Synthesis and Molecular Structures of Two Novel π-Conjugation Extended Dithia[3.3]metacyclophanes
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Abstract

Two novel dithia[3.3]metacyclophanes substituted with ethynyl groups were synthesized as small-sized metacyclophanes from the corresponding brominated dithia-metacyclophanes via C-C bond formation reaction. These compounds were characterized by using 1H NMR, mass spectrometry and elemental analyses. The exact structures were determined by using X-ray diffraction analyses. X-ray diffraction analysis results revealed that these compounds have anti conformations because of existence of intermolecular π-π interaction and some short contacts in the crystal. The long wavelength fluorescence was observed for one of the compounds having a pyrene moiety in solid state because of the existence of the intermolecular π-π interaction between two pyrene units.
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1. Introduction

Cyclophanes are cyclic compounds consisting of aromatic rings and bridge chains. Over the years, synthesis and molecular structures of short-bridged cyclophanes have been attracting many researcher in supramolecular chemistry due to the interesting conformations of these molecules [1]. The understanding of the preferred conformations of cyclophane is of importance in the design of various supramolecular systems. On the other hand, small-sized cyclophane molecules act as a model to explore the flexibility of such cyclophanes, due to the presence of various conformational processes including ring flipping, ring-tilting and syn-anti isomerization. Tsuge et al., have reported the small-sized cyclophane units...
as a platform to build cofacial bisporphyrins [2].

Although thia-metacyclophanes considered as a member of the metacyclophane family, readily synthesized from base-induced condensation of bis-halomethylarenes with bis-mercaptomethylarenes in the existence of alkaline catalyst using high-dilution technique [3]. Varieties of [3.3]metacyclophanes containing oxygen [4] and nitrogen [5] as a hetero atom have also been reported. In general, cyclic compounds such as small sized metacyclophanes have various conformations derived from their orientations of the aromatic parts [6]. As part of our ongoing research towards the synthesis and conformational analysis of various substituted [3.3]metacyclophanes [7] [8], in this study, we report the synthesis and absolute structure determination of two novel small-sized metacyclophanes having thiaether bridges and ethynyl substituents.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and Methods

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and are used without further purification. The $^1$H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ABANCE 400 spectrometer in CDCl$_3$ with tetramethylsilane (Me$_4$Si) as the internal reference. The electron impact (EI) mass spectra (MS) of the compounds were obtained on a JEOL JMS-SX102A spectrometer using dichloromethane (DCM) as the solvent. The instrument was operated in positive ion mode over an m/z range of 100 - 1200. Elemental analyses were performed on a YANAKO MT-5 CHN analyzer. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (5 - 50 g, Wako gel C-300).

2.2. Synthesis of 2a and 2b

Ethynylation of 2,11-Dithia[3.3]metacyclophanes 2a (Scheme 1).

6,15-Dibromo-2,11-dithia[3.3]metadibenzenophane 1a (1.0 g, 2.3 mmol) [9] and 15 ml of trimethylamine were dissolved in 100 ml of toluene solvent, and then, 0.20 g (0.29 mmol) of Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$, catalytic amount of CuI, 0.27g (1.0

Scheme 1. Structures of the precursors (1a and 1b) and the products ethynyl substituted dithia[3.3]metacyclophanes (2a and 2b).
mmol) of PPh₃, and 0.45 g (4.6 mmol) of trimethylsilyl acetylene were added to the solution. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 20 hours at 90°. The reaction mixture was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in 200 ml of THF solvent, and then, 75% trifluoroacetic acid 100 ml was added to the solution and the mixed solution was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the resulting residue was chromatographed on silica gel (Wako C-300) using dichloromethane as an eluent to give the compound 6,15-diethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metadibenzenophane 2a (0.49 g, 66%) as a white solid.

^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 2.99 (s, 2H, ethenyl H), 3.72 (s, 8H, thiaether bridge C-H), 6.91 (s, 2H, aryl H), 7.05 (s, 4H, aryl H). EI-MS (50 eV): m/z 320 (M⁺). Elemental analysis: C 75.11% (74.96%, calcd.), H 5.31% (5.03%, calcd.).

19-tert-Butyl-6-bromo-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenopyrenophane 1b (1.0 g, 1.9 mmol) and 15 ml of trimethylamine were dissolved in 100 ml of toluene solvent, and then, 0.20 g (0.29 mmol) of Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, catalytic amount of CuI, 0.27 g (1.0 mmol) of PPh₃, and 0.45 g (4.6 mmol) of trimethylsilyl acetylene were added to the solution. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 20 hours at 90°. The reaction mixture was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in 200 ml of THF, and then, 75% trifluoroacetic acid 100 ml was added to the solution and the mixed solution was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the resulting residue was chromatographed on silica gel (Wako C-300) using dichloromethane as an eluent to give the compound 19-tert-butyl-6-ethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenopyrenophane 2b (0.51 g, 57%) as a yellow solid.

