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This paper starts with a brief background of the link between creativity and education, including the beginning of the most recent interest in the two. There is a short summary of the reasons for this renewed interest. This is followed by a discussion into the dissatisfactions over current education and its changing role in the light of increasing importance being accorded to creativity. Lastly, evidence in educational policy documents from around the world is presented to show the steps being taken for implementation of creativity in education.
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The Link between Creativity and Education

Although the interest in creativity goes back to Plato’s age (Cropley, 2004) and is found in the Greek, Judaic, Christian and Muslim traditions, (Craft, 2001) renewed policy interest came about with the launch of satellite, “Sputnik 1”, by the Soviet Union in 1957. The purported failure of the engineers from Europe, USA and other Western countries was attributed to their lack of creativity which led to the National Defense Education Act (USA) to accept the concept as important for “prosperity…survival of society” (Esquivel, 1995). Since this there have been several “waves of creativity in education” (Wilson, 2005). The latest interest, however, began in the late 90’s (Jeffrey, 2005) and has since been growing (Turner-Bisset, 2007). The increased pressures to gear education towards the “3 R’s” and meeting the requirements of national curriculum, “spoon feeding” (Parnes, 1970) and “killing” creativity (Kaila, 2005). The role of education institutions has been questioned (Craft, 1999) and blamed for “conformists” and “stereotypes” rather than “freely creative and original thinkers” (Rogers, 1970). The role of education as a “fundamental life skill” (Craft, 2001). In the light of this, education systems are being required to undergo “a major overhaul in resources, attitude and understanding” so that creativity can be valued (Turner-Bisset, 2007). As a response to such calls there has been a shift in educational policy around the world and efforts are being made to combine creativity and knowledge (Dickhut, 2003). Creativity is being made the focus of “curriculum and pedagogy” (Wilson, 2005) and an “official agenda” for improving schools (Burnard, 2006).

Changing role of Education

Formal education “represents both a right and need” (Carnoy, 2004) but it has time and time again been criticized for turning out “conformists” and “stereotypes” rather than “freely creative and original thinkers” (Rogers, 1970). The role of education is being seen as crucial (Jeffrey, 2006). But what are being considered as criteria of educational achievement are said to be changing (Wilson, 2005) and being “reconceptualized…[to] encompass creativity” (Craft, 2001). In the light of this, education systems are being required to make the “wealth of nations” (Walberg, 1988).
years onward” (Craft, 1999) and that elementary and secondary education may be more important than university education for “national prosperity and welfare” (Walberg, 1988). Primary education is being seen as:

...a critical stage in children’s development – it shapes them for life. As well as giving them the essential tools for learning, primary education is about children experiencing the joy of discovery, solving problems, being creative in writing, art, music, developing their self-confidence as learners and maturing socially and emotionally (DCSF, 2003).

**The Inclusion of Creativity Within Education**

The inclusion of creativity into educational policy documents is evidence of the fact that the focus on creativity is not merely a matter of paying “lip service” to the concept (Csikszentmihalyi, 1996; Hussain, 2004) but rather action is being taken. O’Donnell and Micklethwaite (O’Donnell, 1999) reviewed the curriculum documents of 16 (developed) countries, (American, European and East Asian), identifying the place of arts and creativity in education. They found that creativity was included at various educational levels, at least from early years through primary education for most countries and beyond, up to higher education, for some.

In Canada “creative thinking” is outlined as one of the common essential learning(s) (p.8). In Kentucky, USA, one of the learning goals is to enable students to “use creative thinking skills to develop or invent novel, constructive ideas or products” (p.57). In Korea the National Curriculum defines an educated person as “healthy, independent, creative and moral” (p.33). In Sweden the Government’s National Development Plan for Pre-School, School and Adult Education (1997) stated that education should provide “the conditions for developing creative skills” (p.52). In France schools in lower secondary are expected to develop in children the “taste for creation.” (p.14).

In Germany, the emphasis of primary education is placed on developing “children’s creative abilities” (p.20). In Netherlands one of the principles on which primary education is based is “creative development” (O’Donnell & Micklethwaite 1999, p.38). In Florida (USA) one of the goals of restructuring the schools was to provide students opportunities “to learn and apply strategies for creative...thinking” (Treffinger, 1996). The second educational goal for young people in Australia is to:

...become successful learners, confident and creative individuals, and active and informed citizens (ACARA, 2009).

