Proximate Composition, Mineral and Vitamin Content of Some Wild Plants Used as Spices in Cameroon
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to contribute to our knowledge of the proximate composition, mineral and vitamin content of 20 edible wild plants used as spices in Cameroon. The plant species were collected from 3 different markets in the West Region of Cameroon and analysed for their content of crude proteins, and lipid, ash, moisture, available sugars, total phenols, carotenoids, minerals (Ca, Zn, K, Na, Mg, Al, Mn, Cu and Se), and vitamins (A, E and C) as well as for their pH and colour. Results revealed that all the plants were low in moisture (7.7 to 10.5 g/100g) but high in ash content (7.7 to 10.5 g/100g). Hua gabonii (bark) (1594.5 mg/100g) was relative source of calcium, Echinops giganteus (206.4 mg/100g) exhibited the highest level of iron and Scorodophleus zenkeri (310.0 µg/100g) the highest level of selenium. Generally all the plants were found to contain low levels of Zn, Cu and Mg. Wide variations were observed for the proteins and available sugars among the samples. The lipid content of some of the plants were surprisingly relatively high as was the case with Monodora myristica (53.4 g/100g), Xylopia aethiopica (33.7 g/100g), Fagara leprieur (32.1 g/100g), and Aframomum danielli (31.1 g/100g). All the plants were rich in phenols, carotenoids, vitamin E and C. They are dark in colour and in solution they tended to provoke a fair acidification.
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1. Introduction

Spices refer to all of the edible parts of a plant used for flavoring or coloring foods, including fruit, seed, root, bark or vegetable substance [1]. Some are often used as preservative against the action of harmful bacteria or prevents their growth [2]. Actually the specific uses of spices tend to vary considerably among cultures and countries: medicine, religious rituals, cosmetics, perfumery, and foods. As food, they have been shown to play an important role in health partially as sources of nutrients [3,4]. From a nutritional point research attention on spices conducted mostly but not exclusively in India and neighbouring countries has given rise to our knowledge of the volatile oils and vitamin and mineral contents [5,6]. Of interest also has been to report of some spices as sources of polyunsaturated fatty acids as is the case with Ricinodendron heudoleutii [7] or Black curmin oil which is subject to commercial transaction and scientific research [4].

The forest zone of Central Africa region is a rich source of a wide variety of spices but most of which has hardly been studied from the view point of its proximate composition and nutritional profile. Previous works on this aspect have revealed the potential of some spices as lipid, proteins or minerals sources [7,8]. However these studies were limited in terms of the types of spices studied. In the present study a total of 20 spices identified as common ingredients in the preparation of some common meals in Cameroon earlier shown to be potential sources of antioxidants and health useful polyphenols [9] were analysed to bridge the wide knowledge gap on their nutritional potential especially with regards their proximate composition, vitamin (carotenoids, Vitamin C and E) and mineral content.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of Sample

Samples were purchased from specific markets in Bafoussam, Douala and Bangangte—Cameroon, famous for their trade in wild plants. The common scientific and...
family names of plants species are indicated in Table 1. Each spice sample was cleaned of debris, sorted, graded, trimmed and ground to a fine powder using a culatti grinder (Polymix, France) fitted with a 500 µm mesh. Each ground sample was then sealed in polyethylene plastic and stored at 4°C in a refrigerator until needed for analysis.

2.2. Proximate Analysis

Analyses of spices for crude protein, non protein nitrogen, ash and moisture contents were carried out essentially according to the standard AOAC methods [10]. Flour samples were acid-hydrolyzed, and the reducing sugar known as available carbohydrates was determined by the dinitrosalicicylic acid (DNS) method as described by Fisher and Stein [11].

