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ABSTRACT

An error matrix equation based on error matrix theory was presented in previous research of the error-eliminating theory. The purpose of solving the error matrix equation is to create a decision support on how to switch from bad to good status. A matrix based on error logic is used to express current status \( u \), expectant status \( u_1 \) and transformation matrix \( T \). It is \( u, u_1, \) and \( T \) that are used to build error matrix Equation \( Tu = u_1 \). This allows us to find a method whereby bad status “\( u \)” changes to good status “\( u_1 \)” by solving the equation. The conversion method that transform from current to expectant status can be obtained from the transformation matrix \( T \). On this basis, this paper proposes a new kind of error matrix equation named “containing-type error matrix equation”. This equation is more suitable for analyzing the realistic question. The method of solving, existence and form of solution for this type of equation have been presented in this paper. This research provides a potential useful new technique for decision analysis.
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1. Introduction

The truth is always expected; however, error should be avoided and eliminated firstly in order to seek truth. On the one hand, it should be known why and how the error arises with the aim of avoiding and eliminating errors; on the other hand, it should be known how to eradicate it when error appears. Moreover, within a faultless system, proposition and decision can also be naturally transferred into being defective in normal conditions, because of the passage of time, changes in natural conditions, or development of science. So, errors are required to be eliminated continuously.

Chinese scholar Professor Guo Kaizhong has found the error-eliminating theory since the 1980s, and has taken the study of error to a new level.

The error-eliminating theory is an emerging subject, which is used to study error elimination [1]. The theory of error-eliminating defines the objective system, in which one error factor at least is included to characterize and eliminate errors. Error setting could be termed as \( C = \{u, x\} | u \in U, X = f \{G \Rightarrow u, f \subseteq U \times R\} \). As mentioned in the book “Theory of Error Sets” [2], all unary or multiple error sets have been defined in error matrix. In the book “Error Logic” [3], every element \( (u, x) \) is expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
(U, S(t), p(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n), T(t), L(t), \langle x(t) = f ((u(t), p), G_u (i) \rangle, G_u (i) \rangle)
\end{align*}
\]

from the standpoint of logic theory, where \( U \) is discussing domain; \( S(t) \) is the objects of \( u \); \( p(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) \) is the space under consideration, and \( \psi_n \) is used to define the dimensions in the space; \( T(t) \) is the characteristic of the described objects; \( L(t) \) is the value of \( T(t) \); \( x(t) = f ((u(t), p), G_u (i) \rangle \) is error function; \( G_u (i) \) are decision rules. As shown in the above function, object \( u(x) \) and rule \( G_u (i) \) are set as independent variables. In addition to this, Guo Kaizhong, Liu Shiyong and Li Min [5-7] have studied Logical relationship among various types of error logical words.

Error matrix based on error logic is a useful method of modeling for scenes. \( X, A \) and \( B \) could be structured as an error matrix equation for three error matrix, such as \( XA = B \). \( XA = B \) is just a concrete form of error matrix equation \( T(u) = u_1 \). By means of solving the error matrix equation, matrix \( X \) of error logic transformation can be obtained, which can be used in reasoning regarding the question. \( X \) allows us to find a method, in which bad status “\( A \)” changes into good status “\( B \)”. Guo Kaizhong and Min
Xilin [8-10] have studied the solution of equation $XA = B$, in which a containing-type error matrix, such as $XA \supseteq B$, was found to be more suited for analyzing the actual question. In this paper, it is attempted to solve the containing-type error matrix in order to seek new ways of error-elimination.

2. Error Matrix Equation

2.1. Equational-Type Error Matrix Equation

In the previous research, all of the error matrix equations have been classified into two types (see Table 1). There are five kinds of equations in each type. Meanwhile, there are three constraints on the solution of the equation: The first is an objective condition, named “$kg$”; the second is a stipulation that has been confirmed, named “$rw$”; the third is the restriction of requirement, named “$xq$”.

In common situations, these three restrictions above can be shown by certain sets. The factors in the matrix and the solving of the matrix equations are also measured in a certain set. Therefore, we can use the intersection method of solving sets which includes the matrix equation and ignores these three restrictions in solving the process.

2.2. Containing-Type Error Matrix Equation

In order to analyze practical issues, we propose a containing-type error matrix equation (see Table 2).

