Developing Students’ Critical Thinking Skills by Task-Based Learning in Chemistry Experiment Teaching
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Introduction

It is generally accepted that critical thinking should be an important dimension of science education (Bailin, 2002). Critical Thinking (CT) should be not only educational choice, but rather an inseparable part of education. Since the world has changed quickly, it demands that education should develop students’ critical thinking at all levels rather than teaching obsolete knowledge. The Australian Curriculum Science (2012) has one of its aims which develop students’ an understanding of the nature of scientific inquiry and the ability to use scientific inquiry methods. So many items focusing on the critical thinking are included, for example “they develop critical and creative thinking skills and challenge themselves to identity questions and draw evidence-based conclusions using scientific methods.” (p. 3); “critical and creative thinking are integral to activities that require students to think broadly and deeply using skills, behaviors and dispositions such as reason, logic, resourcefulness, imagination and innovation in all learning areas at school and in their lives beyond school.” (p. 13); “In the science learning area, critical and creative thinking are embedded in the skills of posing questions, making predictions, speculating, solving problems through investigation, making evidence-based decisions, and analyzing and evaluating evidence.” (p. 13). Besides, there are also other curriculum statement and stands which focus on critical thinking from a wide range of jurisdiction, including the Ministry of Education, Singapore (2007); the National Academy of science, USA (1996); the department for education, England (1999).

Definition of Critical Thinking

Although CT is an important cognitive skill that schools aim to train up, there are differences of opinions existing in defining it. CT is a rich concept which has been developing for 2500 years. The intellectual root of CT originated in the method of questioning proposed by Socrates who established the importance of asking deep questions that probe profoundly into thinking before we accepted ideas as worthy of belief. Since then, different people studied the concept in different views of cognitive development, which led to the diversity of the conceptions (e.g., Brell, 1990; McPeck, 1981; Norris, 1985; Rogers, 1990; Seigel, 1988; Siegel & Carey, 1989). The most widely used definition made by Ennis (1991) is that “reasonable reflective thinking focused on deciding what to believe or do” (p. 6). According to the definition, CT was an important component of the process of problem solving. Ennis (1991) divided critical thinking into critical thinking abilities and critical thinking disposition, but it was still lack of assessment criteria. The Ame-
The pre-service teachers’ critical thinking skills and disposition. The CCTST and CCTDI were used to assess the influence that teaching methods had on critical thinking. For the literature mentioned above, most of the researches have focused on the ways, plays an important role in fostering students’ CT. So is to be eager for exploring the knowledge even when the self-confidence (Margaret & Colucciello, 1997). Truth-seeking is to be eager for exploring the knowledge even when the knowledge does not support one’s self-interests or one’s pre-conceived viewpoints. Inquisitiveness is to be inquisitive to obtain knowledge even when the knowledge is not used immediately. Maturity is cautious to make, to suspect and to revise one’s confidence is to believe in one’s own inference and tend to use the skills to solve problems.

CT is the human nature, but it’s not natural for humans to think well. Being a critical thinker refers to obtain the critical thinking skills and the readiness, willingness and inclination to apply those skills. CTS are essential to any educated individual, and it’s particularly necessary that they could be used and developed by students. There is consensus about the importance of CT, but differences of opinions exist in how CT should be developed by students. CTS contain 1) truth-seeking; 2) inquisitiveness; 3) maturity; 4) analyticity; 5) open-mindedness; 6) systematicity and 7) self-confidence (Margaret & Colucciello, 1997). Truth-seeking is to be eager for exploring the knowledge even when the knowledge does not support one’s self-interests or one’s pre-conceived viewpoints. Inquisitiveness is to be inquisitive to obtain knowledge even when the knowledge is not used immediately. Maturity is cautious to make, to suspect and to revise decisions. Analyticity is to apply reasoning into solving problems and tend to expect the results. Open-mindedness is to be tolerant of diverse views. Systematicity is to be organized orderly, focused and engaged in handling the problems. Self-confidence is to believe in one’s own inference and tend to use the skills to solve problems.