For the determination of lipid content, the cold extraction method was used [12]. Following this procedure, 50 g of spice powder samples were introduced in volumetric flasks containing 300 mL of a mixture of chloroform/methanol (200 mL/100mL) and mixed for 20 min using an agitator. The mixture was filtered under N2 and the residue re-extracted in 200 mL of the same solvent and filtered. The extracts were then mixed and allowed to separate after the addition of 0.2 mL of NaCl solution 0.7 g/100mL. The lipid in the lower phase solution was flushed out and recovered by rotary evaporation at 50°C under liquid Nitrogen. The flasks containing the extracted oil were weighed and the difference in weight expressed as percentage oil content.

2.3. Determination of Minerals Content

Samples of the spices powders (2.5 g) were weighed out into beakers and ashed at 550°C overnight. The resulting white-ash residue was dissolved in 4 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid and filtered into a 10 mL volumetric flask and the volume made up with distilled water. The resulting extract was then subjected for the analysis of their content of specific major minerals. Analyses were performed in duplicate. Iron (Fe) was determined with an ICP-OES [13]. The experimental conditions of the ICP-OES were: instrument ICP-OES (Varian-Vista), RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>General French name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Used parts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aframomum daniellii</td>
<td>Bastened melegueta</td>
<td>Maniguette sucrée</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsicum frutescens</td>
<td>Bird pepper</td>
<td>Petit pigment rouge</td>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichrostachys glomerata</td>
<td>Sickle bush</td>
<td>oreilles de souris</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorstenia psilurus</td>
<td>Remèdes des serpents</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinops giganteus</td>
<td>Giant Japanese butterbur</td>
<td>Racines tubéreuse</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagara leprieuri</td>
<td>Prickly ash</td>
<td>Grappe odoriférante</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagara xanthosyloides</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bouche béante</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hua gabonii</td>
<td>Garlic tree</td>
<td>Fruit de l’arbre de l’ail</td>
<td>Huaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hua gabonii</td>
<td>Garlic tree</td>
<td>Ecorce de l’arbre de l’ail</td>
<td>Huaceae</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mondia whitei</td>
<td>White ginger</td>
<td>Racine sucrée</td>
<td>Periplocaceae</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodora myristica</td>
<td>Calabash nutmeg</td>
<td>Fausse noix de muscade</td>
<td>Annonaceae</td>
<td>Almond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentadiplandra brazzeana</td>
<td>Joy perfume tree</td>
<td>Liane blanche</td>
<td>Pentadipandraceae</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piper guineense</td>
<td>Black pepper (Ashandi)</td>
<td>Poivre sauvage</td>
<td>Piperaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piper umbellatum</td>
<td>Cordoncillo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Piperaceae</td>
<td>Flower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleria striatinaux</td>
<td></td>
<td>Racine de chaume</td>
<td>Cyperaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorodophleus zenkeri</td>
<td>Divida (African)</td>
<td>Fruit de l’arbre à l’ail</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorodophleus zenkeri</td>
<td>Divida (African)</td>
<td>Ecorce de l’arbre à l’ail</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanum melongena</td>
<td>Aubergine</td>
<td>Aubergine</td>
<td>Solonaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrapleura tetraperta</td>
<td>Aidan tree</td>
<td>Fruit à 4 ailes</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylopia aethiopica</td>
<td>Ethiopian pepper (African)</td>
<td>Poivre d’Éthiopie</td>
<td>Annonaceae</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
centrations of selenium were used for this purpose. Standard curves made from known concentrations of selenium were used for this purpose and the results expressed as mg vitamin A equivalent or mg vitamin E equivalent per 100 g dried powder sample.

Vitamin C was analyzed by titration as previously described with some modifications [15,16]. In this procedure, 0.1 g of oil extracted from each powdered sample as described above, was diluted in an acetone/hexane mixture (70:30; v/v) and total carotenoid and vitamin E determination carried out on an aliquot by measuring absorbance at 470 nm and 270 nm respectively, in a Genesis-5 Spectronic spectrophotometer (Rochester, NY) against a blank sample (solvent). Standard curves made with pure vitamin A and vitamin E were used for this purpose and the results expressed as mg vitamin A equivalent or mg vitamin E equivalent per 100 g dried powder sample.

