Peptides and Peptidomics: A Tool with Potential in Control of Plant Viral Diseases
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Abstract

Plant viruses are the most infectious agents in commercially important crops worldwide. Plant viral diseases are important because both decreased yielding and quality of fruits, flowers or vegetables lead to million-dollar losses in production. At present there are no reports which suggest a direct control of plant virus. A new strategy for plant virus control has been raised since 13 years ago—the use of peptides. Peptides could offer a direct interaction by affinity selection against viral proteins involved in infection cycle, like capsid or movement protein (e.g.) and affect viral replication. Peptidomics, as a new tool to study peptides, led us screening and selecting the best peptide with antiviral activity, and re-designing it to enhance the biological effect as well as the potential of bioactivity of those peptides secreted by microbes present in soil. In this paper we review current aspects in the use of peptides and peptidomics as a strategy to study new methods that lead a direct control against plant viral diseases.
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1. Introduction

Plant diseases are known since men started cropping in ancient times, but concepts about them have been passed through large and continued evolutionary process change in more than 20 centuries. Greeks philosophers as Teofrasto, talked about disease in cultures and they supposed possible origin and some treatments [1]. Commu-
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cially important crops such as vegetables, ornamental plants, grasses and cereals are not free to get infections which decrease their yielding and production. One of the main etiologies in plant diseases is caused by viruses; these agents are responsible for high lost in yielding and quality in crops worldwide. These losses are variable year to year, and have been in function of weather, crop management, chemical and cultural control of vectors (insects) and weeds, and in some case reached 100% losses [2].

Pathogens plant viruses are small pathogens and depend on the replication host machinery to replicate and generate progeny [3]. Plant viruses are classified based on features of nomenclature and taxonomy described by International Committee for Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) [4]. Currently, there are 2828 species of plant viruses that belong to 455 genera [5].

Last report by MicrobiologyBytes in collaboration with the Molecular Plant Pathology Association described the “Top Ten” of plant virus and highlighted those viruses with more importance in point of view of scientist or economy interest; this ranking is described in Table 1.

Frequency of these infections has been increased in most agricultural areas worldwide, pointing the theme of the more important affection in crops. To get control in any disease, it is relevant to know the etiological agent based on identification through miscellaneous laboratory methods, which leads us searching for handling and control of disease. Accurate diagnosis of the viral agent is a determinate factor in developing control alternatives [6].

A strategy to control plant virus is the use of plant resistance genes (R) to viral infection, natural or modified by genetic engineering. If there are R genes over expressed in natural way, these could come into other cultures by techniques like conventional breeding. Although genetic engineering offers unlimited chances to get virus-resistance crops, its application to large scale has generated disgust for researches, government and people [3]. Genetics treatments, based on the use of resistant strains, seem ideal to control these disease, as option to decrease use of pesticides in crops. But, many kinds of reactions can be observed in a short or large time; partial resistance, tolerance and immunity to disease, are some examples. Two alternatives are proposed along this review to get news strategies in plant virus control: 1) the use of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, considered as a natural defense in plant besides enhancing yield and resistance [7]; 2) the use of small molecules such as peptides with high specificity to viral target, and block in direct way of the process of replication or viral assembly.

The aim of this review is to collect and disclose current strategies to develop plant viral control using peptides, based on techniques of peptidomics like tool for developing studies of direct molecule-molecule interaction.

