Effect of Different Supplementation Levels of Soybean Flour on Pearl Millet Functional Properties
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ABSTRACT

Pearl millet cultivar (Dempy) was supplemented with soybean flour to raise the protein content by 5%, 10% and 15%. Defatted soybean flour, pearl millet flour and their composite flour had varying functional properties. Water and fat absorption, bulk density, nitrogen solubility and dispersibility were higher for soybean flour compared to that of pearl millet flour. Therefore, such properties were improved significantly (P ≤ 0.05) for pearl millet with increasing the level of soybean supplementation. The emulsifying and foaming properties of pearl millet flour were poor but after supplementation with soybean they significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved. Supplementation of pearl millet flour with soybean had no effect on least gelation concentration of millet. The implication of these results will be realized in designing protein-enriched products based on pearl millet flour, especially for pearl millet–growing regions in the under-developing countries.
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1. Introduction

Millets have been important staples in the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa for centuries. It will continue to be the major food crop in several countries, especially in Africa and, in particular, in Nigeria and Sudan [1]. Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.) is the most widely grown product of the millet species. Pearl millet has some potential for industrial use; other millets have limited potential because of their small grain size and the associated difficulties of adopting a suitable dehulling technology [1]. The soybean (Glycine max) is the seed of the leguminous soybean plant. It has high protein content and is not very expensive. Therefore, it has been proposed as an ideal source for protein supplementation of starchy foods [2]. To improve the nutritional quality of cereal-based traditional diets in Africa, the use of soybean flour as a protein supplement has often been suggested. Supplementation of pearl millet flour with soybean flour makes it nutritionally superior and produces acceptable food products. Functionality of food proteins is defined as those physical and chemical properties, which affect the behavior of proteins in food systems during processing, storage, preparation and consumption [3]. A functional property is any non-nutritional property

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Soybean flour was obtained from a supermarket in New York, USA, having protein content of 38.7%. Pearl millet cultivar Dempy was obtained from El Obeid Research Station, Sudan, having protein content of 13.0%. The seeds were harvested during the season 2006-2007. All
chemicals used in this study were of reagent grade.

2.2. Functional Properties

2.2.1. Water Absorption Capacity
The water absorption capacity (WAC) was estimated by the method of Lin et al. [7] with a modification described by Quinn & Beuchat [8].

2.2.2. Bulk Density
The bulk density (BD) was determined by the method of Wang & Kinsella [9].

2.2.3. Nitrogen Solubility
Nitrogen solubility (NS) was determined by the procedure of Haegenmair [10], as described by Quinn & Beuchat [8], with a slight modification. Exactly 0.2 grams of material were dispersed in 10 ml distilled water with continuous shaking at room temperature. After 1.0 hour the suspension was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes at room temperature. The soluble nitrogen in the supernatant was estimated by micro-Kjeldahl method. Nitrogen solubility was expressed as a percentage of the total nitrogen.

2.2.4. Emulsifying Properties

2.2.4.1. Emulsifying Capacity
The emulsifying capacity was estimated by the method of Beuchat et al. [11].

2.2.4.2. Emulsifying Activity (EA) and Emulsion Stability (ES)
The emulsification activity (EA) was measured by the procedure of Yausumatsu et al. [12], with a slight modification. About 0.7 gram of material was added to 10 ml distilled water and mixed well before adding 10 ml of refined corn oil. The mixture was blended in a Braun electric blender for 5 minutes, poured into centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes. EA was expressed as:

\[ EA(\%) = \frac{\text{Height of emulsion} \times 100}{\text{Height of whole layer}} \]

Emulsion stability (ES) was measured by recentrifugation followed by heating at 80°C for 30 minutes, and subsequently, cooled to 15°C. ES was expressed as the percentage of the total volume remaining emulsified after heating:

\[ ES(\%) = \frac{\text{Height of emulsion layer after heating} \times 100}{\text{Height of whole layer}} \]

2.2.5. Foaming Properties

2.2.5.1. Foaming Capacity (FC)
The Foaming capacity (FC) of the sample was determined by the procedure described by Lawhon et al. [13].

