A Methodology for Filtering and Inversion of Gravity Data: An Example of Application to the Determination of the Moho Undulation in Morocco
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Abstract

In this paper, we perform a comprehensive explanation of the geophysical inversion of gravity data, as well as, how it can be used to determine the Moho undulation and the crustal structure. This inversion problem and the necessary assumptions to solve it will be described in this paper joint to the methodology used to invert gravity data (gravity anomalies). In addition, the application of this method to the determination of the Moho undulation will be performed computing the Moho undulation in the Moroccan area, as an example. Before the inversion, it is necessary the removing of the gravity effects for shallow and very deep structures, to obtain the deep gravity anomaly field that is associated to the deep structure and Moho undulation. These effects will be removed by a filtering process of the gravity anomaly field and by subtraction of the gravity anomalies corresponding to the very deep structure. The results presented in this paper will show that the inversion of gravity data is a powerful tool, to research the structure of the crust and the upper mantle. By means of this inversion process, the principal structural features beneath of Morocco area will be revealed.
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1. Introduction

As all of us know, geophysical inversion of the gravity data (gravity anomalies) can be used to determine the Moho undulation, as well as, the crustal structure. This inverse problem is an ill-posed problem because it has non-unique solution. Nevertheless, this non-uniqueness can be overcome if we taken into account restrictive (and reasonable) assumptions on the admissible density distribution. These assumptions can be provided by the geology of the study area, as well as, all geophysical and deep sounding data available for this area. For a comprehensive explanation of this inversion problem and the necessary assumptions to solve it, in this paper the methodology to invert gravity anomaly data will be described and an example of application of this method will be presented. In this example, the determination of the Moho undulation in the Moroccan area will be performed. Before the inversion, the gravity effects of shallow structure must be removed, to obtain the deep gravity anomaly field, which is associated to the deep structure and Moho undulation. These effects can be removed performing a filtering process of the gravity anomaly field, as it will be described below. The gravity effects of structures deeper than the lithosphere also must be eliminated. These effects can be eliminated by subtraction of the gravity anomalies corresponding to this very deep structure. These gravity anomalies can be obtained from a worldwide gravity field model as the EGM2008 model.

2. Data Set

Land and marine gravity data bank. The National Geophysical Data Centre (NGDC), the Bureau Gravimétrique International (BGI) and the United Status Geological Survey (USGS); have provided the gravity data used in this study: simple Bouguer anomalies (Bouguer anomalies without terrain correction). NGDC contributes with a
data set consisting of 40370 points (only marine data),
the BGI data set in the study area has 46653 points (6096
in land and 40557 at the sea) and USGS supplies 70628
points all in land. These gravity data are distributed in
the study area (27 to 36 degrees on latitude and –14 to –1
degrees on longitude) as it is shown in the Figure 1. The
accuracy of these data ranges from 0.1 to 0.2 mgal. The
compiling gravity data have been checked to remove
repeated points letting 110998 points, with a Bouguer
anomaly range from –164 to 350 mgal. All gravity data
have been converted to the same reference system (GRS
80 reference system) and the atmospheric correction has
been taken into account [1].

Geopotential model. The EGM2008 geopotential mo-
del represents a major advance in modelling the Earth’s
gravity and geoid [2]. Therefore, the EGM2008 model is
the model more suitable for the computing of the long-
wavelength contribution of the gravity anomaly field, to
obtain high precision in the determination of this contri-
bution for the study area.

Digital terrain model (DTM). The calculation of com-
plete Bouguer anomalies from simple Bouguer anoma-
lies involves the computation of the terrain correction,
because complete Bouguer anomaly is simple Bouguer
anomaly plus terrain correction, but terrain correction is
achieved using a DTM (digital terrain model). For this
reason, we need a DTM for the study area. This DTM
will be computed using all the elevation data available
for the study area: trackline bathymetry (from the NGDC
and BGI), ETOPO1 and 3” gridded topography (from
SRTM project); as it will be described in the next section.
ETOPO1 is a 1-minute gridded global relief of combined
bathymetry and topography, available from NGDC
global database. SRTM topography is a worldwide ele-
vation model with a 3” × 3” mesh size obtained from
the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). This
topography will be supplied by the USGS database.

