Agent Petri Nets Framework for Modeling Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilm Formation
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Abstract

This Staphylococcus epidermidis has been discovered as the most frequent germ detected during indwelling medical devices infection. This fact is well attached with the ability of this bacterium to form structured layered population known as biofilm. Inside S. epidermidis biofilm, bacterial cells present more different behavior than in their planktonic counterpart. This paper describes the thriving application of Petri net theory for modeling of interaction between different regulations actors leading S. epidermidis to switch from Planctonik to Biofilm. Indeed this biologic system is very sensible and has dangerous effect. We propose Agent Petri Nets model to describe and analyze the process of formation of Biofilm molecule. This model presents a formal framework based on Multi Agents system characteristics.
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1. Introduction

Inside biological system, cells are composed by thousands of components that interact in a myriad of ways. Despite this interconnection, it is necessary to classify these networks of cells according to their biological function.

The emerging of systems biology with multi-disciplinary field is involved to the study of the relationships between various parts of a biological system, and modeling method. They are vital role in the drive to present
the processes of life. Advancements in experimental technologies in biology and medicine have generated an amount of biological data. Many different molecular cell processes interact and change their behavior quickly. So, we need develop methods for exploring this various data. Much formalism from the fields of biology, mathematics and the computer sciences is used to integrate, represent and analyze the vast amount of biological data.

To understand the functioning of complex biological systems, it is necessary to model the interactions that take place. In fact, the use of a formal method is crucial to prevent ambiguities, uncertainties and even contradictions to appear in dynamic biological systems. Petri Nets allow the analysis of qualitative structural to quantitative behavioral properties. PNs are effective for the modeling of molecular networks [1]. In fact, the mathematical formalism of Petri net theory is able to encompass many of these techniques. Various extensions to the original theory of Petri nets have been used for modeling molecular biology systems and metabolic [2]. Such systems permit to coordinate various molecules. We propose in this work to model this molecule as agent and biologic system as Multi agent system. The specification of biologic system is complex and each entity can interact and communicate in a dynamic environment. Indeed the complexity of the systems studied is increasing. The precision, reliability and the hardness have become difficult factors to reach. Therefore, the integration of a mathematical tool offers an exact way, in presence of graphic tools, to succeed the conception of these systems, especially the multi agent systems.

This paper focuses on the theoretical foundations of modeling Biological Systems based on Agent Petri Nets. Section 2 introduces various preliminaries, including the advantages notion of Multi Agents System and Agent Petri nets. Section 3 discusses the specification of Biological systems, such the properties as Multi Agent System of this system is introduced. Section 4 shows how to create a general framework to model Biological systems based on Agent Petri nets. Related work is discussed in Section 6. Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. Preliminaries

This section introduces basic concepts related to Multi Agents System and Petri nets. Moreover, we introduce the notion of Agent Petri Nets. This formalism will be used to descript and model Biological systems.

2.1. Multi Agents System

Multi agents system is used to model complex systems which can be decomposed into several interacting entities called agents.

An agent is defined as an autonomous entity capable of communicating with other agents to partially discern at least its environment and the objects that surround it, and to have correct or erroneous representations about the behaviors of a part or the set of the gents of the environment. So, contrary to the objects, an agent possesses an autonomous behavior. It is capable of taking some decisions and establishing plans of actions to accomplish complex activities. An intelligent agent resides in a dynamic environment and can realize autonomous actions in order to achieve its goals. In deeded, the most important reason to implement agent paradigm when designing a complex a system such Biologic system, is that agent has the potential and the competence to assure the reliability of the modeling process. The multi agent system is expected to be autonomous, adaptable robust and distributed. Multi agent systems can be involves two main concepts: agent and environment. The most important actions in MAS specification is that of communication and interaction among the agents.

Several researches treated the concept of formal descriptions of multi agent system. Formal descriptions aim to assess proprieties and to provide formal specifications of this complex system.

2.2. Petri Nets

Petri Nets may serve as convenient formalism integrating quantitative and qualitative modeling and analysis techniques. Petri Nets are often used in the context of Biological systems. Various models employ Petri Nets as the internal representation used for process analyzing Biological system.

