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Motivation for learning, which explains the impulses and motives for an individual to act to achieve his or her goals, is of great importance for students, particularly students of pre-academic preparatory programs. The literature suggests a relationship between self-esteem and motivation, yet there is a lack of studies that consider self-esteem among students in pre-academic preparatory programs and its relationship to motivation for learning. The main question of this study is whether there are differences in the motivation for learning among students in preparatory programs and regular students. Thus, it examines the relationship between self-esteem and motivation for learning among pre-academic preparatory program students and among regular students in their first year of study. Data collection was based on a questionnaire. The sample included 175 students who were enrolled in courses at Sakhnin College. Of these students, 43% were in the pre-academic program and 57% were in their first year of study in other departments. The findings show a positive relationship between self-image and motivation for learning among the two populations. The findings have theoretical implications as well as practical implications. They indicate that pre-academic students are not “lost”; they have motivations similar to the regular students. The practical pedagogical implications suggest that pre-academic students should be exposed to academic subjects beyond the core subjects and should be treated as equal to other students at various levels of the academic system.
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Introduction

Pre-academic preparatory programs offer a helpful framework; they provide a “second chance” for students to obtain a high school diploma, and they provide opportunities for further academic studies for students who initially failed to achieve. These opportunities affect the expectations of students and their families. Therefore, students who study in this framework must be highly motivated to learn to overcome their lack of achievement and low expectations. This paper focuses on the relationship between self-esteem and motivation for learning among pre-academic preparatory program students. To understand the position of these students in terms of academic integration, this study compared students in a pre-academic preparatory program with regular academic first-year students in various departments. The rationale for comparing regular first-year students and pre-academic students is that first-year students are academically similar to pre-academic preparatory program students, which facilitates a comparison of the education of pre-academic students. It is important to explore this issue to identify differences between these two groups that may be helpful in promoting success among pre-academic students.

There are 46 pre-academic programs for the Jewish population in Israel. These programs enroll 11,383 students, of which only 5.5% are Arabs (CBS, 2008). Unfortunately, there was no specific pre-academic preparatory program among the Arab population until 2010, when an Arab college, Sakhnin College, established a new pre-academic program.

The Arab minority in Israel was approximately 1.6 million people in 2012, about 20% of the entire population (see Jabareen, 2006, 2008, 2009; Zoabi & Awad, 2012; Zoabi & Savaya, 2012). No program is adequate for young Arab, who constitute 20% of the general population of the state, and only a few students consider these tracks a solution for them (Awad, 2012).

According to the Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics, 43.6% of Arabs who attempted to enter the university in 2006 were rejected. This is more than twice the percentage of Jews rejected, which was 20.5%. Failure to provide equal opportunities for Arabs, the lack of programs for the pre-academic Arab population, and the required level of achievement for acceptance to universities prevent Arab high school students from adequately integrating into academic studies in Israel (Awad, 2009).

Moreover, pre-academic preparatory programs have the ability to bridge the gaps between different ethnic groups and among high school students of the same ethnic group. These programs also have the power to strengthen capabilities and improve the conditions for students to enter higher education and use their potential. The greatest advantage of preparatory programs is that they are framed as “corrective” programs that provide students with the tools to allow them to pursue higher education. A preparatory pre-academic educational program offers a second chance for students who could not succeed during their first opportunity and prepares these students for the requirements to eventually obtain an academic degree. In addition to the goal of reducing educational gaps, these programs have social goals of
Motivation for Learning

Motivation is a theoretical concept that refers to an individual’s impulses and motives for action. Motivation describes how a person responds to a need or a general desire and initiates various actions to achieve his/her goals (Elliot & Thrash, 2001). Motivation is the underlying cause of behavior (Guay et al., 2010), the factor that moves a person to do or not do something (Broussard & Garrison, 2004). Learning motivation is culturally and socially constructed, and it has different meanings in different cultures and societies (Sahli & Hoosain, 2007). In recent decades, studies have emphasized the importance of motivation for learning in students’ adaptation to studying and ability to manage difficulties and failure (Endler, Rey, & Butz, 2001). In the educational context, motivation is the most important factor in helping educators improve learning (Williams & Williams, 2011) and in explaining the levels at which a student shows interest and ability in various occupations (Brophy, 2004).

