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ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of dairy sectors in the Middle East, particularly in Lebanon, led to extensive use of antibiotics to enhance the health and productivity of animals. Prolonged usage may lead to antibiotic residues in foods of animal origin; hence, the emergence of antimicrobial resistant microorganisms. Accurate data on the antibiotic usage in livestock treatment, antibiotic residues and antimicrobial resistances in raw milk in Lebanon are lacking. This study aimed to investigate the types and usages of antibiotics in cattle, their residual levels and the potential microbial resistances in raw milk samples. A questionnaire-based survey identified Gentamicin and Streptomycin as the most frequently used antibiotics. Selected raw milk samples from main dairy farms were then analyzed in duplicate by quantitative ELISA for the antibiotics residual levels. The mean residual levels of Gentamicin and Streptomycin were 90 and 80 μg/L, respectively; which are below the allowable maximum residue limit of 200 μg/L as set by the FAO/WHO.

Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, E. coli and total aerobic microorganisms isolated from the milk samples were then tested for resistance against Gentamicin and Streptomycin by the disc agar diffusion method. All the S. aureus, E. coli, and L. monocytogenes isolates showed high resistance to Gentamicin. However, 95% of S. aureus, 60% of E. coli and 58% of L. monocytogenes isolates were resistant to Streptomycin. The obtained results provide evidence that antimicrobial resistant strains of the above pathogens have become remarkably widespread in raw milk. This requires better management for antibiotic usages among livestock farmers to control sources of food contamination and reduce the health risks associated with the development of resistant microbial strains.
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1. Introduction

Antibiotics, the microbiologically produced compounds, are used in humans to treat or prevent certain diseases caused by infectious agents. However, the major antibiotics used for humans either belong to the same general classes or have the same mode of action as those used for animals [1]. A questionnaire-based survey conducted preliminarily by the authors in this study showed that the most commonly used antibiotics in livestock among major Lebanese dairy farmers are Gentamicin and Streptomycin which belong to the Aminoglycoside group of antibiotics. Furthermore, a limited survey conducted by Choueiri [2] on 17 main dairy farms in Lebanon as part of her thesis work also found that Streptomycin and Gentamicin are used in all the surveyed farms. It is also worth mentioning that the exact amounts of antibiotics used by farmers in livestock production in Lebanon are not known since they are not regulated. Streptomycin is mainly used to treat plague and infrequently Brucellosis. It is also combined with penicillin in treating enterococcal and Listeria monocytogenes infections. Gentamicin sulfate is quite effective against several types of bacterial infections mainly those caused by gram-negative bacteria. It is mainly used against Pseudomonas, E. coli, Enterobacteria, Proteus and Serratia [3].

These antibiotics, despite their effectiveness, can leave residues in the treated animal and contaminate its edible parts; the muscle meat and milk [4]. Environmental and
human health risks are associated with these residues. They range from direct toxicity on consumers exhibiting allergic reactions to indirect problems through the generation of resistant strains of pathogenic bacteria and the residual contamination of manures used in crop productions [5]. To ensure consumer safety, worldwide regulatory authorities have set MRL’s (Maximum Residual Limit) for several veterinary drugs [6,7]. These MRL’s, are expected to regulate the maximum permitted levels of the drug residue for each antibiotic which is considered safely acceptable in food of animal origin [8].

The major worldwide public concern and health hazard associated with antibiotic residues is the development of the antimicrobial resistant bacterial strains of animal origin and its consequent effect on human health [9-13] regarding the efficacy of antimicrobial therapy [14]. As the pathogens have become more resistant to the used doses of antibiotics, incidences of morbidity have increased, therapeutic efficiency has failed, healthcare costs are on the increase, and the antimicrobial doses have increased with scientists occupied searching for alternatives to relieve the burden [15]. According to Prescott and Baggot [16], microbial resistance to aminoglycosides, particularly Streptomycin, Neomycin, and Kanamycin is very common and pathogens present in the milk mainly S. aureus, E. coli O157:H7 and L. monocytogenes may easily develop antimicrobial resistance [17-19].

