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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to evaluate and compare the color, texture and sensory characteristics of whitemouth croaker (Micropogonias furnieri) surimi and mechanically separated chicken meat (MDCM) surimi-like material (SLM). The whiteness of the MDCM-SLM was higher (P > 0.05) than that from the surimi. Higher breaking force (P < 0.05) and gel strength (P < 0.05) were also observed for the MDCM-SLM when compared to the surimi. The deformation did not vary significantly for these two products (P > 0.05). The MDCM-SLM was more accepted by the panelists (P < 0.05). The mean scores of overall desirability for MDCM-SLM was similar to that observed for walleye Pollack surimi that is the parameter of quality. The differences in the acceptability of poultry and fish food products are probably due to people’s eating habits.
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1. Introduction

Surimi is an intermediary semi-processed fishery product. It is an odorless and tasteless crude myosin extract obtained by repeated washings of minced fish with aqueous solution, to remove fat, pigments, and other water soluble substances, then blended with cryoprotectants agents [1-3]. In recent years, there has been considerable interest in manufacturing surimi-like materials from the muscle of animal species other than fish [4-7].

Along with a tremendous growth in production and consumption worldwide, surimi and surimi-based products have been established as internationalized goods [8]. The application of the surimi technology in the production of a surimi-like material from mechanically deboned chicken meat provides a new approach towards increasing its value and utilization, e.g. for the development of meat based products and analogs, as alternative protein sources [9-12].

The use of MDCM in heat-processed meat products, as frankfurters, various loaf products, fermented and smoked sausages, and restructured chicken products has become a common ingredient due its low price [11,12]. The whitemouth croaker (Micropogonias furnieri) is a migratory fish found in the Atlantic Ocean that is one of the most abundant and important for the south Brazilian fishing industries [11].

The aim of this work was to evaluate and compare the color, texture and sensory characteristics of surimi and surimi-like material obtained from whitemouth croaker (M. furnieri) and mechanically deboned chicken meat, respectively.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Whitemouth Croaker Meat and Mechanically Deboned Chicken Meat (MDCM)

Fresh whitemouth croakers and MDCM were supplied from two local fish and poultry processing plants, respectively. They were transported under refrigerated conditions to our laboratory and kept at −18°C before use. The whitemouth croakers were eviscerated before frozen. The MDCM was produced in 3 mm particle size using a meat-bone separator (Baader model 694, Lübeck, Germany), operating at inlet 6°C and outlet 10°C. It was obtained from broiler’s necks, frames, thighs and backs, 24
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h after the slaughtering [11,12].

### 2.2. Whitemouth Croaker Surimi and MDCM Surimi-Like Material

Whitemouth croaker meat or MDCM were washed in 3 cycles utilizing in each cycle a washing solution: meat ratio of 4:1 (v/w), temperature of 7°C, for 10 min. In each washing cycle, the stirring was kept constant at 220 rpm using a mechanical agitator (Marconi model MA-259, Piracicaba, Brazil). It was utilized 0.5% NaHCO₃ solution for the first and second washings and 0.3% NaCl solution for the last one. After each washing cycle, samples were centrifuged at 7°C (Sigma model 6-15, Osterode, Germany). The first and second centrifugations were carried out at 3000 × g for 15 min, while the third one at 7000 × g for 25 min. The supernatant containing water and solute proteins was discarded. The final slurry was sieved through a 1 mm-mesh metal screen to remove connective tissues, blended with 4% sucrose, 4% sorbitol, and 0.2% Na-tripolyphosphate, packaged in 5-layer nylon propylene bags, and stored at 4°C. To prepare the gel, whitemouth croaker surimi or MDCM surimi-like material was added of 2.5% salt. The mixture was chopped for 5 min at 4°C to obtain the homogenous sol. The sol was then stuffed into stainless steel cylinders (30 mm diameter, 30 mm height) and both ends of casing were sealed tightly. Two-step heated gels were prepared at 90°C water and stored for 24 h at 4°C.

#### 2.3. Color

The color [CIE \(a^*\) (lightness), \(a^*\) (redness), \(b^*\) (yellowness)] of the samples was evaluated using a colorimeter (Minolta model Chroma Meter CR400, Osaka, Japan), with measurements standardized with respect to the white calibration plate. Five readings were made from the surface of samples. Whiteness [13] as an index for the general appearance of the test samples was calculated according to Equation (1):

\[
Whiteness = 100 - \left[ (100 - L')^2 + a'^2 + b'^2 \right]^{0.5}
\]  

#### 2.4. Texture

Texture analysis of the gels was carried out using a texture analyzer Model TA-XT2 plus (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, England). Gels kept at 4°C were equilibrated at room temperature (22°C - 25°C) before analysis. Cylindrical samples, 2.5 × 3.0 cm, were prepared and placed in the texture analyzer equipped with a spherical plunger (5 mm diameter; 60 mm/min depression speed). Analyses were performed at least in triplicate. The results were expressed as breaking force (g) and deformation (mm) representing the hardness and cohesiveness of the sample, respectively. Gel strength was expressed as the product of breaking force and deformation [14].

