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Successful cohort online learning requires three important components: Community, Collaboration, and Content. Looking at how to develop these components is discussed as a result of what is known by the authors’ active engaging in the cohort online learning model.
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Introduction

Online courses can be “just online courses”. What makes a good, special, purposeful class? Our role with the “new classroom” is to help the student make sense of complexity by condensing the new style for learning into two or three critical goals. One such goal is that of forming a supportive learning community within the distance/online learning, what we refer to as a cohort. It is our intention to share a focus on three components necessary for student success specifically aligned with Park University’s distance learning philosophy. These components are Community, Collaboration, and Content (McKinley & Champagne, 2013). This discussion will elaborate on these three components and share both definitions of explanations for what we have found to be necessary in a successful Cohort model for learning.

Cohort

A cohort can be defined as a group of students treated as a single degree, program-seeking group. Cohorts typically share a professional identity and frequently teach within the same District (for the purposes of Educational Leadership and Teacher Leadership graduate degree programs). Cohorts are specifically recruited as a learning community throughout their program of study, working together to accomplish the same goal—degree completion. This definition embodies the early beliefs developed by Barnett and Muse (1993), describing the cohort as a group of students who begin and complete a program of studies together, engaging in a common set of courses, activities and/or learning experiences (p. 401). While we are developing cohorts for professional educators, it is clear that the cohort system is applicable to any like-minded degree candidates.

Research on cohort-based online learning has found the intentionally unified group of learners provides emotional and academic support to and for each member. This quality of support is directly linked to both motivation from and encouragement to persevere from the members. Findings show online discussion has the potential to increase critical thinking skills, leading to activity success. This quality is found to increase member satisfaction and reduce attrition (Lamb, Johnson, & Smith, 1999-2010). Fisher and Baird’s (2005) study found online learning, when connectedness and a sense of community among peers and instructor are established, positively influenced retention. A study conducted by Dietz-Uhler, Fisher and Han (2007) also showed retention rates lower in long distance online classes when compared with face-to-face classes. Bar-

nard, Paton, and Lan (2008) found peer approval, in the online community, was valued and students wanted to be accepted by the members.

The 3 C’s

Community

A benefit for cohort learning lies in establishing a sense of community. Community is a necessary element for cohort success. Community has a necessary quality of cohesiveness recognized and acknowledged by all cohort members. We believe a secure start when engaging in the first online-class is vital to developing this sense of cohesiveness. The idea “we are in this together” must be developed in the introduction to the class online platform. At Park University, personal introductions are a part of each Course Home. A guideline (rubric) for personal introduction is provided to encourage a presentation of each unique member involved in the learning community. Although a recruiting attempt is made for professionals already acquainted to one another to form a cohort, frequently cohorts develop online to which no one person may ever meet Face 2 Face (F2F). These introductions and sometimes pictures provide a commonality of purpose to group cohesiveness.

The Course Home contains information for what we believe to be necessary elements for success. We have discussed the introduction activity and the importance these statements play in cohesive relationship building. The syllabus and an explanation of how to navigate through the pieces/sections of the online
Collaboration

Establishing the process for collaboration for online learning is the quality necessary for cohort success. When we promote cohort development with Districts or other degree-similar online learners we stress the importance of collaboration. Collaboration involves interactions with others, including peer to peer, student to faculty, and student to content. Collaboration needs structure in expectations and the use of rubrics. The instructor needs to use flexibility in order to listen to students and use good judgment in initiating elaboration of content items for clarity or changes in deadlines, as an example, to promote student success. Family emergencies, work duties, or computer problems can present unexpected interruptions in a student’s ability to meet deadlines. Collaboration involves each member participating in the exchange of ideas and problem solving. Team development is suggested with each member being responsible for their on learning as well as the work of teammates (Lamb et al., 1999).