**Definition 1** (Petri Net): A Petri Net is a Tuple \( N = (P, T, F) \) consists of two finite, nonempty, and disjoint sets of Places \( P \) and set of Transitions \( T \), a flow relation \( F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P) \), \( P \cup T \neq \emptyset \) and \( P \cap T = \emptyset \). \( N \) can be define as \( (N, M_0) = (P, T, F, M_0) , t \in T , \forall M \in R(N, M_0) , \exists M' \in R(N, M) : M'[t] > . \)
M₀ presents the initial marking. Places and transitions are collectively called nodes. For a node \( x \in N \), we define its pre-nodes by \( \times x = \{ y | (y, x) > F \} \) and its pre-nodes by \( \times' x = \{ y | (y, x) > F \} \).

**Definition 2** (Behavior): Transition \( t \in T \) is enabled in marking \( m \in \mathbb{N}^T \) iff, for all \( p \in \mathbb{T} \), \( m(p) \geq W(p, t) \). We denote this by \( m \xrightarrow{t} m' \). If \( t \) is enabled, \( t \) can fire in \( m \), yielding marking \( m' \) where, for all \( p \in P \), \( m'(p) = m(p) - W(p, t) + W(t, p) \) under the assumption that \( W(x, y) \) is set to 0 for \( \{ x, y \} \notin F \). This relation is denoted by \( m \xrightarrow{t} m' \).

### 2.3. Agent Petri Nets [3]

Most of the result presented in the paper, can be adapted for various Multi Agent System. However, we use Agent Petri Nets to formalize the main framework of Biological Systems and to prove their correctness.

Agent Petri nets was introduced in [3]-[5]. An Agent Petri Nets is defined as being a directed bipartit graph that has two types of nodes (place and transition). Every transition carries the functions that manipulate the internal state and the behavior of an Agent (Token) in its environment. The distribution of the tokens in the places at a given moment is called marking of the Agent Petri nets. A marking gives the state of the system that depends on the interaction between the entities that compose it. The change in internal state or the behavior of every Agent or of the set of system is assured by Agents functions.

We present a description of most functionality of APN by the following definitions:

**Definition 3**: Agent Petri Nets.

In a formal manner, Agent Petri Nets defined by the 9-uplet:

\[ (P, T, A, \text{Meadow}, \text{Post}, \text{Prj}, F, \text{Ft}, \text{Env}) \]

where:
- \( P \): a non-empty finished set of places;
- \( T \): a non-empty finished set of transitions;
- \( A \): a non-empty finished set of agents;
- \( \text{Meadow} \): \( \{ P \times T \} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}^T} \) an application of front incidence;
- \( \text{Post} \): \( \{ P \times T \} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}^T} \) a back application of incidence corresponds to the arcs;
- \( \text{Prj} \): pre condition of firing;
- \( F(Ai, Aj) \): agent relation function presenting the condition of firing;
- \( \text{Ft} \): function agent that uses 3 variables: \( \text{Ft}(tk) = \{ \text{Per}, \text{Value}, \text{Inter} \} \);
- \( \text{Env} \): Environment of work that describes Multi Agent System;

**Definition 4**: Function of Adherence (Relative to an Agent).

This function gives rise to a relation between an agent and its environment.

In a formal manner, the adherence function of an agent \( Ai \), in an environment \( \text{Env}_k \) noted \( \text{Ap}_a \) is defined by:

\[ \forall Ai \in A \text{ and } \forall \text{Env}_k \subseteq \text{Env}, \exists \text{Ap}_a = \text{Ap}_a (Ai, \text{Env}_k, b, d); d \in Z' \]

where:
- \( b \): constraint = \( \text{Prj} \) (b = 0 or b = 1): the engagement of \( Ai \) in \( \text{Env}_k \).
- \( d = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{card}(\text{Env}_j(Ai))} \): adherence degree: altogether gives the number of times that the agent \( Ai \) has been engaged in \( \text{Env}_k \).

