Physico-Chemical and Sensory Evaluation of Wheat Bread Supplemented with Stabilized Undefatted Rice Bran
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ABSTRACT

The effect of rice bran supplementation on some physico-chemical and sensory properties of wheat bread was determined. Blends of wheat flour and rice bran (95:5, 90:10 and 85:15) were used to bake bread with 100% wheat flour as control. Thereafter, proximate, vitamin and mineral composition, as well as the physical and sensory properties of the dough and bread loaves were determined, using standard methods of analysis. The moisture content, crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre and ash of the composite bread loaves increased significantly (p < 0.05) from 21.07% to 23.67%, 12.04% to 13.10%, 1.57% to 3.77%, 1.76% to 2.91% and 1.46% to 2.41% respectively; while carbohydrate content decreased with increased level of supplementation from 62.10% to 54.14%. There were significant increases (p < 0.05) in vitamin B₁ (Thiamin) from 0.15 mg/100g to 0.47 mg/100g and B₂ (Niacin) from 3.31 mg/100g to 4.04 mg/100g but no significant increase (p > 0.05) in vitamin B₃ (Riboflavin). Mineral content of the bread increased significantly (p < 0.05) with increased level of supplementation from 9.32 mg/100g to 20.52 mg/100g (Iron), 80.74 mg/100g to 188.20 mg/100g (Potassium), 81.31 mg/100g to 130.70 mg/100g (Calcium) and 13.65 mg/100g to 132.22 mg/100g (Magnesium). However, there was a significant decrease (p < 0.05) in sodium with increased level of supplementation from 305.25 mg/100g to 253.03 mg/100g. Bread loaf weight increased from 152.7 g to 162.7 g; while loaf volume decreased from 655.2 ml to 586.0 ml and specific loaf volume decreased from 4.29 ml/g to 3.60 ml/g. There were significant differences (p < 0.05) in physical properties of dough and bread loaves between the composite bread and the control. Though 100% wheat bread had better acceptability scores (7.95) compared to composite bread (7.20 for 95:5 blend), all the composite bread samples had significantly (p < 0.05) higher values for nutritional parameters. There was therefore, a significant improvement in the nutritional composition of the wheat bread with rice bran supplementation.
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1. Introduction

The problems of malnutrition in Nigeria, although different in magnitude and severity among different groups, are due to protein, vitamins, and mineral deficiencies [1]. Since the diet of an average Nigerian consists of foods that are mostly carbohydrate based, there is therefore, need for strategic use of inexpensive high protein and micronutrient food sources that will increase the protein content of the staple diet in order to enhance their nutritive value.

Bread is an important staple food, the consumption of which is steadily increasing in Nigeria due to changing life styles. Nutritional, bread contains a high percent-
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sources to contribute the recommended dietary nutrient intake to fulfill the consumer’s expectations. Development of new generation bread products derived from diverse sources of non-wheat flours provides an alternative towards nutritionally richer and cheaper bread products [4]. The objective of supplementing alternative ingredients in bread formulation is to improve the nutritional value of wheat flour particularly proteins, minerals, vitamins and dietary fibre [4]. Formulation of composite flour is vital for development of value added products with optimal functionality [5]. A variety of wheat flour substitutes such as soy or defatted soy flour [6], defatted wheat germ [7], flax seed [8], sunflower seed [9], chempedak seed flour [10], and rice bran [11,12] have been tried in bakery formulation with varying success.

Rice bran, the brown outer layer of rice kernel is mainly composed of pericarp, aleurone/sub-aleurone layers and germ. Currently it is discarded as a waste product during the process of rice milling in this part of the world. However, it is an excellent source of total dietary fiber ranging from 20% - 51% [13]. Rice bran fiber has laxative effect with increased fecal output and stool frequencies [14]. It is also a good source of proteins, lipids, vitamins and minerals. Chemically it contains 11% - 17% protein, 11% - 18% fat, 10% fibre, 9% ash and 45% - 65% nitrogen free extract (NFE). It is a rich source of B—vitamins and minerals such as potassium, calcium, magnesium and iron [13,15,16]. The amino acid profile of rice bran has been generally reported to be superior to those individuals who are allergic to other cereal grains [21].