The results indicated that the implementation of the chemical inquiry experiments improved the analysis and evaluation in CTS and the analyticity in CTD significantly (p < 0.05), but other dimension of the two subscales did not show significant difference. Besides, in Zhou’s study (2012) the WebQuest teaching method was applied to improving the high school students’ critical thinking. There were significant differences (p < 0.05) between before and after WebQuest learning in the CCTDI scores and the subscale scores of truth-seeking, inquisitiveness, analyticity, systematicity and self-confidence. For the CTS and CTD, there were significant differences in the total score, and the subscales scores of analysis and evaluation. The findings indicated the WebQuest teaching in chemistry might be an effective method to develop high school students’ critical thinking.

Task-Based Learning in Chemistry Experiment Teaching

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is defined as the student-centered and self-directed pedagogical approach (Barrows, 1996; Kek & Huijser, 2011). PBL requires that the learning is done a small group which consists of 6 - 10 persons ideally. Problems form the basis of the learning focus on and simulate the students’ cognitive development. Task-based learning (TBL) is also the learner-centered teaching methods. Student-centered learning is that the students must take responsibility for their own learning, identify what they need to know, manage the problem on which they are working and determine where they will get that information, and the teacher is as the facilitators or guides (Barrows, 1996). The previous studies had shown the PBL was a powerful pedagogical approach to promote CT (e.g. Joe & Elizabeth, 1999; Magnusseen, Ishida, & Itano, 2000; Celia & Gordon, 2001; Cook & Moyle, 2002; Williams, 2002; Yuan & Qian, 2003; Wang, Lu, & Ze, 2004; Choi, 2004; Tiwari, Lai, So, & Yeun, 2006; Wang, Tsai, Chiang, Lai, & Lin, 2008; Yuan, Williams, & Fan, 2008; Ozturk, Muslub, & Diclea, 2008; Kek & Huijser, 2011; Martyn, Tervijen, Kek, & Huijser, in press; Choi, Lindquist, & Song, in press). For the CTD, PBL promoted the senior nursing students’ truth-seeking and open-mindedness (Tiwari et al., 2006; Ozturk et al., 2008). And PBL influenced the students’ CTS (Williams, 2002; Martyn et al., in press; Choi et al., in press). Since CT is an outcome of PBL (Worrell & McGrath, 2007), we supposed that TBL also could improve the students’ CT.

TBL was mainly applied in medical education (Harden, Crosby, Davis, & Struthers, 2000; Ozan, Karademir, Gursel, Tanskiran & Musal, 2005), language learning (Gass, Mackey, & Feldman, 2011; Hashemi, Azziznezhad, & Darvishi, 2012) and computer-aid learning (Whittington & Campbell, 1998; Lee & Shin, 2012). But there were few about the TBL applied in chemistry experiment teaching (Zhou et al., 2010c). In the chemistry experiment teaching, TBL is more suitable than PBL, because TBL makes it possible for small group learning to take place without mobilizing tutors, while PBL needs the guide of instructors, especially in China where a class has about 50 students on average or even more, the teacher may feel exhausted and tired when they are guiding the chemistry experiment. The task is like the driving force that makes learning occurs proactively. By working towards task realization, the current knowledge and resource are used immediately by students, making learning initiative and exploring independently. This is can be
explained by social constructivism. Social constructivism theory emphasizes the critical importance of culture and the social context for cognitive development. Knowledge is constructed through collaboration—interactions among students and between students and teachers, connected by task in TBL (Atwater, 1996). The learning results are not only the tasks but also the concepts and mechanisms underlying the tasks (Harden et al., 2000). Moreover, the cooperation in students is utilized fully and the team spirit is fostered through TBL. So TBL is a good choice for teachers in the chemistry experiment teaching.

TBL has been applied in high school chemistry experiment teaching and has been tested the effect of critical thinking disposition in Zhou’s research (2010c). The result showed there were significant differences on the CCTDI total score and the subscale score of self-confidence between the experimental group and the control group in the posttest. There is the evidence that critical thinking disposition correlates with critical thinking skills (Facione & Facione, 1997). Since the TBL is an effective method for developing students’ critical thinking disposition, the hypotheses of this study the students’ CT skills can be developed and fostered by TBL. So the focus of this paper still examines whether the TBL influences on the students’ critical thinking skills in high school.