Table 1. Ranking with principal plant virus in regard to scientist and economy effect (data from MicrobiologyBytes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Host range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV)</td>
<td>Tobamovirus</td>
<td>Tobacco, tomato, and other Solanaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV)</td>
<td>Tospovirus</td>
<td>Over 1000 species in 85 families, including many vegetables, peanuts and tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV)</td>
<td>Begomovirus</td>
<td>Mostly tomato and other Solanaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)</td>
<td>Cucumovirus</td>
<td>Cucumbers, squash, melons, peppers, beans, tomatoes, carrots, celery, lettuce, spinach, various weeds and many ornamental plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato virus Y (PVY)</td>
<td>Potyvirus</td>
<td>Important crops such as pepper, potato, tobacco, tomato, some ornamental plants and many weeds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV)</td>
<td>Caulimovirus</td>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica spp., Raphanus spp. and other species of Brassicaceae and Resedaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African cassava mosaic virus (ACMV)</td>
<td>Begomovirus</td>
<td>Cassava, Nicotiana and Datura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum pox virus (PPV)</td>
<td>Potyvirus</td>
<td>Stone fruits including peaches, apricots, plums, nectarines, almonds and sweet and sour cherries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brome mosaic virus (BMV)</td>
<td>Bromovirus</td>
<td>Mainly monocots such as barley and others in the grass family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato virus X (PVX)</td>
<td>Potexvirus</td>
<td>Potato and other Solanaceae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Peptidomics a Tool for Plant Virus Control

2.1. Bioactive Peptide Libraries

Several strategies had been described to get peptides in function microbial agent’s control, between them, peptides with target to bacterial membrane [8] or antifungal activity [9]. In some cases those molecules had gotten from specificity selection assays based in directly interaction between molecules as well as modification of bioactive peptides and de novo design reported previously in data base like PhytAMP [10]-[13], using combinatory chemistry and computational chemistry like tools to enhance the antimicrobial activity and develop and chose new peptides with a best biological activity [10]. Because, plant virus are particles formed of proteins like capsid (CP), nucleoproteins (N) and movement proteins (MP), we may think in the possibility to produced synthetic peptides or peptides derive from hydrolysis of big proteins, which target, based via interaction peptide-viral protein or peptide-viral peptide assays, and propose the potential biological effect based on this interaction affinity system [12]. Those studies can use peptide libraries derivate of protein hydrolysis from plants or functional foods like casein (e.g.) [14]. Peptides libraries from plant proteins with antiviral activity is not explorer option yet, but peptides libraries from casein derivate has demonstrated that are able to decrease infection and symptoms in farms of salmons for IHNV (Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus) [15]. Thus, a peptide derivate from FGF (Fibroblast Growth Factor) showed antiviral activity against an influenza virus (H5N1) [16]. Thus, we propose that peptides from protein plant hydrolysis could be a possible way to get peptide libraries with possible anti plant virus activities.

The biopanning assay (selection through interaction) is performed fixing the target molecule (viral protein or viral peptide, viral antigens) on a solid surface; it could be performed in solution (like immunoprecipitation). The peptide libraries, either synthetics, derivate from protein hydrolysis or phagepeptides, after, they will be add onto viral antigen fixed on the surface of reaction system (microplate e.g.), with the aim to let a random mole-

Binding peptides are eluted (if we performed the beads to collect the complex peptide-target, likewise immuno-

After incubation time, it is necessary to remove the peptides which did not interact or did not bind to the target. Binding peptides are eluted (if we performed the beads to collect the complex peptide-target, likewise immuno-

Each band in the gel represent a peptide in the mix with itself features such as mass and charge. We could remove each band and confirm the MW by Mass Spectrometry (MS) method. Furthermore, MS can confirm whether peptide contain an S-S bond. In the study of peptides via MS, principal ionization method is MALDI and analyzed with TOF [12] [19]-[21]. MALDI (Matrix Assisted Laser Disruption Ionization) as well as ESI (Electro Spray Ionization) there are soft ionization non-molecule destructive methods, which let know the properties of peptides and proteins such as MW, pure, and S-S association [22] [23]. The MALDI-TOF MS, is a tool to know the MW of peptide selected against target. We could purified the mix of peptides in HPLC (these kind of peptides are not susceptible to degradation in high pressure indeed there are linear, small circular in Phagepeptides (no more 15 amino acids) or derivate from hydrolysis) coupled ESI MS/MS to get purified peptide and know the MW. Furthermore, we could know sequence de novo via trypsin digestion of each purified peptide or band in 2D gel, using CID MS/MS or get the fingerprint MALDI TOF/TOF [23]. We could analyze and purified via LC MS, the mix of selected peptides without passing 2D gels like shows in the general process in Figure 1.