3. Solving a Containing-Type Error Matrix Equation

In the following, let us now consider how to solve the second type of Equation (1): $XA \supseteq B$.

\[
X = (u, x_i) = \left( U_1, S_1(t), p_1, T_1(t), L_1(t), x_i(t) = f_1((u(t), p), G_{U1}(t)), G_{U1}(t) \right)
\]

\[
A = \left( U_2, S_2(t), p_2, T_2(t), L_2(t), x_2(t) = f_2((u(t), p_2), G_{U2}(t)), G_{U2}(t) \right)
\]

Table 1. Classification of equational-type error matrix equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Meaning of operator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$AX = B$</td>
<td>$XA = B$</td>
<td>Generic matrix multiplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A \cdot X = B$</td>
<td>$X \cdot A = B$</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$A \triangle X = B$</td>
<td>$X \triangle A = B$</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$A \vee X = B$</td>
<td>$X \vee A = B$</td>
<td>Or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$A \land X = B$</td>
<td>$X \land A = B$</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Classification of containing-type error matrix equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Meaning of operator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$AX \supseteq B$</td>
<td>$XA \supseteq B$</td>
<td>Generic matrix multiplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A \cdot X \supseteq B$</td>
<td>$X \cdot A \supseteq B$</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$A \triangle X \supseteq B$</td>
<td>$X \triangle A \supseteq B$</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$A \vee X \supseteq B$</td>
<td>$X \vee A \supseteq B$</td>
<td>Or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$A \land X \supseteq B$</td>
<td>$X \land A \supseteq B$</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
\begin{align*}
B &= \begin{bmatrix}
(b_{11}, y_{11}) & (b_{12}, y_{12}) & \cdots & (b_{1m1}, y_{1m1}) \\
(b_{21}, y_{21}) & (b_{22}, y_{22}) & \cdots & (b_{2m1}, y_{2m1}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(b_{m1}, y_{m1}) & (b_{m2}, y_{m2}) & \cdots & (b_{mm}, y_{mm})
\end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
V_{201} & S_{F, 201}(t) & P_{F, 201}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) & T_{F, 201}(t) & L_{F, 201}(t) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
V_{21j} & S_{F, 21j}(t) & P_{F, 21j}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) & T_{F, 21j}(t) & L_{F, 21j}(t) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
V_{2m21} & S_{F, 2m21}(t) & P_{F, 2m21}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) & T_{F, 2m21}(t) & L_{F, 2m21}(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose

\[
X' A' = \begin{bmatrix}
(w_{11}, z_{11}) & (w_{12}, z_{12}) & \cdots & (w_{1m1}, z_{1m1}) \\
(w_{21}, z_{21}) & (w_{22}, z_{22}) & \cdots & (w_{2m1}, z_{2m1}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(w_{m1}, z_{m1}) & (w_{m2}, z_{m2}) & \cdots & (w_{mm}, z_{mm})
\end{bmatrix},
\]

One element of the matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(w_y, z_y) = (U_{i,j} \wedge U_{j,i} \wedge S_{2i}(t) \wedge S_{2j}(t) \ p_{i,j} \wedge p_{j,i} \ T_{i,j}(t) \wedge T_{j,i}(t) \ L_{i,j}(t) \wedge L_{j,i}(t) \ x_{i,j}(t) \wedge x_{j,i}(t) \ G_{U/i,j}(t) \wedge G_{U/j,i}(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Therefore

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
U_{10, i} \wedge U_{20} \ S_{10, i}(t) \wedge S_{20}(t) \ p_{10, i} \wedge p_{20}(t) \ T_{10, i}(t) \wedge T_{20}(t) \ L_{10, i}(t) \wedge L_{20}(t) \ x_{10, i}(t) \wedge x_{20}(t) \ G_{U/10, i}(t) \wedge G_{U/20}(t) \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
U_{11, i} \wedge U_{21} \ S_{11, i}(t) \wedge S_{21}(t) \ p_{11, i} \wedge p_{21}(t) \ T_{11, i}(t) \wedge T_{21}(t) \ L_{11, i}(t) \wedge L_{21}(t) \ x_{11, i}(t) \wedge x_{21}(t) \ G_{U/11, i}(t) \wedge G_{U/21}(t) \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
U_{1m, i} \wedge U_{2m} \ S_{1m, i}(t) \wedge S_{2m}(t) \ p_{1m, i} \wedge p_{2m}(t) \ T_{1m, i}(t) \wedge T_{2m}(t) \ L_{1m, i}(t) \wedge L_{2m}(t) \ x_{1m, i}(t) \wedge x_{2m}(t) \ G_{U/1m, i}(t) \wedge G_{U/2m}(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{201} & S_{F, 201}(t) & P_{F, 201}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) & T_{F, 201}(t) & L_{F, 201}(t) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
V_{21j} & S_{F, 21j}(t) & P_{F, 21j}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) & T_{F, 21j}(t) & L_{F, 21j}(t) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
V_{2m21} & S_{F, 2m21}(t) & P_{F, 2m21}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) & T_{F, 2m21}(t) & L_{F, 2m21}(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
y_{F, 201}(t) = f_{F, 201}(\langle v(t), p_{F, 201}(t), G_{F, 201}(t) \rangle) \\
y_{F, 21j}(t) = f_{F, 21j}(\langle v(t), p_{F, 21j}(t), G_{F, 21j}(t) \rangle) \\
y_{F, 2m21}(t) = f_{F, 2m21}(\langle v(t), p_{F, 2m21}(t), G_{F, 2m21}(t) \rangle)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