**Definition 5**: Function Agent \( \text{Ft} \).

The function agent describes the relation between two agents. It modifies the values descended directly of an agent. These define the capacity to discern and to react to the modifications occurred in its environment. Generally, it is written as follows:

\[ \text{Ft}(tk) = \{ \text{Per}, \text{Value}, \text{Inter} \} \]

**Definition 6**: Cardinality.

The cardinality of an elementary (Agent) in a group of elements \( \text{Env} \) (all environments) describes the membership of this element, or a subgroup. We must ensure that:

\[ \forall Ai \in A, \forall k \subset I, j \in J, \exists \text{Cont}(Ai, K, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Ai \notin K \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases} \]
We define a constraint on an Agent by the Boolean function: \( \text{Cont} (A_i, K, j) \).

\( \text{Cont}(A_i, K, j) \) is defined as a pre firing condition from a Transition \( T \) to a place \( P \). In a formal way, we define a constraint on completion of a place \( P \). According to the theory of parts: \( A_i \in K \implies \{A_i\} \subseteq K \implies \{A_i\} \in P(K) \setminus \emptyset \).

Let \( K \) and \( I \) both sets. One can verify that \( K \) is a subset of \( I \):

\[
X_K(I) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } K \subseteq I \\
0 & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\forall A_i \in I \left[ X_{\{A_i\}}(K) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \{A_i\} \subseteq K \Leftrightarrow A_i \in K \right]
\]

With this basic description of Agent Petri Nets, we introduce in this paper other extension of models. We create a general framework for \textit{MAS, special for Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilm Formation}.

3. Molecular Basis of \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis} Biofilm Formation

Inside \textit{S. epidermidis} biofilm, bacterial cells present different behavior than in their planktonic counterpart. Much knowledge is gathered concerning molecular mechanism and cells behavior inside biofilm toward external environment. Biofilm formation in \textit{S. epidermidis} is a four-step process, it begins with initial cell attachment to native or conditioned aiotic surface, the second step, known as accumulation step, is marked with active cell multiplication and multi-layers population forming, the third step is the biofilm maturation during which biofilm micro-colonies takes a mushroom like form owing to metrical components distribution. The last step is the detachment of cells which regains their planktonic statute [6]. Different genes involved in biofilm formation in \textit{S. Epidermidis} are under complex regulation in time and in space, indeed, an important genes network interacting together and with different targets involved directly or not in biofilm formation are behind the chronologically organized growth phases as well as the defined structure of \textit{S. epiermidis} biofilm.

\textbf{icaR gene}

IcaR, the fifth gene of ica operon, is located upstream to icaADBC genes. This gene is divergently transcribed from the other ica genes [7].

\textbf{TcaR gene}

The Teicoplan in Associated Regulator “TcaR” was reported as a negative regulator of ica transcription since inactivation of this gene enhanced the transcriptional level of icaADBC [8].

\textbf{rbF gene}

Rbf (regulator of biofilm) is a member of AraC/XylS transcriptional regulators family. This protein was reported to play an important role in biofilm formation in \textit{S. epidermidis} [9].

\textbf{rsbu genes and sigmaB factor}

In \textit{S. epidermidis}, sigma B (\( \sigma_B \)) alternative factor plays a key role in the relationship of bacterial cell to its external environment (Figure 1). Indeed this factor is activated by numerous environmental stresses including high temperature, high osmolarity, antibiotics, or extreme pH [10].

\textbf{Sar genes}

Sar proteins were classified in three sub-families basing on their structural properties. The first subfamily contains proteins acting as homodimers and binds DNA with a single DNA binding domain [12]. As conclusion, SarA enables \textit{S. epidermidis} to switch between mechanisms of biofilm formation, ensuring the adaptation of this bacteriumo hostile environment [13].

\textbf{Quorum Sensing Systems Agroperon}

The accessory gene regulator (agr) quorum sensing system is a chromosomal operon encoding two divergently transcribed transcripts, RNAII and RNAIII [14] (Figure 2).

