Profile Modification for Increasing the Tooth Strength in Spur Gear Using CAD
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Abstract

This paper examines the tooth failure in spur gears. Corrective measures are taken to avoid tooth damage by introducing profile modification in root fillet. In general, spur gear with less than 17 numbers of teeth had the problem of undercutting during gear manufacturing process which minimizes the strength of gear at root. In this study, a novel design method, namely circular root fillet instead of the standard trochoidal root fillet is introduced in spur gear and analyzed using ANSYS version 11.0 software. The strength of these modified teeth is studied in comparison with the standard design. The analysis demonstrates that the novel design exhibit higher bending strength over the standard trochoidal root fillet gear. The result reveals that the circular root fillet design is particularly suitable for lesser number of teeth in pinion and whereas the trochoidal root fillet gear is more opt for higher number of teeth.
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1. Introduction

The objective of the gear drive is to transmit power with comparatively smaller dimensions, runs reasonably free of noise and vibration with least manufacturing and maintenance cost. There is a growing need for higher load carrying capacity and increased fatigue life in the field of gear transmissions. Spitas and Costopoulos [1] have introduced one–sided involute asymmetric spur gear teeth to increase load carrying capacity and combine the meshing properties. Tesfahunegn and Rosa [2] investigated the influence of the shape of profile modifications on transmission error, root stress and contact pressure through non linear finite element approach. Spitas and Costopoulos [3] expressed that the circular fillet design is particularly suitable in gears with small number of teeth (pinion). Fredette and Brown [4] discussed the possibility of reducing gear tooth root stresses by adding internal stress relief features. Ciavarella and Demelio [5] concluded that the fatigue life is lower on gears with a lesser number of teeth. Hebbal and Math [6] have reduced the root fillet stress in spur gear using internal stress relieving feature of different shapes. Senthivelan and gnanamoorthy [7] studied the effect of gear tooth fillet radius on the performance of injection moulded nylon 6/6 gears. Tae Hyong Chong and Jae Hyong Myong [8] conducted a study to calculate simultaneously the optimum amounts of tooth profile modification for minimization of vibration and noise.

Beghini et al. [9] proposed a simple method to reduce the transmission error for a given spur gear at the nominal torque by means of the profile modification parameters. Researchers focused either on the development of advanced materials or new heat treatment methods or designing the gears with stronger tooth profiles. Gears having standard involute with smaller number of teeth (i.e., less than 17 teeth) had the problem of undercutting. In gear manufacturing process the tooth root fillet is generated as the tip of the cutter removes material from the involute profile resulting teeth that have less thickness at root. This reduces the tooth strength and leads to the crack initiation and propagation at root fillet area. To improve the gear tooth strength many works have been done but all mostly employed positive profile shifting [10-13]. These contributions exhibit lower pitting and scoring resistance with lesser contact ratio resulting in more noise and vibration during the power transmission [14].
2. Gear Geometry

The involute spur gear with circular root fillet is illustrated in Figure 1. The point ‘O’ is the center of the gear, ‘Oy’ is the axis of symmetry of the tooth and ‘B’ is the point where the involute profile starts from the form circle \( r_f \).

‘A’ is the point of tangency of the circular fillet with the root circle \( r_f \). ‘D’ laying on \( (\varepsilon_2) = ‘OA’ \) represents the center of the circular fillet. Line \( (\varepsilon_1) \) is tangent to the root circle at A and intersects with line \( (\varepsilon_3) \) at C. The fillet is tangent to the line \( (\varepsilon_1) \) at point E. Since it is always \( r_c > r_f \), the proposed circular fillet can be implemented without exceptions on all spur gears irrelevant of number of teeth or other manufacturing parameters. A comparison of the geometrical shape of a tooth of circular fillet with that of standard fillet is presented in Figure 2.

The geometry of the circular fillet coordinates (points A and B) in Figure 1 is obtained using the following formulas:

\[
X_A = r_f \sin(\zeta + \Omega_s), \quad Y_A = r_f \cos(\zeta + \Omega_s) \\
X_B = r_f \sin\Omega_s, \quad Y_B = r_f \cos\Omega_s \\
X_D = (r_f + AD) \sin(\zeta + \Omega_s), \quad Y_D = (r_f + AD \cos(\zeta + \Omega_s) \\
X_E = (OC + CE) \sin\Omega_s, \quad Y_E = (OC + CE) \cos\Omega_s
\]

2.1. Part Modeling

In actual practice, trochoidal root fillet is present in spur gear having large number of teeth (more than 17) and exhibits less bending stress for higher number of teeth.