In Japan the school curriculum has included development of creativity since the Second World War. The Japanese National Council on Educational Reform (NCER) has outlined the development of creativity as the most important objective of education for 21st century (O’Donnell, 1999). In Singapore the aim of new initiatives, launched by the Ministry of Education, was to foster, “enquiring minds, the ability to think critically and creatively” (O’Donnell, 1999a). These initiatives included the “Thinking Schools, Learning Nation” (TSLN) program (Tan, 2006) designed to develop thinking skills and creativity in students. This was in response to leading industrialists and entrepreneurs indicating that staff in Singapore were more “conforming” than ‘independent” and “not curious enough” (Tan, 2006). The Singapore Ministry of Education website states that they expect of their young to “be creative and imaginative” (MOE, 2009). According to Singapore’s primary curriculum creativity is amongst the eight core skills and values (INCA, 2009).

In China creativity has become an important component of education since 2001 and its development has become a “priority” (Vong, 2008). In Hong Kong the education policy proposal includes creativity as “higher order thinking skills”. There are educational reforms being carried in preschool, primary and secondary education in which development of creativity is being given a “top priority” (Fryer, 2003). In Turkish education the concept of creativity is being discussed more and more, however attempts to enhance it through education are limited (Oral, 2008). In Ireland a strategy paper was developed called “Unlocking Creativity” for developing creativity and education (Robinson, 2001). In the Cultural Policy Statement by the Scottish Executive the Minister for Tourism, Culture and Sport spelt out his “vision” regarding creativity, in that:

Our devolved government should have the courage and the faith to back human imagination, our innate creativity, as the most potent force for individual change and social vision. I believe we should make the development of our creative drive the next major enterprise for our society...I believe this has the potential to be a new civic exercise on a par with health, housing and education – the commitment to providing and valuing creative expression for all.

The Policy Statement goes on to say that:

The creativity of Scots – from the classroom to the boardroom – is the edge we need in a competitive world. Our duty as an Executive is to create the conditions that allow that creativity to flourish – whether in arts, sciences, commerce or industry. Creativity is as valuable in retail, education, health, government and business as in culture. The cultural sector should become the national dynamo of the creative impulse that can serve all these areas (Scottish Executive, 2004).

Scotland is one of four home countries in the UK. In the 1990’s a number of policy documents and statements emerged for UK home countries which included creativity (Craft, 2001). In 1997 the White Paper, Excellence in Schools, referred to preparing people for the 21st century by recognizing their “different talents”. This was built upon by another report by the National Advisory Committee on Creative and Cultural Education (NACCE, 1999) which spoke of equipping young children with skills required by employees (Craft, 2005). The NACCE report acknowledged the UK government’s views that creativity “was relevant to schools” (Jeffrey, 2005). This increased interest in the topic, bringing it back “on the agenda in a big way” (Brandrett, 2007). These mentioned documents provided the “foundation” for the recent policy discussions (Craft, 2005) in which the British Government responded to “debates about creative...education to meet the economic, technological and social challenges of the 21st century” (Loveless, 2002).

Another document which called for creativity in primary education was the National Primary Strategy for primary schools, Excellence and Enjoyment, (Hayes, 2004). The Office for Standards in Education (Ofsted) published this report in 2003 and in this they identified creativity as “a significant factor in educational experience” (Jeffrey, 2005). This document, it is said, added a “conviction” that it is time for “a new, more creative approach to curriculum planning and a greater empha-
sis on creativity for learning” (NCSL, 2004). There were also literature reviews on creativity supported by the Qualification and Curriculum Authority (QCA) and reports on the national Curriculum as well as a “criteria” for ensuring creativity was included in every subject (Jeffrey, 2005; Turner-Bisset, 2007). A website was established under the name of “Creativity: Find it! Promote it!” to enable teachers to find and promote creativity in the classroom (Burnard, 2006).

