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Abstract

Sequence analysis of the RNA polymerase B subunit encoding gene (*rpoB*) has been proposed as a useful tool for bacterial identification. This method has been implemented to differentiate five well-defined *Proteus* species: *P. mirabilis*, *P. hauseri*, *P. penneri*, *P. vulgaris*, and *P. myxofaciens*. In this study, we evaluated the usefulness of *rpoB* sequencing for intraspecies discrimination of *P. mirabilis*. The sequence of *rpoB* 909 bp region was analyzed in 15 newly isolated strains and 5 of 8 years old isolates from different clinical sources. Three respective groups were obtained. The first group included 13 of the new strains showing similarity with *Proteus mirabilis* (ATCC 29906) strain. The second group including 3 of the old strains differs from the first group with a divergence of 0.22%. Group 3 contains only a single new strain 33. The sequence of this strain shows differences in the *rpoB* 909 bp region analyzed from the members of group 1 and the second group by 1.65% and 1.87% divergence respectively. According to our results, genetic differences could be detected within the *P. mirabilis* species. Therefore much more effort should be made to re-evaluate *rpoB* method and validate its usefulness as a molecular diagnostic method.
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1. Introduction

As a member of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Proteus* sp. constitutes a part of the normal flora of the intestinal tract of both humans and animals. Opportunistic infections, commonly found in the human intestinal tract, are usually associated with *Proteus*. Catheterization and surgery of the urinary tract are considered as predisposing factors
for urinary tract infections in hospital patients.

The genus Proteus consists of five species (P. hauseri, Proteus myxofaciens, Proteus penneri, Proteus mirabilis, and Proteus vulgaris) [1]. Three species namely Proteus mirabilis, P. penneri and P. vulgaris are associated with most common causes of complicated urinary tract infections [2] [3] and are notable for their swarming abilities, which are directly linked to their ability to cause diseases [2] [4]. Proteus mirabilis strains account for about 10% of uncomplicated urinary tract infections [5], and they are one of most common causes of nosocomial urinary tract infections and sepsis in patients [3] [6]. Furthermore, P. mirabilis has been implicated in cases of empyema [7], neonatal meningoencephalitis [8], osteomyelitis [9], and bacteremia [10].

In Egypt, P. mirabilis constitutes the third most commonly isolated pathogen of urinary tract infections after Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae [11]. For both taxonomic and epidemiological studies of the genus Proteus, several phenotypic typing methods such as serology and phage typing have been used in the past for identification of Proteus species. In recent years, molecular typing methods were implemented for investigation of Proteus species. Sequencing of 16S rRNA gene [12] has been used. However, divergence was not suitable to detect some of Proteus species among clinical isolates. Sequence analysis of the RNA polymerase β subunit encoding gene (rpoB) has been proposed as a useful tool for bacterial identification [13]. In this study, rpoB sequencing is examined as a tool for intra-species discrimination of Proteus mirabilis clinical isolates.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains

Bacterial strains: A total of 20 bacterial isolates were obtained from Mansoura Hospitals in Egypt including 15 new isolate: (5) wound, (1) oral swab, (1) sputum, (4) stool, (2) Endo-tracheal and (2) urine and (5) seven years old Urine samples (Table 1).

Table 1. Different Proteus mirabilis isolates, and their rpoB gene accession numbers, age and source of isolation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain number</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>GenBank number</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>(KR083867)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>(KR083868)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>(KR083869)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>(KR083870)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oral swab</td>
<td>(KR083871)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>(KR083872)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Endo-tracheal tube</td>
<td>(KR083873)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>(KR083874)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>(KR083875)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>(KR083876)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083877)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>(KR083883)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Endo-tracheal aspirate</td>
<td>(KR083884)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083886)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>(KR083885)</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083878)</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083879)</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083880)</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083881)</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(KR083882)</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Molecular Identification of Proteus Isolates

2.2.1. Amplification of rpoB Gene

Verification of the genus and species was confirmed by the molecular identification which was carried out by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of rpoB gene. Proteus isolates were grown on nutrient agar at 37°C for overnight then the colonies were suspended in 300 μl of distilled water and boiled for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred into a new tube and used as DNA template.