^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.53 (s, 9H, tert-butyl H), 3.65 (s, 4H, thiaether bridge C-H), 4.33 (s, 4H, thiaether bridge C-H), 6.66 (s, 1H aryl H), 6.71 (s, 1H aryl H), 7.15 (s, 2H, aryl H), 8.22 (s, 2H, pyrene H), 8.09 (d, 2H, pyrene H, J = 7.1 Hz), 8.11 (d, 2H, pyrene H, J = 7.1 Hz). EI-MS (50 eV): m/z 476 (M⁺). Elemental analysis: C 80.83% (80.63%, calcd.), H 5.81% (5.92%, calcd.).

Single crystals of these compounds (2a and 2b) were obtained from a solution of dichloromethane/hexane at room temperature using a vapor diffusion technique.

### 2.3. Single-Crystal X-Ray Analysis and Structure Determination

A colorless prismatic crystal of 6,15-diethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metadibenzenophane (2a) with the approximate dimensions 0.50 × 0.15 × 0.10 mm was mounted on a glass fiber. The data collection was performed on a Bruker APEX II KY CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromatized Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073Å) and a nominal crystal-to-area detector distance of ca. 83 mm.

The data were collected at a temperature of 90 K to a maximum 2θ value of 25.02° (0.84 Å resolution). APEX2 software was used for preliminary determination of the unit cell [10]. Determination of the integrated intensities and unit cell refinement were performed using the SAINT program [11]. Out of the 3587 ref-
lections that were collected, 1249 were unique (Rint = 0.0151); equivalent reflections were merged. The linear absorption coefficient, \( \mu \), for Mo-K\( \alpha \) radiation was 0.337 cm\(^{-1}\).

An empirical absorption correction was applied that resulted in transmission factors ranging from 0.941 to 0.967 [10]. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

The structure was solved using SHELXS-2014/7 direct method [12], and subsequent structure refinements were performed using SHELXL-2014/7 [12]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms at carbon atoms were added geometrically and refined using a riding model (constrained). The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement on \( F^2 \) was based on 3587 observed reflections and 100 variable parameters and converged with un-weighted and weighted agreement factors of:

\[
R = \frac{\sum |F_o| - |F_c|}{\sum |F_o|} = 0.0330 \quad \text{and} \quad wR^2 = \left( \frac{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2}{\sum w(F_o^2)^2} \right)^{1/2} = 0.1353,
\]

respectively. The standard deviation for the observations of the unit weights was 1.227 [13], and the sigma weights were used in the LS calculation [14].

Similarly, a yellow prismatic crystal of 19-\( t \)-butyl-6-ethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenopyrenophane (2b) with the approximate dimensions 0.20 \( \times \) 0.20 \( \times \) 0.15 mm was mounted on a glass fiber and the data collection was performed on a Bruker APEX II KY CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromatized Mo-K\( \alpha \) radiation (\( \lambda = 0.71073 \) Å) and a nominal crystal-to-area detector distance of ca.83 mm.

The data were collected at a temperature of 90 K to a maximum 2\( \theta \) value of 25.04° (0.84 Å resolution). APEX2 software was used for preliminary determination of the unit cell [10]. Determination of the integrated intensities and unit cell refinement were performed using the SAINT program [11]. Out of the 10028 reflections that were collected, 4233 were unique (Rint = 0.0121); equivalent reflections were merged. The linear absorption coefficient, \( \mu \), for Mo-K\( \alpha \) radiation was 0.240 cm\(^{-1}\).

An empirical absorption correction was applied that resulted in transmission factors ranging from 0.900 to 0.960 [10]. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

The structure was solved using SHELXS-2014/7 direct method [12], and subsequent structure refinements were performed using SHELXL-2014/7 [12]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms at carbon atoms were added geometrically and refined using a riding model (constrained). The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement on \( F^2 \) was based on 4233 observed reflections and 311 variable parameters and converged with un-weighted and weighted agreement factors of:

\[
R = \frac{\sum |F_o| - |F_c|}{\sum |F_o|} = 0.0334 \quad \text{and} \quad wR^2 = \left( \frac{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2}{\sum w(F_o^2)^2} \right)^{1/2} = 0.1100,
\]

respectively. The standard
deviation for the observations of the unit weights was 1.215 [13], and the sigma weights were used in the LS calculation [14].

The results are summarized in Table 1.