According to the definition of matrix equivalent: \((w_y, z_y) \equiv (b_y, y_y)\).

So

\[
\begin{align*}
(U_{i,j} \wedge U_{j,i} \wedge S_{2i}(t) \wedge S_{2j}(t) \ p_{i,j} \wedge p_{j,i} \ T_{i,j}(t) \wedge T_{j,i}(t) \\
L_{i,j}(t) \wedge L_{j,i}(t) \ x_{i,j}(t) = f_{i,j}(\langle u(t), p_{i,j}(t), G_{U/i,j}(t) \rangle) \wedge x_{j,i}(t) \ G_{U/10, i}(t) \wedge G_{U/20}(t) & \equiv (b_y, y_y),
\end{align*}
\]
That is

\[
(U_{1x} \land U_{2j}) \land (S_{1x} (t) \land S_{2j} (t)) \land (P_{1x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{2j}) \\
L_{1x} (t) \land L_{2j} (t) \land x_{1x} (t) = f_{1x} \left( \left( U(t), P_{1x} \right), G_{U_{1x}} (t) \right) \land x_{2j} (t) \land G_{U_{2j}} (t) \land G_{U_{2j}} (t) \\
(\forall (V_{2y} \land S_{1y} (t) \land P_{1y} \land T_{1y} (t) \land T_{2y} (t) \land y_{1y} (t) \land y_{2y} (t) \land G_{1y} (t) \land G_{2y} (t)).
\]

i.e., according to the corresponding factors in these two matrixes, the equations below are obtained:

\[
U_{1x} \land U_{20} \supseteq V_{1x}, \\
S_{10x} (t) \land S_{20} (t) \supseteq V_{20}, \\
P_{10x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{20} \supseteq P_{10x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a), \\
T_{10x} (t) \land T_{20} (t) \supseteq T_{10x} (t), \\
L_{10x} (t) \land L_{20} (t) \supseteq L_{20} (t), \\
x_{10x} (t) \land x_{20} (t) \supseteq x_{20} (t), \\
G_{U_{10x}} (t) \land G_{U_{20}} (t) \supseteq G_{U_{20}} (t); \\
\vdots \\
(\forall (U_{1x} \land U_{2j}) \supseteq V_{1x}, \\
S_{10x} (t) \land S_{2j} (t) \supseteq V_{2j}, \\
P_{10x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{2j} \supseteq P_{10x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a), \\
T_{10x} (t) \land T_{2j} (t) \supseteq T_{2j} (t), \\
L_{10x} (t) \land L_{2j} (t) \supseteq L_{2j} (t), \\
x_{10x} (t) \land x_{2j} (t) \supseteq x_{2j} (t), \\
G_{U_{10x}} (t) \land G_{U_{2j}} (t) \supseteq G_{U_{2j}} (t).
\]

If both sides of the equation are all sets, operator “\land” means the operation of intersection. If both sides of the equation are values, operator “\land” means the operation of minimization.

In fact, the equation sets above are not irrelevant. After calculation, the factors of matrix can compose a complete proposition. This can be defined as

\[
(\forall (U_{1x} \land U_{2j}) h_1 (S_{1x} (t) \land S_{2j} (t)) \\
h_2 (P_{1} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{2j}) \supseteq h_3 (T_{1x} (t) \land T_{2j} (t)) \\
h_4 (L_{1x} (t) \land L_{2j} (t)) \supseteq h_5 (x_{1x} (t) \land x_{2j} (t)) \\
h_6 (G_{U_{1x}} (t) \land G_{U_{2j}} (t)).
\]

“\forall i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6” means a complete matrix element composition can be composed after calculating. The methods of composing are decided according to the specific situation. One way is the multiplication of \( m \times 7 \)-order error matrix, from which is composed a new error set or error logical proposition by using each parameter from calculation to be the corresponding parameter.