Once peptides sequences are known, the follow interest is to generate a 3D structure model of the peptide, this approach, will let us theoretical studies of the interaction dynamics of peptide-target and know the docking energy of the system in this interaction. These studies will help us to re-select peptides with the best probability of biological activity [10]. 3D peptide structure is performed by Molecular mechanics or Markov alphabet, first model is a singular mechanics balls-spring system using the real conformation state of the atoms in the peptide [24] [25]. Markov alphabet is a alternative to propose a peptide structure using software which are based in modeling by comparison of the original peptide sequence with a algorithm structural alphabet formed by 27 structural letters [26]. There are software’s like PEP-FOLD who modeling peptides with this method (http://bioserv.rpbs.univ-paris-diderot.fr/PEP-FOLD). Spectroscopic techniques like NMR is the way to know the real 3D structure of the peptide, lineal or cyclic [20]. Last method above mentioned, allows get more information about theoretical interactions between two molecules and predicts bioactivity for example in peptides.
Figure 1. Process which described a general method to get peptide library derived from proteins and process to select peptides by biopanning assay, in surface and solution model. 1) Obtaining peptides from purified proteins using endopeptidases. 2.1) Peptides then are selected versus an antigen fixed to solid surface or 2.2) Peptides are selected in solution (the antigen is soluble in buffer), specific peptides (that bind to antigen) are recovered by wash with disruption buffer or by Antibodies (Ab) anti-viral antigen, respectively. Then is necessary elute those peptides from antigens and analyze pure of peptides by MS. PL: Peptide Library, VA: Viral Antigen, Ab: Antibody, SB: Sepharose Beads.

2.2. Evaluation of Antiviral Bioactivity in Plants

Before evaluation of antiviral bioactivity of peptides is required to know the cytotoxicity activity in the plant, we can performed it experiment in protoplast model [10] with the aim to find the optimum peptide concentration (purified peptide or mix peptides) which are not interfere with plant growth. Starting nontoxic minimum concentration (NTC), add peptides to test viral infection model to find the minimum inhibitory concentration against the viral agent (MIC) [10]. We can choose the best activity and drive and match the biological activity with theoretical biochemical studies of the peptide, like chemistry structure.

Above, we highlight the importance to find bioactive peptides in libraries of plant protein hydrolyzed, therefore, this requires of the selection specificity biopannings techniques in order to get the best peptide against virus. If we think get peptides derivate from this kind of libraries, the more logical is that those peptides are supplied via sprayed [27] or add to substrate in this case maybe those peptides become inducers of resistance genes (R) [27] [28]. To achieve this treatment, we need to know the features of viral pathogenicity, since methods like sprayed could be more efficient in virus with replication and progeny develops in areas as mesophyll in leaves in genera like Cilevirus, Tobravirus, Tospovirus [29]-[31] (see Table 2). In first proposal, arise the idea that peptides introduce to mesophyll of leaves through hydathodes and stomata, crossing cell wall and membrane, indeed we may think the chemistry composition of the peptides should contain some hydrophobic residues to cross the membrane and arrive to cytoplasm (the hydrophobicity of a peptide is not 100%, because some peptide molecules could acts in cytoplasm that is aqueous area), another idea is that some peptides can bind to DNA or RNA [11] if previously it were selected versus these targets.

