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ABSTRACT

Extraction of phenolic compounds from bean seed coats by acetic acid was evaluated and compared to conventional extraction with 80% methanol. Total phenols, flavonoids and free radical scavenging capacity were determined by colorimetric methods. Furthermore, qualitative flavonoid characterization was performed via HPLC diode array. The acetic acid extract contained 73.4 ± 7 mg/g of sample expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE), and 41.3 ± 4 mg/g as catechin equivalents (CE). The acetic acid extract (at 1 mg/mL) showed over 89% efficiency to scavenge the 1,1 diphenyl-2-pierylhydrazil (DPPH) radical (EC₅₀ = 6.13 mg extract/mg standard). Gallic acid, delphinidin-3-glycoside, petunidin-glycoside, petunidin, malvidin-3-glycoside, myricetin-glycoside, quercitin-glycoside, saponin (β-g), and 3-hydroxy-5,7-megastigmadien-9-one were identified in both extracts. Additionally, kaempferol-3-glycoside was found in the acetic acid extract. Sequential acetic acid extractions indicated that the antiradical activity was about 90% in DPPH radical scavenging within the first 8 h of extraction, when compared to a 24 h extraction. In general, acetic acid offered a faster and more efficient approach for the extraction of phenolic antioxidants.
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1. Introduction

Common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and other leguminous are one of the milestones in most Latin-American and African diets [1]. Their high content of biologically-active chemical nutrients represents a great source of energy to the human organism, coming in part from the extended content of proteins and sugars, but also from phytochemical compounds such as flavonoids [2]. Flavonoids are known antioxidants that help protect the organism against cellular damage, reactive oxygen species (ROS), and other negative physiological effects [3,4]; moreover, their consumption—same as for other phytochemicals like anthocyanins, flavonoids, and flavanols has proven beneficial in the prevention of diseases like ischemic heart disease, stroke, and cancer [5-7]. Taking these nutritional sources into consideration, it is not surprising that many modern diets look towards an increase in the consumption of antioxidant compounds.

Over the years, diverse extraction methods have been used to successfully isolate antioxidants from various food matrices; however, a great majority of these methods are based on the application of mild, but yet toxic organic solvents like methanol and mixtures of water-ethanol [8-10]. The rationale behind the use of these methods is the fact that a polar solvent should interact with the highly polar functional groups bonded to the backbone of antioxidants. In this sense and for the particular case of black beans, an extraction method using 2:8 water in methanol (v/v) has been typically used to isolate polyphenolic compounds [11], along with HPLC-MS as the analytical method of choice to characterize the polyphenolic composition obtained. So far, HPLC-MS has been successfully used to separate and identify a large variety of flavonoids, anthocyanins and tannins present in black bean extracts [12].

It is the aim of the present study to present an effective and less toxic extraction method for polyphenolic compounds from black beans seed coats, hoping to obtain an extract with the potential to be used in clinical studies. The method proposed is based on the use of acetic acid as a replacing solvent for methanol. All experimental results are compared to a conventional extraction with 80% methanol.

*The present work is a compilation of experimental results from a research project conducted between the years 2004-2005.*
2. Experimental Section

2.1. Preparation of Black Bean Extracts

A black bean variety (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) was planted in the Agriculture Experimental Station of the Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey (ITESM), located in Nuevo León, Mexico. The ripe beans were harvested, air field dried, cleaned with sieves and air aspirated. Then, all clean beans were placed and stored in polyethylene bags. Separation of seed coats proceeded between two to three days after harvest.

The black beans were mechanically de-hulled using a decorticator equipped with abrasive disks (Nutana Machine Co., Saskatoon Canada). The objective of the milling procedure was to obtain two different fractions: seed coats and cotyledons. Grains were dried for 6 to 12 hours at 60°C in an air-forced convection oven. Optimum décor-tication was defined as the time required to remove between 13% to 15% of the grain weight in order to assure total removal of the hulls. The seed coat-rich material was separated from the cotyledon-rich material by air aspiration and sieving through a 2 mm opening sieve. At this point, seed coats were ready for the extraction procedure.