**Theorem 1.** A necessary and sufficient condition of solving error matrix equation \( X \neq B \) is that equation \( X_i \neq B_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m2 \) has a solution.

Proof: if \( X \neq B \) has a solution, according to the equivalent equations \( X \neq B \) and \( X_i \neq B_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m2 \), the equation \( X_i \neq B_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m2 \) should have a solution first; Vice versa, if \( X_i \neq B_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m2 \) has a solution, we also can know \( X \neq B \) has a solution by the same principle.

So, we can discuss the solution of equation \( X \neq B \), the same as when solving \( X_i \neq B_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m2 \).

With respect to \( X_i \neq B_i, \)

\[
\left( U_{1x} \land S_{1x} (t) \land P_{1x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land T_{1x} (t) \land L_{1x} (t) \land x_{1x} (t) = f_{1x} \left( \left( U(t), P_{1x} \right), G_{U_{1x}} (t) \right) \land G_{U_{1x}} (t) \right) A_i
\]

\[
:= \left( U_{1x} \land U_{20} \vee (S_{1x} (t) \land S_{20} (t)) \vee (P_{1x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{20}) \vee (T_{1x} (t) \land T_{20} (t)) \right) \vee \left( L_{1x} (t) \land L_{20} (t) \right) \vee \left( x_{1x} (t) \land x_{20} (t) \right) \vee (G_{U_{1x}} (t) \land G_{U_{20}} (t)) \\
\vdots \\
\left( U_{1x} \land U_{2j} \right) \vee \left( S_{1x} (t) \land S_{2j} (t) \right) \vee (P_{1x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{2j}) \vee (T_{1x} (t) \land T_{2j} (t)) \\
\vee \left( L_{1x} (t) \land L_{2j} (t) \right) \vee \left( x_{1x} (t) \land x_{2j} (t) \right) \vee (G_{U_{1x}} (t) \land G_{U_{2j}} (t)) \\
\vdots \\
\left( U_{1x} \land U_{2m} \right) \vee \left( S_{1x} (t) \land S_{2m} (t) \right) \vee (P_{1x} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_a) \land P_{2m}) \vee (T_{1x} (t) \land T_{2m} (t)) \\
\vee \left( L_{1x} (t) \land L_{2m} (t) \right) \vee \left( x_{1x} (t) \land x_{2m} (t) \right) \vee (G_{U_{1x}} (t) \land G_{U_{2m}} (t)) = \left( (b_{1i}, y_{1i}), (b_{12}, y_{12}), \ldots, (b_{im}, y_{im}) \right).
\]

i.e.
\[
(U_{12} \land U_{20}) \lor (S_{12} \land S_{20}) \lor (P_{12} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) \land P_{20}) \lor (T_{12} \land T_{20}) \lor (L_{12} \land L_{20}) \lor (x_{12} \land x_{20})
\]
\[
\lor (G_{12} \land G_{20}) \equiv (V_{20} S_{20} (x_{20}) \land p_{20} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) \land T_{20} \land L_{20} \land y_{20}) \land G_{20} (t);
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
(U_{12} \land U_{2j}) \lor (S_{12} \land S_{2j}) \lor (P_{12} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) \land p_{2j}) \lor (T_{12} \land T_{2j}) \lor (L_{12} \land L_{2j}) \lor (x_{12} \land x_{2j}) \lor (G_{12} \land G_{2j}) \equiv G_{2j} (t);
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
(U_{12} \land U_{2m}) \lor (S_{12} \land S_{2m}) \lor (P_{12} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) \land p_{2m}) \lor (T_{12} \land T_{2m}) \lor (L_{12} \land L_{2m}) \lor (x_{12} \land x_{2m}) \lor (G_{12} \land G_{2m}) \equiv G_{2m} (t);
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
(G_{12} \land G_{2m}) \equiv G_{2m} (t).
\]