Methodology

Research Design

To achieve the aims, a pre-test and post-test experimental design with an experimental group and a control group was employed. Students in the experimental group were taught with TBL, while students in the control group were taught with traditional teaching methods in the experiments. Five chemical experiments were chosen as the main instructional materials because they represented that the chemistry knowledge applied in real life, which were “Reaction between sodium peroxide and water”, “Esterification”, “Alum for water purification”, “Preparation of silicic acid” and “Preparation of ferrous hydroxide”. The experiment lasted one semester. The California Critical Thinking Skills Test (CCTST) was used as the data collecting tool. At the beginning of the semester, the CCTST was conducted in the control group and the experimental group to assess their CT skills level and examine whether there were differences. At the end of the semester, the CCTST was also implemented in the two groups to make a comparison with the pre-test and test the hypotheses.

Participants

The selected sample in this study was 119 students whose ages ranged from 17 to 19 years at grade 3 in YuJin Middle School, Xi’an, Shaanxi Province, China. There were 59 students in the experimental group which were taught by TBL, and 60 students in the control group which were taught with the lecturing teaching method.

Procedures

In order to guarantee the results were objective and authentic, several treatments were conducted. First of all, an introduction about the concept of CT to all the participants was made before the experiment to ensure that they were able to use it. Secondly, before the experiment the students who had similar learning level were selected in the two groups. Finally, all the participants were taught by the same teacher who used the same teaching content to reduce the effect of the non-research variables (e.g. the teaching style, the teaching standard), and the course goals were the same for both the experimental group and the control group. The differences lay in the teaching method that the teacher used. In the control group, the teacher gave a lecture directly to the students about the chemistry experiment which included the experiment principle, instruments and procedures and so on. The lecture is defined as of more or less uninterrupted talk from the teacher. Lecture notes were provided for the students for each of the experiment. Then the students did the experiments according to the procedures in the notes.

In the experimental group, the teacher used the TBL to help the students construct the knowledge. Take the topic “Reaction between sodium peroxide and water” for example to illustrate the TBL teaching.

Firstly, the teacher presented the task background and assigned the task. The products of the reaction between sodium peroxide and water were sodium hydroxide and oxygen. After the reaction phenolphthalein was dropped into the solution, it showed red for some period of time, which was the normal phenomenon because phenolphthalein became red in the sodium hydroxide solution. However, the eight to ten drops of phenolphthalein was dropped into the solution, and it appeared red. But when the tube was oscillated, the red color disappeared. It seemed strange. So the task was inquiry on the fading reason of the reaction between sodium peroxide and water.

Secondly, the students were divided into small groups with a unit of six persons, based on their interests, ability and desire. Secondly, according to the teaching target and content, each student in a group was given different role to complete the task. There were mainly six roles: 1) Planner, who organized the group members, made a schedule and supervised the implementation; 2) Information collector, who assigned the collecting materials task to the members and gathered the information in chief, such as the physical properties, the chemical properties and the use of sodium peroxide; 3) Data organizer, who arranged the information systematically; 4) Scheme designer, who make the designing scheme exploring the fading phenomena of the reaction between sodium peroxide and water; 5) Experiment preparation, who prepared the experimental drugs and equipments according to the scheme; 6) Presenter, who displayed the experiment scheme based on the group member argument.

Thirdly, after the division of labor, each group member defined his/her role and task depended on the fact. A fixed group leader was not set, and each member served as the leader by turns.

Before the experiment, 5 minutes were given to each group to present the reason analyses on the fading phenomena and the corresponding experiment scheme.

And the teacher evaluated the scheme, discussed with the classmates, and produced the optimum solution. Under the teacher’s guidance and supervision, the students did the experiment. After completing the experiment, the students communicated with each other on the things they had gained in the process. Besides, the teacher evaluated and summed up the knowledge and skills. TBL required the teacher make the timely evaluation to stimulate the students’ interests and motivation. There were four methods which were the self-evaluation, in-
Inference (Inf) (0 - 11) in the Chinese-version CCTST (2002), subscales of the analysis (A) (0 - 9), evaluation (E) (0 - 14) and sones and inductive reasoning were integrated into the three tion also add up to the CCTST total score. The deductive rea-

The Inductive and deductive scales overlap with the analysis, evaluation and inference scales. Analysis, inference, and evaluation add up to the CCTST total score. Induction and deductive reasoning are specifically targeted by the CCTST. The skills of analysis, evaluation and inference, deductive reasoning and inductive reasoning were integrated into the three subscales of the analysis (A) (0 - 9), evaluation (E) (0 - 14) and inference (Inf) (0 - 11) in the Chinese-version CCTST (2002), which produces an yields an overall score (0 - 34) on critical thinking skills, Pearson r = 0.63, p < 0.01, r2 = (0.75 - 0.80), p < 0.01, and shows a good reliability, and good construct validity.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using the SPSS17.0 for windows versions. Independent sample t-test analysis and paired sample t-test were employed to compare CCTST scores before and after TBL.