2.2.5.2. Foam Stability (FS)
The foam stability (FS) was conducted according to Ahmed & Schmidt [14]. The FS was recorded at 15 min intervals for 90 min after pouring the material in a cylinder:

\[ FS(\%) = \frac{\text{Foam volume after time(t)} \times 100}{\text{Initial foam volume}} \]

2.2.6. Gelation
The least gelation concentration of the sample was measured by the method of Coffman & Garcia [15], with a slight modification. Appropriate sample suspensions of 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 10% were prepared in 10 ml of distilled water. The test tubes containing these suspensions were then heated for one hour in a boiling water bath, followed by rapid cooling under running cold tap water. The test tubes were further cooled for 3 hours at (4°C). The least gellation concentration was determined as that concentration which did not fall down or slip when the test tube was inverted.

2.2.7. Dispersibility
The dispersibility of flour at selected pH levels (3, 7 and 10) was measured according to the method of Kulkani et al. [16].

2.2.8. Wettability
The wettability was estimated according to the method of Regenstein & Regenstein [17].

2.3. Statistical Analysis
Each determination consisted of three separate samples, which were analyzed, and the figures were then averaged. Data were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) [18] and by the Duncan’s multiple range test with a probability \( P \leq 0.05 \) [19].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physical Functional Properties
The physical functional properties of pearl millet, soybean and pearl millet supplement is shown in Table 1. The bulk density (BD) improved significantly for pearl millet with increasing the level of soybean protein supplement. The results indicated that supplementation of pearl millet with soybean protein improved significantly \( (P \leq 0.05) \) the bulk density of millet. A higher BD is desirable, since it helps to reduce the paste thickness which is an important factor in convalescent and child feeding [20]. Densh [21] reported that BD of defatted soybean flour was 0.46 g/ml, while Bryant et al. [22] reported...
of pearl millet was significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved from 22.31% to 82.16% for pearl millet with increasing the level of soybean supplementation to 15%. The results obtained showed that supplementation of pearl millet with soybean protein significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved nitrogen solubility of pearl millet. McWatters & Holms [29] reported that nitrogen solubility of soybean flour was 98%. This result indicated that soybean flour had high nitrogen solubility and it would be expected to improve nitrogen solubility of pearl millet as a result of supplementation. Solubility of protein is one of the critical functional attributes in food processing, because solubility greatly influences other properties, such as emulsification, gelation and foaming [9]. Pearl millet, like other cereals, has some limitations due to its low content of protein and some essential amino acids, such as lysine. Recent studies indicated that supplementation of wheat and maize food products with soybean improve the nutritional quality of proteins, and sensory and rheological properties [30,31].

### 3.2. Dispersibility

The dispersibility of pearl millet, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with soybean flour is shown in Table 2. The results indicated that soybean flour had higher dispersibility than pearl millet flour. Also, the dispersibility for both was higher at higher acidic pH than neutral and the protein dispersibility of soybean products is lowest at pH 4.5 (the isoelectric point of soybean proteins) and at pH value above and below the isoelectric region, the mean protein dispersibility increased significantly. As shown in Table 2 the dispersibility of pearl millet flour increased significantly (P ≤ 0.05) with increasing the level of soybean protein supplement (5%, 10% and 15%) and it was higher at acidic pH than neutral and alkaline pHs. These results indicated that supplementation of pearl millet cultivar with soybean flour increased the protein dispersibility of the formulation.

### Table 1. Bulk density (BD), water absorption capacity (WAC), fat absorption capacity (FAC) and nitrogen solubility (NS) of defatted pearl millet flour, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with 5%, 10% and 15% soybean flour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BD (g/ml)</th>
<th>WAC (ml/100 g)</th>
<th>FAC (ml/100 g)</th>
<th>NS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet</td>
<td>1.03 (±0.03)</td>
<td>120.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>121.67 (±0.00)</td>
<td>22.31 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 5%</td>
<td>1.22 (±0.03)</td>
<td>143.33 (±0.00)</td>
<td>130.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>51.67 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 10%</td>
<td>1.45 (±0.05)</td>
<td>163.33 (±0.00)</td>
<td>138.33 (±0.00)</td>
<td>71.08 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 15%</td>
<td>1.62 (±0.03)</td>
<td>180.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>150.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>82.16 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 5% supplement</td>
<td>1.56 (±0.03)</td>
<td>145.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>132.33 (±0.00)</td>
<td>50.00 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 10% supplement</td>
<td>1.78 (±0.05)</td>
<td>165.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>140.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>60.00 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 15% supplement</td>
<td>1.98 (±0.03)</td>
<td>185.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>150.00 (±0.00)</td>
<td>70.00 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (± SD). Means not sharing a common superscript letter in a column are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.