**Theorem 2.** Necessary and sufficient condition of solving error matrix equation \( X, A' \equiv B \) is:
\[
U_{20} \equiv V_{20},
\]
\[
S_{20} (t) \equiv S_{20} (t),
\]
\[
p_{20} \equiv p_{20} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n),
\]
\[
T_{20} (t) \equiv T_{20} (t),
\]
\[
L_{20} (t) \equiv L_{20} (t),
\]
\[
x_{20} (t) \equiv y_{20} (t),
\]
\[
G_{20} (t) \equiv G_{20} (t);
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
U_{2j} \equiv V_{2j},
\]
\[
S_{2j} (t) \equiv S_{2j} (t),
\]
\[
p_{2j} \equiv p_{2j} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n),
\]
\[
T_{2j} (t) \equiv T_{2j} (t),
\]
\[
L_{2j} (t) \equiv L_{2j} (t),
\]
\[
x_{2j} (t) \equiv y_{2j} (t),
\]
\[
G_{2j} (t) \equiv G_{2j} (t);
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
U_{2m} \equiv V_{2m},
\]
\[
S_{2m} (t) \equiv S_{2m} (t),
\]
\[
p_{2m} \equiv p_{2m} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n),
\]
\[
T_{2m} (t) \equiv T_{2m} (t),
\]
\[
L_{2m} (t) \equiv L_{2m} (t),
\]
\[
x_{2m} (t) \equiv y_{2m} (t),
\]
\[
G_{2m} (t) \equiv G_{2m} (t).
\]
\( G_{U^{201}}(t) \supseteq G_{V^{201}}(t) \).

Proof of necessity: If one of above conditions is not satisfied, for example, condition \( S_{j_1}(t) \supseteq S_{j_2}(t) \), is not satisfied, then in equation
\( \left( S_{i_{1x}}(t) \wedge S_{j_2}(t) \right) \supseteq S_{j_1}(t) \), no matter what the value of \( S_{i_{1x}}(t) \) is, \( \left( S_{i_{1x}}(t) \wedge S_{j_2}(t) \right) \supseteq S_{j_1}(t) \) cannot be calculated.

Proof of sufficiency: The condition \( A \supseteq B \) is satisfied in error matrix equation \( X, A \supseteq B \), so the element of \( X_n \) which corresponds to element \( A \), take union of set. i.e.,
\[
U_{i_{1x}} = U_{201} \cup U_{21} \cup \cdots \cup U_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{2}, \quad S_{i_{1x}} = S_{20} \cup S_{21} \cup \cdots \cup S_{j_2} \cup \cdots \cup S_{2}, \quad P_{i_{1x}}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) = P_{201} \cup P_{201} \cup \cdots \cup P_{j_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{2}, \quad T_{i_{1x}} = T_{201} \cup T_{21} \cup \cdots \cup T_{j_2} \cup \cdots \cup T_{2},
\]
\[
a_{11} = \begin{cases} \left( U_{201} \quad S_{201}(t) \quad P_{201}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) \quad T_{201}(t) \quad L_{201}(t) \quad x_{201}(t) = f_{201}(u(t), p_{201}, G_{U^{201}}(t)) \quad G_{U^{201}}(t) \right), \\
\end{cases}
\]
\[
a_{12} = \begin{cases} \left( U_{202} \quad S_{202}(t) \quad P_{202}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) \quad T_{202}(t) \quad L_{202}(t) \quad x_{202}(t) = f_{202}(u(t), p_{202}, G_{U^{202}}(t)) \quad G_{U^{202}}(t) \right), \\
\end{cases}
\]
\[
a_{21} = \begin{cases} \left( U_{211} \quad S_{211}(t) \quad P_{211}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) \quad T_{211}(t) \quad L_{211}(t) \quad x_{211}(t) = f_{211}(u(t), p_{211}, G_{U^{211}}(t)) \quad G_{U^{211}}(t) \right), \\
\end{cases}
\]
\[
a_{22} = \begin{cases} \left( U_{212} \quad S_{212}(t) \quad P_{212}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) \quad T_{212}(t) \quad L_{212}(t) \quad x_{212}(t) = f_{212}(u(t), p_{212}, G_{U^{212}}(t)) \quad G_{U^{212}}(t) \right). \\
\end{cases}
\]

Each element included in \( a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22} \) is A set type.