Results and Discussion

Two methods were employed to compare the differences in the statistics. The first method was used the independent sample t-test (see Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the overall mean score of the critical thinking skills in the experimental group was 10.05 ± 2.66 in the pre-test and 10.58 ± 2.76 in the post-test, and the score in the control group was 10.65 ± 2.67 in the pre-test and 10.05 ± 2.80 in the post-test. But the overall mean score of the post-test was higher than the pre-test in the experimental group, while the score of the post-test was lower than the pre-test in the control group. No significant difference was found in the overall score. Compared the subscales scores in the two groups, the experimental group’s score was lower than the control group’s score in the pre-test, but in the post-test the control group’s score was lower than the experimental group’s. There were no statistically significant differences in the subscales of the two groups. The relationship of three skills’ scores on the CCTST no matter in pre- or post-test or in the two groups the sequences are E > A > Inf (Analysis, Evaluation, Inference).

The second method was used the paired-sample t-test (see Table 2). Despite the overall score growth in the post-test, from 10.05 to 10.58, the score in the post-test was not significantly different from those in the pre-test. The mean score of analysis in the experimental group is 3.49 in the pre-test and it is 3.96 in the post-test, increasing by 0.47 point (t = 2.065, p < 0.05), which showed significant difference. The consequence indicated that TBL could develop students’ analysis skills in chemistry experiment teaching. The other two subscales Evaluation and Inference have no statistically significant differences in the two tests. Figure 1 also demonstrated the change of the critical thinking skills subscales in the experimental groups in the pre-and post-test, and there was an increasing in the analysis and almost no change in the evaluation and inference, which proved the students’ analysis skills, can be improved by TBL in chemistry experiment teaching.
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Discussion

The above results showed that there was a significant difference of subscale analysis score in the experiment group in the pretest and posttest (p < 0.05). It indicated that the students’ analysis skills level could be improved by the TBL in chemistry experiment, though it had little impact on the other two skills – evaluation and inference. In CCTST, analysis has two meanings. On the one hand, it means categorization, decoding sentence and clarifying meaning. On the other hand, it means examining ideas, identifying arguments and analyzing arguments (Facione, 1990). A good teaching method is the one that implies relevant and visible training values which shall motivate students and make them aware of their understanding and reflection, help them make up their critical thinking which will guarantee their trust in their own forces (Iurea et al., 2011) TBL is a good tea-
Table 2. Comparison of pre- and post-test on CCTST in the experimental group (paired sample t-test).

| CCTST     | Pre-test (N = 59) | Post-test (N = 59) | t     | P
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-------|-----
| Analysis  | X ± sd           | X ± sd            |       |     
| 3.49 ± 1.43 | 3.96 ± 1.3       | 2.065*            | .043  |     
| Evaluation| 4.03 ± 1.61      | 4.05 ± 1.78       | .056  | .956|
| Inference | 2.53 ± 1.25      | 2.56 ± 1.32       | .154  | .878|
| Total score| 10.05 ± 2.66    | 10.58 ± 2.76      | 1.17  | .247|

*p < 0.05.

Figure 1. Mean scores of CCTST three subscales of experimental group for two tests. Note: A = analysis; E = evaluation; Inf = inference.

Conclusion

As Martin Luther King said, “The function of education is to teach one to think intensively and to think critically”. This study showed that TBL has revealed the advantage of fostering the students’ critical thinking. The total score and the analysis score were higher than the control groups. However, some limitations of this study must be acknowledged. The level of evaluation and inference has not changed much during the experiment group in the posttest. It could be explained by the fact that the time-span covered by the experiments in class may have been too short to allow the effects of the new method to be integrated. Besides, the research and methods on developing and cultivating students’ critical thinking are still needed.
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