This study aimed to determine the residual levels of the two most commonly used antibiotics, Gentamicin and Streptomycin, in milk samples collected from 24 random dairy farms in Lebanon. The enzyme linked immunosorbant essay (ELISA) being user friendly, sensitive and can be economical when many samples need to be analyzed [20] was the method of choice. Another main objective of this work was to determine and link the resistance prevalence of pathogenic Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and L. monocytogenes isolated from the same milk samples to Streptomycin and Gentamicin residues.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Questionnaire-Based Survey on Major Farms

A Questionnaire-based survey was conducted on twenty six Lebanese dairy cattle farms to identify the most commonly used antibiotics, their dosage, timing of use and the practiced withholding times prior to dispatch. In this survey, farms located only in the Bekaa Valley and Mount Lebanon were visited because the southern region was not accessible. Between July and August, several farms were contacted, only 26 agreed to answer the survey divided as 54.1% in the Bekaa Valley and 45.8% in Mount Lebanon. Two of the farms were for meat production; therefore, the collected data were eliminated. The remaining 24 were divided as nine farms for both milk and meat production and 15 for only milk production. Cattle farms varied in capacity; two were large-scale farms with >1000 cows, six were medium-scale with cattle capacity 100 - 400 and 16 were small-scale with cattle capacity <100. Interviews were conducted with the owners and/or the farmers and the questions were close-ended. For instance, farmers were asked about the milking techniques used and their corresponding schedule, the sanitary conditions of the flock, percentage mortality and the feed (composition was important for the medicated feed). In addition, farmers were asked about any treatment administered including the brand name or active compound of the medication, treatment period (date of beginning and end of treatment), withdrawal time and identification number of the veterinary prescription.

2.2. The Controlled Study

A 10 days controlled study was performed at the Agricultural Research and Education Center (AREC), Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences of the American University of Beirut in the Bekaa of Lebanon. In the study, four Holstein cows aged between 34 and 39 months, that yielded approximately the same daily amount of milk (16 - 18 kg) were selected. The cows weighed around 450 - 500 kg and had no signs of disease when inspected. They tested negative for mastitis and were not exposed to any antibiotic treatment for a minimum of eight weeks. Each cow was placed in a labeled separate pen and were all given the same amount of water and feed. The two antibiotics Gentamicin and Streptomycin were selected for this study based on the data acquired from the survey that was performed during this study and described above. Two cows were treated with the antibiotic Gentamicin (Gentaprim, Invesa, Veterinary Industry, Barcelona, Spain) at a concentration of 4 ml/100kg three times a day for 3 days, and the other two were treated with the antibiotic Streptomycin (Pen and Strep, Norbrook Laboratories Limited, Newry, BT35 6JP) at a concentration of 1 ml/25kg once a day for five days. Treatments were administered by deep intramuscular injection using sterile disposable syringes (20 ml syringe, Luer-Lok and BD Microlance 18 G needles) to prevent possible contamination. Milking was performed twice per day; at 2:00 am and 2:00 pm. The milk samples used for analysis consisted of a mixture of both milking times and were collected in 100 ml sterile plastic containers in duplicate. Aseptic measures were taken during sample collection by cleaning and drying the udders before milking and sanitizing the milk buckets before and between every milking session. All samples were analyzed for antibiotic residues and antimicrobial susceptibility.
2.3. The Experimental Study

In order to validate the information provided by the farmers in the Questionnaire-based survey with respect to the withholding periods and the proper dosage of antibiotics used, analyses were conducted on random milk samples collected from the different farms. The 26 farms that were visited for the survey were contacted again and only 22 farms had agreed to provide samples to be analyzed. These farms varied from large-scale (9%) to medium-scale (27%) and small-scale (64%). Microbiological, antibiotic residues and antimicrobial susceptibility analyses were performed on all the samples in duplicate.

2.4. Analysis of Antibiotic Residues

Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test kits were used to quantitatively analyze both the control and the experimental milk samples for the presence of the two most commonly used antibiotics by the farmers, the aminoglycoside antibiotics Streptomycin and Gentamicin (BIO SCIENTIFIC Austin, TX 78744 USA. ELISA kits 1014 and 1027, respectively). Reagents and samples were prepared according to the ELISA kits instructional manual. Six standard antibiotic concentrations of the Gentamicin (negative control, 0.25 ng/ml, 0.75 ng/ml, 1.5 ng/ml, 3.0 ng/ml and 15 ng/ml) and 42 prepared milk test samples (20 samples from the control study and 22 experimental samples collected from various farms) were pipetted in duplicate into different wells. ELISA procedure was followed according to the provided manufacturers’ instructional material. Absorbance was read on a micro titer plate reader with 450 nm wavelength. The same procedure was followed for Streptomycin analyses but the standards provided were; negative control, 0.5 ng/ml, 1 ng/ml, 2.5 ng/ml, 5 ng/ml and 10 ng/ml.

