patients with hyperlipidemia in 2015. Methods and Results: In this clinical trial, 60 patients with hyperlipidemia who met inclusion criteria were recruited. Subjects were randomly assigned 3 groups: 1) consume 20 ml/day refined olive oil; 2) consume 20 ml/day grape seed oil; 3) the control group received no oil. The study period was six weeks. All participants were under Step I diet. Height and weight measurements were taken by Seca scale. Blood pressure, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, triglyceride and fasting blood sugar were measured at baseline and after 6 weeks by standard methods. Low-density lipoprotein levels were calculated by the Friedewald's formula. Data were analyzed with ANOVA test in SPSS software version 16.0. Sixty participants (36 female and 24 male) with the average age of 47.5 ± 9 y and the mean body mass index of 31.78 ± 5.41 kg/m² had completed the study. Olive oil intervention decreased systolic blood pressure significantly compared to grape seed oil group (P = 0.01). Triglyceride was significantly decreased in olive oil and also triglyceride groups (P = 0.02 and 0.004, respectively). Conclusion: Overall, the effects of olive oil and grape seed oil were better than control group. However, we suggest the substitution of dietary lipids with olive oil because of its more beneficial effects. Registration number for clinical trial: IRCT2014070218329N1 registration code in Iran Clinical Trial site.
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1. Introduction

Hyperlipidemia has a quite clear role in the etiology of coronary heart disease [1] [2]. Because of the low prices and few side effects, natural strategies like diet therapy can be used effectively to deal with the problem hyperlipidemia. For instance, the type of fatty acids in diet has a major role in cardiovascular health [3].

Some researchers are considered to replacing saturated and trans-fats with Mono Unsaturated Fatty Acids (MUFAs) containing oils such as olive oil [4]. They have emphasized the usefulness of olive oil and its correlation with lower prevalence of coronary disease. Furthermore, phenolic compounds of olive oil are also thought to contribute to its health benefits [5].

In other hand, the results of other researches have mentioned the role of replacement of saturated fatty acids with polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) [6]. Grape seeds are a rich source of PUFAs and phenolic compounds and it uses for the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases from long ago.

A lot of studies were done in this regard, but not correctly specified the most appropriate way to reduce trans and saturated fatty acids is replacing it with MUFA or PUFA [6]-[8]. This study was designed to compare the effects of olive and grape seed oil on metabolic responses in hyperlipidemic patients.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population

This double blind clinical trial was performed with parallel design on 70 eligible hyperlipidemic patients referred to Obesity Center of Shahid Sadoughi University of medical sciences Specialty and Subspecialty Baghaei Pour Clinic by public advertisement in 2015. Individuals were enrolled in the study included subjects 30 - 60 years of age, with at least one of imbalanced blood lipid indices [including total cholesterol (TC) ≥ 200 mg/dl, high density lipoprotein (HDL) ≤ 40 mg/dl, low density lipoprotein (LDL) ≥ 130 mg/dl and triglyceride (TG) ≥ 150 mg/dl], without a personal history of cardiovascular, hepatic and renal disease and intake of antioxidant supplements during the study.

2.2. Study Design

After obtaining informed consent, subjects randomly assigned to 3 groups using the table of random numbers by a statistician: 1) Consuming 20 ml/day refined olive oil (OO) (two teaspoonful in the morning and 2 in the afternoon); 2) Consuming 20 ml/day refined grape seed oil (GSO); 3) The control group will receive no oil. The Intervention period was 6 weeks. The subjects take low calorie Step I diet [9] recommended by a nutritionist. At baseline refined olive and grape seed oil (that were colorless and odorless) poured in coded graded containers by a person who was not participate in the process of the study. Researchers who conducted the study and analyzed the data of the study were not generally aware which code is assigned to each oil.

Olive oil and grape seed oil were used respectively produced by Kokab Olive Oil Company (Qazvin, Iran) and DIVO Company (Italy). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shahid Sadoughi University of medical sciences, Yazd, Iran and recorded in Iran Clinical Trial site with IRCT2014070218329N1 registration code. Written consent was obtained from all participants.

2.3. Clinical Analysis

Blood pressure (BP) was recorded at baseline and after 6 weeks with a standard mercurial column sphygmomanometer (Model FC-110Deluxe, Focal Corporation, Japan) after 5 minutes resting in a seated position.