For instance, an element of discussing domain \( U_{201} \) has several elements under it. So \( U_{201} = \{ u_{201}, u_{202}, \cdots, u_{20n} \} \). Thus, we could express all the elements as follows:
\[
U_{201} = \{ u_{201}, u_{202}, \cdots, u_{20n} \},
\]
\[
S_{201}(t) = \{ s_{201}, s_{202}, \cdots, s_{20n} \},
\]
\[
P_{201}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{201}, p_{202}, \cdots, p_{20n} \},
\]
\[
T_{201}(t) = \{ t_{201}, t_{202}, \cdots, t_{20n} \},
\]
\[
L_{201}(t) = \{ l_{201}, l_{202}, \cdots, l_{20n} \},
\]
\[
x_{201}(t) = \{ x_{201}, x_{202}, \cdots, x_{20n} \},
\]
\[
G_{U^{201}}(t) = \{ g_{201}, g_{202}, \cdots, g_{20n} \},
\]
\[
n = 11;
\]
\[
U_{202} = \{ u_{201}, u_{202}, \cdots, u_{20n} \},
\]
\[
S_{202}(t) = \{ s_{201}, s_{202}, \cdots, s_{20n} \},
\]
\[
P_{202}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{201}, p_{202}, \cdots, p_{20n} \},
\]
\[
T_{202}(t) = \{ t_{201}, t_{202}, \cdots, t_{20n} \},
\]
\[
L_{202}(t) = \{ l_{201}, l_{202}, \cdots, l_{20n} \},
\]
\[
x_{202}(t) = \{ x_{201}, x_{202}, \cdots, x_{20n} \},
\]
\[
G_{U^{202}}(t) = \{ g_{201}, g_{202}, \cdots, g_{20n} \},
\]
\[
n = 11;
\]
\[
U_{211} = \{ u_{211}, u_{212}, \cdots, u_{21n} \},
\]
\[
S_{211}(t) = \{ s_{211}, s_{212}, \cdots, s_{21n} \},
\]
\[
P_{211}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{211}, p_{212}, \cdots, p_{21n} \},
\]
\[
T_{211}(t) = \{ t_{211}, t_{212}, \cdots, t_{21n} \},
\]
\[
L_{211}(t) = \{ l_{211}, l_{212}, \cdots, l_{21n} \},
\]
\[
x_{211}(t) = \{ x_{211}, x_{212}, \cdots, x_{21n} \},
\]
\[
G_{U^{211}}(t) = \{ g_{211}, g_{212}, \cdots, g_{21n} \},
\]
\[
n = 10;
\]
\[
U_{212} = \{ u_{211}, u_{212}, \cdots, u_{21n} \},
\]
\[
S_{212}(t) = \{ s_{211}, s_{212}, \cdots, s_{21n} \},
\]

4. Application Example of Error Matrix Equation

For example: suppose \( A' = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \),
\[
L_{202}(t) = \{ l_{201}, l_{202}, \cdots, l_{20n} \},
\]
\[
x_{202}(t) = \{ x_{201}, x_{202}, \cdots, x_{20n} \},
\]
\[
G_{U^{202}}(t) = \{ g_{201}, g_{202}, \cdots, g_{20n} \},
\]
\[
n = 9;
\]
\[
U_{211} = \{ u_{211}, u_{212}, \cdots, u_{21n} \},
\]
\[
S_{211}(t) = \{ s_{211}, s_{212}, \cdots, s_{21n} \},
\]
\[
P_{211}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{211}, p_{212}, \cdots, p_{21n} \},
\]
\[
T_{211}(t) = \{ t_{211}, t_{212}, \cdots, t_{21n} \},
\]
\[
L_{211}(t) = \{ l_{211}, l_{212}, \cdots, l_{21n} \},
\]
\[
x_{211}(t) = \{ x_{211}, x_{212}, \cdots, x_{21n} \},
\]
\[
G_{U^{211}}(t) = \{ g_{211}, g_{212}, \cdots, g_{21n} \},
\]
\[
n = 10;
\]
\[
U_{212} = \{ u_{211}, u_{212}, \cdots, u_{21n} \},
\]
\[
S_{212}(t) = \{ s_{211}, s_{212}, \cdots, s_{21n} \},
\]
\[ p_{212}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{211}, p_{212}, \ldots, p_{21n} \}, \]
\[ T_{212}(t) = \{ t_{211}, t_{212}, \ldots, t_{21n} \}, \]
\[ L_{212}(t) = \{ l_{211}, l_{212}, \ldots, l_{21n} \}, \]
\[ x_{212}(t) = \{ x_{211}, x_{212}, \ldots, x_{21n} \}, \]
\[ G_{212}(t) = \{ g_{211}, g_{212}, \ldots, g_{21n} \}, \]
\[ n = 15; \]