2.5. Microbiological Analysis of Milk Samples

A total of 30 raw milk samples collected from the various farms and from the control study were microbiologically analyzed following the procedure of the bacteriological analytical manual [21]. The samples were tested for the presence of Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Listeria innocua, total aerobic count and total coliforms. In the procedure, for the enumeration of E. coli and the total aerobic microorganisms, a 10 ml portion of each milk sample was aseptically diluted with 90 ml of sterilized peptone-water (PW)\(^{1}\). Serial dilutions from 10\(^{-1}\) to 10\(^{-3}\) were prepared and an aliquot of 0.1 ml of the homogenate was spread on Plate Count Agar\(^{1}\) plates for the total aerobic count while Rapid E. coli (REC 2/agar)\(^{1}\) agar was used by the pour plate technique for the enumeration of E. coli. Plates were then incubated for 48 hours at 37°C. All colonies on PCA and REC were enumerated; purple-pink colonies were identified as E. coli, whereas blue colonies as coliforms. For S. aureus, a 25 ml portion of each sample was treated in an equivalent manner, but diluted with 225 ml PW and plated on Rapid Staphylococcus (R. Staph)\(^{1}\) agar plates. Selected black colonies with a white ring were enumerated and tested for coagulase and catalase activity using the Pastorex (Staph plus/Latex)\(^{1}\) test as a biochemical confirmation test [21]. Finally, the presence of L. monocytogenes was assessed by taking an additional 25 ml portion of each sample and diluted with sterile Listeria Enrichment Broth (Fraser 1 broth)\(^{1}\) supplemented with Listeria Enrichment Broth Supplement (Fraser 1/2 broth supplement)\(^{1}\) and spread on plates of Palcam agar (Palcam)\(^{1}\) supplemented with Palcam supplement\(^{1}\). Black colonies were identified as presumptive L. monocytogenes, whereas white colonies were presumptive L. innocua. Then, Fraser One supplement (Frazer 1 broth supplement), (0.1 ml/1ml) was added to the homogenate to further enrich the remaining Listeria species for complete detection and were incubated for another 24 hours at 30°C. 0.1 ml of serial dilutions from 10\(^{-1}\) to 10\(^{-3}\) were then plated on Palcam agar plates in duplicate. The suspected colonies of L. monocytogenes, L. innocua, S. aureus, E. coli and coliforms were then isolated and frozen stocks of each isolate were prepared in sterile microtubes with 1 ml of 30% glycerol and stored at −20°C to be used in the subsequent susceptible tests.

2.6. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests

Bacterial isolates from the milk samples were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility using the disc agar diffusion method according to the procedures recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [22]. Gentamicin and Streptomyacin, the most commonly used antibiotics, were chosen to assess the resistance of the isolated pathogens. Bacterial isolates from the above microbiological analyses were grown on PCA plates. Streptomycin and Gentamicin (10 μg, BIORAD) antibiotic discs and empty discs as control were tested on duplicate plates. The plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and the zones of inhibition were measured with a metric ruler and interpreted as resistant or sensitive according to the CLSI guidelines. Predisposed strains did not grow in the area around the disc; whereas, resistant strains endured the antibiotic.

2.7. Statistical Analyses

Data subjected to statistical analysis were analyzed using the software SPSS 15.0 for Windows Evaluation Version. Gentamicin and Streptomyacin residue levels were com-
pared by means of 1-way ANOVA at \( P < 0.05 \) among the milk samples from the selected farms to determine if antibiotic usage among farmers were similar. Concerning the antimicrobial resistance patterns in milk, statistical analyses (Chi-square analysis at \( P < 0.05 \)) were conducted to compare resistance patterns to Gentamicin with resistance patterns to Streptomycin. To verify if a relation between Streptomycin or Gentamicin residue levels and microorganism levels in the milk samples exists, regression analysis was conducted at \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results

The main recurrent antibiotics used among the interviewed farmers were Gentamicin, Canamycin, Penicillin, Oxytetracyclin, Tetraecycline and Streptomycin with Gentamicin and Streptomycin being the most frequently used (88% and 92% respectively). All farmers reported treating their cattle only during illness in doses specified either by the veterinarian or according to the manufacturer’s instructions on the label. They also claimed to give the antibiotic treatment for as long as specified and withhold as directed.