\textbf{LuxS system}

LuxS is a two component system described first in [15] and found in \textit{S. epidermidis} as well as in variety of Gramnegative and Gram-positive species [16]. We can present the Global Interaction between different regulation actors by Figure 3.
Figure 1. Sigma B factor molecular pathway [11].

Figure 2. Agr quorum sensing system molecular pathway [14].

Figure 3. Global Interaction leading S. epidermidis to switch from Planctonik to Biofilm.
4. APN Model for Biofilm Formation

This section aims at making aware of formal, mathematically precise, approaches to the faithful modeling of biofilm formation processes. We will investigate the possibilities provided by the framework of Agent Petri nets and computer tools for the analysis and representation of developmental processes.

The formal definition of a conceptual graph with respect to *S. epidermidis* Biofilm formation is given in the following subsection.

**Definition 1.**

The set of tasks $T_S$ can be performed by a group of Genes $A$ and can obtain new set of performed tasks noted $T_{sj}$. This change can’t infect the behaviour or the structure of any gene (Figure 4). The Gene $A_i$ employed to perform a task $ts_j$ leading to achieve some goals.

In formal manner this reaction is defined by:

$$\forall ts_j \in T_s \text{ and } \forall A_i \in A, \exists \text{Perfomred}(A_i, ts_j) = \langle A_i, ts_j \rangle$$

where:
- $\{ts_j \in \{T_s\}$;
- $T_S = \{ts_1, ts_2, ..., ts_j\}$ : set of tasks;
- $A = \{A_1, A_2, ..., A_i\}$ : set of genes;
- $\{ts_j \in \{T_s\}$ : set of performed tasks;

We can deduce that: $\{A_i \times T_S \xrightarrow{\text{Performed}} T_s \}$.

**Definition 2**

The set of tasks $T_S$ can be performed by a group of Genes $A$ and can obtain a new set of performed tasks noted $T_{sj}$. This change can infect the behaviour and the structure of the set of Genes. The Gene $A_i$ employed to perform a task $ts_j$ leading to achieve some Goals. This Gene undergoes behavioural and structural changes.

In formal manner this reaction is defined by:

$$\forall ts_j \in T_s \text{ and } \forall A_i \in A, \exists \text{Perfomred / TG}(A_i, ts_j) = \langle A_i, ts_j \rangle$$

where:
- $\{ts_j \in \{T_s\}$;
- $T_S = \{ts_1, ts_2, ..., ts_j\}$ : set of tasks.

![Figure 4. Performed Reaction without Change of Gene.](image-url)
A = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_i\} : set of genes;
.Ts = \{t_{s1}, t_{s2}, \ldots, t_{sj}\} : set of performed tasks;
.TG = \{N, D, S, DI, Is, Iv, R, C\} : set of genes transformation.

where:
N: New relation between genes;
D: Destruction of gene;
S: Substitution: base is replaced by one of the other three bases;
DI: Deletion: block of one or more DNA pairs are lost;
Is: Insertion: block of one or more DNA pairs are added;
Iv: Inversion: 180° rotation of DNA piece;
R: Reciprocal translocation: parts of no homologous chromosomes change places;
C: Chromosomal rearrangements: affect many genes at one time.

After perform a Reaction there are a behavioural and a structural changes of Gene noted by: performed/TG. We obtain new instance of Gene Ai (Figure 5): \{Ai\} \times \{Tsj\} \xrightarrow{\text{Performed/TG}} \{Ai\}.

In this case: \{Ai\} \times \{Tsj\} \xrightarrow{\text{Performed/TG}} \{Tsj\} / \{Ai\}.

Definition 1 presents a formal description of performed task (reaction). After firing the transition Performed there are new result (.tsj). This action can’t infect the gene:
\{Ai\} \times \{Tsj\} \xrightarrow{\text{Performed}} \{Tsj\}

But, in Definition 2, after firing the transition \xrightarrow{\text{Performed/TG}} there are behavioural and structural changes of Gene Ai. The transformation of gene can be present by one state of the set of transformations TG, where
.TG = \{N, D, S, DI, Is, Iv, R, C\}:
\{Ai\} \times \{Tsj\} \xrightarrow{\text{Performed/TG}} \{Tsj\} / \{Ai\}

In most of Biologic system reaction, there are major changes in behaviour and in structure of gene. So Performed is a particular case of Performed/TG.