Vitamin C was analyzed by titration as previously described with some modifications [17]. In this procedure, 2 g of each sample was dissolved in a 25 mL volumetric flask containing 20 mL of distilled water. After mixing for 10 min using an agitator, the mixture was titrated with 2,6 dichloro phenol indophenol solution using phenolphthalein as indicator. A standard sample of ascorbic acid (0.1 mg/100mL) was used as reference and the results expressed in mg vitamin C/100g of dry powder.

Total phenolic content was determined following the Folin-Ciocalteu method using gallic acid as standard [18]. The pH-buffering capacity was evaluated for different water suspension of powders. In this respect 1 g of each individual sample was dissolved in 50 mL of deionised water and homogenised using an agitator for 10 min. The pH of the solution was then read with a pH-meter (Checker pH Tester, Hanna Instruments).

Colour measurements of flour samples were carried out using a portable tintometer (Lovibond RT Colour Measurement Kit V2.28) with a 10° observer window and a D-65 light source. The color values generated by the equipment were expressed as L—(whiteness or darkness), a—(redness/greenness) and b—(blueness/yellowness).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Analyses were performed in triplicate and variation in the samples was routinely less than 10%. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated for each plant using the values obtained from three locations. Data obtained were subjected to one way analysis of variance. Differences between means were verified using the Duncan multiple range test at significant level P = 0.05 using Statgraphics 3.0 (Manugistics, Rockville, Maryland, USA) statistical software.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Proximate Composition

The proximate compositions of plants samples are shown in Table 2. The moisture content of plants was found to range from 7.7 g/100g for *M. myristica* to 10.5 g/100g for *M. Whitei*, which makes them more stable during storage and packaging. Similar low moisture contents (8 - 14 g/100g) have been reported on some of these spices [8]. The ash content oscillated between 7.7 g/100g and 10.5 g/100g—a range that is much higher than that (2 - 9 g/100g) reported earlier [8]. These differences may probably reflect the difference in the origin and varieties of samples.

Compared to other foodstuffs, the edible plants analyzed could be considered all as good sources of minerals. In fact the values are high compared to data reported in the literature for leguminous seeds (3.7 - 4.4 g/100g) [19], for marama bean (2.8 - 4.6 g/100g) [20], for lima beans (2.78 g/100g) from Nigeria [21] and for eight varieties of tomatoes (0.74% - 1.41%) [22], but were in the range (4.21 - 26.0 g/100g) reported for leafy vegetables [23].

The available carbohydrate values varied significantly and reflected the nature of each plant. Whearas *E. giganteus* (33.1 g/100g), *P. brazzeana* (22.2 g/100g) and *Hua gabonii* fruit (20.4 g/100g) can be considered as sources of available carbohydrates, *X. aethiopica* (0.4 g/100g) and *M. myristica* (0.4 g/100g), *S. zenkeri* root (1.5 g/100g) and fruit (3.2 g/100g), and *F. Leprieurii* (3.4 g/100g) had low levels of available carbohydrates. Results showed that *E. giganteus* and *P. brazzeana* can accumulate sugar in roots; *S. Zenkeri* on the other hand did not seem to accumulate sugar either in root or in the fruits. Actually, although some of these spices contain some dietary sugars, they cannot be considered as carbohydrate sources as compared to tubers and cereals which are spread throughout the world [24].

