Comparative Study of Various Preparation Methods of Colloidal Silica
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Abstract

Colloidal silica can be prepared by various methods and starting materials including ion exchange of aqueous silicates, hydrolysis and condensation of silicon compounds, direct oxidation of silicon, and milling and peptization of silica powder. Various silica sols having particle sizes of 10-60 nm prepared by these methods and the preparation methods have been compared on the basis of their shape, size uniformity, sphericity, stability against pH variation, cation concentration, and price, etc. Silica sol prepared from tetraethoxysilane affords uniform size control and growth, and high purity, despite the relatively high costs. Silica sol prepared from liquid silicates affords relatively easy size and shape control; however, it is difficult to lower the alkali content to a level that is appropriate for carrying out semiconductor chemical mechanical polishing processes; in addition, the waste water treatment carried out for recovering the ion exchange resin gives rise environmental consideration. The properties of colloidal silica prepared from fumed silica powder by milling and dispersion depend on the starting silica source and it is relatively difficult to obtain monodispersed particles using this method. Colloidal silica prepared from silicon by direct oxidation has a monodispersed spherical shape and purity control with reasonable prices. It generates less waste water because it can be directly produced in relatively high concentrations. The cation fraction located in the particle relative to the free cation in the fluid is relatively lower in the silica sol prepared by the direct oxidation than others. A careful comparison of colloidal silica and the preparation methods may help in choosing the proper colloidal silica that is the most appropriate for the application being considered.
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1. Introduction

Colloidal silica is a stable dispersion of solid silica particles. It has found various applications such as investment casting, semiconductor wafer polishing, coating, and textiles, and it has also been used as an inorganic binder, a nano-size filler, and as a catalyst precursor [1,2]. Colloidal silica can be prepared by various methods and starting materials, as listed in Table 1 [2]. These methods include ion exchange [3-5], neutralization or electrodialysis of aqueous silicates, hydrolysis and condensation of silane [6], peptization or milling of silica gel or powder [7], and direct oxidation of silicon [8-10].

Silicon is the second most abundant element on earth, and it commonly exists in the form of silica ore. It is difficult to ensure high purity and size control of silica; the milling of naturally occurring silica ore results in even greater difficulties in the shape control of silica. The size and shape of nanosized silica particles in colloidal silica can be well controlled by synthesizing it from silica ore using various synthetic routes, as summarized in Figure 1. There are four typical routes to prepare colloidal silica from natural ore. The first among them is the preparation of colloidal silica from liquid sodium silicate by the ion exchange process. The sodium silicate is obtained from naturally available silica by melting the silica ore in the presence of alkali flux; subsequently, it is dissolved by heating under pressure to produce liquid sodium silicate, which is commonly known as water glass. The liquid sodium silicate has high viscosity, and therefore, it is diluted to a concentration of 3-5 wt%. Next, it is passed through an ion-exchange resin, and then fed into the al-
Colloidal silica is often defined by its solid content, counter ion type and concentration, pH, and particle size of silica. However, when we used colloidal silica in various applications, we observed a few differences in the results, and therefore, we compared the characteristics of colloidal silica on the basis of the preparation method employed and starting materials used. A careful comparison of colloidal silica and the preparation methods may help in choosing the method that is the most appropriate for the application being considered.

2. Experimental

2.1. Colloidal Silica Prepared from Various Preparation Methods

Seven colloidal silica samples having different particle sizes and preparation methods are listed in Table 2. They were prepared by ion exchange of sodium silicate, hydrolysis and condensation of TEOS, milling and dispersion of fumed silica, and direct oxidation of silicon. The nominal size of their particles is 10, 30, and 60 nm and their concentration was adjusted to 10 wt% with pH ~10 for the sake of comparison.

The colloidal silica prepared from sodium silicate by ion exchange has been described in detail in previous reports [3-5]. Samples with a nominal particle size of 10 nm and 60 nm (IE-10 and IE-60, respectively) were prepared by controlling the concentration, alkali source, and the particle growing step.

The properties of samples having a nominal particle...
size of 10 nm and 60 nm (TE-10 and TE-60, respectively) obtained by the hydrolysis and condensation of TEOS were compared with those of other samples. The particle size of the silica prepared using TEOS can be controlled by choosing the solvent, catalyst, concentration of starting materials (TEOS, water, alcohol cosolvent, and alkali catalyst), temperature, reaction time, etc. The base fluid obtained after the synthesis of colloidal silica is a mixture of water and ethanol. Therefore, the base fluid is replaced with water through centrifugation three times at 10000 rpm for 60 min. Subsequently, its pH was adjusted to result in an alkaline solution.