### Table 2. Effect of pH on dispersibility (%) of defatted pearl millet flour, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with 5%, 10% and 15% soybean flour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Dispersibility (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet</td>
<td>37.22 (±0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 5%</td>
<td>71.11 (±0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 10%</td>
<td>43.33 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 15%</td>
<td>50.00 (±0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 5% supplement</td>
<td>56.11 (±0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 10% supplement</td>
<td>61.11 (±0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean 15% supplement</td>
<td>66.11 (±0.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (±SD). Means not sharing a common superscript letter in a column are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.
bean protein improved significantly (P ≤ 0.05) the dispersibility of millet flour. The dispersibility of a mix in water indicates its reconstitution ability. The higher the dispersibility, the better the reconstitution property [16]. Higher dispersibility enhances the emulsifying and foaming properties of proteins, which was observed during making of bread, macaroni and cookies [25].

3.3. Emulsifying Properties

The emulsifying properties of pearl millet, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with soybean protein are shown in Table 3. The emulsifying capacity of pearl millet was poor (7.47 ml oil/gm flour) but addition of 15% soybean protein improved the emulsifying capacity significantly (P ≤ 0.05) to 60.63 ml oil/gm flour. The results indicated that soybean flour had high emulsifying capacity. Sosulski et al. [27] reported that soybean showed excellent oil emulsification (82% at pH 6.5); while McWatters & Holms [29] reported that the emulsifying capacity of soy flour was about five times that of peanut flour. Supplementation with soybean flour would be expected to improve the emulsifying capacity of pearl millet. The emulsifying activity was significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved for pearl millet from 11.79% to 45.84% with addition of 15% soybean protein. Yasumatsu et al. [12] and Volkert & Klein [32] reported that dispersible nitrogen or protein correlates with emulsifying activity and emulsion stability. The emulsion stability (ES) of pearl millet was very low (7.67%) but addition of 15% soybean protein improved it significantly (P ≤ 0.05) to 41.14%. The results obtained indicated that soybean had significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved the emulsion stability of pearl millet. Elzialde et al. [33] reported that the emulsion stability is enhanced by high protein and oil concentrations and these factors are highly interrelated. They also, reported that emulsion stability depends primarily upon the water and oil absorption capacity. Protein stabilized oil in water emulsions are to be found in various branches of food industry. These include milk, cream, salad dressing, mayonnaise, gravies and meat emulsions [34]. Also, McWatters & Cherry [35] reported that the ability of a protein to aid the formation and stabilization of emulsion is critical for its application in preparation like butters, milk and frozen desserts. The emulsifying properties in general, play a significant role in many food systems including doughs, salad dressing, infant foods, ice-cream and coffee whiteners.