All patients were sent to the Yazd diabetic research center to do experiments at baseline and the end of intervention. Blood samples were collected and TC, HDL, TG and fasting blood glucose (FBS) were measured at baseline and after 6 weeks by enzymatic methods using certified commercial kits (Pars Azmon, Iran) and LDL
level calculated by the Friedewald’s formula \(LDL = TC - HDL - \frac{TG}{5.0} \) (mg/dL) [10].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS software version 16.0. At first Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to evaluate the normality of data distribution. Statistical analyses were done by descriptive statistics. One way analyze of variance (ANOVA) test were used for figure out the mean change of differences in three groups. Post Hoc LSD test was done to show which groups differ from the rest. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Significance was considered to be \(P < 0.05\). Results were given with their 95% CIs.

3. Results

Sixty out of 70 selected patients aged 47.5 ± 9 y were eligible to be included in the study and all of them (36 female and 24 male) successfully completed the study (Figure 1). The average body mass index (BMI) of participants was 31.78 ± 5.41 kg/m². Baseline characteristics of the study participants are shown in Table 1. Dietary intakes of macronutrients and calorie were estimated at baseline and end of the study; no significant changes were observed during the intervention.

3.1. Effects on Lipid Profile

Changes in the lipid profile parameters were presented in Table 2. TG were significantly decreased after the intervention in GSO group (\(P = 0.004\)). Significant differences were also found in mean change of TG differences among three groups (\(P = 0.01\)). Post Hoc test were shown these differences were significant between control and OO groups and also control and GSO groups (\(P = 0.02\) and 0.004, respectively). It means that TG was significantly decreased in OO and also GSO groups. Differences in the mean change of other parameters of lipid profile were not significant (\(P > 0.05\)).
### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients in three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Head</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Olive oil</th>
<th>Grape seed oil</th>
<th>p^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>47.10 ± 8.23^a</td>
<td>48.45 ± 8.78</td>
<td>47.05 ± 10.31</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>161.75 ± 9.60</td>
<td>160.37 ± 8.22</td>
<td>160.29 ± 6.20</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>85.60 ± 19.76</td>
<td>76.75 ± 13.23</td>
<td>84.52 ± 19.63</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>48.29 ± 38.55</td>
<td>28.34 ± 1.96</td>
<td>36.92 ± 5.27</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aMean ± SD. ^bOne way ANOVA test.

### Table 2. Changes in lipid profile parameters of 3 study groups through the intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Head</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Olive oil</th>
<th>Grape seed oil</th>
<th>P^c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>246.50 ± 167.73^a</td>
<td>271.90 ± 112.86</td>
<td>262.30 ± 104.95</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>292.30 ± 197.02</td>
<td>225.35 ± 67.59</td>
<td>190.70 ± 118.82</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>45.80 ± 122.42</td>
<td>−46.55 ± 149.46</td>
<td>−71.60 ± 97.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value^b</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dl)</td>
<td>205.75 ± 41.85</td>
<td>214.70 ± 33.31</td>
<td>211.05 ± 31.58</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>204.00 ± 35.39</td>
<td>204.35 ± 30.62</td>
<td>203.25 ± 26.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>−1.75 ± 57.16</td>
<td>−11.45 ± 36.30</td>
<td>−6.70 ± 24.17</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value^c</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>120.00 ± 28.00</td>
<td>121.7 ± 17.54</td>
<td>119.72 ± 28.43</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>118.30 ± 23.42</td>
<td>112.69 ± 23.35</td>
<td>120.62 ± 34.29</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>−3.73 ± 38.00</td>
<td>−9.80 ± 21.60</td>
<td>−2.31 ± 16.27</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value^c</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>14.86 ± 3.32</td>
<td>6.14 ± 1.37</td>
<td>10.78 ± 2.41</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After</td>
<td>42.50 ± 11.25</td>
<td>42.11 ± 9.11</td>
<td>46.39 ± 15.95</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>−1.65 ± 7.46</td>
<td>2.78 ± 8.42</td>
<td>1.22 ± 13.41</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value^c</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aMean ± SD. ^bPaired t-test. ^cANOVA.