3. Data Preparation and Pre-Processing

Bouguer anomaly data gridding. As it is well known,
gravity anomalies on a regular grid is required for filter-
ing by means of the FFT technique. Since the gravity
data set consisting of point data anomalies distributed
randomly, we need to interpolate these data to obtain a
regular data grid. A precision interpolation procedure
must provide a regular grid data with a high level of
guarantee and reliability. In this interpolation process, it
is necessary to reject some point data in which the dif-
ference between the observed and computed gravity
anomaly value is greater than some reference value (50
mgal). We have used the OriginLab software package (©
1991-2003 OriginLab Corporation) for the computa-
tion of a regular data grid, from the Bouguer gravity anoma-
lies randomly distributed. This software package follows
the kriging method to carry out the interpolation task [3].
The interpolation process is tested by means of the com-
parison, for each observed point, between the observed
and predicted anomaly value. In a first step of this calcu-
lation process, it is necessary to reject the spike values
always present in any Bouguer anomaly data set. Other-
wise, it can arise abnormal and no sense grids during the
interpolation process. For that reason, in the first step,
the observed point values, in which the difference be-
tween observed and predicted value is greater than 50
mgal, have been rejected. By means of this validation
procedure we have rejected 230 points from the original
data set, letting 110768 points for the second step of the
interpolation process. In the second step, we finished the
interpolation process obtaining the gridded data, with a
mean and standard deviation of the differences between
the observed and predicted values of 0.2 mgal and 4.5
mgal, respectively. Thus, the gridded data are distributed
for the study area from 27 to 36 degrees of latitude and
–14 to –1 degrees of longitude (east positive), in a 360 ×
520 regular grid with a mesh size of 1.5’ × 1.5’ and
187200 points.

Computation of a DTM for the study region. As it
was above mentioned, the terrain correction is necessary
to calculate complete Bouguer anomalies and this terrain
correction is obtained from a DTM. For our study area,
we have a suitable gridded topography with a mesh size
of 3” × 3” from SRTM project, but we have not a
bathymetry with the same resolution. Then, we need
compute this gridded bathymetry from the bathymetry
data available for this area: trackline bathymetry (from
NGDC and BGI) and ETOPO1. We have interpolated all
these bathymetric data by kriging method, using the
same software package above mentioned for interpola-
tion of the gravity data. Thus, we combined gridded to-
pography from SRTM project with gridded bathymetry
obtained by interpolation, to make a DTM for our study
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region with a 3'' × 3'' spacing (90 m × 90 m, approximately). Figure 2 shows the flow chart of the process followed to make this DTM for the study region. Figure 3 shows the DTM resulting of the computation process followed.

**Terrain correction and complete Bouguer anomaly computation.** The necessary terrain correction was computed by means of a classical integration formula, as it is described by Torge [4]. The result obtained is shown in Figure 4. We have considered a density for the topographic masses of 2.67 g/cm³ and 1.03 g/cm³ for the seawater. The integration has been extended to 0.75 degrees (83 km, approximately) out of the study area to avoid the border effects. Finally, when we add the terrain correction (shown in Figure 4) to the simple Bouguer anomalies (gridded as it was above described), we obtain the complete Bouguer gravity anomalies to be used in this study. These gridded Bouguer anomalies are plotted in Figure 5.

**Figure 2.** Steps followed to make the digital terrain model (DTM). The circles are used to denote the application of interpolation and the rectangles are used to denote the results obtained.

**Figure 3.** DTM (with a mesh size of 3'' × 3'') obtained as result of the computation process shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 4.** Terrain correction c with a mesh size of 1.5' × 1.5' computed by integration from the DTM shown in Figure 3.

**Figure 5.** Complete Bouguer anomalies gridded with a mesh size of 1.5' × 1.5', obtained adding the terrain correction to the simple Bouguer anomalies (gridded to the same mesh size).