Two separate groups of dried bean seed coats were ground in a commercial coffee grinder (Mr. Coffee, model IDS77) and placed in the following solvents: 5% acetic acid in water (v/v) or 80% methanol in water (v/v). The blends were stirred for 24 h at a speed of 160 rpm and at 45°C on an orbital Shaker (Gyromax 703, Amerex Instruments). The solution was then separated from the remaining solids by conventional filtration techniques.

The extracted liquid phase was concentrated first, and then evaporated to dryness with the aid of a rotary evaporator (R110, Brinkmann) operating at 75°C and under vacuum equivalent to ~70 kPa. The resulting extract was re-dissolved in 25 mL of physiological saline solution (0.9% NaCl).

2.2. Sample Solutions from Extract

All experimental samples were prepared by taking 0.5 mL aliquots from each extract and independently mixing them with 0.5 mL of 1.2 M HCl in methanol (HPLC grade), to obtain a final concentration of 0.6 M HCl in 75% methanol. These samples were filtered through a PTFE microfilter (0.45 µm pore size, Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI) prior to evaluation of antioxidant activity and HPLC analysis.

2.3. Comparative Evaluation of Extracts

2.3.1. Critical Time of Extraction

The two solvent systems were prepared as described before. To optimize extraction times, every eight hours the suspension of solvent (acetic acid and methanol) and seed coats was completely filtered in order to analyze the polyphenolic compounds extracted during that time lapse; later, the evaluation of antiradical activity by DPPH method [13] and the quantification of total phenolics via the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent [14] was performed. This procedure was repeated until no significant change was observed in the kinetics of reaction between the extract and both analytical evaluations.

2.3.2. DPPH Assay

The antiradical activity of the extract was calculated by means of the DPPH free radical activity assay. The DPPH concentration used was 0.25 mg/mL of 80% methanol. The evaluation of the reaction was conducted on 5 minutes intervals using an 8 well Spectrophotometer (Genesys 5, Spectronic, Milton Roy Co.). Aliquots of 100 µl were taken from the different bean seeds extracts and mixed with 1 mL of the DPPH solution, and absorbance was recorded at λ = 515 nm. Solutions of catechin (0.288 mg/mL) and gallic acid (0.188 mg/mL) were employed as reference standards to evaluate the antiradical power of the extracts. DPPH scavenging capacity was determined by plotting scavenging activity measured every 10 minutes until a total time of 1 hour was covered.

The EC50 index was calculated as the amount of antioxidant necessary to decrease the initial concentration of DPPH by 50%. A calibration curve was constructed by measuring absorbance at λ = 515 nm vs. different concentrations of extract and a reference (trolox, gallic acid and catechin). The initial stock solution of samples and standards had a starting concentration of 1 mg/mL, from which aliquots were taken to assess 10 fold decreases until a final concentration of 0.01 mg/mL was obtained.

2.3.3. Determination of Total Phenolics

Total phenolics were quantified by the Folin-Ciocalteu method. From a diluted sample of each extract prepared, 100 µl were taken and mixed with 1 mL of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. The mixture was allowed to rest for 30 minutes before recording absorbance at λ = 750 nm. Unmixed Folin-Ciocalteu solution was employed as a blank. Values were obtained after constructing a calibration curve by reacting the test reagent with different concentrations of gallic acid. The calibration curve included concentrations between 0.04 mg/mL - 0.2 mg/mL of gallic acid (R² = 0.996). Values are expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE).

2.3.4. Determination of Total Flavonoids

Content of total flavonoids was determined by means of a colorimetric method. Briefly, 250 µL of diluted extract were mixed with 75 µL of a 5% NaNO₂ solution, 150 µL...
of a freshly prepared 10% AlCl$_3$ solution, and 500 µL of 1 M NaOH. The final volume was adjusted to 2.5 mL with deionized water. The mixture was allowed to rest for 5 min, after which absorption was measured at $\lambda = 510$ nm. A mixed solution having no extract or standard was employed as a blank. The amount of total flavonoids was expressed as mg of catechin equivalents (CE)/g sample. The calibration curve of CE was constructed from solutions of pure catechin with concentrations ranging from 0.01 - 0.5 mg/mL ($R^2 = 0.997$).