A portion of the coding region of the rpoB gene was amplified with primers CM7 (5'-AACCAGTTCCGC-GTTGGCCTGG-3') and CM31b (5'-CCTGAACAACACGCTCGGA-3'), according to the technique described by Mollet et al. (1997). The PCR amplicons generated were sequenced with primers CM81 (5'-CAG-TTCCGCGTTGGCCTG-3') and CM31b (seq) (5'-CCTGAACAACACGCTCGG-3') (Mollet et al., 1997) to obtain partial rpoB gene sequences. A reaction mixture containing 0.5 μM of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1 U Taq polymerase (Thermoscientific Dream Taq Green DNA polymerase), 5 μl of template DNA and nuclease free water was added for a total volume of 25 μl per reaction. The PCR was performed under the following conditions: 2 min. initial denaturation at 94°C, 35 cycles of denaturation (45 s at 94°C), annealing (60 s at 55°C), and extension (60 s at 72°C); a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Finally, PCR product was analyzed by electrophoresis through 1% agarose gel and then visualized under UV light by staining with ethidium bromide.

2.2.2. Determination of the Gene Sequence of rpoB Gene

Amplified rpoB gene fragments were purified from 20 strains using the PCR Purification Kit (MEGA quick-spin fragment DNA purification INTRON biotechnology, Sangdaeun-dong, Korea) for subsequent Sequencing. Purified PCR products were used as a template in sequencing reactions carried out with the ABI PRISM® BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Bio-systems, Foster City, USA). The reaction mixtures were analysed on an ABI 3730 DNA analyzer (Applied Bio-systems, Foster City, USA). Amplicons were sequenced on both strands and predicted peptide sequences analyzed by the online BLAST of the NCBI website software (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis was carried out on 909 bp of rpoB gene fragments using the CLUSTAL W algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994). To ensure the stability and reliability of phylogenetic relationships among strains used in this study, phylogenetic trees were reconstructed by using the Neighbour-joining and Maximum-parsimony methods in MEGA 4.1 package.


3. Results

rpoB Sequence Analysis for Proteus Species Identification

The phylogenetic tree is derived from partial (909 bp) rpoB gene sequences of clinical strains of Proteus mirabilis (Figure 1). By analyzing partial rpoB DNA sequences, a phylogenetic tree was derived from rpoB sequencing results with >1000 bootstrap values. As a result, six rpoB groups could be described. All strains of our study were almost grouped in three respective branches more closely related to Proteus mirabilis reference strains installed from the GenBank. One branch (group 1) included the following 13 new strains: 14, 2, 12, 8, 55, 9, 15, 5, 7, 48, 11, 19 and 54 in addition to 346 and 305 showing similarity to Proteus mirabilis (ATCC 29906) strain. The other branch (group 2) differs from the first branch with a divergence of 0.22% including three old strains: 313, 302 and 303 in addition to the new isolate 78. Last branch (group 3) contains only a single newly isolated strain 33. The sequence of this strain shows differences in the rpoB 909 bp region analysed from the members of branch one and the second branch by 1.65% and 1.87% respectively. Strains of group 1 differs from P. penneri, P. vulgaris (MTCC 7306), P. vulgaris (DQ836268), P. vulgaris (ATCC 29906) and P. hauseri with a divergence 6.4%, 6.38%, 6.6%, 7% and 6.7% respectively. Strains of group 2 differs from P. penneri, P. vulgaris (ATCC 29906), P. vulgaris (DQ836268), P. vulgaris (MTCC 7306) and P. hauseri with a divergence 6.6%, 7.2%, 6.7%, 6.5% and 6.7% respectively. P. mirabilis strains of group 3 showed 5.72% and 6.27% nucleotide
differences compared to *P. penneri* and *P. hauseri* strains. This group differs by 5.61%, 6.05%, 6.49% from *P. vulgaris* (MTCC), *P. vulgaris* (DQ836268) and *P. vulgaris* (ATCC 29906).