3. Results and Discussion

Brominated dithia[3.3]metacyclophanes 1a and 1b precursors were synthesized using cesium ion template effect and high dilution principle technique according to previous reported methods. The cyclization reaction conditions are quite general for synthesis of cyclic compounds. The obtained brominated metacyclophanes 1a and 1b were reacted with trimethyilsilyl acetylene using Suzuki Coupling C-C bond formation reaction conditions, followed by the deprotection of trimethylsilyl group by using trifluoroacetic acid in THF solvent yielded the final products 2a and 2b in moderate yields (Scheme 1).

The desired ethynyl compounds 2a and 2b were readily separated by simple silica-gel column chromatography and obtained as colorless solids in moderate
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yield (66% for 2a, 57% for 2b, respectively).

In the EI mass spectra of the compounds 2a and 2b using 50 eV ionization voltage, only one intensive signal with characteristic isotopic patterns for [M]+ were detected.

In the 1H NMR spectra, quite simple aromatic proton signals corresponding to the phenyl groups of the compounds 2a and 2b were observed. Therefore, it was quite easy to determine the exact structure of the molecule via simple 1H NMR analysis. In addition, the bridge ether protons appeared as simple singlet(s), germinal coupling pattern(s) are not observed. Therefore, the molecular structures are readily moved in the chloroform-d solution at 297 K, in other words, the molecules are not rigid completely in solution.

A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study was performed to determine the structures of the compounds 2a and 2b in the solid state. To the best of our knowledge, the exact structures and crystal packing of these cyclophane compounds have not been previously characterized by X-ray analysis.

The compound 2a crystallizes in the space group P21/c (Z = 2, Figure 2). Molecules 2a exist in anti conformation structure in the crystal (Figure 1). The orientation of the two ethynyl substituents are effectively stabilized by intermolecular short contacts between the hydrogen atoms of the ethynyl groups and the lone pairs of the bridge sulfur atoms (Figure 2).

On the other hand, the compound 2b crystallizes in the space group P 1 (No.2) with the anti conformer in the unit cell (Z = 2, Figure 3). In the crystal packing of molecule 2b, there existed intermolecular π-π interaction between pyrene units resulted in complex packing (Figure 4).

We have also evaluated the photochemical properties of the two compounds 2a and 2b. UV-visible and fluorescence spectral measurements were performed, and the results are summarized in Table 2.

In general, the compounds, such as pyrene derivatives exhibit quite strong emission both in solutions and in the solid state. The emission peak maxima in solutions were observed at 334 nm for 2a and at 410 nm for 2b, respectively.

In the solid state, however, the emission maxima shifted to a much longer wavelength region. This phenomenon can be explained by the intermolecular interactions existing between the molecules when the compound 2b is in the solid form. This explanation is strongly supported by the results of the single crystal X-ray single crystal analysis.

Furthermore, HOMO and LUMO energy level calculations of the π systems of the compounds were carried out using density functional theory (DFT) B3-LYP 6-31G** level on SPARTAN14 Suite program [15]. The atomic coordinate data (x, y, z) of the X-Ray analyses were used in calculations. The calculation results were HOMO −6.05 eV LUMO −0.95 eV for 2a and HOMO −5.15 eV LUMO −1.54 eV for 2b, respectively (Figure 5).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, two novel π-conjugation extended dithia[3.3]metacyclophanes 2a
Figure 1. Molecular views of 6,15-diethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenophane 2a. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The yellow ellipsoids represent S atoms.

Figure 2. Crystal packing of 6,15-diethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenophane 2a. The yellow ellipsoids represent S atoms.

Figure 3. Molecular views of 19-tert-butyl-6-ethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenopyrenophane 2b. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The yellow ellipsoids represent S atoms.
Figure 4. Crystal packing of 19-tert-butyl-6-ethynyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metabenzenopyrenophane 2b. The yellow ellipsoids represent S atoms.

Table 2. UV-Vis and Fluorescence spectral data for the compounds 2a and 2b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{em}}^a$</td>
<td>319 nm</td>
<td>367 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ (solution) ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}^b$)</td>
<td>334 nm (319 nm)</td>
<td>410 nm (367 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ (solid) ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}$)</td>
<td>350 nm (320 nm)</td>
<td>506 nm (367 nm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In dichloromethane at $10^{-5}$ M. $^b$In chloroform at $10^{-5}$ M.

Figure 5. HOMO orbitals in the crystals of the compounds 2a (above) and 2b (below).
and 2b were synthesized using Suzuki coupling reaction and their absolute structures were determined via X-ray diffraction analysis. Further, we have also evaluated the UV-Visible and Fluorescence spectral properties of these compounds. The long wavelength fluorescence was observed for the compound 2b in solid state because of the existence of the intermolecular π-π interaction between two pyrene units.
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