### 3.2. Effects on BP and FBS

Changes in the BP and FBS were presented in Table 3. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) was significantly decreased after intervention in OO group (P < 0.001). Significant differences were also found in mean change of SBP differences among three groups of study (P = 0.04). Post Hoc LSD test were shown this differences was between OO and GSO groups (P = 0.01). It means that olive oil intervention decreased SBP significantly compared to GSO group. The mean of diastolic blood pressure (DBP) before and after the study were significant between groups. So we adjust its effect by Univariate Analysis of Variances. The mean of DBP were significantly decreased in OO and control groups (P < 0.05) but Univariate Analysis of Variances showed these reductions in DBP were not significant (P = 0.23).

Differences in the mean change of FBS was not significant (P > 0.05).
Table 3. Changes in BP and FBS levels of 3 study groups through the intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Head</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Olive oil</th>
<th>Grape seed oil</th>
<th>P^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>121.50 ± 14.51^a</td>
<td>135.15 ± 20.76</td>
<td>112.90 ± 29.61</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>121.20 ± 8.73</td>
<td>124.20 ± 15.13</td>
<td>118.30 ± 15.93</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>−0.30 ± 29.85</td>
<td>−10.95 ± 15.73</td>
<td>5.40 ± 11.36</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-value^b</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dl)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>87.25 ± 4.993</td>
<td>85.10 ± 8.546</td>
<td>79.45 ± 8.388</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>83.00 ± 6.974</td>
<td>78.75 ± 8.717</td>
<td>75.80 ± 7.585</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>132.40 ± 56.65</td>
<td>210.10 ± 271.33</td>
<td>116.05 ± 35.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>132.25 ± 58.73</td>
<td>112.25 ± 29.84</td>
<td>123.80 ± 50.51</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>−0.15 ± 5.27</td>
<td>−97.85 ± 279.72</td>
<td>7.75 ± 22.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aMean ± SD. ^bPaired t-test. ^cANOVA.

4. Discussion

In this study all three groups adhered Step I diet and they all have the same calorie intake. So it had same effect on three groups. In our study, consumption of OO reduced FBS compared with two other groups but this reduction was not significant and this is consistent with Madigan et al. [11] and Paniagua et al. [12] findings. They stated insulin sensitivity improvement as the cause of this effect. In addition, consumption of GSO increased FBS compared with control group insignificantly.

From the nutritional point of views, OO contains a high level (70% - 80%) of oleic acid that may lead to reducing triglycerides and raising HDL [13]. GSO has a high linoleic acid (60% - 75%) content and it is recognized that its intake may result in lipid profile refining [14].

In our study both OO and GSO were associated with a significant reduction in serum TG and this is in line with Burglund et al. [7] and Allman-Farinelli et al. [15] studies but Despites reports, No significant change occurred in other Lipid profiles after intervention in two oil groups [11] [15]-[17]. An explanation for the lack of significant effects on other lipid profiles is that fatty acids are not the only factors for the lipid lowering effect of these oils. For example, some studies affirm that the phenolic content of OO and GSO can account for more benefits on other lipid profiles than those provided by the fatty acid content [13] [18]. And this is Consistent with results obtained after phenolic-rich food consumption in other studies [19]. The insignificance of the changes in the other lipid profile in our study could be the result of using refined oils with no phenolic content.

Reduction of TG in OO group is corresponded with reduction of FBS. Increased consumption of MUFAs improves insulin sensitivity and consequently reduce TG levels because Lipoprotein lipase, the enzyme responsible for the clearance of serum TG, is an insulin sensitive enzyme [20]. So oleic acid contrasts with some of the abnormalities in glucose and lipid metabolisms. This effect is not observed with receiving linoleic acid [21].

In comparison GSO and control group, that of OO intervention decreased SBP significantly. This observation can be supported by Bondia-pon et al. [22] Fito M et al. [23] and some other previous studies that demonstrated beneficial effects of consuming olive oil and other MUFA rich diets in blood pressure in healthy state, hypertension, coronary heart disease and Type 2 diabetes [24]-[26]. In Fito M et al. [23] study had more reduction after virgin olive oil administration than after refined olive oil administration. Ruiz-Gutierrez et al. [24] also attribute this reduction to minor olive oil components.

A major limitation of this study was finding people who met the inclusion criteria and have desire to participate in the study.
5. Conclusion

Overall, in this study both OO and GSO had a significantly good effect on TG; OO had also good effect on SBP. So replacing olive oil in the diet is more salubrious than grape seed oil in patients with hyperlipidemia. Nevertheless, the need for more studies on the effects of these oils on inflammatory markers and oxidative stress is felt.
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