A Method for the Measurement of Lutein in Infant Formula
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ABSTRACT

Lutein is an antioxidant that is deposited in the macular region of the eye and is crucial to macular function. Lutein is present in human milk and recently has been added to infant and toddler formulas. A method for the extraction and quantification of lutein from lutein-fortified infant formula and toddler milk products was developed. The lipids and carotenoids were extracted from the samples with ethanol and hexane: tetrahydrofuran. Polar xanthophylls were extracted from the organic mixture with ethanol: water, leaving behind lipids and less polar carotenoids. The ethanol: water extracts were dried, reconstituted in mobile phase, and the lutein was quantified by high performance liquid chromatography with UV detection. A C30 carotenoid column and a mobile phase gradient of methyl-tert-butyl ether and methanol were used for the chromatographic separation. Validation data showed repeatability with relative standard deviations < 4% and intermediate precision with relative standard deviations < 20% at a low fortification level, 25 mcg lutein/L, and < 12% at a high fortification level, 200 mcg lutein/L. Overall recoveries from sample matrix ranged from 88% to 106%. This liquid:liquid extraction method for the quantification of lutein in infant formulas is precise and accurate.
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1. Introduction

The nutritional intake of the neonatal infant must support a period of rapid maturation and organ growth. Human milk is ideally suited to provide the nutritional needs of the infant and contains many bioactive components including carotenoids [1,2]. The xanthophyllic carotenoids, lutein and zeaxanthin must be obtained from dietary sources as they cannot be synthesized by the human body [3]. They occur naturally in human milk [4], but not in infant formulas. Lutein and zeaxanthin are antioxidants that are deposited in the macular region of the eye and are crucial to macular function. These yellow pigmented macular carotenoids are responsible for the yellow pigment of the macula [5]. They have the ability to absorb high-energy blue light and to act as part of the antioxidant system of the retina [6].

Exposure to blue light at any age may cause eye damage and infants and children are at the highest risk. The lens of the neonate is transparent thus providing little protection against this high energy light [6]. As the lens gradually and naturally yellows, the level of blue light reaching the retina is progressively reduced [6,7]. Lutein has been shown to help protect the retina from blue light damage in animal models by filtering out this high energy light [6,8]. In addition, there is evidence that lutein acts as an antioxidant in the eye [6,9,10] and as macular lutein is located in close proximity to lipid targets susceptible to oxidation by singlet oxygen, it is well suited to act as a biological antioxidant [11].

Lutein levels from a multinational study of carotenoids in human milk found a range of 14.8 - 43.8 mcg lutein/L with an overall mean of 25 mcg/L [4]. Infant formula, follow on formula and milks for toddlers and young children were formulated to provide a source of lutein and a validated method was necessary to confirm fortification levels.

Due to the high fat content of the matrices, current methods for the measurement of carotenoids in human milk and formulas often use a saponification or enzy-
matic hydrolysis step followed by an extraction with organic solvents [12-15]. Losses of up to 40% of the polar carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin have been reported due to alkaline hydrolysis. [12,13]. Internal standards such as echinonine have been used to correct for losses due to hydrolysis [15]. We modified the carotenoids extraction method developed by Schweigert et al. [12] wherein the lutein was extracted prior to the saponification procedure used to recover more lipophilic carotenoids and their esters. The use of echinonine as an internal standard was also investigated during the method implementation. The assay performance was demonstrated at two levels of fortification: 25 mcg/L in term formula and 200 mcg/L in follow on formula, and milks for toddlers and young children. The method was found to be precise and accurate for the measurement of lutein in infant formula and milk product matrices.

2. Materials and Methods

**Chemicals, Solvents and Solutions.** Lutein was purchased from Indofine Chemical Company (Hillsborough, NJ). Hexane, acetone, methanol and methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MTBE) were HPLC grade obtained from EMD (Darmstadt, Germany). Inhibitor free, anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF), 99.9% purity, was from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Absolute Ethanol was purchased from Rossville Gold Shield (Haywood, CA). Deionized water was from an internal Millipore purification system, (Billerica, MA). Extraction solvent solutions were: hexane:THF, 80:20, v:v, ethanol:deionized H₂O, 90:10, v:v. Test tubes with Teflon® lined screw caps were used for all extractions.