For the organic nitrogen content, expressed in terms of proteins (Nitrogen × 6.25), the highest values were found
Table 2. Proximate composition of the selected wild plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spice names</th>
<th>Moisture (g/100g)</th>
<th>Ash (g/100g)</th>
<th>Proteins (N × 6.25) (g/100g)</th>
<th>Non proteins Nitrogen (g/100g)</th>
<th>Fats (g/100g)</th>
<th>Available carbohydrate (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. danielli</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.1ed</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.0ed</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.07e</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.67e</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.1f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. frutescens</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.1c</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.2de</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.8def</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.03d</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. glomerata</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.1gq</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.4df</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02e</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.6e</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. psilurus</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.1th</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1df</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.2e</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03e</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.9f</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. giganteus</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.1c</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.1bc</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.04e</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.4f</td>
<td>33.1 ± 1.0f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. leprieur</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.1efq</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2e</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02e</td>
<td>32.1 ± 0.2e</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. xanthoxyloides</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1glb</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1def</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.9i</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. gabonii (fruit)</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.7e</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03e</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.3j</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.1j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. gabonii (bark)</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.1th</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.1efq</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.4f</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.04f</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3c</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.1j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. myristica</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03e</td>
<td>53.4 ± 0.2e</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. whitei</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.1d</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01de</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. brazzeana</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.04m</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>22.2 ± 0.1j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. guineense</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.1ed</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.2e</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.03g</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.7a</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. umbellatum</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.1f</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.01i</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.4c</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.1f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. melongena</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.2def</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.2ef</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.02e</td>
<td>17.4 ± 1.1j</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. striatius</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1glph</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.1ed</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2e</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.03ab</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.5dl</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.2j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zenkeri (fruit)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.7f</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.06f</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zenkeri (bark)</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1glph</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1deq</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.1h</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.02e</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. tetraperta</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1h</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.07ed</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.5c</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.2j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. aethiopica</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1glph</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.1def</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3c</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02ef</td>
<td>33.7 ± 1.0a</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 6; means ± sd; values with different letters within a column are significantly different at 5% level.

in S. zenkeri fruit (14.0 g/100g) and root (12.2 g/100g) and M. myristica (13.6 g/100g), while the lowest were in M. whitei (4.7 g/100g), S. striatius (4.9 g/100g), D. psilurus (4.8%) and T. tetraperta (5.0 g/100g). These observations suggest the relative dietary importance of S. zenkeri fruit and root, or M. myristica to the improvement of protein content of foods made from them. It is worth noting that our results for S. Zenkeri, M. myristica, M. whitei and T. tetraperta are fairly higher than those reported by Tchiegang and Mbugoung [8] on these spices and nearly similar to those obtained for Opuntia spp (6.7 - 19 g/100g) [25], while values for S. striatius and D. psilurus were lower. This may be due to the differences in the analytical methods used which, in their case was a spectrophotometric evaluation of ammonium [26]. In addition this could also be due to the inorganic nitrogen content which had not been taken into consideration by these authors, and which in the present work varied significantly amongst the samples (Table 2). In fact, non protein nitrogen were found in relatively large amounts in S. zenkeri fruit (1.07 g/100g) while low amounts were found in M. myristica (0.15 g/100g) and H. gabonii fruit (0.13 g/100g).

The most significant variation observed on the proximate composition of the spices under study was in their fatcontent. Generally the fat content of the different spice samples varied from 2.0 g/100g (S. zenkeri fruit) to 53.4 g/100g (M. myristica). Similar high levels of fats (47.5 g/100g), but lower than our results have been reported for M. Myristica [8] and for marama bean (41.9 g/100g) [20]. It was equally found that F. leprieur (32.1 g/100g) in concordance with literature and X. aethiopica (33.7 g/100g) possessed high fat contents. Comparatively the low fat content reported in literature [8] for X. aethiopica (20.2 g/100g) may be attributed to the difference in the extraction method which in the case of these authors, Soxhlet, is known to be destructive. Compared to other oils sources (olive, palm, soy, and groundnuts), M. myristica, F. leprieur and X. aethiopica can be considered as good sources of fat.
Since vegetable oils are usually associated with high contents of unsaturated fatty acids [27], the likelihood of the oils in the spices analysed being of good nutritional and even commercial value are high but this needs to be verified using appropriate analytical methods.

3.2. Minerals

The mineral content of plants is given in Table 3.