3.1. Analysis of Antibiotic Residues

3.1.1. Controlled Study

This aimed to determine from a controlled study of a known antibiotic dosage, the residual levels of antibiotics during withholding days to compare with the experimental samples and validate farmers’ claims. Both cows that were treated with Gentamicin had similar antibiotic residue levels in their milk samples throughout the 10-day interval of the study. On average, an initial residue level of 107 ng/ml of Gentamicin was noticed in the milk samples on day one followed by a peak residue level of 132 ng/ml on day 4 and a decrease to 57 ng/ml on the 10th day (Figure 1). However, on the 7th day, the final day of withholding period according to the directions, Gentamicin residue levels reached a mean concentration of 71.6 ng/ml (Figure 1).

Similarly, the initial Streptomycin residue level was 108 ng/ml on day one followed by a peak of 120 ng/ml on day 2 and a decrease to 74 ng/ml of Streptomycin residue levels on the 10th day. At the 6th day, the final day of withholding period as directed, Streptomycin residue levels reached a mean of 107.91 ng/ml (Figure 2).

3.1.2. Experimental Samples

The random experimental milk samples were also analyzed for Gentamicin and Streptomycin.

The levels of Gentamicin and Streptomycin residues in all the tested samples were less than the recommended maximum residue limit as set by the FAO/WHO; MRL = 200 μg/L (Table 1). This result in milk indicates that the application doses by Lebanese farmers may not be exceeding the recommendations. In addition, the milk samples collected from the selected farms had their Gentamicin and Streptomycin residue levels equivalent to those obtained from the milk samples in the controlled study post the required withholding period (~71 and 107 ng/ml, respectively).

3.2. Microbiological Analysis of Milk Samples

3.2.1. Controlled study

All tested samples were contaminated with \( S. \) aureus \((10^3 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ CFU/g})\) and \( L. \) monocytogenes \((10^8 \text{ to } 10^9 \text{ CFU/g})\); while 62.5% of the milk samples had their total aerobic counts ranging between \( 10^3 \) and \( 10^6 \) CFU/g. None of the samples had \( E. \) coli; whereas, 12.5% of samples had total coliform levels between \( 10^4 \) and \( 10^5 \) CFU/g. Finally, \( L. \) innocua was detected in 62.5% of the tested samples.

3.2.2. Experimental Samples

Microbiological results of the raw milk samples collected from the various selected farms showed a mean value of
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Table 1. Gentamicin and Streptomycin residue levels in raw milk samples collected from the selected farms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected farms</th>
<th>Streptomycin (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Gentamicin (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F 1</td>
<td>48.92</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>63.91</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 2</td>
<td>31.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>48.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 3</td>
<td>63.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>49.71</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 4</td>
<td>40.80</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>46.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 5</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>50.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 6</td>
<td>59.53</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>41.81</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 7</td>
<td>55.61</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>53.52</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 8</td>
<td>52.48</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>72.77</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 9</td>
<td>48.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>69.86</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 10</td>
<td>29.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>45.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 11</td>
<td>48.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>75.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 12</td>
<td>60.89</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>58.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 13</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 14</td>
<td>67.61</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>61.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 15</td>
<td>65.41</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>60.72</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 16</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 17</td>
<td>45.16</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>52.97</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 18</td>
<td>65.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>71.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 19</td>
<td>36.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>85.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 20</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 21</td>
<td>51.64</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>67.99</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 22</td>
<td>65.25</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>72.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample detection limit = 2.5 ng/ml; N = 22 farms; F = farm.