Protection against systemic virus replication can propose in addition of peptides to substrate, in this way the peptides could be absorbed by plant and arrive to infection sites in phloem, e.g. and finally be elicitors of R genes [28]. Those peptides may generate Systemic Induce Resistance (SIR) and turn on some pathways in the plant itself such as the case of salicylic acid [32] [33] or turn on PR synthesis proteins likewise systemic inducers such as BTH (Benzothiadiazole) in geminivirus infections [34], induce turn on of ethylene factor, which induce Programmed Cell Death (PCD) in response TYLCV infections [35] [36]. The treatment proposals could be useful to virus which conducted Long-Distance-Movement (LDM), replication in Sieve Elements (SE) [37] [38], for example Begomovirus, Closterovirus, Cucumovirus, Tobamovirus [30] (see Table 2, Figure 3).
### Table 2. Summary principal importance virus’s which produce local infections (superficial in leaves and roots) and systemic infection (sieve elements).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replication Site</th>
<th>Genera</th>
<th>Virus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superficial (Leaves, Roots)</td>
<td>CiLevirus</td>
<td>CiLV-Ci (Citrus leprosis virus—Citplasmic),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tobravirus</td>
<td>TRV (Tobacco rattle virus), PepRSV (Pepper ringspot virus),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tospovirus</td>
<td>INSV (Impatiens necrotic spot virus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Begomovirus</td>
<td>TYLCV (Tomato yellow leaf curl virus),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem (SE)</td>
<td>Cucumovirus</td>
<td>CMV (Cucumbermosaic virus),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closterovirus</td>
<td>CTV (Citrus tristeza virus),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tobamovirus</td>
<td>TMV (Tobacomosica virus), ToMV (Tomatomosica virus)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. In Vivo Selection of Peptides Libraries against Viral Proteins

In regard to get treatments against systemic viral replication, some strategies had been developed; The form to achieve this aim, is through in plant synthesis of peptides (transgenic plants) derivate from antigenic regions of viral proteins such as CP, MP and Rep [31], in this way, plant will turn on the immune system response against virus, like MAMP’s trigger immunity [32] [39]. Another way to achieve this goal is to develop a screening in live system of peptide libraries which interacts and bind with some viral target, commonly using a yeast system (yeast two-hybrid interaction assay [40]-[42]). The basis for this technique is induce the direct interaction through peptide target (viral target) attachment region to DNA (DBD, DNA binding domain) in promoter of reporter selection gen, with, different peptides attachments (each peptide in a plasmid) to AD (Activation Domain in RNA polymerase binding site). In normal conditions this regions interacts and their interaction becomes to up-stream transcription of the gene, see Figure 2. This fact, was used as basis of the method for adding peptides from a libraries to AD region and the targets or viral target in the DBD region, if both sequences are able to interact, these will conduce to closer the DBD and AD regions to drive transcription of the reporter gene. The transcription of reporter gene, leads up to believe that both sequence, target and peptide, are able to bind and is possible to use like bioactive peptide against target, this kind of peptides are called peptides aptamers [43]. The select peptides are after cloning in plants (transgenic plants).

Reports on peptides aptamers with antiviral activity are based in the interaction of peptides derivates of common binding microdomain in N protein of Tospovirus (TSWV, TCSV, GRSV, INSV and SYSV) as peptides targets, and a library of peptides derivates from other places in N protein of Tospovirus. Results show antiviral activity in Nicotiana benthamiana transgenic plants, peptides that closers interaction, decrease symptoms and viral RNA [42].

In case of systemic virus, some more important: geminivirus (TYLCV, TGMV), there are reports with peptide aptamers libraries with target to initiator replication proteins (AL1) [40] and Rep [41], these proteins are significant because are essential to starting replication in several virus [44]. Both reports show that using a N. benthamiana transgenic model, containing aptamers peptides with previously screening in YTH, decrease the symptom and DNA level (see Figure 3).

Once established the peptides aptamers library with best biological activity, we can carry out computational studies to confirm the biological dynamics of peptide and suggest modification to enhance the antiviral activity. These kinds of peptides are able to control localized and systemic virus infection in plants like above mention. Although the system is required in transgenic plants, there are not more studies in which peptides aptamers shows disturb plant metabolism. Results with experimental crop field studies are not reported, yet.