The circular root fillet is preferable for gears with smaller number of teeth (less than 17) depending on the tip radius of the hob. The proposed teeth are composed of a standard involute working profile from the outer to the form circle of the gear and of a circular fillet profile from the form circle to the root circle of the gear replacing the conventional trochoidal fillet profile.

Table 1 gives the parametric specification of 15 teeth and 16 teeth spur gear. These design specifications have been arrived from KISS soft an application software for the given centre distance. The virtual model of the spur gear with 15 teeth and 16 teeth having Circular and Trochoidal root fillet are modeled in Pro-E wildfire version 3.0 software and are presented in the following Figure 3 and Figure 4.

3. Force Analysis

The load transmitting capability of gear tooth is analyzed and checked for designing a gear system. The effective circumferential force on the tooth at the pitch circle of the gear while in meshing is estimated. Two kinds of stresses are induced in gear pair during the power transmission from one shaft to another shaft. They are: 1) Bending stress – Induced on gear teeth due to tangential force developed by the power and 2) Surface contact stress or Compressive stress. The load is assumed to be uniformly distributed along the face width of the tooth.

3.1. Components of Forces

When the mating gears are engaged the line of contact starts from bottom of the tooth to tip of the tooth along
tooth-profile for the pinion and tip to bottom for the gear. While the force is acting at the tip of tooth, the long distance of action from root cause maximum bending stress at the bottom of tooth. Hence the force at this position \textit{(i.e., at tip)} is considered for analysis.

The normal force \( (F_n) \) to the tip of the gear is depicted in Figure 5. This force \( (F_n) \) is at an angle with the common tangent to pitch circle \( (i.e., \text{pressure angle}) \) is resolved into two components:

1) Tangential Force \( (F_t) \)
2) Radial Force \( (F_r) \).

The tangential force ‘\( F_t \)’ or transmitting load can be derived from the following standard equation:

\[
F_t = \frac{2000T}{d}
\]

where, \( T = 9550 \text{ P/n} \)

Irrespective of the value of the contact ratio, the gear forces are effective on a single pair of teeth in mesh. Referring to Figure 5, the normal force \( (F_n) \) acts along the pressure line. The normal force produces an equal and opposite reaction at the gear tooth. Since the gear is mounted on the shaft, the radial force \( F_r \) acts at the centre of the shaft and is equal in magnitude but opposite in direction to the normal force \( F_n \).

As far as the transmission power is concerned, the component of forces ‘\( F_n \)’ and ‘\( F_t \)’ plays no role and the driving component is tangential force ‘\( F_t \)’. The tangential force ‘\( F_t \)’ constitutes a couple which produces the torque on the pinion which in turn drives the mating gears. The tangential force bends the tooth and the radial force compresses it. The magnitudes of the components of the normal force ‘\( F_n \)’ are given by:

\[
F_t = F_n \cos \alpha \\
F_r = F_n \sin \alpha
\]

Forces are calculated based on power transmission \( \text{(power is equal to 20 kW)} \), the speed of the gear are 1000 rpm, 1500 rpm and 2000 rpm respectively for which the components of forces are calculated for 15 teeth and 16 teeth and are given in Table 2 and Table 3.

4. Finite Element Analysis

A finite element model with a single tooth is considered for analysis. Gear material strength is a major consideration for the operational loading and environment. Generally, cast iron is used in normal loading and higher wear resisting conditions. In modern practice, the heat treated alloy steels are used to overcome the wear resistance. ANSYS version 11.0 software is used for analysis. In this work, heat treated alloy is taken for analysis. The gear tooth is meshed in 3-dimensional (3-D) solid 20 nodes 92 elements with fine mesh. SOLID92 has a quadratic displacement behavior and is well suited to model irregular meshes. The material properties chosen for analysis are presented in Table 4.

Figure 6 illustrates a single tooth of 2-dimensional \( (2-D) \) Circular fillet roots and Figure 7 shows a single tooth of 2-dimensional Trochoidal fillet roots. Figure 8 shows the FEM meshed model of single tooth of Circular fillet roots. Similarly, Figure 9 shows the FEM meshed model of single tooth Trochoidal fillet roots.