Potassium content was relatively high in all cases except in two samples (F. leprieuri, 31.9 mg/100g and S. stria). The highest value was observed for M. whitei with an average value of 592.7 mg/100g. At the best of our knowledge, this is the first time data is available in the literature on the potassium level of these spices. Compared to other vegetables, the range of values reported for the spices (280 - 670 mg/100g) [28], are significantly lower than those for leguminous seeds (776 - 1240 mg/100g) [19], turkey spices (591 - 2481 mg/100g) [28], medicinal and aromatic plants used as spices, condiments and herbal teas (357 - 2767 mg/100g) [30] and for lima beans (2.78 g/100g) from Nigeria [21].

Calcium content was found in low levels in most of the plants analyzed, especially in A. danielli (62 mg/100g) and H. gabonii fruit (74 mg/100g) whereas H. gabonii bark (1594 mg/100g) and M. whitei (1060 mg/100g) exhibited higher calcium content. For H. gabonii bark the value observed was lower than the value of 2571 mg/100g previously reported [8]. Moreover these authors reported high calcium content for S. zenkeri bark (2789 mg/100g) as compared to significantly lower data (224 mg/100g) obtained in this study. Compared to other

Table 3. Mineral composition of selected wild plant samples expressed in mg/100g dry weight basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Ca (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Mg (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Na (mg/100g)</th>
<th>K (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Fe (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Se (µg/100g)</th>
<th>Mn (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Zn (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Cu (mg/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. danielli</td>
<td>62 ± 3e</td>
<td>125 ± 5ed</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.2bced</td>
<td>432 ± 22c</td>
<td>27.6 ± 1.2bde</td>
<td>70.0 ± 3.5fed</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.7e</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.2f</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. frutescens</td>
<td>173 ± 8e</td>
<td>254 ± 10e</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3bced</td>
<td>206 ± 16e</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2a</td>
<td>80.0 ± 4.4e</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.4b</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. glomerata</td>
<td>218 ± 11e</td>
<td>162 ± 7ghi</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.5bced</td>
<td>404 ± 19b</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.6bde</td>
<td>110.0 ± 5.5b</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.7bcd</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0a</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. psilirus</td>
<td>233 ± 9f</td>
<td>116 ± 6ede</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.4bced</td>
<td>340 ± 13e</td>
<td>60.4 ± 2.8c</td>
<td>50.0 ± 2.5a</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. giganteus</td>
<td>176 ± 7e</td>
<td>89 ± 4bc</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.2abc</td>
<td>368 ± 16b</td>
<td>206.4 ± 10.7l</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.6j</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1f</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. leprieri</td>
<td>182 ± 10f</td>
<td>212 ± 10f</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>32 ± 1a</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.6bced</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1de</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1b</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.01f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.xanthoxyloides</td>
<td>188 ± 6e</td>
<td>143 ± 6ghi</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.6bced</td>
<td>518 ± 26e</td>
<td>44.7 ± 2.7f</td>
<td>50.0 ± 2.5c</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.03bcd</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.0a</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.gabonii (fruit)</td>
<td>74 ± 3e</td>
<td>118 ± 6ede</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2a</td>
<td>340 ± 13b</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.5abc</td>
<td>160.0 ± 8.0b</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3b</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1c</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.gabonii (bark)</td>
<td>1594 ± 80j</td>
<td>72 ± 3b</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>371 ± 18ef</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.4ab</td>
<td>90.0 ± 4.5ef</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. whitei</td>
<td>1060 ± 53j</td>
<td>532 ± 27d</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.9e</td>
<td>593 ± 23a</td>
<td>102.1 ± 5.3a</td>
<td>00a</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1l</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. myristica</td>
<td>375 ± 14j</td>
<td>132 ± 6ef</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.4bced</td>
<td>432 ± 18b</td>
<td>36.7 ± 1.4b</td>
<td>80.0 ± 4.4e</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2a</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.01f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. brazzeana</td>
<td>156 ± 6de</td>
<td>250 ± 12a</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.3bced</td>
<td>188 ± 7b</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.9a</td>
<td>80.0 ± 4.4e</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1e</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. guineense</td>
<td>466 ± 20g</td>
<td>296 ± 13l</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.6bced</td>
<td>317 ± 14ef</td>
<td>21.8 ± 1.1bdef</td>
<td>50.0 ± 2.5e</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1bde</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. umbellatum</td>
<td>845 ± 33b</td>
<td>490 ± 23r</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.3a</td>
<td>350 ± 13.2a</td>
<td>97.8 ± 4.7f</td>
<td>60.0 ± 3bc</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1c</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. striatinus</td>
<td>82 ± 3e</td>
<td>175 ± 8e</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.7abc</td>
<td>70 ± 2a</td>
<td>28.7 ± 1.3abcd</td>
<td>110.0 ± 5.5a</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.06b</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.00b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zenkeri (fruit)</td>
<td>87 ± 3e</td>
<td>145 ± 7ghi</td>
<td>31.1 ± 1.4a</td>
<td>289 ± 11def</td>
<td>44.8 ± 2.1l</td>
<td>310 ± 16l</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.5h</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.3j</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.01i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zenkeri (bark)</td>
<td>224 ± 10edef</td>
<td>22 ± 1a</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.2abc</td>
<td>368 ± 15gh</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>110.0 ± 5.5c</td>
<td>20.5 ± 1.1b</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.000c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. melongena</td>
<td>156 ± 6de</td>
<td>250 ± 12a</td>
<td>0.0a</td>
<td>319 ± 12a</td>
<td>38.2 ± 1.6b</td>
<td>00a</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.03bc</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. tetraptera</td>
<td>167 ± 6e</td>
<td>96 ± 4a</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.4bced</td>
<td>255 ± 8cd</td>
<td>30.9 ± 0.9def</td>
<td>50.0 ± 2.5a</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1bde</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.00d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. aethiopica</td>
<td>228 ± 11e</td>
<td>172 ± 7e</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.7bced</td>
<td>277 ± 9de</td>
<td>34.7 ± 1.4b</td>
<td>60.0 ± 3bc</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.7i</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.03b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 3; mean ± sd; values with different letters within a column are significantly different at 5% level.
foodstuffs, the values fall in the range reported for vegetables (17 - 2596 mg/100g) [23] and for marama bean (937 - 2038 mg/100g) [20], but cover those reported for Turkey spices (633 - 1236 mg/100g) [28], root vegetables (37 - 431 mg/100g) [31] and leguminous seeds (78 - 152 mg/100g) [19].