This work was therefore, aimed at assessing the effect of rice bran supplementation on the physical properties, nutritional composition and sensory acceptability of wheat bread.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Source of Materials

About 4.0 kg of parboiled rice bran was obtained from Olam Nigeria Limited Rice Mill, Makurdi; while 5.0 kg of wheat flour and other ingredients like sugar, bakers’ yeast, plasticized fat and salt were purchased from Modern Market, Makurdi, Benue State.

2.2. Material Preparation

The rice bran and wheat flour were sieved to a consistent particle size (0.50 mm) to remove impurities such as hulls, endosperm and weed seeds. And both were packaged in a low density polyethylene bags and stored at room temperature for future use.

2.3. Formulation of Flour Blends

Three flour blends were prepared by mixing wheat flour with rice bran in the proportions of 95:5, 90:10 and 85:15 using a mechanical blender (Sharp EM11), while 100% wheat flour was used as control. The four flour samples were packaged in black low density polyethylene bags and stored in 500 ml lidded plastic containers at room temperature from where samples were taken for analysis and bread production.

2.4. Baking Process

Bread was baked from the flour samples using the straight dough method of Chauhan et al. [22]. All ingredients were thoroughly mixed in a dough mixer to form dough, which was put into a baking pan greased with plasticized fat and covered with greased bread wrapper. The doughs were fermented for 90 minutes at room temperature (28°C - 30°C), proofed at 35°C - 40°C for 90 minutes, and baked at 250°C for 30 minutes. The bread loaves were packaged in low density polyethylene bags for consumption and stored at room temperature for future analysis.

2.5. Analyses

2.5.1. Chemical Analyses

The moisture, ash, crude fat, crude protein and crude fibre contents of the flours and bread samples were determined using the method of AOAC [23]. Carbohydrate was determined by difference as described by Ihekoronye and Ngoddy [24]. Mineral composition (Ca, Mg, K and Fe) was determined using Atomic Absorption Spectro-
photometer (AAS) as described by Onwuka [25]. Vitamin B (thiamine, riboflavin and niacin) were determined by High performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) as described by AOAC [23].

2.5.2. Physical Analyses
Average dough volume increase after fermentation and proofing as well as fermentation and proofing rates, were determined as described by Chauhan et al. [22]. Loaf volume was determined by the method of Giarmi et al. [26], loaf weight by electronic weighing balance and specific loaf volume was calculated as (loaf volume/loaf weight).

2.5.3. Sensory Evaluation of Bread Loaves
Sensory evaluation of the bread samples was carried out by a panel of 20 members using a 9-point hedonic scale as described by Mellgaard [27].

2.5.4. Statistical Analyses
All results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a prepackaged computer statistical software (MINITAB 15).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Proximate Composition
Results of the proximate composition of the bread samples are presented in Table 1. The moisture, crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre and ash contents increased significantly (p < 0.05) from 21.07% to 23.67%, 12.04% to 13.10%, 1.57% to 3.77%, 1.76% to 2.91% and 1.46% to 2.41% respectively; with increased level of supplementation. This is in agreement with Farrell [17], who earlier reported that rice bran is a good source of proteins, lipids, dietary fibre and minerals and could be an effective tool in supplementing lysine and methionine deficient foods such as wheat, maize and sorghum to overcome the prevailing malnutrition problem. The carbohydrate contents decreased with increased level of supplementation from 62.10% to 54.14%. This is expected since there is very little carbohydrate left in rice bran after milling.

Protein in the diet helps primarily to build and maintain body cells, while fat supplies essential fatty acids. Crude fibre plays an important role in the prevention of many diseases of the digestive tract. It has been reported that intake of more fiber results in increasing faecal bulk and lowering of plasma cholesterol [28].