The colloidal silica obtained from fumed silica was prepared by the dispersion of fumed silica (150 G, Tokuyama, Japan) using KOH such that the pH of the slurry was adjusted to ~10. The average silica particle size of the first dispersed phase obtained by means of ultrasonic-fication is approximately 250 nm; therefore, it was further milled in the presence of 0.5-mm-diameter zirconia beads using a sand mill (ALESCO) or nanofluidizer (M-110P, Microfluidics) to obtain an average particle size that is half the starting value, as measured by the dynamic light scattering method. The primary particle size obtained from fumed silica, as measured by a TEM, is much smaller than the final average particle size obtained after milling, as measured by the light scattering method; therefore, the aggregated primary particles were not separated further. The primary particle size obtained from fumed silica was approximately 30 nm, as measured by a TEM, and hence, the sample is called MD-30.

Colloidal silicas having two different average particle sizes, 10 nm and 60 nm (DO-10 and DO-60, respectively) were prepared by the direct oxidation of silicon. The preparation method of direct oxidation has been described in detail in previous reports [8,9].

### 2.2. Characterization

The primary particle size and shape of colloidal silica particles was examined by a TEM (2000EX, JEOL) at 200 kV. The 10 wt% sol was diluted to 0.5 wt% using distilled water along with NaOH so that the pH of the diluent was adjusted to 9.5. The diluted sol was subjected to ultrasonic treatment for 3 min and 2 drops of the diluted sample were dropped on a carbon coated 200-mesh grid over filter paper (5A, ADVANTEC) and dried at 60°C overnight for TEM observation.

The particle size analysis (PSA) was conducted by the dynamic light scattering method. The sample was diluted to 0.5 wt% to measure the zeta potential using a dynamic light scattering spectrometer (ELS-8000, Photal, Otsuka). The zeta potential curve, which shows the pH vs. the zeta potential, is obtained by preparing the colloidal silica and by varying its pH using HNO₃ and KOH.

The stability of the colloid is very important from the viewpoint of practical applications. It was measured by observing the aggregation or agglomeration at various pH conditions, and the turbidity was measured using Turbiscan (Turbiscan Lab, Formulation).

The cation concentrations of colloidal silica were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, OPTIMA 5300 DV, Perkin-Elmer). The cation concentrations of the base fluid were also checked by ICP-OES with the base fluids being separated out from the silica colloid by high-speed centrifugation.
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### 3. Results and Discussion

The various colloidal silicas prepared by different methods from different starting materials are listed in Table 2.

#### Table 2. Comparison of typical samples prepared by various methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation process</th>
<th>Ion exchange</th>
<th>Hydrolysis &amp; Condensation</th>
<th>Milling &amp; Dispersion</th>
<th>Direct oxidation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>IE-10</td>
<td>TE-10</td>
<td>MD-30</td>
<td>DO-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter ion</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM size (nm)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA size (nm)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregation factor*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PSA size/TEM size.

The average particle size and aggregation factor, measured using a TEM and by the dynamic light scattering method; type of counter ion; and pH are summarized. Various colloidal silicas having a primary particle size of approximately 10 nm, and 60~70 nm were prepared by the ion-exchange of sodium silicate, direct oxidation of silicon, and hydrolysis and condensation of TEOS, whe-
reas colloidal silicas having a primary particle size of 30 nm were prepared by the dispersion of fumed silica. For some samples, the average particle size measured by TEM image analysis matches the nominal size and the average particle size measured by the dynamic light scattering method is larger than the primary particle size measured by TEM. The aggregation factor is defined by the ratio of the PSA size to the TEM size, and it serves as an indication of the extent of the aggregation of primary particles to form secondary particles. In the case of silica sols having a nominal particle size of 10 nm, the sample prepared by hydrolysis and condensation of TEOS (TE-10) has an aggregation factor that is higher than that of the samples prepared by other methods (IE-10 and DO-10), whereas for 60 nm silica sols, the sample prepared by the ion exchange of sodium silicate (IE-60) has a higher aggregation factor than that of the samples prepared by other methods (TE-60 and DO-60). The MD-30 sample has the highest aggregation factor (4.0) among all seven samples.

