Low-Cost Strategies for Development of Molecular Markers Linked to Agronomic Traits in Prunus
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Abstract

Evaluation of agronomic traits in Prunus breeding programs is a tedious process because of the long juvenile period of trees, the influence of juvenility and the existence of climatic factors affecting the expression of the trait. For these reasons, marker-assisted selection (MAS) strategies are particularly useful in these cases. The objective of this work is the analysis of alternative low-cost strategies for development of molecular markers linked to agronomic traits in Prunus including the application of modified Bulked segregant analysis (BSA) using Simple sequence repeat (SSRs) markers and the application of Random amplified polymorphism microsatellite (RAMP) markers. First BSA results showed that two SSR loci were found to be tightly linked to flowering time in almond. On the other hand, RAMP analysis has been demonstrated to be a potentially valuable molecular marker for the study of genetic relationships in Prunus. Results showed the dominant nature of these markers with a great abundance and transferability although with a reduced polymorphism. In addition, RAMP application in F1 progenies showed its suitability for molecular characterization and mapping, and later Quantitative trait loci (QTL) or BSA analysis.
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1. Introduction

The genus *Prunus* (Rosaceae family) include more than 200 species widely grown around the world [1] [2]. The annual worldwide production of the most important *Prunus* species cultivated were around 41 million metric tons in 2011, including 21.52 million tons of peach and nectarine fruits *P. persica* (L.) Batsch; 11.35 million tons of prune (*P. domestica* Lindl), plum (*P. salicina* Lindl), sloe (*P. spinosa* L.), and cherry plum fruits (*P. cerasifera* Ehrh.); 3.84 million tons of apricot fruits (*P. armeniaca* L.); 2.24 million tons of sweet (*P. avium* L.), sour (*P. cerasus* L.) and ground (*P. fruticosa* Pall.) cherry fruits; and 2.01 million tons of almond kernels *P. amygdalus* (Batsch) syn. *P. dulcis* (Miller) Webb (http://faostat.fao.org). In *Prunus* breeding programs, evaluation of agronomic traits in *Prunus* species is a tedious process because of the long juvenile period of trees, the influence of the juvenility on the expression of the trait, and the existence of climatic factors affecting this evaluation. For these reasons, marker-assisted selection (MAS) is particularly useful in these cases [3] [4].

Studies of development of molecular markers linked to agronomic traits in *Prunus* were initially performed in almond using isoenzyme analysis. Nevertheless, this first approach was very limited because of the reduced polymorphism and the low variation [3]. Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms (RFLPs) provided a more efficient method because of its codominant nature and unlimited number of markers. However, the application of these markers has been limited due to their complexity and time-consuming. More recently, the utilization of PCR-based markers less laborious and time consuming has increased the possibilities of mapping. Random Amplified Polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs) were the first PCR marker assayed although its dominant nature and low repeatability limited drastically its utilization [3]. For these reasons, simple sequence repeat sequences (SSRs) are being become the markers of choice for molecular characterization and mapping in *Prunus* because of their high polymorphism, abundance, codominant inheritance and transportability across *Prunus* species [5] [6].

To compensate for the weakness of these two approaches (SSR and RAPD); limited repeatability of RAPDs and cost of SSRs, a new low-cost marker called Random amplified polymorphism microsatellite (RAMP) was developed [7]. RAMP markers involved a SSR primer which is used to amplify genomic DNA in the presence or absence of RAPD primers (Figure 1). The resulting products can be resolved using submarine agarose electrophoresis. The amplification products derived from the anchored primer are only detected. Advantages of RAMP include high polymorphism, widely distributed throughout the genome, with an easily and low cost application. However, mixture interpretation is more difficult and repeatability could be reduced [7] [8]. RAMP has been successfully employed in diversity studies in different fruit species including peach [9] and pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) [10]. This new low-cost marker has been adopted in recent research for evaluation of DNA polymorphisms at different levels [11].

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of Simple sequence repeat (SSR), Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and Random amplified polymorphism microsatellite (RAMP) markers and application of UDP98409 SSR, OPR-16 RAPD and UDP98409/ OPR-16 RAMP application in the F₁ apricot progeny “Goldrich” (G) × “Currot”](image-url)
The main approach for the development of molecular markers for MAS strategies in *Prunus* continue being the use of segregating progenies and the identification of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) linked to these traits [3] [4] [12]. However, bulk segregant analysis (BSA), where two pooled DNA samples are formed from plant sources that have similar genetic backgrounds but differ in one particular trait, is another powerful approach for the analysis of molecular marker-horticultural trait association [13] with lower costs in comparison with QTL analysis [12]. A strategy combining different markers with bulk segregant analysis was used to identify markers linked to loci of specific fruit characters in peach × almond crosses [14]. In addition, Ballester *et al.* [15] using this methodology identified RAPD markers associated with self-incompatibility and flowering time in almond.