**Table 2. Force components for 15 teeth.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Torque (N-mm)</th>
<th>Force Components (Newton)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>191000</td>
<td>6366.67 6775.27 2317.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>127330</td>
<td>4244.44 4516.84 1544.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>95500</td>
<td>3638.10 3871.58 1324.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Force components for 16 teeth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Torque (N-mm)</th>
<th>F_{x}</th>
<th>F_{y}</th>
<th>F_{z}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>191000</td>
<td>5968.75</td>
<td>6351.81</td>
<td>2172.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>127330</td>
<td>3979.17</td>
<td>4234.54</td>
<td>1448.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>95500</td>
<td>3410.71</td>
<td>3629.61</td>
<td>1241.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Material properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gear material</td>
<td>Alloy structural steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>7870 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young's modulus</td>
<td>206 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisons ratio</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength</td>
<td>637 MPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1. Displacement and Loading

In order to facilitate the finite element analysis, the gear tooth was considered as a cantilever beam. All the degrees of freedom were constrained at the root circle but for analysis purpose the constrained degrees of freedoms are transferred to gear hub surface. The revolutions of the gears are limited to 2000 rpm. In nonlinear contact analysis the tooth forces are applied on tip of the tooth profile.

5. Results and Discussion

The deflection and bending stress analysis were carried out for the spur gear with 15 teeth and 16 teeth. The induced bending stress and obtained deflection values are presented in Table 5.

The investigation reveals that the deflection value of both circular and trochoidal root fillet gears are identical. But, looking in to bending stress the 15T gear generated with circular root fillet have lesser stress (609.654 N/mm²) at 1000 rpm when compared with trochoidal fillet gear (626.699 N/mm²).

Correspondingly, the induced bending stress for 16 T circular root fillet gear at 2000 rpm was 348.374 N/mm².
where as it was noticed as 358.114 N/mm² for 16 T trochoidal root fillet gear. The bending stress and deflection values taken from FEA results for 15 teeth gear with circular and trochoidal root fillet are depicted in Figure 10.

Similarly, the bending stress and deflection values taken from FEA results for 16 teeth gear with circular and trochoidal root fillet are depicted in Figure 11. It is observed from ANSYS study that the 16T gear generated with circular root fillet have lesser stress (328.381 N/mm²) at 1000 rpm when compared with trochoidal fillet gear (558.287 N/mm²). Also, the bending stress

Table 5. Deflection and bending stress result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>15 Teeth</th>
<th>16 Teeth</th>
<th>15 Teeth</th>
<th>16 Teeth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trochoidal</td>
<td>Circular</td>
<td>Trochoidal</td>
<td>Circular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.013343</td>
<td>0.013747</td>
<td>0.013909</td>
<td>0.012407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.008895</td>
<td>0.009164</td>
<td>0.009272</td>
<td>0.008271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.007624</td>
<td>0.007855</td>
<td>0.007948</td>
<td>0.007090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>15 Teeth</th>
<th>16 Teeth</th>
<th>15 Teeth</th>
<th>16 Teeth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trochoidal</td>
<td>Circular</td>
<td>Trochoidal</td>
<td>Circular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>626.699</td>
<td>609.654</td>
<td>558.287</td>
<td>328.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>417.798</td>
<td>406.435</td>
<td>372.192</td>
<td>218.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>358.114</td>
<td>348.374</td>
<td>319.021</td>
<td>187.646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 10. FEA results for 15 teeth gear.
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Figure 11. FEA results for 16 teeth gear.

(187.646 N/mm²) was least for 16 T circular root fillet gear at 2000 rpm when compared with trochoidal root fillet gear (319.021 N/mm²). In sort, the results obtained from ANSYS result shows that the bending stress and deflection values are lesser for Circular root fillet gear irrespective of speed than Trochoidal root fillet gear.

6. Conclusions

The investigation result infers that the deflection in circular root fillet is almost same comparing to the trochoidal root fillet gear tooth. However, there is appreciable reduction in bending stress value for circular root fillet design in comparison to that of bending stress value in trochoidal root fillet design.

From the foregoing analysis it is also found that the circular fillet design is more opt for lesser number of teeth in pinion and trochoidal fillet design is more suitable for higher number of teeth in gear (more than 17 teeth) and whatever may be the pinion speed. In addition to that the ANSYS results indicates that the gears with circular root fillet design will result in better strength, reduced bending stress and also improve the fatigue life of gear material. Further work shall be done to ascertain the stiffness and rigidity of gear tooth in the circular root fillet design so that the feasibility of this particular design can be useful to put in practical application in future.
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Nomenclature

- $F_n$ – Normal force
- $P$ – Rated power
- $F_t$ – Tangential force
- $d$ – PCD of gear
- $R$ – Reaction of shaft
- $n$ – Speed of the gear in rpm
- $r_f$ – Root Circle of gear
- $T$ – Transmitted Torque
- $S_{ss}$ – Arc length at BCD
- $r_s$ – Form Circle of gear
- FEA – Finite Element Analysis