The colloids having a nominal particle size of 10 nm are generally more transparent than those having a size of 60 nm. The smaller the size of the particle and the lesser the extent of aggregation, the higher was the transmittance. According to the transmittance plot for one day shown in Figure 2, all seven samples do not settle down or aggregate within the observation period. The transmittance of IE-10 and DO-10 is the highest and that of TE-10 is greater than that of the 30-nm and 60-nm colloids but lower than that of IE-10 and DO-10 because it has the highest aggregation factor among IE-10, DO-10, and TE-10. The transmittance of 60 nm colloids decreases in the order of DO-60, TE-60, and IE-60. The MD-30 sample has a primary particle size that is smaller than that of DO-60, TE-60, and IE-60; however, its transmittance is lower than that of DO-60 and TE-60 and greater than that of IE-60.

The zeta potential curves of 10-nm and 60-nm samples are generally similar to each other (-) in that for basic conditions (pH higher than 7), the zeta potential increases with a decrease in pH such that the isoelectric point (IEP) is located at a pH value of approximately 3 (Figure 3).

The zeta potential curves of DO-60, TE-60, and IE-60 are also similar to each other (-) in that for basic conditions, the zeta potential increases with a decrease in pH. However, in this case, the IEP is slightly smaller than that for 10-nm samples and the slope of the curve is slightly steeper between pH 2 and 4 as compared to that for the 10-nm colloids; therefore, the 60-nm samples have large absolute zeta potential values over a wider pH range of 4-10, whereas the 10-nm samples have large absolute zeta potential values only in the pH range of 7-10. This indicates that the stability of the silica sol

Figure 2. Comparison of change in transmittance with time for different samples of colloidal silica: (a) IE-10, TE-10, and DO-10; (b) IE-60, TE-60, DO-60, and MD-30.

Figure 3. Zeta potential curve with pH variation for (a) samples having a nominal particle size of 10 nm and (b) samples having a nominal particle size of 60 nm.
having 60-nm particles is better over a wider range of pH, especially in the pH range of 4-7, as compared to that of the sol having 10-nm particles. The zeta potential of the TE-10 sample at pH 4 is relatively greater than that of IE-10 and DO-10 samples, which could be an indication of lower stability as a result of solvent substitution with water and the decrease in the number of cations in the Stern layer, which will be discussed later.

The stability of samples was compared with varying centrifugation speed and time. After centrifuge at 6,000 rpm for 1 hr, samples with 10 nm particles did not settle down but MD-30 sample revealed top 10 vol%, DO-60 sample revealed top 30 vol%, TE-60 and IE-60 samples revealed 90 vol% transparent top. It depends on the size of the primary particles. The 10 nm samples were not settle down even after centrifuge at 10,000 rpm for 1 h so that the difference in the stability of DO-10, TE-10, and IE-10 was not observed through centrifugation.

Figure 4 shows the TEM images of various silica sols, enabling a comparison of the silica particles in terms of their primary particle size and shape. The similar primary particles of the 60-nm samples (IE-60, TE-60, and DO-60) are compared. The IE-60 sample is aggregated as may be expected from its aggregation factor (1.9), whereas the TE-60 and DO-60 silica samples are mono-dispersed. Among the 60- and 10-nm colloids, the aggregation factor is largest for IE-60 and TE-10, respectively. The TEM image of the MD-30 sample is shown at low and high magnification in Figure 5, wherein the interconnecting bonds of the primary particles are not fully separated even after milling for 1 h. It should be noted that the cohesion between the particles originating from the spray pyrolysis step of the fumed silica preparation produced bridged primary particles, which are difficult to separate and dispersed completely to a mono-dispersed phase by milling and dispersion.

The cation concentrations of the colloidal silica are listed in Table 3. The 10-nm colloids have Na counterions whereas the 60-nm ones have K counter-ions as the major stabilizing cations. The TE-10 and TE-60 samples have the lowest cation concentrations of Na, however, DO-10 and DO-60 have cation concentrations of K that are lower than that of Na in TE-60. The absolute value zeta potential value of the TE-10 sample at pH ~4 was relatively larger than that of the other two 10-nm samples. This could be because of the small number of cations on the surface of silica particles, which determines the stability of the colloid. This layer might be a Stern layer. The Na concentration is similar for both the ion-exchanged samples and the direct-oxidation samples.