Compared to other major elements evaluated in this study, Na was found in very low amounts (i.e. 40 times lower than K) in spice samples with values ranging from 0.0 mg/100g (F. leprieurii and S. melongena) to 28.1 mg/100g (P. umbellatum). Similar low amounts of Na were reported for wild leguminous seeds (3.7 - 11.8 mg/100g), and a processed spice made of *Hisibiscus sabdariffa* and *Parkia biglobosa* (2.2 - 18.2 mg/100g) and condiments [32]. Mg content oscillated between 22.5 mg/100g (S. zenkeri) and 531.6 mg/100g (M. whitei). Our results are much lower than those reported in literature [8] and cover the range value reported for edible wild leaves (32 - 160 mg/100 mg) [28]. Cu was found in small percentages in all the species analyzed (0.03 - 1.37 mg/100g), with *X. aethiopica* (1.37 mg/100g) exhibiting the highest value and *D. psilirus* (0.03 mg/100g) the lowest. Our data were much higher than those reported on wild leaves (0.12 - 0.33 mg/100g) [28]. Zn content ranged from 0.7 mg/100g (F. xanthoxyloides and *P. brazzana*) to 6.7 mg/100g (A. Danielli and S. Zenkeri bark). These values were significantly higher than those reported for wild leaves (0.41 - 1.20 mg/100g) [28] and lower than those found in marama bean (31 - 39 mg/100g) [20]. Mn was found to be high (range 0.7 to 20.5 mg/100g) in our samples than those reported on other plants (0.29 - 1.0 mg/100g) [28]. Fe content largely varied (p < 0.001) between plants and ranged from 5.9 mg/100g for *Scorodophleus zenkeri* (bark) to 206.4 mg/100g for *Echinops giganteus*. Our results were in the range (4.6 - 123.0 mg/100g) reported for some plants used as condiments [29], but higher than those reported in literature for similar wild plants (0.4 - 41.9 mg/100g) [8].