3.4. Foaming Properties

The foaming capacity (FC) and foam stability (FS) of pearl millet, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with soybean protein (5%, 10% and 15%) is shown in Table 4. It was clear that soybean had high foam volume, while pearl millet had low one. Therefore, the foaming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>EC ml oil/gm flour</th>
<th>EA (%)</th>
<th>ES (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet</td>
<td>7.47 (+0.15) e</td>
<td>11.79 (+1.02) e</td>
<td>7.67 (+1.02) e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>81.70 (+0.20) a</td>
<td>56.65 (+1.28) a</td>
<td>52.10 (+1.53) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% supplement</td>
<td>36.10 (+0.10) d</td>
<td>32.02 (+0.96) d</td>
<td>26.50 (+0.00) d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% supplement</td>
<td>47.23 (+0.15) c</td>
<td>39.34 (+1.01) c</td>
<td>32.89 (+1.02) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% supplement</td>
<td>60.63 (+0.15) b</td>
<td>45.84 (+0.00) b</td>
<td>41.14 (+1.02) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (± SD). Means not sharing a common superscript letter in a column are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>FC (%)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet</td>
<td>24.00 (+1.00) e</td>
<td>100.00 (+0.00) a</td>
<td>47.22 (+1.21) e</td>
<td>27.75 (+1.55) e</td>
<td>22.07 (+1.10) e</td>
<td>16.69 (+0.70) e</td>
<td>15.24 (+1.93) e</td>
<td>12.51 (+0.52) e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>69.48 (+0.73) a</td>
<td>100.00 (+0.00) a</td>
<td>98.48 (+0.00) a</td>
<td>94.95 (+0.87) a</td>
<td>89.39 (+1.52) a</td>
<td>84.34 (+0.88) a</td>
<td>81.31 (+0.88) a</td>
<td>75.76 (+1.52) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% supplement</td>
<td>49.15 (+0.29) f</td>
<td>100.00 (+0.00) a</td>
<td>66.90 (+0.39) f</td>
<td>30.34 (+1.07) f</td>
<td>23.58 (+1.67) f</td>
<td>17.93 (+1.11) f</td>
<td>16.56 (+0.20) f</td>
<td>14.48 (+0.17) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% supplement</td>
<td>59.04 (+0.82) c</td>
<td>100.00 (+0.00) a</td>
<td>82.66 (+0.17) c</td>
<td>47.40 (+0.87) c</td>
<td>33.52 (+0.88) c</td>
<td>22.54 (+0.23) c</td>
<td>19.08 (+0.19) c</td>
<td>16.76 (+0.84) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% supplement</td>
<td>66.90 (+0.52) d</td>
<td>100.00 (+0.00) a</td>
<td>93.88 (+0.05) d</td>
<td>91.33 (+0.85) d</td>
<td>84.70 (+0.13) d</td>
<td>78.57 (+0.19) d</td>
<td>69.90 (+0.79) d</td>
<td>63.78 (+0.78) d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (±SD). Means not sharing a common superscript letter in a column are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.
capacity of pearl millet significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved after addition of soybean protein. As shown in Table 4, the foam of soybean flour was significantly stable than that of pearl millet. Also, it was clear that foam stability of pearl millet significantly (P ≤ 0.05) improved with increasing the level of soybean supplementation. Soybean flour had high foam volume and it appeared to be a promising source of protein for use in whipped food products [27]. Foam stability is important since the usefulness of whipping agents depends on their abilities to maintain the whip as long as possible [7]. Stability was related to denaturation of the stabilized protein [12].

3.5. Least Gelation Concentration

The least gelation concentration of pearl millet, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with soybean protein is shown in Table 5. Pearl millet flour formed a weak gel at 6%, while a strong gel was formed at 8% and a very strong gel at 10%. No gel was formed at 2% and 4% concentrations. Soybean flour formed a very weak gel at 8%, while a weak gel was formed at 10%. No gel was formed at 2%, 4% or 6% concentrations. The results obtained indicated that pearl millet flour level of concentration for gelation was significantly (P ≤ 0.05) lower than that of soybean flour, because pearl millet flour contained starch, which induced gelation due to starch-starch and/or starch-protein interactions. Sosulski et al. [27] reported that the small proportion of soybean flour gel was particularly soft and remained relatively fluid. Pearl millet supplemented with 5% soybean protein formed a very weak gel at 6%, while a weak gel was formed at 8% and a strong gel at 10%. No gel was formed at 2% or 4% levels of concentration. Pearl millet supplemented with 10% and 15% soybean protein formed a very weak gel at 10% and no gel was formed at 2%, 4% or 8% levels of concentration for both. These results indicated that pearl millet flour when supplemented with soybean required a higher flour concentration than pearl millet flour for gelation because the starch content decreased due to fortification with soybean flour. These results were in agreement with those reported by Singh & Singh [36], who compared the gelation capacity of partially defatted peanut flour. Kinsella [25] reported that the gelation property of proteins is basic for many oriental textured foods, e.g. tofu, cheese, custards and various meat products.

4. Conclusion

Supplementation of pearl millet flour with soybean protein had significantly improved the functional properties of pearl millet flour. The improvement in the functional properties of pearl millet flour after supplementation makes it a useful ingredient for several food products.
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**Table 5. Least gelation concentration of defatted pearl millet flour, soybean flour and pearl millet supplemented with 5%, 10% and 15% soybean flour.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% supplement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% supplement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% supplement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - No gel; ± Very weak gel; + Weak gel; ++ Strong gel; +++ Very strong gel.