3.2. Vitamin Composition
The B-group vitamin content of the bread samples are presented in Table 2. Thiamine and Niacin contents increased significantly (p < 0.05) with increased level of supplementation from 0.15 mg/100g to 0.47 mg/100g and 3.31 mg/100g to 4.04 mg/100g respectively. There was also an increase in the riboflavin content from 0.06 mg/100g to 0.07 mg/100g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>LSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>21.07 ± 0.30d</td>
<td>21.78 ± 0.17c</td>
<td>22.84 ± 0.24b</td>
<td>23.67 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>11.04 ± 0.15d</td>
<td>11.54 ± 0.10c</td>
<td>11.78 ± 0.14b</td>
<td>12.01 ± 0.06a</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.10d</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.04c</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.22b</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.06a</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.15c</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.08b</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.07e</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.11e</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.14b</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.06b</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.14a</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>63.10 ± 0.27a</td>
<td>61.00 ± 0.25b</td>
<td>58.11 ± 0.15c</td>
<td>55.59 ± 0.48d</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05). Key: A: 100% Wheat flour (control); B: 95% wheat flour + 5% rice bran; C: 90% wheat flour + 10% rice bran; D: 85% wheat flour + 15%; LSD: least significant difference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>LSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01d</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03c</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.00c</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.02d</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.03c</td>
<td>3.91 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05). Key: A: 100% wheat flour bread (control); B: 95% wheat flour + 5% rice bran; C: 90% wheat flour + 10% rice bran; D: 85% wheat flour + 15% rice bran; LSD: least significant difference.
mg/100g to 0.07 mg/100g, though not significant (p > 0.05). This could be due to the fact that rice bran is a rich source of B-vitamins as reported by Saunders [13], Farrell [17] and Dale [29].

Vitamins in food help to regulate body processes. B-group of vitamins, are particularly essential in carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism. Thiamine plays a central role in the generation of energy from carbohydrates, while riboflavin is involved in the energy production for the electron transport chain, the citric acid cycle, as well as the catabolism of fatty acids. Niacin plays an important role in energy transfer reactions in the metabolism of glucose, fat and alcohol [30]. Deficiency manifests in diseases such as Beriberi, eye sensitivity, constipation, Pellagra etc.

### 3.3. Mineral Composition

Results of the mineral composition of the bread samples are presented in Table 3. There was significantly (p < 0.05) increase in the mineral content with increased level of supplementation from 9.32 mg/100g to 20.52 mg/100g Iron, 80.74 mg/100g to 188.20 mg/100g Potassium, 81.31 mg/100g to 130.70 mg/100g Calcium and 13.65 mg/100g to 132.22 mg/100g Magnesium, while Sodium decreased significantly (p < 0.05) with increased level of supplementation from 305.25 mg/100g to 253.03 mg/100g. This could be due to substitution effect caused by the high levels of minerals in rice bran as reported by Saunders [13] and Xu [16].

Minerals are vital to the functioning of many body processes. They are critical players in the functioning of the nervous system, other cellular processes, water balance and structural (e.g. skeletal) systems.

### 3.4. Physical Properties of Dough and Bread Loaves

The physical properties of dough and bread loaves are shown in Table 4. Average dough volume of the composite flours in response to fermentation and proofing decreased significantly (p < 0.05) with increasing proportion of rice bran from 138.33 cm³ to 118.33 cm³ and 150 cm³ to 133.33 cm³ respectively. This was also reflected in the fermentation and proofing rates of dough which decreased from 1.54 cm³/min to 1.32 cm³/min and 1.67 cm³/min to 1.48 cm³/min respectively. The loaf volume and specific loaf volume also decreased significantly (p <

### Table 3. Mineral content (mg/100g) of rice bran supplemented bread samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>LSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>9.32 ± 0.13d</td>
<td>11.40 ± 0.19c</td>
<td>15.34 ± 0.20b</td>
<td>20.52 ± 0.30a</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (K)</td>
<td>80.74 ± 0.09d</td>
<td>110.42 ± 0.09e</td>
<td>129.25 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>188.20 ± 0.17c</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (Na)</td>
<td>305.25 ± 1.04a</td>
<td>285.84 ± 1.03b</td>
<td>270.52 ± 0.93c</td>
<td>253.03 ± 0.88d</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (Ca)</td>
<td>81.31 ± 0.20d</td>
<td>98.54 ± 0.25c</td>
<td>111.60 ± 0.33b</td>
<td>130.70 ± 0.15a</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (Mg)</td>
<td>13.65 ± 0.32d</td>
<td>48.28 ± 0.24c</td>
<td>89.53 ± 0.42b</td>
<td>132.22 ± 0.21a</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviations from triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