Selenium values ranged from 0.0 µg/100g to 310.0 µg/100g. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported data on Se content of these spices. Various values of Se were reported in literature for various foodstuffs: 4.6 - 5.4 µg/100g for rice, 5.8 - 23.0 µg/100g of dry legumes and seeds, 0.1 - 12.7 µg/100g for various vegetables [33] and 21.6 µg/100g of Korean diet [34]. The low level of Se in foods has been ascribed to the low soil content in selenium, such as in volcanic regions [35]. In addition it has been demonstrated that some plants, known as Se-accumulator, highly absorb Se compared to non Se-accumulator plants. The high Se content of some wild plants offers dietary Se sources to consumers for the management of several chronic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, cancers and HIV [33].

### 3.3. pH and Colour Characteristics L, a*, b* of the Spices

Spice powders induced some acidification of the solution in which they were introduced. Generally the powdered samples of *S. zenkeri* "root", *M. myristica*, and *A. Danielli* have low pH values (3 - 4), while *P. brazzana*, *C. frutescens* and *H. gabonii* "root", have pH of fairly neutral (Table 4). These results suggest that the spices used in this study contain some acidic molecules. Acidification is well established as a mechanism of food preservation. From this perspective, some of the spices analysed may be useful in the preservation of foods through this mechanism, but this needs to be investigated.

Conversely, all the spices exhibited a characteristic dark colour but this varied significantly from one plant species to another. In fact values for lightness, L, varied from 20.6 (less light, *Xylophia aethiopica, Fagara leprieurii*) to 78.6 (slightly light, *M. myristica*).

The a* values were higher than the white standard (a = 0.19) varying from 0.9 (*S. striatina*) to 22.7 (*C. frutescens*). The b* coordinate of the colour also varied significantly among samples with *X. aethiopica* exhibiting the lower level (7.6) and *S. zenkeri* bark exhibiting the highest (35.2). Compared to the literature our results are nearly similar with those found in the dry bean varieties grown in Ethiopia [36] and when we compared to a white standard, these results showed that all the samples were less light, redder and more yellow.

### 3.4. Phenols and Vitamins

Phenolic and vitamin content of foods are known to have positive effects on health. Epidemiological studies have shown high intakes of phenols, vit C, vit E and carotenoid-rich vegetables to be associated with decreased incidence of some cancers and cardiovascular diseases [37]. The mean content of total phenols, β-carotene, vit C and E of the wild plants analysed are given in Table 5. The total phenolic content, obtained varied between 1.05 g GAE/100g for *S. melongena* and 38.80 g GAE/100g for *D. glomerata*. From a comparative point of view, the values found here are lower than those reported by Agbor *et al.* for *P. guineense*, *P. umbellatum*, *S. zenkeri* (fruit), and *S. zenkeri* (bark) with respective mean values of 20.9, 19.0, 18.4 and 15.1 mg equivalent catechin/g or 990.9, 899.1, 339.0, and 480.8 mg equivalent vit E/g [38].