**Standard Preparation.** A stock standard solution was prepared by dissolving 2.0 mg lutein in 25 mL absolute ethanol, stored at –20°C for up to one month. A working standard was prepared by diluting the stock standard solution 1/25 in a volumetric flask with ethanol. This solution was stored in a refrigerator at 10°C and used within 5 days. The working standard purity was determined by HPLC with UV detection at 445 nm. The purity, absorbance at 445 nm and the molar absorption coefficient (ε₅₃₅ : 2,550 at 445 nm in ethanol) [13] were used to calculate the concentration of the working standard. Dilutions of the working standard solution in ethanol were prepared daily to yield concentrations bracketing the levels of fortification. Aliquots of the standard dilutions were carried through the extraction protocol.

**Sample Preparation.** Formula powders were accurately weighed (200 mg) into test tubes, mixed with 1.0 mL deionized H₂O followed by mixing with 1.0 mL absolute ethanol. For liquid formulas, 1.0 mL ethanol was added to 1.0 mL aliquots of formula. Hexane:THF (2.0 mL) was added to the samples and the tubes were shaken on a reciprocating shaker (Eberbach Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI) for 15 minutes. The tubes were centrifuged 15 minutes at 3500 rpm and the upper organic layer was transferred to another test tube. The extraction was repeated and the organic phases combined. These combined phases were extracted with ethanol: H₂O (2.0 mL), briefly mixed on a vortex (Digital Pulse Mixer, Glas-Col, Terre Haute, IN), shaken again for 10 minutes and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,500 rpm. The lower aqueous layer was transferred to another test tube and the extraction was repeated and the aqueous phases combined. The combined aqueous phases were evaporated to dryness with nitrogen and heat (30°C to 35°C).

**Calibration Standards.** Deionized H₂O (1.0 mL) was added to 1.0 mL aliquots of the working standard dilutions. The standards were then carried through the extraction protocol with the samples beginning with the addition of 2.0 mL hexane:THF. Following evaporation to dryness, the sample and standard extracts were dissolved in 1.0 mL mobile phase, MeOH:MTBE, 85:15, v:v. Samples were vortexed and allowed to stand until clear. Samples were transferred to amber vials for HPLC analyses, leaving behind any insoluble lipid material. The areas of the standard lutein peaks were plotted against lutein concentration; the regression equation was calculated and used to calculate the amount of lutein in the samples.

**Chromatography conditions.** The HPLC system was an Agilent 1100 Series coupled with a Variable Wavelength Detector (Little Falls, DE). Chromatographic separation was performed using a C30 carotenoid column, 5μm, 4.6×250 mm, (YMC, Wilmington, NC). The mobile phase was (A) MeOH and (B) MTBE. A step-wise linear elution gradient was used: Initially 85% A to 70% A at 18 min, then decreased to 10% A within 1 min, held for 4 min, then increased to 85% A within 1 min. The column was re-equilibrated at 85% A for 6 min. Analyses were conducted with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and lutein was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 445 nm. Total run time was 30 min. Representative chromatograms of lutein reference material and extracts of infant formula are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

Due to the high levels of lipids in milks and formulas, carotenoids analyses typically utilize a saponification step or an enzymatic digestion to separate the carotenoids from the lipid fraction [14-16]. This alkaline hydrolysis can lead to significant losses (up to 40%) of the polar xanthophylls, including lutein [16]. Our method is a modification of the Schweigert method for carotenoids extraction which extracted the xanthophyll fraction with
hexane prior to the saponification step [12].

Several protocols were investigated before the optimal method was achieved. Results for the preliminary methods are presented in Table 1. Initially, quantitation was by external standardization. Recoveries of lutein were low. The method was modified to incorporate THF into the hexane extraction step to improve xanthophyll extraction efficiency and recoveries improved. Echininone was added as an internal standard and initially recoveries were acceptable. Subsequent studies demonstrated the echininone internal standard was not recovered reproducibly at a level similar to the analyte of interest, lutein (data not shown). The difference in extraction efficiency resulted in recovery values for lutein that were in excess of 120%. This was not acceptable in this application.

Ultimately, the calibration curve was constructed using lutein reference material diluted to concentrations bracketing the two levels of fortification and carried through the extraction protocol. The correlation coefficient, $R^2$, of the standard calibration curve was an average 0.997 over 8 runs.