### 4. Short Wavelength and Long Wavelength Corrections

**Wavelength filtering.** Before the inversion, we need to remove shallow and local effects in the anomaly gravity field, to obtain the deep gravity anomaly field, which is associated to Moho undulation. These effects are associated to the density irregularities distributed in the shallow structure of our study region. These effects can be eliminated after a filtering process of the gravity anomaly field, removing short wavelengths and remaining the long wave components of this field, which are associated to the deep structure that we want to analyse. This filtering can be done transforming the gridded Bouguer anomalies (Figure 5) in a 2D wave-number domain using 2D FFT, removing short wavelengths components by windowing with a suitable spectral window and, later, doing an inverse 2D FFT to reconstitute the Bouguer anomalies filtered (smoothed). A suitable window must produce minima distortion in both domains spatial and spectral. Using no particular window to remove short
wavelengths, is equivalent to apply the rectangular window, which leads the spectral domain function undistorted but produces severe distortion in the spatial domain, associated to the sharp rise and fall of this window. Therefore, an engagement must be considered because a window that leaves both domains undistorted is impossible to find. We have applied a cosine-tapered rectangular window to filter short wavelengths components of the spectra [5]. This window is the most used because is flat over the most of spectral components, as a rectangular window, but tapers off avoiding the undesirable border effect associated to a rectangular window. Another problem with this filtering process is an undesirable effect called circular convolution (or cyclic convolution). This effect is an end-effect that occurs when sampled functions do not contain a sufficient number of zero values [6]. To avoid this effect, it is necessary the addition of 2D sampled function as many zeros as half of the width and height of the data area in all directions [7]. Figure 6 shows the complete Bouguer anomalies shown in Figure 5, after removing of the spectral components of wavelength less than 40', following the process detailed above. We can see a smoothed Bouguer anomaly map with the same resolution, of course, that the original Bouguer anomaly map (1.5' × 1.5' mesh size).

Long wavelength correction. The gravity effects of structures deeper than lithosphere must be also removed of the Bouguer anomalies before the inversion, to obtain the gravity anomalies associated to the lithosphere structure, which are the gravity data that we want to invert for the determination of the Moho undulation. These gravity effects are associated to the long-wavelength components of the worldwide gravity field models (as EGM 2008), with a degree less than 30 of the harmonic expansion [4]. These long-wavelength effects are assumed as originated from density anomalies in the derivative. The Earth structure below the lithosphere, and must be removed if we want to analyse only the lithosphere features. Figure 7 shows the gravity anomalies computed from EGM2008 model by means of the spherical harmonic expansion considered to degree and order 30 [4,8]. Finally, we obtain Bouguer gravity anomalies, short and long wavelength corrected, subtracting the model gravity anomalies (shown in Figure 6) from the filtered gravity anomalies (shown in Figure 6). Figure 8 shows these corrected Bouguer anomalies, which reflect now the deep features of the lithosphere under the study region.

5. Vening Meinesz Theory

As it is well known, any inversion process requires an initial model. Inversion of gravity anomalies requires also a starting model to calculate the attraction and its
derivative of the attraction and the difference between theoretical gravity anomaly (obtained by forward modelling) and observed gravity anomaly (Bouguer anomalies shown in Figure 8), will be used to correct this initial model iteratively, obtaining a final Moho undulation that satisfy the gravity data (Figure 8). Thus, a starting model is necessary to start the inversion process. This model is constituted by a theoretical Moho undulation and a reasonable distribution of density. The density distribution is proposed from the geological and geophysical studies carried out in the study area. The theoretical Moho undulation can be computed applying the Vening Meinesz’ theory of isostasy. As we know, the Vening Meinesz’ theory is a modification of the Airy’s theory of isostasy, introducing regional compensation instead of local compensation. Obviously, with this change the Vening Meinesz’ theory is more realistic than the previous theory proposed by Airy, because Airy presupposes free vertical mobility of the crust masses, while Vening Meinesz considers the crust as an unbroken but yielding elastic layer. This yielding elastic layer can be bending by effect of the load of the topography. This bending can be calculated (following the Vening Meinesz’ theory), from a detailed topography (as is given by a DTM), as a function of two constants $l$ (called by Vening Meinesz as degree of regionality) and $b$. Both constants are related by the Formula [9]

$$b = \frac{1}{8(\rho_l - \rho_i)^2}$$

where $\rho_i$ is the crustal material density and $\rho_l$ is the mantle material density. The degree of regionality, denoted by $l$, has a length dimension and its values (in S.I. units) ranges from 10 to 60 km. Small values of $l$ causes a Moho surface with minima more narrow and deeper, the opposite causes wide and shallow minima.

6. Inversion of Gravity Data

Forward method. In the inversion process, the direct problem of gravimetry (also called forward modelling) appears as it has been mentioned in the previous section. This direct problem consists of the calculation of the gravitational attraction, generated by some distribution of anomalous mass. This calculation procedure is usually called forward modelling and it is carried out by integration. This integration is computed over the volume of anomalous mass, considering the observation point on the surface of the study area (assumed flat). The gravity anomaly (the vertical component of the gravity attraction) is related to the density contrast by

$$\Delta g = \int G\Delta \rho \frac{z^2}{r^3} dV$$

where $\Delta \rho$ is the density contrast, $G$ is the Newton’s gravitational constant, $z$ is the depth to the mass point and $r$ is the distance between the mass point and the observation point. This integral can be easily solved by numerical computation, if we assume that the volume of anomalous mass, denoted by $V$, can be divided in rectangular prisms $V_p$, with a constant density contrast $\Delta \rho_i$ in each prism. Thus, the above integral can be replaced by a sum of integrals over right rectangular prisms, that is to say