These differences in the phenolic content may be due to difference in the standard used. When compared to other foodstuffs, similar high levels of antioxidant was observed for *Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*, (31.2 g GAE/100g), the aerial parts of Horsetail, *Equisetum spp.* (21.6 g GAE/100g) [39] and for tea and herbal infusions (44 - 209 mg GAE/g) from Poland [40].
Vit E contents in samples varied between 0.39 and 10.02 mg eq α tocopherol/100g dwb. F. Xanthoxyloides, H. gabonii fruit, P. Brazzeana, and S. striatinix possessed the highest vit E content while the lowest were found in S. Melongena, S. zenkeri bark, and X. Aethiopica. Compared to other food products, our results are in the range found in the literature: 1.3 mg eq α tocopherol /100 dwb in spirulina, 132.2 mg eq α tocopherol/100g fresh in bay leaf, and 20.61 mg/100g fresh sample in thyme [41]. Vit C content of plants varied significantly from 0.22 to 3.75 g/100g. The highest levels were found in S. melongena and F. xanthoxyloides while the lowest levels were observed in H. gabonii fruit and M. myristica. These values are high when compared to those reported for some fruits known as sources of vitamin C such as apples (1.1 - 3.5 mg/100g fresh samples), grapefruits (28.5 - 52.0 mg/100g fresh samples), mangoes (9.1 - 18.6 mg/100g fresh samples) and oranges (42.1 - 62.4 mg/100g) [42].

4. Conclusion

This study has revealed a wide variation wide variation in the carbohydrate, crude fat, crude protein, total phe- nols, vitamins and high levels in ash content of the spices analysed. C. frutescens is particularly high in provitamin A, just as is F. xanthoxyloides in its vit E and β carotene content, and M. myristica, F. leprieurt and X. aethiopica in their overall lipid content. These spices have the potential of contributing to the nutritional and health needs of their consumers.
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Table 5. Total phenol, total carotenoid, vit C and E contents of selected wild plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spice names</th>
<th>Total phenols (g GA eq/100g)</th>
<th>Total carotenoids (g vit A eq/100g)</th>
<th>Vit E (g α-tocopherol eq/100g)</th>
<th>Vit C (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. daniellii</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.0 (^a)</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.1 (^i)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1 (^i)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.0 (^{bc})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. frustescens</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.1 (^d)</td>
<td>73.8 ± 0.0 (^{e})</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.1 (^{d})</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1 (^{gh})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. glomerata</td>
<td>38.8 ± 0.1 (^{a})</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.1 (^{i})</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2 (^{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. psilurus</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.1 (^{a})</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.1 (^{l})</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.0 (^{df})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. giganteus</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.0 (^{def})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. leprieri</td>
<td>34.6 ± 0.2 (^{m})</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.1 (^{g})</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1 (^{f})</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.0 (^{de})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.xantholozoide</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.2 (^{f})</td>
<td>26.7 ± 0.1 (^{m})</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.1 (^{n})</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1 (^{k})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. gabonii (fruit)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.0 (^{bc})</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.1 (^{p})</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.0 (^{n})</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.0 (^{o})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. gabonii (root)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.0 (^{ab})</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.0 (^{o})</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1 (^{fh})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. myristica</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.1 (^{g})</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1 (^{bed})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. whitei</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0 (^{bc})</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.1 (^{l})</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1 (^{l})</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.0 (^{o})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. brazzeana</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1 (^{ed})</td>
<td>31.0 ± 0.2 (^{o})</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.1 (^{m})</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1 (^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. guineense</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1 (^{f})</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.2 (^{b})</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1 (^{f})</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. umbellatum</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.27 (^{d})</td>
<td>38.7 ± 0.1 (^{l})</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.1 (^{l})</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1 (^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. melongena</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.1 (^{f})</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.1 (^{m})</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.1 (^{m})</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. striatinux</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.10 (^{f})</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1 (^{d})</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2 (^{fg})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zinkeri (fruit)</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.14 (^{d})</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.1 (^{f})</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2 (^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zinkeri (bark)</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.01 (^{a})</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.0 (^{d})</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5 (^{f})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. tetraptera</td>
<td>22.8 ± 0.3 (^{f})</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.1 (^{f})</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1 (^{fh})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. aethiopica</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.2 (^{f})</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.0 (^{de})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 6; means ± sd; values with different letters within a column are significantly different at 5% level.
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