Scholars’ perceptions of educational goals vary, and these perceptions affect the definition of motivation for learning among students (Valle et al., 2003). For example, the psychological achievement approach sees the urge for action as the unconscious motivation of an individual in his/her first years of life and that is influenced by family, society and culture (Elliot, 2006; Furtner & Rauthmann, 2011; McClelland, 1961, 1965; McClelland, Koestner, & Weinberger, 1989; Robbins et al., 2004).

Self-determination theory (SDT) emphasizes the universal tendency to develop one’s inherent internal potential and to realize one’s self (Deci, 2008; Deci & Ryan, 2012, 2008). Similar to the approach of psychological achievement, self-determination theory emphasizes the effect of social conditions on increases or decreases in individual motivation. Theories of self-efficacy and goal achievement approaches suggest that higher motivation produces more achievements (Ames, 1992; Roberts & Dyer, 2005; Schunk, 1991, 2000).

The literature identifies two types of motivation, external and internal (Nakanishi, 2002). Internal motivation lies within a person and involves the urge to be desirably and pleasantly engaged. External motivation is affected by external factors and pushes an individual to engage in activities as a means to achieve goals and ends (Klonis, Plant, & Devine, 2005). The literature indicates that actions driven by external motivation are characterized by lower investment and efforts toward simple tasks, whereas activities driven by internal motivation are characterized by greater effort toward complex and challenging tasks (Ames, 1992; Ames & Ames, 1990; Nakanishi, 2002).

The theory of self-direction suggests that self-direction is a naturally occurring process (Chee et al., 2011; Gibbons, 2002). Self-directed people show a wide range of curiosity, self-discipline, independence, persistence, goal orientation, responsibility, and enjoyment in learning (Nor & Saeednia, 2009). Self-directed people are motivated to learn, to develop a sense of ownership over their learning and to identify their own learning objectives (Chee et al., 2011).

Motivation for learning is influenced by various factors (Packer, 2004). These factors include personal motives, such as the psychological need for achievement and success or individual self-esteem (Katz, 2004). Scholars believe that learning motivation and self-esteem vary by individual (Sowislo & Orth, 2012), and there is a positive correlation between motivation for learning and self-esteem (Harlen & Crick, 2003).

Saada (2007) believes that motivation for learning can be attributed to various factors, such as job readiness, interest in learning tasks, freedom of choice, level of involvement, and personality factors. The basic assumption is that a student is driven...
to learn through self-guidance and is able to provide feedback, which is based on three dimensions: 1) the perception of self-efficacy (Self-Efficacy), which involves one’s beliefs about success, challenges, strategies, processing and organization; 2) expression of achievement orientation (Achievement Orientation), through which a student identifies the importance of task, includes the setting of goals, planning, supervision and self-guidance; and 3) intrinsic motivation (Intrinsic Motivation), which arises out of curiosity and the desire to understand the task itself.

Intrinsic motivation involves the creation of a learning atmosphere, effort, perseverance and self-reinforcement. A study conducted among students in an early childhood course found that the dominant motives for learning are self-fulfillment and achievement (Awad, Zoabi, & Khalil, 2009).

**Self Esteem**

Self-esteem appears under various names, such as “self-concept”, “self-image”, “self-presentation”, or “self-evaluation”. It reflects the totality of a person’s subjective perceptions, attitudes, feelings, physical characteristics and behaviors with respect to himself/herself (Levine & Smolak, 2002; Nezirougri, Khemlani-Patel, & Veale, 2008). Eldred, Ward, Dutton and Snowdon (2004: p. 7) define self-esteem as “...more than feeling good about yourself. It is also about being aware of your abilities. It is about who you are, being able to acknowledge positive and negative aspects and still feel good about yourself. It’s about having a positive sense of identity.”

Everyone has some level of self-esteem, and developing self-esteem is a fundamental human need (Branden, 1994). Eldred, Ward, Dutton and Snowdon (2004: p. 7) suggest that there are many definitions of self-esteem, but the general theme in the literature is that self-esteem is related to the twin aspects of worth and competence (Mruk, 1999). For Branden (1994), self-esteem “is the conviction that one is competent to live and is worthy of living” (see also James, 2002, 2003).

According to Fitts (1972), self-esteem is composed of internal and external dimensions. He suggests three interior sub-dimensions of self-esteem: the Self as Object, which refers to the self-identity; the Self as Doer, which refers to the acting self and the criticizing self; and the Self as Observer and Judge, which reflects the values and expectations that affect an individual’s evaluations. The third dimension judges, compares, evaluates and sometimes mediates the first two, identity and behavior. In addition to the internal dimensions, Fitts identified the external dimensions of the self as family self-esteem, self-image morality, social self-esteem, physical self-esteem and personal self-esteem.