Definition 3
We define the function given the set of result of reaction Ts performed by a set of Gene Ai related to the transition Tk by: Perfect (Tk) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \text{Performed}(Ai, Tsj).

In Petri Nets model, Perfect (tk) presents a condition of firing the transition Tk. Figure 6 presents an example of Performed reaction achieved by set of Gene.
We transform the APN model to a Matrix of Gene Transformation. This matrix mention in each line the transformation achieved for the Gene Ai when there are perform of reaction tsj.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ts1</th>
<th>ts2</th>
<th>ts3</th>
<th>ts4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>ts1 / t1</td>
<td>ts2 / t3</td>
<td>ts3 / t2</td>
<td>ts4 / t3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>ts1 / t1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ts3 / t2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ts2 / t3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ts4 / t3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example, \(\text{Performed} / TG(A_1, ts_1) = .ts_1 / t_1\) and \(\text{Performed} / TG(A_5, ts_4) = .ts_4 / t_3\). We deduce that:
\[
\text{Performed} / TG(A_i, ts_j) = ts_j / t_1.
\]

**Definition 4**

Any reaction \(ts_j\) can be performed by a set of Gene \(A\). We define:
\[
\text{Performed}_\text{Gene}(ts_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{\#Gene}} A_i
\]

Using the same APN model presented in **Figure 7**, we can deduce that:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Performed}_\text{Gene}(ts_1) &= \{A_1, A_2\}, \\
\text{Performed}_\text{Gene}(ts_2) &= \{A_1, A_5\}, \\
\text{Performed}_\text{Gene}(ts_3) &= \{A_1, A_3\}, \\
\text{Performed}_\text{Gene}(ts_4) &= \{A_1, A_5\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Performed}_\text{Gene}(ts_5) = \emptyset.
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 5**

A goal of set of Gene is defined as the achievement of all reaction planned for the total of biologic system (**Figure 7**): \(\text{Goal} (G_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{\#Goal}} T_{i1}\).

In APN model, we use Inhibitor arc to firing the transition \(T_2\). In this state all reaction \(ts_j\) are performed successfully.

**Mobility of Gene**

We define the migration model of mobile Gene by a following Sub Petri Nets:
\[
\text{RMig} = \{P, P_{\text{pred}}, P_{\text{succ}}, T_{\text{Env}_{i,j}}\}
\]

where:
- \(P\): a non-empty finished set of Places;
- \(P_{\text{pred}}\): \(P_{\text{pred}} \times T_{\text{Env}_{i,j}} \rightarrow \text{Env}_a\): an application of front incidence corresponds to environment of departure;
- \(P_{\text{succ}}\): \(\text{Env}_a \times \text{Mig} \rightarrow \text{Env}_d\): a back application of incidence environment of arrival;
- \(T_{\text{Env}_{i,j}}\): is a non-empty finished set of Transitions (environment of departure \(\text{Env}_i\), or of Arrival \(\text{Env}_j\)).

Where:
- \(n\): number of Places;
- \(k\): number of place in \(\text{Env}_1\);
- \(n-k\): number of Places in \(\text{Env}_2\);
- \(P_{\text{Env}_1}\): final state of Agents in \(\text{Env}_1\);
- \(P_{\text{Env}_2}\): initial state of Agents in \(\text{Env}_2\);
- \(\downarrow \text{Env}_1\): Output of \(\text{Env}_1\);
- \(\uparrow \text{Env}_2\): Input of \(\text{Env}_2\);
- \(\leftrightarrow \text{Env}_{i,j}\): Interface between \(\text{Env}_1\) and \(\text{Env}_2\).