### 4. Antiviral Plant Peptides from Plant-Microbe Interactions

The best nutritional source in plant is the soil. Is also source of pathogen microbe as fungi, bacterial, nematodes and virus. However, there are some microbe groups which are associated with plant roots or live near plant, this sometimes is an advantage in plant because this microbe group yield antimicrobial compounds against plant pathogens. Especially in plant virus, had been described bacteria such as Pseudomonas chlororaphis O6 and fungus as Trichodermapseudokoningii SMP2, Spedonium (Apiocrea, sexual phase), Boletus sp. [20] [27] [28] [45]. Their populations of these microbes are principally in soil, and can relate with plants [46] [47]. They produce peptides, with difference in generic pathway, and structural, and they plays in Systemic Induced Resistance (SIR), allowing the antiviral response.
Experiments show inoculated plants with *P. chlororaphis* O6 enable the plant to develop resistance against *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV) in *Nicotiana tabaccum* [48]. Subsequently Park Y.J. and collaborators, 2012 performed a resistance model with *P. chlororaphis* O6 in tobacco plants infected with TMV, the goal of these experiments were the purification of the active compound which induce SIR against TMV, researchers found in aqueous extract a cyclic peptide, this molecule consists of 7 amino acids and was analyzed by ESI-Q-TOF MS and NMR to structural studies. Pure peptide called “Peptamine” was assayed in tobacco plants TMV infected, peptide was added to plant through spray on the leaves, results showed antiviral activity likewise model only *P. chlororaphis* in substrate [20].

There are other peptide group comprise by non-proteinogenic amino acids called Peptaibols, they are formed with N terminal with acetylation and C terminal amino alcohol, the principal amino acids are α-aminoisobutiric (Aib) and Isovaline (Iva). Biological activity has broad spectrum against gram positive bacteria, and fungal [49]. However there are 3 kind of peptaibols with antiviral activity in plants such as 1) Chrysopermmins B and D, its produced *Apiocrea Chrysoperma* [45]. 2) Peptavirins A and B, them produced by *Spedonium* (*Apiocrea* sp 14T). Both groups of pure peptaibols have showed resistance against TMV in tobacco plants [27]. 3) Trichokonins, produced in *Trichoderma pseudokoningii* SMP2, were purified and tested in tobacco plants infected with TMV, this peptaibol induced the SIR and decrease severe virus infection in concentration of 100 nM, it was showing decrease of 60% in viral RNA, as well as decline in symptoms severity. Other important data in the experiment is the test of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production such as H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2^−$ and phenolic compounds showed enhanced the production as well as the ROS enzymes in tobacco leaves; these dates suggest that peptaibols are peptides which acts like SIR elicitors [28], see Figure 3.

5. Conclusion

In our vision of peptidomics, all these peptides mentioned could be a new frontier to search and design new
Figure 3. A general scheme of possible antiviral activity mechanism using peptides is shown. Peptides from libraries (PL/D) (derivate from plant proteins, phagedisplay, synthetics) with specific selection against viral targets such Coat Protein (CP), Movement Protein (MP) or Replicate (Rep); are sprayed on leaves and these come into cell, in cell they can bind to specific target and block replication of Viral Nucleic Acid (Viral NA) and block other process such as transcription of other viral proteins, both bind to MP and block the movement of viral nucleic acids and virion into cell-cell (C-CM) through Plasmodesmata (PLDM), having consequently decrease in Long Distal Movement (LDM) and systemic infection. Peptides aptamers (PLY) in transgenic plants (Plas) are able to develop a response likewise described above. In case of peptaibols (PAIB), they are synthesize by fungal as Trichoderma or cyclic peptides by P. chlororaphis O6 in soil, plant absorbs and in this way will enhance Resistance genes (R genes) and pathways like Salicylic Acid (SA) and Ethylene (ET) consequently enhance of Reactive Oxigen Species (ROS) and phenolic compounds, decrease the synthesis of viral nucleic assay and the systemic virus and localized.

strategies to enhance the protection against virus; tools like MALDI-TOF and computational chemistry are options that allow us to research direct molecular interactions into small molecules with viral particles and to think in a possible direct treatment against plant virus. However studies in crops are not developed yet, it is necessary to motive researches in the study of crop fields and monitor in vivo protection. This work also presents an alternative to the use of transgenic plants, exploring the use of peptide libraries derivates from plant or animal proteins or other sources.
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