4. Discussion

The conventional typing methods are based on the presence of specific bacterial surface structures, which may change during the course of chronic infection [14]. Conventional typing methods, if applied alone, may sometimes lead to miss-classification of *Proteus* strains from different clinical source.

Identifying different types of organisms within a species is called typing. Traditional typing systems based on
phenotype, such as anti-biogram, biotype, serotype and phage-type have been used for many years. Genotyping is mainly used to link the epidemiologically related isolates collected during an outbreak of nosocomial disease to one another. In other cases, genetic events (mutation, plasmid acquisition, etc.) may occur during the outbreak, so it may not be enough to know if strains are identical or not but rather may be necessary to know how related (or not) are the isolates [15]. In addition, 16S rRNA gene sequencing followed by phylogenetic analysis of members of the family Enterobacteriaceae is associated usually with taxonomic problems which cannot be easily resolved because of the high degree of conservation in closely related species [16]. As an example, P. penneri and P. vulgaris could not be easily differentiated by this method [17].

For this reason, the rpoB gene, encoding the RNA polymerase β-subunit, a highly conserved housekeeping gene is now used as a tool for molecular identification. Partial rpoB gene sequencing and analysis was implemented to provide more sensitivity [13]. In addition, it was previously reported that upon comparison of rpoB and 16S rRNA sequences more than 2.5% nucleotide divergence sequencing data of genera Proteus, Morganella and Providencia could be produced allowing the definition of rpoB clades [18]. This method was used to test the divergence of 14 clinical strains of species of the family Enterobacteriaceae, including type strains of P. mirabilis [13]. In the same manner, rpoB gene sequence was used to test the intra-species discrimination of rpoB sequences of the genus Proteus [19].

Our 20 Proteus mirabilis strains isolated in this study were almost grouped in three respective branches. One branch (group 1) included the following new strains: 14, 2, 12, 8, 55, 9, 15, 5, 7, 48, 11, 19 and 54 in addition to 346. The other branch (group 2) differs from the first branch with a divergence of 0.22% including three old strains: 313, 302 and 303 in addition to 78. Last branch (group 3) contains only a single strain 33. The sequence of this strain shows differences in the rpoB 909 bp region analysed from the members of branch one and the second branch by 1.65% and 1.87% respectively. This is in contrast to another study [19], as Proteus mirabilis strains were grouped into one designated group. However, in the same study [19], two groups of P. vulgaris sequences could be described, one of them including the new strain type, differing from each other by 3.3% - 3.6% of their nucleotides and for both of these there was a nucleotide difference of 6.5% - 6.8% from the standalone former P. vulgaris strain type. These results provide further evidence for the existence of genetic differences within P. vulgaris rpoB sequences.

Moreover, in a previous study [20], strain types of four species of the genus Proteus showed remarkably different rRNA gene restriction patterns after digestion with EcoRV and HincII 17. As a result, different ribogroups could be defined depending on the number and size of the bands observed among clinical strains. Strains of P. mirabilis had identical profiles to those of their respective type strains. Neither old nor new strains have their own discriminatory group. However, in strains belonging to other species such as P. vulgaris, distinct ribogroups could be defined, as these strains showed a considerable pattern did not sufficiently perform variability.

5. Conclusions
In conclusion, rpoB sequencing proved able to characterize the different species of the genus Proteus on a molecular basis. However, our results provide further evidence for the existence of genetic differences within P. mirabilis. These findings indicate that rpoB sequencing method is not quite sufficient for fine typing and epidemiological purposes.

It appears that other new typing methods using another housekeeping gene must be further tried.
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