Other scholars suggest that self-image is composed of many aspects, such as social equity, the academic self, the emotional self, the moral self, the family self and the physical self (Maiano, Begarie, Morin, & Ninot, 2009).

Some consider personal self-image an innate virtue that is internally developed. An individual brings this virtue to his/her environment after it has been formulated, and self-image is not affected by environmental experiences (Dweck, 2002). Some researchers refer to self-esteem as a dynamic virtue that is socially constructed, learned by the individual during his/her life and constantly evolving as a result of the experiences of the individual, his/her interaction with “significant others” and contact with the environment (Franken, 1994; Hart, 2003; Trzesniewski, Robins, Roberts, & Caspi, 2004).

The literature points to a connection between self-esteem and motivation for learning (Harlen & Crick, 2003; Maxted, 1999; Orth, Robins, & Widaman, 2012) and shows a positive correlation between positive self-image and motivation (Dweck, 2002; Harlen & Crick, 2003). Thus, positive self-esteem helps a person to cope with tasks, whereas negative self-esteem encourages a person to avoid tasks that require effort (Jacob, 2008; Orth, Robins, & Widaman, 2012). Research findings indicate a positive relationship between self-esteem and performance; more positive self-esteem is accompanied by more effective personal performance, and vice versa (James, 2002, 2003; Orth, Robins, & Widaman, 2012). A person with a positive self-image is clear, consistent and realistic and behaves in ways that are positive, healthy and effective (Alexander, 2001; Harlen & Crick, 2003; James, 2002, 2003; LeMone, 2008). Moreover, the literature reports on the relationship among success, control over one’s fate, self-efficacy and self-esteem (Baumeister, 2005; Chen, Gully, & Eden, 2004; Qazi, 2009).

Some studies suggest that demographic characteristics and personal and environmental factors affect the development of self-esteem (O’Dea & Caputi, 2001; Rhodes, Roffman, Reddy, & Fredriksen, 2004) in the following ways: 1) age-young people have a less established self-concept, and their self-confidence develops with age and becomes clearer and more defined (Mullis, Dossey, Foerstch, Jones, & Gentile, 1992; Erol & Orth, 2011); 2) gender is a significant factor in self-esteem (McMullin & Cairney, 2004; Moksnes, Moljord, Espnes, & Byrne, 2010); 3) income and socio-economic status (Leary & Baumeister, 2000; McMullin & Cairney, 2004; O’Dea & Caputi, 2001; Gilligan, 1995); 4) health status (Benyamini, Leventhal, & Leventhal, 2004); 5) ethnicity (Bachman, O’Malley, Freedman-Doan, Trzesniewski, & Donnellan, 2010; Birndorf, Ryan, Aunginger, & Aten, 2005); 6) body image (Fitts & Adams, 1971; O’Dea & Caputi, 2001; Polce-Lynch, Myers, Kilmartin, Forssman-Falck, & Kliewe, 1998); and 7) culture (Arnett, 2008; Baumeister, 2005; Fitts & Adams, 1971).

In addition, self-image or self-concept is a significant factor in increasing or decreasing an individual’s motivation (Alpay, 2000; Harlen & Crick, 2003; Black & Wiliam, 1998). People who have a positive self-concept generally have more motivation and self-awareness of their capabilities and limitations (Franken, 1994). Graduate students are significantly more motivated by internal motivation than are first-year students (Royai et al., 2007). In contrast, low self-esteem reflects low motivation and low confidence (Azar & Vasudeva, 2006). Studies have associated low self-esteem and low motivation with social status, income and support (Azar & Vasudeva, 2006), and low-paying jobs (Mary & Good, 2005).

This paper examines the relationship between self-esteem and motivation among two student populations. The first includes regular students in the first year of their academic studies, and the second includes pre-academic preparatory students who struggle to be accepted as regular students.

**Hypotheses**

This paper proposes two hypotheses:

1) There is a significant and positive relationship between self-esteem and motivation for learning among pre-academic program students.

2) There are differences in self-esteem and motivation for
learning in both groups (regular students and students in the pre-academic program).

Methods

The present study is a quantitative study based on questionnaires.