#### 2.5. Sensory Evaluation

Sensory analyses of gels were conducted by at least 70 non-trained panelists. For testing, casing gels were cut into bite-sized (3 mm) samples and, after being warmed slightly for 3 min (approximately 25°C), draining the liquid and holding on a warming tray in covered plates. All samples were served randomly and at times other than during meal hours. All panelists were asked to score four characteristics (odor, taste, texture, and whiteness), as well as overall desirability using a 5-point hedonic scale (1) dislike extremely; 2) dislike moderately; 3) neither like nor dislike; 4) like moderately; and 5) like extremely) [8]. A score of 3 was the division between acceptable and unacceptable.

#### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the results was performed using the statistical one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Tukey test using the Statistica v. 8.0 software (Statsoft™, Inc., Tulsa, USA.) to determine significant difference between experimental responses. Statistical significance was indicated at 95% confidence level.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Surimi and surimi-like material were compared in terms of color, texture and sensory properties aiming its potential incorporation into restructured products.

#### 3.1. Color

The whiteness of the MDCM surimi-like material was higher \((P > 0.05)\) than that from the surimi (Table 1).

The whiteness of the surimi was very similar to that obtained for silver carp surimi, inferior to that reported for walleye Pollack surimi [8] and superior to those found for Spanish mackerel [15] and grass carp surimi [16]. The whiteness obtained for the surimi-like material was slightly higher than that calculated from color parameters reported for chicken breast surimi-like material [5]. The whiteness of the surimi or surimi-like material is related to the efficiency in the removal of the sarcoplasmic protein from muscle [6] and the elimination of heme pigments [17], which mostly depend of the characteristics of the raw material, number of washing and type of washing solution [11,12].
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Table 1. Texture and color of the obtained mechanically deboned chicken meat surimi-like material and whitemouth croaker surimi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Texture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whiteness</td>
<td>Breaking force (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCM-SLM</td>
<td>83.2 ± 0.8a</td>
<td>946 ± 17a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>77.3 ± 0.9b</td>
<td>827 ± 29b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDCM-SLM: mechanically deboned chicken meat surimi-like material; WCS: whitemouth croaker surimi. Values are given as means ± SD from triplicate determinations. a, b, c: Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments.

Table 2. Sensory evaluation of the obtained mechanically deboned chicken meat surimi-like material and whitemouth croaker surimi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Sensory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCM-SLM</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.9b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDCM-SLM: mechanically deboned chicken meat surimi-like material; WCS: whitemouth croaker surimi. Values are given as means ± SD from triplicate determinations. a, b, c: Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments.

3.2. Texture

The textural properties (breaking force, deformation, and gel strength) of the surimi and surimi-like material are shown in Table 1. A higher breaking force (P < 0.05) was observed for the surimi-like material when compared to the surimi. Considering that the deformation did not vary significantly for these two products (P > 0.05), the behaviour of gel strength was similar to that observed for the breaking force (P < 0.05). Considering the MDCM surimi-like material, our values for breaking force were much superior to that reported for chicken breast surimi-like material at similar processing conditions; however their obtained gel strength was higher [4]. Moreover, breaking force was higher than that reported for spent hen surimi-like material, but the gel strength was quite similar due to the lower deformation observed here [18]. The breaking force of MDCM surimi-like material was also higher than results obtained for bigeye croaker, lizardfish, threadfin bream, bigeye snapper [19,20], silver carp, walleye Pollack [8], and whitemouth croaker surimi [21]. The texture parameters observed here for whitemouth croaker surimi were also superior to that observed for these fish species [8,18-20]. Most of the differences might be explained by the protein quality and concentration [8], washing conditions [15,22], and setting conditions [23], which may lead to different gelling characteristics.

3.3. Sensory

The sensory evaluation results indicated that there were significant differences (P > 0.05) for all sensory characteristics (odor, taste, texture, and whiteness), as well as overall desirability between MSCM surimi-like material and whitemouth croaker meat surimi (Table 2). The mean scores of overall desirability for MDCM surimi-like material was similar to that observed for walleye Pollack surimi [8] that is the parameter of quality.

The successive washings and the solubilization of the muscle sarcoplasmic proteins improve the taste by removing carboxyl compounds [17]. In this way, the whiter instrumental color obtained for the surimi-like material (Table 1) can be related to the better evaluation for taste observed for it (Table 2). The higher whiteness of the surimi-like material was also observed by the panelists (Table 2). Moreover, the better sensory overall desirability observed for the surimi-like material might be explained due its better quality and texture parameters [24].

4. Conclusion

From these results we concluded that the surimi-like material made from MDCM was more accepted by the panelists. The presented results indicate that the differences in the acceptability of poultry and fish food products are probably due to peoples’ eating habits.
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