$$\Delta g_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij} \Delta \rho_i$$

where now, we denote $\Delta g_i$ as the gravity anomaly at the observation point (on the surface of the study area assumed flat), with $i$ varying from 1 to $n$ observation points and $j$ varying from 1 to $m$ prisms. $A_{ij}$ is a purely geometric term and it represents the attraction of a prism with density contrast unity. Such attraction has been calculated by Nagy [10]. Figure 9 shows a picture of the forward modelling. Thus, the forward modelling can be performed, if we have a starting model given by a reasonable distribution of density ($\Delta \rho_i$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) in a volume of anomalous mass ($V_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$), obtaining after this calculation procedure a grid of gravity anomalies ($\Delta g_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) distributed over the study area.

Inverse method. The inverse problem of gravimetry has been defined as the computation of the density distribution from the observed gravity anomaly field. That is, formally, the inversion of the relationship (1) considering as data the gravity anomalies. If we assume that the density contrast is known without error for each prism (through the geology and/or other previous geophysical studies), the problem consists only in the determination of the volume and shape of this anomalous mass, i.e. the computation of the size for each prism ($V_j$). Thus, if we take into account right rectangular prisms with a fixed base $S_j = (x_j - x_1) \times (y_j - y_1)$ and variable height $h_j = z_j - z_1$, as it is showed in Figure 10, the unknowns $x_j$ correspond to the heights $h_j$ of these prisms, while the data $y_i$ of this problem are $\Delta g_i$, the ith observed Bouguer anomaly.

Obviously, no linear relation exists between data and unknowns, as they have been defined, but we can linearize (1) by a Taylor expansion about an initial model, which is denoted by $x_j^0$, in the way

$$\Delta g_i = V. Corchete ET AL. 153$$
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The matrix obtained after this inversion process is called the partial derivative matrix of the attraction generated by the jth prism over the ith observed point. This matrix can be calculated from the Nagy formula by partial derivation, and the computing of partial derivatives of attraction is made from the calculation process performed to fit the observed data by forward modelling. The resolution matrix is obtained through the product of the matrices C and B, that is

\[ CB = V_p A_p^2 (\Lambda_p^2 + \alpha I)^{-1} V_p^T \]

where the variances \( \sigma_x^2 \) and \( \sigma_y^2 \) are the variances in the model parameters and data, respectively. We can see in (8) and (9) the role developed by \( \alpha \) in the damped inversion process. The introduction of this parameter degrades the resolution but stabilizes the solution obtained, by means of the reduction of the variance. Thus, an engagement must be considered to choose the value of \( \alpha \), because an increase of this value reduces error in the determination of the model parameters, at the expense of to sacrifice the resolution.

Numerical procedure of the inversion process. Figure 11 shows a flow chart of this calculation process. The starting data fixed in this numerical procedure are (for each prism): the density contrast \( \Delta \rho_x \), the base of the prism \( (S) \) and the initial height of the prism \( (x_0) \). The observed Bouguer anomaly, \( \Delta g_{ob} \) vector, is also fixed during all the calculation process. Thus, only the heights of the prisms are changed, through the \( \Delta x \) vector, during the calculation process performed to fit the observed data given by the \( \Delta g_{ob} \) vector. The calculation process is concluded when the norm of the differences between theoretical and observed data is minimal. In Figure 11, forward modelling is carried out by Formula (1), the computing of partial derivatives of attraction is made from Nagy formula by partial derivation, and the computing of damped generalized inversion is carried out by Formula (7). The resolution and the error are computed by the

\[ C = (B^T B + \alpha I)^{-1} B^T \]

where \( \alpha \) is the damping parameter and \( I \) is the identity matrix. The parameter \( \alpha \) is introduced because it stabilizes the solution of the inverse problem. The addition of this factor in the main diagonal of matrix \( B^T B \) increases the magnitude of its eigenvalues, avoiding the problems caused by small or zero eigenvalues that make the matrix \( B^T B \) singular or nearly singular. Substituting the relation called single value decomposition of \( B \)

\[ B = U_p A_p V_p^T \]
Formulas (8) and (9), respectively. The value of $\alpha$ is chosen as the value that produces less error for a resolution as good as possible.