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{\text{pred}} &= \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5\} \downarrow \text{Env}_1 \\
P_{\text{succ}} &= \{P_6, P_7, P_8, P_9\} \uparrow \text{Env}_2
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 7.** Achievement of all reaction in biologic system.
5. APN Model for SigmaB Factor Molecular Pathway

In this section we present an APN model for modeling *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Biofilm Formation (Figure 8). We define all reaction that can be performing by all Genes in the reaction system.

\[
P = \left\{ \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=k} P_i, P_o \right\} \downarrow \text{Env}_1 \cup \left\{ P_{o1}, \bigcup_{i=k+1}^{i=k+2} P_i \right\} \downarrow \text{Env}_2 \right\}
\]

\[
P = \downarrow \text{Env}_1 \cup \uparrow \text{Env}_2 = P \leftrightarrow \text{TE}_{v1,2} = P_{\text{pred}} \cup P_{\text{suc}}
\]

\[
P = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=k} P_i, P_{o1}, P_{o2}, P_{o3}, \bigcup_{i=k+1}^{i=k+2} P_i \right\}
\]

**Figure 8. APN Model for modeling Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilm Formation.**
Table 1. Method for modelling Biological system [2].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BN</th>
<th>Bay</th>
<th>PN</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>CB</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>RB</th>
<th>ISM</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>AB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signaling</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene regulatory</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As presented exactly in (Daniel and al 11), overview of the amount of literature references for each formalism classified by the type of biological process. (+) Few references; (++) Several references; (BN) Boolean networks; (Bay) Bayesian networks; (PN) Petri nets; (PA) Process algebras; (CB) Constraint-based models; (DE) Differential equations; (RB) Rule-based models; (ISM) Interacting state machines; (CA) Cellular automata; (AB) Agent-based models.

6. Related Work

Much formalism has been used to model Biological Systems, in part due to the various phenomena that occur in those systems. The second part due to multi-disciplinarily of research groups. Formal Method for Biologists may be more familiar with mathematical modelling and computer scientists. Several works has been discussed the dichotomy between mathematical and computational models elsewhere such in [14]. Indeed, using mathematical models of cellular metabolism, it is possible to automatism test of generate sub-optimal phenotypes for specific applications [9]. Although different formal approaches has been questioned if there is such Petri Nets models. [17] proposes colored Petri Nets to simulate enzymatic reaction process: token is a pair encompassing the name and the concentration of the related substrate. Transitions present a kinetic function. [13] proves that with standard PNs we can modeling the essential components in biochemical pathways, and that PN models can be used to perform a qualitative analysis. In those models, places represent reactants, products or enzymes. Whereas transitions represent reactions [15], it was elaborated a Physicochemical modeling of cell signaling pathways. They address the model design process, as well as, model verification, interpretation validation, calibration and publication of models. Another recent review on the modeling of signaling networks can be found in [8]. Recently an excellent review was elaborated by [18] for Modeling Signaling Networks with Different Formalisms. In Table 1, [2] summarizes some of the literature references reviewed herein, classified by type of intracellular process implemented.

7. Conclusions

We present in this paper a formal framework based on Multi agent System and Petri Nets to model Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilm Formation. We provided a high-level description for this formalization, with a semantics given using the Agent Petri Nets. All process was present rigorously and clearly with APN. This method can be used to describe the reaction among molecules, their interaction and their transformation. With this framework it’s easy to present the behavior and the structure of each entity or the total of biologic system. Such system has a dynamic behavior, quickly generation of result and incomprehensible reaction. The objective of the dynamic model consists in proposing a formal method to understand the functioning of the Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilm Formation and it is possible to perform formal analyses on environments thus described.

The ability to predict system behavior with an APN helps evaluate model completeness as well as improve our understanding of the function of biological systems. In fact, the meaning facets framework establishes a new methodology for computer-aided collaborative modeling in Systems Biology.

Our meaning facets are also a way for structuring and clarifying our understanding of bio-models. Due to the graphical visualization of biologic system by Petri nets, a bioscientist can intuitively understand the modeled process.
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