Suppose \( X = (x_1, x_2) \),
\[ x_1 = (U_{10x} S_{10x} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \right) T_{10x} (t) L_{10x} (t) = f_{10x} (u(t), p_{201}, G_{10x}(t)) G_{10x}(t)), \]
\[ x_2 = (U_{11x} S_{11x} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \right) T_{11x} (t) L_{11x} (t) = f_{11x} (u(t), p_{201}, G_{11x}(t)) G_{11x}(t)), \]
and
\[ b' = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ b_{11} = (V_{201} S_{201} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \right) T_{201} (t) L_{201} (t) = f_{201} (u(t), p_{201}, G_{201}(t)) G_{201}(t)), \]
\[ b_{12} = (V_{202} S_{202} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \right) T_{202} (t) L_{202} (t) = f_{202} (u(t), p_{202}, G_{202}(t)) G_{202}(t)), \]
\[ b_{21} = (V_{211} S_{211} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \right) T_{211} (t) L_{211} (t) = f_{211} (u(t), p_{211}, G_{211}(t)) G_{211}(t)), \]
\[ b_{22} = (V_{212} S_{212} (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \right) T_{212} (t) L_{212} (t) = f_{212} (u(t), p_{212}, G_{212}(t)) G_{212}(t)), \]

The representation of all the elements of \( b_{11}, b_{12}, b_{21}, b_{22} \) is the same as \( a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22} \). So they can be expressed as follows:
\[ V_{201} = \{ u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots, u_{204} \}, \]
\[ S_{201}(t) = \{ s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots, s_{204} \}, \]
\[ p_{201}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots, p_{204} \}, \]
\[ T_{201}(t) = \{ t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots, t_{204} \}, \]
\[ L_{201}(t) = \{ l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots, l_{204} \}, \]
\[ y_{201}(t) = \{ y_{201}, y_{202}, \ldots, y_{204} \}, \]
\[ G_{201}(t) = \{ g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots, g_{204} \}, \]
\[ k = 7; \]
\[ V_{202} = \{ u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots, u_{204} \}, \]
\[ S_{202}(t) = \{ s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots, s_{204} \}, \]
\[ p_{202}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots, p_{204} \}, \]
\[ T_{202}(t) = \{ t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots, t_{204} \}, \]
\[ L_{202}(t) = \{ l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots, l_{204} \}, \]
\[ y_{202}(t) = \{ y_{201}, y_{202}, \ldots, y_{204} \}, \]
\[ G_{202}(t) = \{ g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots, g_{204} \}, \]
\[ k = 8; \]
\[ V_{211} = \{ u_{211}, u_{212}, \ldots, u_{214} \}, \]
\[ S_{211}(t) = \{ s_{211}, s_{212}, \ldots, s_{214} \}, \]
\[ p_{211}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{211}, p_{212}, \ldots, p_{214} \}, \]
\[ T_{211}(t) = \{ t_{211}, t_{212}, \ldots, t_{214} \}, \]
\[ L_{211}(t) = \{ l_{211}, l_{212}, \ldots, l_{214} \}, \]
\[ y_{211}(t) = \{ y_{211}, y_{212}, \ldots, y_{214} \}, \]
\[ G_{211}(t) = \{ g_{211}, g_{212}, \ldots, g_{214} \}, \]
\[ k = 2; \]
\[ V_{212} = \{ u_{211}, u_{212}, \ldots, u_{214} \}, \]
\[ S_{212}(t) = \{ s_{211}, s_{212}, \ldots, s_{214} \}, \]
\[ p_{212}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{211}, p_{212}, \ldots, p_{214} \}, \]
\[ T_{212}(t) = \{ t_{211}, t_{212}, \ldots, t_{214} \}, \]
\[ L_{212}(t) = \{ l_{211}, l_{212}, \ldots, l_{214} \}, \]
\[ y_{212}(t) = \{ y_{211}, y_{212}, \ldots, y_{214} \}, \]
\[ G_{212}(t) = \{ g_{211}, g_{212}, \ldots, g_{214} \}, \]
\[ k = 3. \]

The three constraints of \( kg, rw \) and \( xq \) are described.
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\[ kg : (U_{kg}, S_{kg} (t), p_{kg} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots , \psi_n), \]
\[ T_{kg} (t), L_{kg} (t), X_{kg} (t), G_U (t)) \]
\[ U_{kg} = \{u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots , u_{20n}, v_{201}, v_{202}, \ldots , v_{20j}\}, \]
\[ S_{kg} (t) = \{s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots , s_{20n}\}, \]
\[ p_{kg} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots , \psi_n) = \{p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots , p_{20n}\}, \]
\[ T_{kg} (t) = \{t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots , t_{20n}\}, \]
\[ L_{kg} (t) = \{l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots , l_{20n}\}, \]
\[ y_{kg} (t) = \{x_{201}, x_{202}, \ldots , x_{20n}, y_{211}, y_{212}, \ldots , y_{21j}\}, \]
\[ G_U (t) = \{g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots , g_{20n}\}, \]

\[ n = 8. \]