Communication that is collaborative and continual must be afforded to the cohort online model for learning. The rules for communication, having been initially established, will include consequences. Teaching and communicating in the classroom platform is a requisite. Avoiding private e-mails for questions and problems must be promoted by and for all class members. The instructor can determine the quality of cohesiveness in the learning community by how much support is visible in course objectives and core learning outcomes. All online activities should be aligned with the content of the online course is the third major component to be discussed. The content of each degree program provides for rigorous and relevant learning. The core learning objectives and outcomes are developed from professional standards appropriate to each degree program at Park University. In the graduate School for Education these standards are provided through the Missouri Standards for the Preparation of Educators (MoSPE), Interstate School Leader Licensure Consortium Standards (ISLLC), and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS). Learning outcomes must be clearly identified and state what students must know and be able to do. These learning outcomes are established through professional licensing standards and are reflected explicitly in course learning outcomes. All online activities should be aligned with the course objectives and core learning outcomes. Assessment is necessary to show whether students learned the intended objectives.

Content

Content of the online course is the third major component to be discussed. The content of each degree program provides for rigorous and relevant learning. The core learning objectives and outcomes are developed from professional standards appropriate to each degree program at Park University. In the graduate School for Education these standards are provided through the Missouri Standards for the Preparation of Educators (MoSPE), Interstate School Leader Licensure Consortium Standards (ISLLC), and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS). Learning outcomes must be clearly identified and state what students must know and be able to do. These learning outcomes are established through professional licensing standards and are reflected explicitly in course learning outcomes. All online activities should be aligned with the course objectives and core learning outcomes. Assessment is necessary to show whether students learned the intended objectives.

Content is directly manipulated by the professor in the online classroom. In order for cohesiveness to be developed with cohort groups, the professor must communicate the purpose for study and the expectations guiding the study. As facilitator, the instructor should summarize important points and ideas, as well as provide a model linking theory to practice. They should encourage students to do the same by having critical discussions which challenge thinking and problem-solving. Specific and immediate feedback on individual and group assignments should be provided. In order to teach online courses, Park University requires successful completion of online-training for instructors through their own online learning in ED750 BOOT CAMP. Quality of instruction is a key to both accreditation and for cohort success. Instructors are given continued support at Park University with various links on the Park website. Support can be in the form of reviewing the course, how to set up grading, designing introductions, lectures, assignments, exams, etc. There is also a forum for instructors to connect to each other to share strategies, thoughts, and other needs.
A cohort is as successful as each individual member is successful. All activities are based upon key learning ingredients—communication, respect, and commitment. Students can be as actively engaged as much or more so in online learning classes, as opposed to F2F classes. More engagement in learning generally means more motivation and improvements in the thinking process (Barkley, 2010). Students can work in various group formats, as well as individually. Students can be in charge of asking questions to their peers on the discussion threads. Assignments and grades can be individual as well as group oriented. Pairing students can be used to review each other’s papers. Each group member can have a specific role in the assignment, e.g., to summarize, research, encourage, and/or serve as recorder in order that each participant makes a substantive contribution.

Learning strategies including probing questions, the one-minute paper, the clearest/muddiest point of the lesson, jigsaw of an article, think-pair-share, and a daily journal can be used with online learning. Students can be divided into two groups and debate two sides of an issue. Case-studies of real-life situations can be easily discussed (McKinley & Choi, 2013). Students participating in active, engaging classes report not feeling alone. They feel part of a community as they collaborate with others in rich, meaningful content (Online teacher evaluation, 2012).

Respect for each member must become a habit—"We are what we repeatedly do. Excellence, then, is not an act but a habit"…Aristotle.

Respect will become the rules of engagement for all communication in the class platform between peers/colleagues and student and instructor. Respect is a necessary but not sufficient element for commitment to the cohort online learning model. We believe that commitment follows respect.

Self-discipline for continued communication and engagement must be a trait possessed by each member. We believe this trait will be successfully reinforced with respectful engagement by all members of the cohort learning community. This communication and engagement by the instructor includes answering student concerns in a timely manner—Park policy requires at least 4 contact days per week, including weekends and in a timely manner within 24 and no more than 48 hours. Self-discovery—insight—by students must be facilitated as a best-practice by the instructor (DeFour, 2004).

**Conclusion**

In closing, the three areas of Community, Collaboration, and Content are necessary components for successful cohort online learning. The team concept must be embraced by cohort members. The university/college has a responsibility for developing standards-based core learning outcomes. The instructor guides learning by facilitating teaching and learning strategies. He/she is responsible for students’ success. However, each student has an individual responsibility to their own learning and to the cohort “team” for outcomes-based achievement. This is what makes a purposeful cohort online learning model.
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