**Precision.** Selected samples of lutein fortified products were analyzed in replicate and the relative standard deviation, $RSD_r$, of the results was determined. The acceptability criteria for within-day repeatability were $RSD_r < 0.5$ of $RSD_{H}$, where $RSD_{H}$ is defined by the Horwitz equation: $RSD_{H} \% = 2C^{0.1505}$, where $C$ is the concentration as a decimal fraction in g/g [17]. The predicted Relative Standard Deviations ($< 0.5$ of $RSD_{H}$) were $< 10.2\%$ and $< 7.5\%$ for the 25 mcg/L and 200 mcg/L fortification levels, respectively. Repeatability results for both the low and high fortification levels are presented in Table 2. The within day repeatability results met the acceptability criteria, $RSD_r < 0.5$ of $RSD_{H}$. 

---

**Figure 1.** Chromatogram of lutein reference material, C30 carotenoid column, 5 μm, 4.6 x 250 mm, detection at 445 nm.

**Figure 2.** Chromatogram of lutein extracted from infant formula, C30 carotenoid column, 5 μm, 4.6 x 250 mm, detection at 445 nm.
Acceptance criteria for intermediate precision (iRSD<sub>I</sub>) over three separate days of analyses was defined as Table 1. Comparison of recovery of lutein between three trial methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibration</th>
<th>Extraction solvent</th>
<th>% Recovery&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External standardization</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>65.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External standardization</td>
<td>Hexane: THF</td>
<td>89.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal standardization</td>
<td>Hexane: THF</td>
<td>98.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> mean ± relative standard deviation.

Table 2. Results of lutein analyses for intraassay precision (RSD<sub>I</sub>) %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (mcg/L)</th>
<th>RSD&lt;sub&gt;I&lt;/sub&gt;%</th>
<th>RSD&lt;sub&gt;H&lt;/sub&gt;%</th>
<th>HORRAT ratio&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S26 gold</td>
<td>Infant formula</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promil</td>
<td>Follow-on formula</td>
<td>259.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>Toddler milk</td>
<td>280.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promise</td>
<td>Milk for young children</td>
<td>281.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>b</sup> N = 6 each matrix; <sup>c</sup> Horratt ratio defines the acceptability criteria, iRSD<sub>I</sub>/RSD<sub>H</sub> < 0.5.

Table 3. Results of lutein analyses for within-lab intermediate precision (iRSD<sub>I</sub>).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (mcg/L)</th>
<th>iRSD&lt;sub&gt;I&lt;/sub&gt;%</th>
<th>RSD&lt;sub&gt;H&lt;/sub&gt;%</th>
<th>HORRAT ratio&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S26 gold</td>
<td>Infant formula</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promil</td>
<td>Follow-on formula</td>
<td>247.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>Toddler milk</td>
<td>273.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promise</td>
<td>Milk for young children</td>
<td>299.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>b</sup> 3 days, duplicate analyses; <sup>c</sup> Horratt ratio defines the acceptability criteria, iRSD<sub>I</sub>/RSD<sub>H</sub> < 1.0.

Table 4. Results for lutein recovery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fortification level</th>
<th>25 mcg/L</th>
<th>200 mcg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of spike</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate (mcg/L)</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>214.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike (mcg/L)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical (mcg/L)</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured (mcg/L)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>103.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance criteria, +/- 2σ</td>
<td>100% +/- 28.5%</td>
<td>100% +/- 21.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iRSD<sub>I</sub> < RSD<sub>H</sub>. Predicted iRSD<sub>I</sub> were 20.4% and 14.9% for 25 mcg/L and 200 mcg/L fortification levels, respectively. Intermediate precision results met the acceptability criteria of iRSD<sub>I</sub> < RSD<sub>H</sub> and are presented in Table 3.

Recovery. Lutein was spiked into the sample matrix and carried through the extraction protocol. Recoveries of reference lutein spiked into samples at three levels (50%, 100% and 150%) of the low fortification level, 25 mcg/L, ranged from 87.9% to 103.9%. Recoveries of reference lutein spiked into samples at three levels (50%, 100% and 150%) of the high fortification level, 200 mcg/L, ranged from 89.8% to 105.5%. Recovery results for both the low and high lutein fortification levels are presented in Table 4.

Ruggedness. This method for the measurement of lutein in fortified infant formulas and toddler milks was successfully transferred and validated at several quality assurance and contract laboratories.

In conclusion, this method was developed to measure the lutein content of fortified term and follow on formula as well as toddler milk and milk for young children. It was shown to be rugged, precise and accurate at lutein fortifications of 25 mcg/L and 200 mcg/L.
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