2.4. Chromatographic Analysis

Separation and characterization of polyphenolic compounds was performed by colleagues at Centro de Bio-tecnologia (ITESM, Monterrey, Mexico). The instrument employed was an HPLC device coupled to a diode array detector (2996 Waters Co., Milford, MA). Separation was performed on a reverse phase Waters Nova-Pak C18 column, (3.9 × 150 mm, Waters Co. Milford, MA.), using 0.2 M KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 2.4) as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Wavelength of $\lambda = 280$ nm was used for detection of phenolic acids and flavonoids.

To separate flavanols from other polyphenolic compounds, 1 mL samples of diluted extract were dissolved in a solution of 50% methanol in water and passed through a precondition C18 cartridge (Waters Sep Pak Cartridge, Milford MA). Then, 2 mL of methanol were passed through the same cartridge to recover bound compounds. The recovered solution was used for HPLC diode array analysis. The preconditioning of the cartridges was performed by washing with 4 mL of methanol followed by 10 mL of Nanopure water.

3. Results and Discussion

The use of acetic acid for extraction of antioxidants of phenolic nature is justified by its polarity, which is higher in comparative terms than that of other organic solvents [15]. Acetic acid is a weak acid that promotes the formation of hydrogen bonds while dissociated. This phenomenon plays a key role in the proposed extraction method, since formation of hydrogen bonds with oxygen-containing functional groups present in flavonoids enhances molecular interaction.

The acetic acid extraction procedure employed here demonstrated to be more efficient than other conventional methods, such as the 2:8 water-methanol system, by showing faster rates of neutralization of the DPP· radical after equivalent extraction times at 45°C [16]. Furthermore, the low concentration of acetic acid used during the extraction guaranteed the applicability of this method to produce extracts for posterior clinical applications, since acetic acid is not toxic in low concentrations and can be further neutralized by conventional acid-base titration.

Figure 1 presents a comparison between the antiradical activity of the acetic extract evaluated by DPPH test vs that of two reference standards, catechin (288 µg/mL) and gallic acid (188 µg/mL), evaluated by the same method. Results showed close to 70% reduction of DPP· radical for the acetic extract at a concentration of 250 µg/mL after the first 5 minutes, versus 75% for gallic acid and 92% for catechin.

On the other hand, Figure 2 shows a comparison between the behavior of the acetic extract vs that of an extract obtained with the 2:8 water-methanol system. After completing three rounds of filtering, it was clear that close to 80% of the active compounds were removed during the first time point, thus explaining the lower antiradical activity at 24 hours. Acetic extract presents a faster rate of neutralization of DPP· radical than the more conventional acid-base titration.

Figure 1. Response of acetic extract (250 µg/mL), gallic acid (188 µg/mL) and catechin (288 µg/mL) measured against DPP· radical.

Figure 2. Response of acetic extract (250 µg/mL) and 80% methanol extract (250 µg/mL) measured against DPP· radical.
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comparative extraction method under equal experimental conditions. From the data employed to construct Figure 1, a calculated EC$_{50}$ of 6.13 mg extract/mg DPPH was obtained for the equivalent concentration of antioxidants present in the acetic extract, with a correlation coefficient of $R^2 = 0.981$.

By using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, total content of phenolic compounds in the acetic extract was determined as 73.4 mg GAE/g extract. In addition, a repetition of the same test but with catechin as standard gave 41.3 mg CE/g extract. Suggesting that the acetic extract contains a high dosage of potent polyphenolic compounds with high antioxidant activity, when compared to methanol extracts.

All experimental results confirm the idea that the proposed acetic acid extraction is highly effective to isolate and recover flavonoids from naturally occurring matrices, and that it does so with more efficiency than other extraction systems such as methanol [17] or acetone [11].

After the assessment of the extraction method capability was concluded, a composition analysis was carried out by HPLC-UV and HPLC-MS with the aid of colleagues from Centro de Biotecnología at ITESM, in Monterrey, Mexico, in order to reveal the nature of the antioxidant compounds present in the mixture. Figure 3 shows two representative chromatograms of the two extracts under consideration: acetic acid and 80% methanol.