Creativity has become a focus in the curriculum as evident in its inclusion in the Foundation Stage Curriculum and National Curriculum for schools in England (Talboys, 2004; Craft, 2003). On the website for the National Curriculum, Key Stage 1 and 2, there is a section on creativity which includes information on:

- What is creativity?
- Why is creativity important?
- How can you spot creativity?
- How can teachers promote creativity?
- How can heads and managers promote creativity? (QCDA, 2009)

In the National Curriculum itself Aim One is that the school should:

Enable pupils to think creatively and critically, to solve problems and to make a difference for the better. It should give them the opportunity to become creative, innovative, and enterprising (QCDA, 2009).

The National Curriculum outlines six “key skills” and amongst these is “thinking skills”. Included in this is “creative thinking” which it is said “enable pupils to generate and extend ideas, to suggest hypotheses, to apply imagination, and to look for alternative innovative outcomes” (QCDA, 1999). This is regarded as one of the skills which are “universal” and “embedded in the subjects of the National Curriculum and are essential to effective learning.” As an example of inclusion of creativity in the subjects it is stated in the science curriculum that:

Science stimulates and excites pupils’ curiosity about phenomena and events around in the world around them. It satisfies this curiosity with knowledge. Because science links direct practical experience with ideas, it can engage learners at many levels. Scientific method is about developing and evaluating explanations, through experimental evidence and modeling. This is a spur to critical and creative thought (QCDA, 1999).

There has also been investment in staff development and creating teaching resources. The UK government has moved beyond policy level work to initiate projects to provide and enhance “creative experiences” (Loveless, 2002) to learners by establishing projects under the “Creative Partnerships” schemes (Hayes, 2004). In this the schools are provided with opportunities to work with organizations such as dance studios and film makers through partnerships (Jeffrey, 2005). These efforts and “massive investments” have brought creativity to the forefront (Feldman, 2006).

**Conclusion**

It appears from what has been documented in the literature that the recent upsurge in creativity and education has taken place in European, American, Australian and East Asian countries, as reflected in their policy documents. This is further evidenced by the fact that some have stated that creativity has come to be seen as “key to economic competitiveness in advanced economies” (highlighted by researcher) (NESTA, 2002) implying that this is not so the case in less advanced economies. In referring to Tony Blair, (the former British Prime Minister), who it is reported “couples creativity in education to the future needs of the national economy” Gibson says that in this “the assumption...is that the production of a new, adaptive work force...is the sole way forward if Western economies, (highlighted by researcher), are to remain buoyant in future global contexts” (Gibson, 2005). Does this then mean that developing or non-Western countries do not want to economically compete, do not need a new type of labor force, do not face any of the problems indicated, all of which, as it has already been argued, require creativity. In this Oral is of the view that:

For many developing countries, creativity remains neglected, whereas in developed countries, educational philosophy and goals rely on student’s enhancement of creativity and self-actualization...For developing countries, integration of creative thinking skills in...education is a crucial need for shaping their future orientations and actualizing reforms in political, economic and cultural areas (Oral, 2006).

Sinlarat, speaking of Asian countries, is of the view that the Asians are “consumers” of Western products. This has resulted in loss of “self-identity” “self-independence” and “prosperity”. He goes onto say that in order to overcome the dependency there is a need for Asians to become “creative and productive persons” and in this “education that yields creativity and productivity is essential for Asia”. It is suggested that rather than taking what UNESCO states should be taught:

* Learning how to learn
* Learning how to do
* Learning how to work together
* Learning how to be
* The following characteristics must be produced in people:
  * Learning how to learn critically
  * Learning how to do creatively
  * Learning how to work constructively
  * Learning how to be wise

It is said that:

Educational process primarily needs to set a target on new thinking and creativity for it to make education have the real effect on the society...Asia must adapt itself to be free, must have the advanced and creative way of life and must be able to give a push in the direction of globalization. These will happen when Asian education and society develop into truly creative and productive society and when Asia resists adopting ideas and copying knowledge from other countries as is the present case (Sinlarat, 2002).

To say for certain what Asian countries are doing regarding creativity and education and what they may need to do there is need for further research. Only by taking this approach will it be possible to say for sure that creativity is actually a “worldwide phenomenon” (Boyd, 2009) and the need for it in education is being recognized globally to solve the current problems facing societies.

This paper has outlined the various arguments given in literature for the need to couple creativity and education. Also included has been the evidence from policy documents from various countries to indicate that practical steps are being taken to make creativity part of the educational agenda.