3.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests

3.3.1. Controlled Study

The possibility of the development of resistant microorganisms to the two selected antibiotics was investigated in the control milk samples. A total of 15 (78%) microbial isolates showed some resistance to Gentamicin and six out of the 19 isolates (32%) showed resistance patterns to Streptomycin. Only six out of the 19 microbial isolates (32%) showed antimicrobial multi-resistant patterns to both Streptomycin and Gentamicin.

3.3.2. Experimental Samples

Antimicrobial resistance of microorganisms isolated from the experimental milk samples was also tested and the resistance patterns of the isolates are summarized in Table 3. A significantly (P < 0.05) high number of coliforms (9 isolates) and Listeria monocytogenes (16 isolates) that were isolated from different milk samples showed resistance to Gentamicin; whereas, only 5 isolates of coliform and 10 isolates of L. monocytogenes were resistant to Streptomycin. The remaining isolates of L. innocua, S. aureus and E. coli isolated were resistant to both Gentamicin and Streptomycin (Table 3).

4. Discussion

The rapid growth of the dairy sector in the Middle East and the lack of accurate data on the usage of antibiotics particularly in Lebanon necessitated the investigation of the potential presence of antibiotic residues in milk and the adverse health risks associated with the development of antimicrobial resistant pathogens. The questionnaire-based survey identified the most commonly used antibiotics among the Lebanese dairy farmers and generated data that were used in the consequent analytical parts of this study. This approach helped to envision the antimicrobial usage on a detailed basis by interviewing the farmers. The information collected from the various owners and/or supervisors of the farms about the application dosage, timing of intervention, adherence to withholding times and other international standards were validated by the experimental part of this study. The data that were analyzed for the most commonly used two antibiotics Gentamicin and Streptomycin showed insignificant discrepancy between the provided information and the experimental results. This finding is important towards alleviating concerns about the improper usage of antibiotics in cattle and their residues in dairy products.

4.1. Analysis of Antibiotic Residues

Various studies have indicated that the exposure of animals to antimicrobial agents result in microbial resistance to antibiotics which is possibly transferred to human pathogens [23-26]. In addition, human exposure to significant levels of antibiotic residues from animal products may aggravate immunological responses in susceptible individuals and negatively affect the intestinal microbiota [26,27]. Therefore; in this preliminary study the possible presence and level of antibiotic residues in milk samples from selected farms were investigated. The farmers’ compliance to withholding periods and proper usage of such drugs were also established by comparing antibiotic residue levels in the experimental samples with those obtained from the controlled study. Although the results...
obtained in this study show a widespread usage of Streptomycin and Gentamicin in Lebanon, a general compliance with international regulations for their uses and proper withdrawal times were evident. However, since all the samples collected from the visited farms contained a certain level of antibiotic residues, this may imply a repeated and prolonged treatment of dairy cattle with these antibiotics. Hence, despite the fact that the usage in general is in compliance with regulations with respect to dosages and withholding periods, the excessive and extended applications among farmers may result in the development of antimicrobial resistant microorganisms in raw milk. This hypothesis was further investigated in this study for the main pathogenic microorganisms isolated from the various milk samples. In other studies, for instance, S. aureus has been reported to frequently show multiple antimicrobial resistance patterns [28-31].

