Influence of Structural Aspects on the Generation Process in Planetary Gear Trains
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Abstract

Structural and rotational isomorphism in planetary Gear Trains (PGTs), is tested by Hamming number method. Symmetry in PGTs can be determined from the same Hamming matrix. Bearing of the structural property like symmetry in PGTs is studied and is used to evaluate its influence on generation of PGTs.
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1. Introduction

Structural synthesis and analysis of mechanisms plays an important role in the investigation for mechanisms. Identification of isomorphism among various PGTs of given number of links and Degree of freedom (DOF) is very important in synthesis process. PGTs are preferred because of its advantages like high speed reduction, light weight, compactness and capability of differential drive. PGTs are used in applications like gear boxes of machine tool, robots etc. to transmit specified motion and torque between two or more shafts.

Generation of PGTs is also one of the important stages in synthesis of gear train and in an “n” link PGT, there are (n-2) gear pairs and (n-1) turning pairs [1,2]. Gear pairs are denoted by thick lines and turning pair is represented by thin lines [1,2]. Distinguishing the gear pair and turning pair with thick and thin lines is known as labeling of graphs. Otherwise the graph is known as an unlabeled graph [3]. There will be n (n-1) possible ways to add an additional vertex to each of the rotational isomorphic graph. For every one additional element added to a PGT of given number of links and one DOF, one gear pair and one turning pair will be added. Thus from a 3-link PGT, six 4-link PGTs are generated and from every 5-link PGT twenty 6-link PGTs are generated and so an. From the earlier published work [4,5] there will be 26 non-isomorphic 6-link rotational graphs and 80 non isomorphic 6-link displacement graphs are possible. Generation of PGTs from the No. of links is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Generation of pgts from no. of links.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>No. of links</th>
<th>Graphs generated (n-1) (n-2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering a 6-link PGT shown in Figure 1, it can be represented by a labeled graph as shown in Figure 2. A structural graph in Figure 2 is converted to rotational graph, by deleting the turning pair and marking the transfer vertex for each gear pair as shown in Figure 3 [2,6].

A graph of a PGT can further be represented by a link to link adjacency matrix as per the following rules [2,3].

To test isomorphism in PGTs various researches used different methods like characteristics polynomial [7], converting unlabeled graphs into labeled graphs [2], minimum and maximum code method [8], Hamming...

Hamming number method is used to check isomorphism in the PGTs and verify the structural aspects like parallelism, symmetry and compactness in PGTs [14]. In Hamming number method, from the adjacency matrix another matrix called Hamming matrix is defined as for the following rules [1,9]

\[
a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if vertex } i \text{ connected to vertex } j \text{ by a turning pair} \\
2 & \text{if vertex } i \text{ connected to vertex } j \text{ by a gear pair} \\
0 & \text{if vertex } i \text{ not connected to vertex } j \text{ and } i = j \text{ also } a_{ii} = 0
\end{cases}
\]

Hamming matrix is also a symmetric matrix similar to adjacency matrix. For the 6 link PGT in Figure 2, the adjacency and hamming matrix are as given below.

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 14 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\
14 & 0 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\
8 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

From the Hamming matrix, an invariant known as Hamming string 172 [54, 46, 18, 18, 18, 18] is written as per the definition of Hamming string [1,9] and this is used to detect isomorphism in PGTs. Also Hamming values of different elements in Hamming matrix of a PGT are also used to define symmetrical links [9] and symmetry in a given PGT [9].

In a PGT the position of a turning pair is identified by a level. If levels are assigned to a graph it is known as leveled graph [3]. A graph of a PGT with given number of links and one DOF, has number of Fundamental circuits and in a graph of PGT there is a vertex called Transfer vertex. The levels on one side of the transfer vertex must be same and different from the levels on the other side of Transfer vertex [1,2].

For a rotationally non-isomorphic graph, levels can be assigned to the turning pairs in different ways. Assigning levels to turning pair of 26 graphs of 6-link PGTs in as many ways as possible results in 80 non-isomorphic structural graphs [2].

For example for a 6-link PGT shown in Figure 1, Different Levels assigned to different turning pairs (12, 13, 14, 15 and 16) can be assigned in 5 different ways. They are as shown in Table 2.

Thus structural diagram for all the 26 non-isomorphic graphs can be drawn as per the labeling given in the Table 2 [3].

### 2. Generation of Seven Link PGTs

From the Twenty six, 6-link PGTs by adding one additional link (one turning pair and one gear pair) generated 7-links PGTS are 780. Out of these some PGTs are dis-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13, 14, 15, 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13, 14, 15, 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13, 14, 15, 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>12, 13, 14, 15, 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
carded due to violation of fundamental rule—9 [1,2]. Thus 213 PGTs are eliminated and remaining 567 PGTs are tested for structural isomorphism.

This resulted in 75 structurally isomorphic PGTs. Further verifying for rotational isomorphism, these 492 non-isomorphic structural graphs results in 159 non-isomorphic rotational graphs. While testing for isomorphism using Hamming number method it is observed that, PGTs with more symmetry resulted in less number of non-isomorphic structural graphs and rotational graphs.

For the PGT shown in Figure 4, the Hamming matrix written from the adjacency matrix is given below. From the Hamming matrix, Hamming values of links (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) are in order 216 [42, 42, 34, 34, 32, 32]. The PGT in Figure 4 is having three pairs of symmetry. Thus links 1&2 are symmetric about links 3, 4, 5 and 6. Links 3 & 4 are symmetric about links 1, 2, 5, 6. And finally links 5 & 6 are symmetric about links 1, 2, 3 and 4. Hence this PGT is having more symmetry.

3. Hamming and Adjacency Matrix

For the PGT in Figure 4, thirty, 7-link graphs are generated, out of which 11 are eliminated due to violation of rule F-9, and resulted in 11 non-isomorphic structural graphs and 7 non-isomorphic rotational graphs. Now consider another 6-link PGT as shown in Figure 5. It has two symmetric links 3 & 5 and is symmetric about links (1, 2, 4, & 6). This graph has resulted in 15 non-isomorphic structural graphs and 9 non-isomorphic rotational graphs.

Further consider a non-symmetrical graph shown in Figures 6 and 7. For PGT in Figure 6, which has no symmetry resulted in 25 non-isomorphic structural graphs and 11 non-isomorphic rotational graphs. And PGT in Figure 7 resulted in 23 non-isomorphic structural graphs and 13 non-isomorphic rotational graphs.

Thus symmetry in the PGTs resulted in less number of non-isomorphic rotational graphs for PGTs. However it has been observed that symmetry in a graph results in more number of levels compared to non-symmetric graphs.

4. Conclusions

Checking for isomorphism in PGTs is an important stage in structural synthesis of planetary gear trains. However
structural aspect like symmetry has an influence in the
generation of PGTs. Higher the symmetry less in the
number of non isomorphic graphs generated. However,
the number of levels for a given graph of a PGT in-
creases with symmetry in the graph.
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