It is very interesting to note the concentration of Na in the base fluids, as shown in Table 4. The ion concentration after the separation of silica particles is considerably low in the IE and TE samples, however, the ion concentration in the DO-10 and DO-60 samples is not as low as that in other samples. The cations present in the base fluid are known as free cations, those on the surface of silica, as bound cations; and those in the silica, as incorporated cations. By comparing the cation concentrations in the base fluid (Table 4) and the cation concentrations in the silica colloid, it is found that the difference between the cation concentrations in the base fluid and in the silica colloid is larger in IE and TE samples than DO samples. It can be characterized by the fraction of free cations, which is the ratio of cation concentration in the fluid divided by cation concentration in the particle (Table 4 and Figure 6). The cation concentration is lower in the TE-10 sample but the fraction of free cations is the

![Figure 4. Comparison of TEM morphologies of colloidal silica in IE-60, IE-10, TE-60, TE-10, DO-60, and DO-10.](image_url)
lowest in the IE-10 sample. This allows us to postulate that the fraction of free cations, *i.e.*, the proportion of the total number of cations present in the particle, differs depending on the preparation methods. The fraction of free cations in DO samples is larger than that in IE and TE samples. In other words, the number of cations located in the silica particles in DO samples is lower than that in the IE and TE samples; therefore, the elimination of sodium cations is relatively easier in the DO-10 and DO-60 samples and can be carried out by an additional washing step such as centrifugation or ultra membrane filtration to produce silica sol with lower cation concentration.

Although the schematic plot shown in Figure 7 attempts to classify the samples based on the number of Na cations present in the particle and the fluid, the cations could either be present inside the particle or be exposed on the surface. However, the fractions of cation in the fluid of 10 nm samples are overall higher than that of 60 nm samples, which could imply that the cation is incorporated inside the particle rather than the surface. If it is located on the surface of silica, the higher amount of Na would be attached on the surface of silica due to higher surface area, so that the lower fraction of Na is expected in 10 nm samples. The disparity in the location of the cations can be well understood by considering the reaction mechanism of each preparation method. The IE samples were prepared from sodium silicates, and hence, the incorporation of sodium ions in the particle is unavoidable; therefore it is difficult to completely eliminate the cations by ion exchange in the extreme limit, such that the concentration of cations is lower than 10 ppm at 10 wt% concentration of solid contents in the silica colloid. However, the DO samples were prepared from siliccon in the presence of an alkali catalyst, where the alkali molecule helps to dissolve the silicon molecule to form a hydrolyzed silicon monomer, which undergoes condensation to form a Si-O-Si bridge with another hydrolyzed silicon monomer; therefore, the alkali catalyst acts as a carrier and helps in carrying silicon molecules one by one from the silicon powder to form a silica.

The four different methods for preparing colloidal silica are compared in Table 5 on the basis of starting materials, main reaction, extent of the aggregation of resulting particles, cation concentrations, and economic considerations. The advantages and drawbacks of each preparation method are listed. The disadvantage of the ion exchange method is the presence of residual Na in the low limit and the environmental costs of recovering the ion exchange resin. The disadvantage of the hydrolysis and condensation method are the costs of recovering the solvents and their relatively high price, even though this method produces high purity silica and provides good control over the particle morphology. Finally, the direct oxidation method provides monodispersed particles at reasonable costs and purity control; however, the filtering step needs to be carried out carefully to separate silicon sludge from silica particles after direct oxidation. Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages, and therefore, the method chosen depends on the type of colloidal silica required for a particular application field. The colloidal silica has unique characteristics in the pu-
Figure 7. Comparison of the schematic presentation of cation locations in colloidal silica prepared (a) by ion exchange of sodium silicate and (b) by direct oxidation of silicon.

Table 5. Comparison of preparation methods of silica sol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Ion exchange</th>
<th>Hydrolysis &amp; Condensation</th>
<th>Dispersion</th>
<th>Direct oxidation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting Material</td>
<td>Sodium silicate</td>
<td>Si(OCH₂CH₃)₄</td>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Reaction</td>
<td>Dilution</td>
<td>Hydrolysis &amp; Condensation</td>
<td>Milling or dispersion concentration</td>
<td>Oxidation Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ion exchange</td>
<td>Growing Concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregation</td>
<td>Some aggregation</td>
<td>Mono disperse</td>
<td>Aggregation</td>
<td>Mono disperse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imurity</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantage</td>
<td>Easy size control</td>
<td>Monodisperse High purity</td>
<td>One step for organic solvent based fluid</td>
<td>Reduced waste water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantage</td>
<td>Residual Na Recycle of ion exchange resin</td>
<td>Recycle of solvent High price</td>
<td>Size &amp; shape limitation</td>
<td>Filter process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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