7. Moho Undulation Determination

Sedimentary layer attraction. The wave number filtering performed in section 4 removes the short wavelength effects in the gravity data, but the wave-number spectrum of most shallow features, as the sedimentary cover of the study area, are broadband. Therefore, the spectrum of features at shallow depths overlaps spectrum of deep features (as Moho undulation). Consequently, the effects of these shallow features cannot be removed completely by filtering. This fact does necessary the computation of gravity effects due to these shallow features, by forward modelling. Later, these effects can be removed of the gravity anomaly field by subtraction, letting only the gravity effects due to the deep features. To do this, we need to collect all the available geophysical and geological information, to purpose a density distribution corresponding to these shallow features, as the sedimentary cover. Thus, the size of the study area is limited by the gravity data distribution and the geological and geophysical information, because the study area must be well covered by data to assure the reliability of the results obtained.

In Figure 12, we can see the study area divided in three overlapping zones with a size of $6 \times 6$ degrees.
three overlapping zones that are fitted to the area covered by the gravity data, avoiding the zones with scarcity of data. Each zone with $6^\circ \times 6^\circ$ of size is divided in $30 \times 30$ prisms, as it is shown in Figure 13. We have then 900 prisms in each zone ($6^\circ \times 6^\circ$), with the same base $0.2^\circ \times 0.2^\circ$ for each prism, but different height given by the thickness of the sedimentary layer, as it can be see in Figure 14. The corresponding attraction, shown in Figure 15, is calculated by forward modelling (as it was described in the previous section) with a density contrast of $0.34 \text{ g/cm}^3$. The density contrast is assumed constant for all the zones and prisms. This density contrast is obtained as the difference between the media density of the sediments ($2.38 \text{ g/cm}^3$) and the media density for the upper crust ($2.72 \text{ g/cm}^3$). The density values used in this modelling are given, for this study area, by Mickus and Jallouli [13].

**Moho undulation.** After the computation of the gravity attraction of the sedimentary cover, we remove this attraction from the gravity anomaly field shown in Figure 8, obtaining then the observed gravity data (vector $\mathbf{A}^\text{obs}$ of the flow chart shown in Figure 11) needed for the inverse process. Figure 16 shows this gravity anomaly field (vector $\mathbf{A}^\text{g}$). Nevertheless, to start the inversion process (as it has been described in the previous section) is also necessary to have some initial model, constituted by an initial Moho undulation and its corresponding density contrast.

The density contrast of $0.34 \text{ g/cm}^3$ is assumed constant for all study area for depths greater than 20 km (media depth of the Conrad discontinuity). This density contrast is obtained as the difference between the lower-crust media density ($2.96 \text{ g/cm}^3$) and upper-mantle media density ($3.30 \text{ g/cm}^3$). For depths less than 20 km, we consider a density contrast of $0.58 \text{ g/cm}^3$, which is obtained as the difference between the upper-crust media density ($2.72 \text{ g/cm}^3$) and upper-mantle media density ($3.30 \text{ g/cm}^3$). These values of density are given by Mickus and Jallouli [13], whose located the Conrad discontinuity at 20 km of media depth and the Moho discontinuity at 30 km of media depth.

Respect to the starting Moho undulation, we can find this initial surface applying the Vening Meinesz’ theory
of isostasy, as it was described in section 5, considering a suitable value for the degree of regionality. We take this value as 26 km, because the Moho surface obtained for this value gives, through forward modelling, a gravity attraction field that is the most near to the observed gravity filed (as it can be seen by comparison between Figures 16 and 18). Figure 17 shows this starting Moho undulation obtained for the degree of regionality of 26 km. Figure 18 shows the theoretical gravity anomaly obtained, by forward modelling, from this starting model. Once the starting data of the inversion process have been established, we can proceed to invert the gravity data as it was described in the previous section, obtaining the final Moho undulation shown in Figure 19. The errors in the Moho depth are computed by Formula (9). These errors raging between 0.02 and 0.7 km of standard deviation, but the major part of them are around 0.5 km.

8. Interpretation and Conclusions

The results presented in this paper show that the inversion of gravity data is a powerful tool, to investigate the structure of the crust and upper mantle. By means of this technique are revealed the principal structural features beneath of Morocco. Such features are the existence of lateral and vertical heterogeneity in the study area, as it is concluded when we see Figure 20, in which a general picture of the lithospheric structure is shown from 0 to 50 km. In this Figure, several Moho profiles performed in the study area show the different ways to make thin the crust.
Figure 20. Crustal and upper mantle structure for several paths, showing the principal patterns of crustal thinning in Morocco.
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