By Theorem 2, the solution of \( XA' \supset B \) is:

\[ U_{10X} = \{u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots , u_{20n}\}, \]
\[ S_{10X} (t) = \{s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots , s_{20n}\}, \]
\[ p_{10X} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots , \psi_n) = \{p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots , p_{20n}\}, \]
\[ T_{10X} (t) = \{t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots , t_{20n}\}, \]
\[ L_{10X} (t) = \{l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots , l_{20n}\}, \]
\[ y_{10X} (t) = \{x_{201}, x_{202}, \ldots , x_{20n}\}, \]
\[ G_{10X} (t) = \{g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots , g_{20n}\}, \]

\[ n = 11. \]

Combining the three constrains of \( kg, rw, xq \) to \( U_{10X} \) mentioned above, the solution satisfies \( X \cap kg \cap rw \cap xq \) is:

\[ U_{10X} = \{u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots , u_{20n}\}, \]
\[ S_{10X} (t) = \{s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots , s_{20n}\}, \]
\[ p_{10X} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots , \psi_n) = \{p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots , p_{20n}\}, \]
\[ T_{10X} (t) = \{t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots , t_{20n}\}, \]
\[ L_{10X} (t) = \{l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots , l_{20n}\}, \]
\[ y_{10X} (t) = \{x_{201}, x_{202}, \ldots , x_{20n}\}, \]
\[ G_{10X} (t) = \{g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots , g_{20n}\}, \]

\[ n = 4. \]

In the same way, we can acquire:

\[ U_{11X} = \{u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots , u_{20n}\}, \]
\[ S_{11X} (t) = \{s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots , s_{20n}\}, \]
\[ p_{11X} (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots , \psi_n) = \{p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots , p_{20n}\}, \]
\[ T_{11X} (t) = \{t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots , t_{20n}\}, \]
\[ L_{11X} (t) = \{l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots , l_{20n}\}, \]
\[ x_{11X} (t) = \{x_{201}, x_{202}, \ldots , x_{20n}\}, \]
\[ G_{11X} (t) = \{g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots , g_{20n}\}, \]

\[ n = 8. \]

Combining the three constrains of \( kg, rw, xq \) to \( U_{11X} \) mentioned above, the solution satisfying \( X \cap kg \cap rw \cap xq \) is:

\[ U_{11X} = \{u_{201}, u_{202}, \ldots , u_{20n}\}, \]
\[ S_{11X}(t) = \{ s_{201}, s_{202}, \ldots, s_{20n} \}, \]
\[ P_{11X}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n) = \{ p_{201}, p_{202}, \ldots, p_{20n} \}, \]
\[ T_{11X}(t) = \{ t_{201}, t_{202}, \ldots, t_{20n} \}, \]
\[ L_{11X}(t) = \{ l_{201}, l_{202}, \ldots, l_{20n} \}, \]
\[ X_{11X}(t) = \{ x_{201}, x_{202}, \ldots, x_{20n} \}, \]
\[ G_{11X}(t) = \{ g_{201}, g_{202}, \ldots, g_{20n} \}, \]
\[ n = 4. \]

5. Conclusions

In order for a decision to be correct, we need to know the rule of how errors generate or convert. Each object in real world can be indicated as \((u, x)\). Every element \((u, x)\) is expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
U, S(t), P(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n), T(t), L(t), \x(t) = f \left( (u(t), p), G_x(t) \right), G_x(t)
\end{align*}
\]

from the standpoint of logic theory. An error matrix can be constructed when the seven parameters mentioned above in \((u, x)\) are used as matrix columns. And three error matrices \(X, A, B\) can create an error matrix equation \(XA \supseteq B\). Matrix \(A\) is express current status and matrix \(B\) is expectant status, \(X\) is what we want to achieve. \(X\) allows us to find a method, in which bad status “A” changes into good status “B”.

Based on the error matrix equation, which is a kind of mathematical tool to be used to describe error itself and the transformation rules of errors, this paper proposes a new kind of error matrix equation named “containing-type error matrix equation”. The method of solving, existence and form of solution for this type of equation have been presented in this paper. Our research provides a new method of decision making.
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