The objective of this work was the development of alternative low-cost strategies for molecular markers development in *Prunus* by the application of modified bulked segregant analysis using SSR markers and the application of RAMP markers for the molecular characterization of several almond and apricot F1 progenies to evaluate their use for molecular characterization and mapping.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Material

The plant material assayed included a F1 almond progeny of seventy eight seedlings from the cross between the intermediate flowering Italian cultivar “Tuono” and the extra-late flowering Iranian cultivar “Shahrood-12” (T × S). In addition, to check the transferability of the molecular markers assayed across *Prunus* genus, a F1 apricot progeny of one hundred seedlings from the cross between the North American cultivar “Goldrich” and the Spanish “Currot” (G × C) was assayed.

2.2. Flowering Time Evaluation and Bulk Segregant Analysis in Almond

During two consecutive years (2008 and 2009) flowering time was evaluated in the progenitors and the F1 almond population T × S every 2 days and expressed in as extra-early, early, late, and extra-late. In this population, four bulks (extra-early, early, late and extra-late) consisting in a DNA pool from several descendants selected from the almond progeny were selected for the future study using SSR markers (Figure 2).

2.3. Molecular Characterization of the Almond Progeny Using SSRs

Total genomic DNA was isolated using the procedure described by Doyle and Doyle [16]. Extracted almond genomic DNA was PCR-amplified using 71 pairs of primers flanking microsatellite sequences previously cloned and sequenced in different *Prunus* species including almond, peach, cherry and apricot (Table 1). Microsatellite amplifications and evaluation were performed as described in Sánchez-Pérez *et al.* [17]. Amplified PCR products were separated using Metaphor® agarose (Cambrex, East Rutherford, NJ, USA) and stained with GelRed® (Biotium, Hatwad, CA, USA). These SSR markers were well distributed across the Prunus genome. Fifty six of this SSR makers were previously used in the first linked map of the population “R1000” × “Desmayo Largueta” [18] completing this map with the assay of 15 new SSRs according to previous information of Dondini *et al.* [19] and Sánchez-Pérez *et al.* [20] (Figure 3).

2.4. Molecular Characterization of Almond and Apricot Progenies Using RAMPs

Extracted DNA was PCR-amplified using a combination of two pair of primers (forward and reverse) flanking nuclear SSR sequences cloned in peach (UDP96003) and apricot (UDAp473) and 2 selected RAPD primers (OPA8, OPB11 and OPR-16) purchased from Operon Technologies (Huntsville, USA). PCR reactions were performed according to the protocol optimized by Sánchez-Pérez *et al.* [16] to SSR markers assaying different annealing temperatures (from 35°C to 52°C). Amplified PCR products were separated using regular LD-2® agarose (Conda, Madrid, Spain) and stained with GelRed® (Biotium, Hatwad, CA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Flowering Time Evaluation in Almond

*Figure 2* showed the distribution of the seventy almond seedlings of the F1 progeny “Tuono” × “Shahrood” for
Figure 2. Flowering date evaluation in the almond F1 progeny of 78 seedlings from the cross between the “Tuono” and “Shahrood-12” during the years 2008 and 2009 and selection of DNA pools (extra-early, early, late and extra-late) from different seedlings.

Table 1. Origin of simple microsatellite (SSR) markers assayed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSR marker group</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Number of SSRs tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPPCT</td>
<td>Dirlewanger et al. [21]</td>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPDCT</td>
<td>Mnejja et al. [22]</td>
<td>Almond</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPPCT</td>
<td>Aranzana et al. [23]</td>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPDCU</td>
<td>Howad et al. [24]</td>
<td>Almond</td>
<td>EST</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPPCU</td>
<td>Howad et al. [24]</td>
<td>Almond</td>
<td>EST</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Yamamoto et al. [25]</td>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PceGA</td>
<td>Downey and Iezzoni [26]</td>
<td>Cherry</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pchgms</td>
<td>Sosinski et al. [27]</td>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS</td>
<td>Cantini et al. [28]</td>
<td>Cherry</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Sosinski et al. [27]</td>
<td>Cherry</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDA</td>
<td>Testolin et al. [29]</td>
<td>Almond</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDAp</td>
<td>Messina et al. [30]</td>
<td>Apricot</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDP</td>
<td>Cipriani et al. [31]</td>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Genomic</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
flowering time according to the mean values of the two years.

Results showed a quantitative inheritance of flowering date in the almond progeny studied. The seedlings evaluated showed a wide range of flowering dates and in most cases a range between both progenitors. However, some of these descendants were earlier than the early progenitor “Tuono”. This can show the effect of genetic background on the expression of this trait.

Flowering time showed a quantitative inheritance in the family assayed with a clear modal distribution in agreement with previous results in other almond progenies [32]-[36]. However, our results are not in accordance with previous results studying some descendants of “Tardy Nonpareil” which a bimodal distribution was observed for this trait. This bimodal distribution was explained by the presence of a late flowering major gene (Lb), quantitatively modified by other minor genes [32] [33]. In our progeny where the late flowering donor is an Iranian almond cultivars it is not present this Lb gene.

Flowering date was considered an agronomical trait with a high heritability [36] [37]. In this sense, Dicenta et al. [38] established that the best strategy to obtain late-flowering descendants is to cross progenitors as late-flowering as possible. When the offspring showed a bimodal distribution, we must select the latest-flowering, probably carrying the late-flowering allele (in the case of descendants from “Tardy Nonpareil”) which could be transmitted to its descendants.