### Table 4. Physical properties of dough and bread loaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>LSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average dough volume increase</td>
<td>138.33 ± 5.77a</td>
<td>130.00 ± 5.0e</td>
<td>123.33 ± 5.77e</td>
<td>118.33 ± 5.77e</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentation rate (cm³/min)</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.17a</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.14b</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.17c</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.17d</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average dough volume increase</td>
<td>150.00 ± 5.00a</td>
<td>138.33 ± 5.77b</td>
<td>135.00 ± 5.00b</td>
<td>133.33 ± 5.77b</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proofing rate (cm³/min)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.20a</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.23b</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.26c</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.23d</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaf weight (g)</td>
<td>152.7 ± 1.20a</td>
<td>156.0 ± 2.00e</td>
<td>159.0 ± 2.00b</td>
<td>162.7 ± 2.50c</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaf volume (ml)</td>
<td>655.2 ± 1.50a</td>
<td>626.3 ± 2.50b</td>
<td>604.3 ± 1.50c</td>
<td>586.0 ± 2.00d</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific loaf volume (ml/g)</td>
<td>4.29 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.02d</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviations from triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05); Key: A: 100% wheat flour (control); B: 95% wheat flour + 5% rice bran; C: 90% wheat flour + 10% rice bran; D: 85% wheat flour + 15% rice bran; LSD: least significant difference.
consumers because it is stomach-filling and satisfying. Bovel-Benjamin [32], bulky bread is desirable to hungry
than 100% wheat flour bread. According to Green and composite bread loaves giving higher moisture content
reflected in the moisture content of bread loaves, with
entrapment, resulting in heavy dough. This was also re-
water absorption by the rice bran and the reduced air

The increase in loaf weight might be due to the high
water absorption by the rice bran and the reduced air
entrapment, resulting in heavy dough. This was also re-
flected in the moisture content of bread loaves, with
composite bread loaves giving higher moisture content
than 100% wheat flour bread. According to Green and
Bovel-Benjamin [32], bulky bread is desirable to hungry
consumers because it is stomach-filling and satisfying.

3.5. Sensory Scores of Bread Loaves

The sensory scores of the bread loaves are shown in Ta-
ble 5. There was a significant (p < 0.05) difference be-
tween the control and the composite bread in terms of
texture, crumb colour, aroma, crust colour and overall
acceptability. Overall acceptability was determined on
the basis of quality scores obtained from the evaluation of
taste, texture, aroma, crumb and crust colour. It is
evident from the result that 100% wheat flour bread
was more acceptable by the judges followed by composite
wheat-rice bran bread with 5%, 10% and 15% level of
rice bran supplementation respectively. This could be
attributed to the fact that people have been used to the
quality attributes in the control food sample (100% wheat
bread).

4. Conclusion

Acceptable and nutritious bread was produced from com-
posite flours of wheat and parboiled (stabilized) rice bran.

Though the 100% wheat bread was organoleptically
more acceptable, the composite bread samples were more
nutritious. Rice bran supplementation significantly im-
proved the dietary fiber, mineral, vitamin (B-group) and
protein content of the bread.

With the present cost of wheat, it is advantageous to
seriously explore the possibility of using wheat/rice bran
composite flours for commercial production of bread.
This will reduce the cost of production (since rice bran is
considered a waste product and is virtually free in this
part of the world) and help solve the environmental
problem of waste disposal.

REFERENCES

[1] O. C. Adeboye, “Proximate Composition and Nutrient
Analysis of Six Selected Leafy Vegetables of South West
Nigeria,” Ife Journal of Agriculture, Vol. 18, No. 1-2,
tions and Future Opportunities,” Food Industry Journal,
[3] L. H. McKee and T. A. Latner, “Underutilized Sources of
Dietary Fibre: A Review,” Plant Foods for Human Nutri-
mented/Germinated Cowpea Flour Addition on the Rheo-
logical and Baking Properties of Wheat Flour,” Food En-
Mehmood, “Influence of Partial Substitution of Wheat
Flour with Vetch (Lathyrus sativus) L Flour on Quality
Characteristics of Doughnuts,” Lebensmittel Wissen-
“Synergisms between Lipoxygenase-Active Soybean Flour
and Ascorbic Acid on Rheology and Bread Quality,”
Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture, Vol. 87, 2008,
pp. 1172-1175.
ment of Cookies Supplemented with Deflated Wheat
Germ,” Food Chemistry, Vol. 102, No. 1, 2007, pp. 123-
128. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.04.040
Physico-Chemical and Sensory Evaluation of Wheat Bread Supplemented with Stabilized Undefatted Rice Bran