Sample and Study Population

The study population consists of two groups: all students in the pre-academic preparatory program (76 students) and regular students in their first year of academic study (99 students). The students in the second group were identified through a systematic random sample in the College. The sample ultimately included 175 students, 76 (43%) of whom studied in the pre-academic program. Of these students, 91% were female, and 9% were male. Furthermore, 92% of the participants were between the ages of 20 and 30 years, 87% of the sample were Muslim, 9% were Christians, and the rest were Druze. In terms of marital status, 84% of the participants were single, and 12% were married. All of the married students (100%) had children.

The sample of regular first-year students included 99 students, which was 57% of the entire first-year student population. In this sample, 96% were women, 98% were in the age range of 20 - 30 years, 88% were Muslims, and the rest were Christians. In this sample, 73% of the participants were single, and 18% were married. Of the married students, 50% had children.

Tools

Data collection was based on a questionnaire that included three categories of questions:

1) Demographics: Gender, age, religion, marital status, number of children up to age 18.

2) Self-esteem: The self-image items were adapted from the Tennessee Self-Concept Scale—Second Edition (Fitts & Warren, 1996). The questionnaire was translated from English to Arabic by an educator with knowledge of both languages. To verify the reliability of the translation, two professional judges compared the Hebrew version and the translated version. In the case of substantive comments, changes were made that were acceptable to both parties. In the case of disputes, a third party contributed. An expert provided notes on the grammar and style of the Arabic version. The questionnaire included 87 items addressing self-image. The overall reliability was calculated by Cronbach’s alpha and was .90.

3) Motivation for learning: A factor analysis was conducted that yielded three variables:

   a) Achievement Aspects: This variable includes the following items: “I value my academic improvement”; “I can identify consistency in my studies”; “I can identify efforts in my studies and my practical work”; “My current studies are my top priority”; “I am satisfied with my academic performance”; and “I express willingness to continue my studies in the future”. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability of this category was .84.

   b) Self-realization Aspects: This variable includes the following items: “I believe in my ability to succeed in learning and to be a good teacher”; “I complete all of my required assignments”; “I am interested in being a student”; “I believe in my ability to achieve good grades in my practical work”; and “I am strict with my academic success and practical work”. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient was .85.

   c) Proficiency of Content Aspects: This variable includes the following items: “When I study, I organize the learning material by category and topic”; “Even when my study materials are not enjoyable, I am careful to take them seriously”; “I can deal with required tasks and assignments”; “While learning, I notice and perform essential operations, and I try to avoid stress and other negative feelings towards my studies”. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient was .79.

A learning motivation index was constructed that contained all of the items. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient was .93.

Procedure

The questionnaires were distributed in the classroom at the end of the 2010-2011 academic year. The author distributed the questionnaires among the students in the classrooms after receiving permission from the college. The participants received a brief explanation of the study and the confidentiality of the process. The participants were asked to complete the questionnaires at their leisure and to return them to the researcher.

Findings

This section will present the means and standard deviations of all of the study measures in relation to the two groups. Then, the findings regarding the research hypotheses will be presented. Table 1 shows the average index scores of the two populations, the pre-preparatory academic students and the regular first-year students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-preparatory academic students</th>
<th>Regular first-year students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General self-esteem</td>
<td>3.62 (0.34)</td>
<td>3.69 (.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—content</td>
<td>4.75 (.54)</td>
<td>4.78 (.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General learning motivation</td>
<td>4.84 (.66)</td>
<td>4.82 (.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—achievement</td>
<td>5.17 (.73)</td>
<td>5.24 (.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—self-realization</td>
<td>4.76 (.70)</td>
<td>4.75 (.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—proficiency of content</td>
<td>3.62 (.34)</td>
<td>3.69 (.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hypothesis Testing

This study examines two hypotheses related to the relationship between self-esteem and motivation for learning among pre-academic track and regular students. The findings of the two research hypotheses are as follows.

First hypothesis: A significant and positive relationship exists between self-esteem and motivation for learning among pre-academic program students. To test the hypothesis, we calculated Pearson’s correlation coefficients between the self-image dimension and the dimension of motivation for learning for all of the dimensions. The findings are presented in Table 2.

The table shows that the correlations between self-esteem and aspects of motivation are positive and intermediate; that is, self-image improves all aspects of motivation among the study participants.