4.2. Microbiological Analysis of Milk Samples

The unnecessary use of therapeutic doses of antibiotics or as growth promoters in producing animals may be a main cause for the selection of multiple resistant strains of bacterial pathogens which can result in serious human and animal infections [32,33]. The microbiological analyses of both the experimental and control raw milk samples in this study allowed for the selection of the commonly present microorganisms in milk to be tested for antibiotic resistance against Gentamicin and Streptomycin. Interestingly, when comparing the microbiological levels in the samples to the microbiological criteria as set by the Commission Regulation [34], total aerobic counts, L. monocytogenes and S. aureus exceeded the limit allowed by the legislation for raw milk samples. As for E. coli and coliforms, although present in the milk samples, their levels were acceptable. These findings although not the main scope of this study, indicate a possible health risk because S. aureus may produce a heat stable toxin in raw milk [35,36]. Furthermore, S. aureus has been known to be the most prevalent pathogen to cause intramammary infections in dairy ruminants leading to major economic losses [37,38]. However, the S. aureus isolated from the above samples were resistant to both Gentamicin and Streptomycin (Table 3) and this result is quite alarming because if the drugs were or are to be used to treat and control the condition, regular doses may no longer be effective; thus, promoting a high health and residual levels risk on the animals and humans. Another serious pathogen, L. monocytogenes, was also isolated from all collected milk samples. L. monocytogenes has been linked with numerous outbreaks associated with milk and milk products [39-41]. This pathogen may have contaminated the milk samples through inadequate sanitization during milking, storage and transport or from infected cows on the farms [42]. Thus, proper animal monitoring and handling techniques for milk should be applied on Lebanese farms. Furthermore, poor environmental sanitation noticed during the farm visits may be the cause for the elevated levels of total coliforms and E. coli in the analyzed milk samples. Studies have shown that E. coli, a normal habitat of human and animal intestines, when constantly gets exposed to antibiotics; it de-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of bacteria</th>
<th>0 ND (%)</th>
<th>&lt;10³ CFU/g (%)</th>
<th>10³ to 10⁴ CFU/g (%)</th>
<th>10⁴ to 10⁵ CFU/g (%)</th>
<th>10⁵ to 10⁶ CFU/g (%)</th>
<th>10⁶ to 10⁷ CFU/g (%)</th>
<th>Total (%) ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAC**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9***</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21 (95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. mono</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. innocua</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND not detected in 25 g of sample tested; **Total aerobic count; ***Percentage of samples having a mean count in the specified range; ****Total number of samples tested positive—number of samples tested negative for the microorganism; N: number of milk samples = 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Number of isolates</th>
<th>%N (% isoles resistant to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliforms</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. monocytogenes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. innocua</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages in same row with different superscripts are significantly different at P < 0.05; GM = Gentamicin; SM = Streptomycin. Number of isolates isolated from the milk samples for each microorganism. *Number of isolates obtained in this study show a widespread usage of Streptomycin and Gentamicin in Lebanon, a general compliance with international regulations for their uses and proper withdrawal times were evident. However, since all the samples collected from the visited farms contained a certain level of antibiotic residues, this may imply a repeated and prolonged treatment of dairy cattle with these antibiotics. Hence, despite the fact that the usage in general is in compliance with regulations with respect to dosages and withholding periods, the excessive and extended applications among farmers may result in the development of antimicrobial resistant microorganisms in raw milk. This hypothesis was further investigated in this study for the main pathogenic microorganisms isolated from the various milk samples. In other studies, for instance, S. aureus has been reported to frequently show multiple antimicrobial resistance patterns [28-31].

4.2. Microbiological Analysis of Milk Samples

The unnecessary use of therapeutic doses of antibiotics or as growth promoters in producing animals may be a main cause for the selection of multiple resistant strains

Table 2. Percentage of samples tested positive for L. monocytogenes, L. innocua, S. aureus, E. coli, coliforms and total aerobic counts found in raw milk samples collected from 22 randomly selected cattle farms in Lebanon.

Table 3. Resistance, multiresistance and intermediate resistance patterns of microorganisms in the milk samples to Gentamicin and Streptomycin.

Table 3. Resistance, multiresistance and intermediate resistance patterns of microorganisms in the milk samples to Gentamicin and Streptomycin.
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velop 抗菌素以期在抗生素耐药性发展严重的健康影响在人类和动物[46-48]。最高的风险反映在结果显示所有分离的微生物来自实验和受控样品对庆大霉素有抗性（表3）。与 streptomycin 相比，庆大霉素的发现表明即使在抗生素联合使用中仍存在抗微生物耐药性，尤其是针对 E. coli 和大肠杆菌从控制的奶样，显示各种抗性模式。这可能表明牛奶供应在黎巴嫩市场可能含有对庆大霉素和 streptomycin 耐药的主要病原菌[49]。此外，根据普雷斯科特和巴格特[16]抗生素对动物的耐药性对氨基糖苷，特别是 streptomycin 为非常高。

4.3. Conclusion

The findings of this study preliminary validated the claims of dairy Lebanese farmers about the proper usages of antibiotics with respect to doses and withholding periods. However, the results highlighted a potential public health problem reflected in the development of multi-resistant pathogenic bacteria to both gentamicin and streptomycin. A nationwide study is necessary in the near future with more in depth analyses of the isolated resistant pathogens perhaps at the genotypic levels.
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