3.2. Bulked Segregant Analysis for Flowering Time in Almond Using SSR Markers

In the analysis of co-segregation of SSR markers and the T × S almond population, two microsatellite loci (CPPCT008 and EPDCU2584) were found to be tightly linked to this important agronomic trait (Figure 4).

Results corroborated the suitability of the use of SSR markers for the assessment of molecular genetic variability in almond and the high degree of transportability between peach SSR in almond previously reported by Martínez-Gómez et al. [5]. SSR detection using Metaphor® agarose gel electrophoresis was an efficient and would be able to resolve most of allelic variation. In this sense, we can indicate that the use of MetaPhor® agarose and Gel Red Nucleic Acid Gel Sating® appears good indicated for molecular characterization of mapping of population, due to its good resolution in comparison with the rest of agaroses, less toxicity in comparison with the use of ethidium bromide, and lower cost and easier routine application in comparison with the automatic capillary sequencing.
Results also indicated the suitability of SSR markers for the analysis of QTL linked to flowering time and chill and heat requirements as has been described before in almond [18] [39] and other related species such as apricot [40] [41], rose [42] and peach [43] and the future application in marker assisted selection as has been described in the case of bitterness in almond [20].

Other opportunities of this study derive from the recent sequencing of the complete genome of the peach. The International Peach Genome Initiative (IPGA) has recently released the complete peach genome sequence which is available on http://www.rosaceae.org/peach/ genome [44]. This complete peach genome sequence will be of great interest in the future molecular studies in Prunus species such as apricot. In this sense, due to the easy location of SSR markers in this genome sequence we can found the position of our SSR locus linked to flowering time focusing our future studies in the nearest DNA regions in linkage groups 5 and 6.

The construction of linkage maps and QTL analysis takes a considerable amount of time and effort and may be very expensive. Therefore, alternative methods that can save time and money would be very useful, especially if resources are limited. One of these methods is the BSA where only bulks of selected genotypes are analyzed [13]. The disadvantages of this method is that they are not efficient in determining the effects of QTL and that only one trait can be tested at a time since the individuals selected for extreme phenotypic values will usually nor represent extreme phenotypic values for other traits.

These results can be considered as an initial point to search inside the genome for the flowering time expression and hold promise for speeding up the fine mapping and identification of region responsible for the variation of the trait. Fine mapping consists of saturating the identified genome region near the SSR identified as linked to the trait assayed. SNP markers could be the most suitable markers for increasing the resolution of the initial maps developed with SSRs or for increasing the resolution of determined regions of the map [11] using the same populations. SNPs are the most abundant molecular markers (estimated at more than 1 per 1000 bp) and are widely distributed throughout the genome (although their occurrence and distribution varies among species). In addition, extremely degraded DNA samples can be used, and multiplexing hundreds of markers in a chip is possible in several Prunus species, including peach [45] and cherry [46]. In addition, the markers identified in are seldom
suitable for marker assisted selection without further testing, validation and additional development. Generally, the steps required for validation include testing the markers in correctly phenotyped germplasm.

### 3.3. RAMP Application in Almond and Apricot

Regarding the optimization of the application of RAMP markers for the molecular characterization of almond and apricot (Figure 5) genotypes, annealing temperature is the critical step as has been previously described in peach [9] and pomegranate [10]. Annealing temperature of the anchored primers were usually 10°C - 15°C higher than those of the RAPD primers; thus, at higher annealing temperature, only the anchored primers would anneal efficiently, whereas in PCR cycles at low annealing temperatures, both anchored SSR and RAPD primers would anneal. The optimization of the annealing conditions represents the main limitation in the application of this type of DN markers [11].

The PCR program could be modified such that there is switching between high and low annealing temperatures during reaction. Most fragments obtained with RAMP primers alone disappear when RAPD primer are included, and different patterns are obtained with the same RAMP primer and different RAPDs, indicating that RAPD primer compete with RAMP primer during the low annealing temperature cycle.

Regarding the application of RAMP markers in almond and apricot (Figure 5) progenies, results showed the dominant nature of these markers although with a reduced polymorphism. In addition, the great number of combinations of markers makes these markers very abundant.

The easy application and cheap analysis in regular agarose represent the most prominent advantage when compared with others DNA markers as RFLPs or SSRs.

Finally, these results also confirm the transferability of these RAMP markers across the genus *Prunus* and

---

**Figure 5.** RAMP optimization and application: A) in the F₁ almond progeny “Tuono” (T) × “Shahrood-12” (S) using SSR primers [forward (F) and reverse (R)] from UDP96003 marker and RAPD primer OPA8, and assaying different annealing temperatures (from 35°C to 45°C), and B) in the F₁ apricot progeny “Goldrich” (G) × “Currot” (C) using SSR primers [forward (F) and reverse (R)] from UDAp473 marker and RAPD primer OPB11, and assaying different annealing temperatures (from 35°C to 51.6°C).
their suitability for molecular characterization of mapping of population later QTL or BSA analysis.
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