Second hypothesis: There are differences in self-esteem and motivation for learning in the two groups (regular students and pre-academic track students). To test the hypothesis, we conducted t-test. The findings are presented in Table 3, which shows no significant differences between the two populations.

Discussion

In the present study, we examine the relationship between self-esteem and motivation for learning. To understand the findings in the context of learning motivation, a comparison was made between students in the pre-academic program and regular first-year students. The findings reveal a significant positive correlation between self-esteem and motivation for learning. This may explain students’ decision to integrate into a framework that allows them a “second chance” to improve their achievements to meet the criteria for academic study.

These students are able to join the labor market and make money after completing school, although this program is not considered only preparatory. This choice is based on the students’ own expectations rather than the expectations of their families and society. It conflicts with the expected order of events in which students enroll in academic study after completing high school; students who do not do so may be considered “failures”.

The lack of a promising future after graduating from high school decreases students’ motivation for learning (Abu Asba, 2007), especially because the preparatory course is not sufficient to ensure success and to improve students’ progress. The present study shows that despite the implications of integration into pre-academic preparatory studies, this integration may nevertheless contribute toward students’ ultimate goals. Students who lack a good self-image may face personal and social “disappointment”.

The literature indicates a causal relationship between self-esteem and motivation for learning and suggests that low self-esteem is a significant barrier to education (Crowder & Pupynin, 1995; Maxted, 1999; Mruk, 1999). Orth, Robins, and Widaman (2012) believe that positive self-esteem has a significant impact on an individual’s success and life experience (Orth, Robins, & Widaman, 2012). Moreover, high self-esteem helps an individual to feel valuable and proud and to believe that he or she is “good enough” and capable in terms of intellectual and social skills (Sowislo & Orth, 2012). Positive self-image may be a factor is success in various fields (Baumeister, 2005). Therefore, students’ decision to enter a preparatory program and their persistence in attempting to enter the academy is related to their positive self-image, which helps them to address the challenges before them and motivates them to succeed.

The findings reveal no significant difference between the two groups regarding self-esteem. The findings indicate that the self-esteem of preparatory program is sufficient to enable students to learn the regular preparatory course framework necessary for future opportunities. The differences in the behavior of the two groups can be attributed to their national and ethnic status. Abu Asba (2007) argues that minority groups face many obstacles to obtain achievement similar to the hegemonic majority. He believes that education is important for minority groups in society, particularly in multi-cultural societies. Education is an important tool in promoting minorities and disadvantaged groups.

Table 2. Pearson’s correlation between self-esteem and motivation for learning among students in the pre-academic preparatory program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Motivation for learning</th>
<th>General self-esteem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation for learning</td>
<td>3.62 (.34)</td>
<td>3.69 (.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General learning motivation</td>
<td>4.75 (.54)</td>
<td>4.78 (.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—achievement</td>
<td>4.84 (.66)</td>
<td>4.82 (.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—self-realization</td>
<td>5.17 (.73)</td>
<td>5.17 (.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

Table 3. Difference between the two groups regarding self-esteem dimensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-preparatory academic students</th>
<th>Regular first-year students</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General self-esteem</td>
<td>3.62 (.34)</td>
<td>3.69 (.29)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation for learning</td>
<td>4.75 (.54)</td>
<td>4.78 (.68)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General learning motivation</td>
<td>.66 (.66)</td>
<td>.79 (.79)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—achievement</td>
<td>5.17 (.73)</td>
<td>5.24 (.84)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—self-realization</td>
<td>4.76 (.70)</td>
<td>4.75 (.78)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation—proficiency of content</td>
<td>3.62 (.34)</td>
<td>3.69 (.29)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = Not Significant.
There is increasing awareness among the Arab minority in Israel about the need for and importance of providing education to the younger generation because education ensures social mobility at both the individual and the collective level (Abu Asba, 2007: p. 51). In other words, mobility among students arises from the fact that education is a means to reduce the gaps in various fields and means of obtaining equality.

This study contributes to our understanding of the nature of the relationship between self-image and motivation for learning. The findings show that students in preparatory studies are motivated to learn and maintain a self-image similar to that of regular students. In sum, this study suggests that this population is not “lost” and has the self-image and motivation to learn in the same ways. It can be concluded that the barriers that are faced by preparatory school students and that reduce their opportunities to enter regular school courses are not related to their self-esteem or motivation. This study does not address the reasons for the factors that hinder the achievement of these students in high school.
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