The main observation is that a peak corresponding to the retention time of kaempferol-3-glycoside is observed only in the chromatogram of the acetic acid extract. This is important because kaempferol existing in both forms, glycosylated or not, is considered to be a potent antioxidant [18]. Characterization of all phenolic compounds present in the extracts was achieved by analysis of the retention times and by database matching. This procedure revealed the presence of 10 clearly characterized compounds: gallic acid; *anthocyanins*: delphinidin-3-glycoside, petunidin-glycoside, petunidin aglycone and malvidin-3-glycoside; *flavonols*: myricetin-glycoside, quercetin-glycoside and malvidin-3-glycoside; *flavonols*: myricetin-glycoside, quercetin-glycoside and kaempferol-3-glycoside; *saponins*: saponin ($\beta$-g), and a rare *megastigma*: 3-hydroxy-5,7-megastigmadien-9-one. Table 1 shows retention times associated with each one of these compounds. These results were obtained in the form of a laboratory report and no information regarding the database consulted was stored.

The presence of some of these compounds has also been previously found in dried bean extracts [11,17] as well as in fruits [18,19]. Most of these compounds have demonstrated positive effects against chronic degenerative diseases. Malvidin has shown to play a protective role on hepatic diseases [20]. Kampferol has demonstrated to inhibit the release of proinflammatory metabolites IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-$\alpha$; therefore Kampferol is suitable for the treatment of allergic and inflammatory diseases [14,15]. Moreover, related flavonols like Myricetin, Quercitin and Kampferol may act through antioxidant, prooxidant, antiestrogenic, cell signaling pathways modulation or mitochondrial toxicity to inhibit breast carcinogenesis [1,20].

All experimental results presented so far suggest that the acetic acid system has an elevated capacity to extract antioxidant compounds. From an objective point of view, the composition of the acetic acid system (Figure 3(a)) seems to be close to the performance shown by the methanol system (Figure 3(b)). However, the antioxidant

---

**Table 1. Names and retention times of antioxidant compounds identified in the acetic acid and 80% methanol extracts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Retention Times$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallic acid</td>
<td>10.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delphinidin-3-glycoside</td>
<td>12.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>petunidin-glycoside</td>
<td>13.81*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>petunidin</td>
<td>14.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malvidin-3-glycoside</td>
<td>14.61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myricetin-glycoside</td>
<td>15.57*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quercetin-glycoside</td>
<td>16.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kaempferol-3-glycoside</td>
<td>18.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saponin ($\beta$-g)</td>
<td>32.788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Identification performed by database matching. *Identification obtained from high resolution chromatograms at higher concentration of extract (peaks not observable in Figure 3).
activity tests presented in Figure 2 favors the acetic acid method in the neutralization of the DPP\textsuperscript{-} radical. Moreover, methanol presents the drawback of being a toxic solvent.

The efficiency of extraction as a function of time was also evaluated. This was done with the objective of better understanding the ability of the different solvent systems to extract antioxidants at different times, and to integrate results so that the total extraction time could be reduced and optimized. These results demonstrate that the first 8 h are the most critical for the extraction of polyphenolic compounds. Eight hour extractions were able to decrease the concentration of DPP\textsuperscript{-} active radical close to 80%, compared to 24 hours, where most of the polyphenolic molecules have already been removed and the reduction of active DPP\textsuperscript{-} radical is of only 20%.

To further confirm these results, a total phenolic compound evaluation was done. From this evaluation, a composition graph (Figure 4) was drawn in order to compare the amount of antioxidants found in every extract at different times. As it can be observed, again more than 70% of the total phenolic compounds for the acetic acid system and over 60% for the methanol system were extracted during the first 8 h.

4. Conclusion

Acetic acid, when diluted to a concentration of 5% acid in water, represents an alternative and efficient method for the extraction of antioxidants from black bean seeds and other food matrices. The high antioxidant capacity of the extract obtained with this solvent was demonstrated by rapid kinetics of neutralization of DPP\textsuperscript{-} radical, as well as by determination of the concentration of total phenolics present in the extract. In addition, acetic acid makes extraction of antioxidants not only highly efficient but also safe for animal testing (when compared to conventional methods involving the use of methanol or acetone).
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