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H. O. Bakodah, Mohamed Abdalla Darwish
Department of Mathematics, Sciences Faculty for Girls, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, KSA
Email: h.o.bak@hotmail.com, darwishma@yahoo.com

Received April 10, 2012; revised May 11, 2012; accepted May 19, 2012

ABSTRACT
We study approximate solutions of a nonlinear integral equation of Hammerstein type. We describe the principle of discrete Adomian decomposition method (DADM). DADM is considered in the case we evaluate numerical integration by using Chebyshev roots. This technique gives an accurate solutions as will shown by illustrate examples.
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1. Introduction
Nonlinear integral equations appears very often in many applications. For example, it occurs in solving several problems arising in economics, engineering, and physics. One of the most important frequently investigated nonlinear integral equations is the Hammerstein integral equation (cf. [1-5]).

In this paper we study the problem of approximate solutions for the nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein type, namely

\[ \lambda x(t) = y(t) + \int_a^b k(t,s) u(x(s)) \, ds, \] (1)
\[ \lambda \neq 0; \ a \leq t \leq b. \]

Adomian decomposition method (ADM) for solving integral equations has been presented by G. Adomian [6,7]. In [8,9], Wazwaz extended ADM to solve Volterra integral equations and boundary value problems for higher-order integro-differential equations. Numerous works have been focusing on the development of more advanced and efficient methods for nonlinear integral equations such as implicitly collocations methods [10], product integration method [11] and Adomian decomposition method [9,12]. There are significant interest in applying Adomian decomposition method (ADM) for a wide class of nonlinear equations. For example, ordinary and partial differential equations, integral equations and integro-differential equations, see [13-16] and references therein.

In [17], Behiry et al. introduced a discrete version of the Adomian decomposition method and applied it to Equation (1). This method is called a discrete Adomian decomposition method (DADM). DADM arises when the quadrature rules are used to approximate the definite integrals which can not be computed analytically. The DADM gives the numerical solution at nodes used in the quadrature rules.

It is worthwhile mention that Behiry and other [17] applied Simpson rule with \( n \) subinterval and step size \( h = \frac{b-a}{n} \). It is occasionally useful, both theoretically and practically, to have interpolatory formulas on sets of abscissas other than the equidistant set. A common choice is the set of zeros of an orthogonal polynomial. By using the fact that the Chebyshev polynomials are one of the best orthogonal polynomials that have important role particularly in numerical analysis, we choose the nodes of the quadrature rule to be the zeros of Chebyshev polynomials in order to minimize the discretization error.

2. Chebyshev Polynomials
It is worth mentioning that, Chebyshev polynomials are everywhere dense in numerical analysis [18].

Definition 2.1. The Chebyshev polynomial \( T_n(x) \) of the first kind is a polynomial in \( x \) of degree \( n \), defined by the following relation

\[ T_n(x) = \cos n\theta \] when \( x = \cos \theta \). (2)

From Formula (2), the zeros for \( x \) in \([-1,1]\) of \( T_n(x) \) must correspond to the zeros for \( \theta \) in \([0,\pi]\) of \( \cos n\theta \), so that
\[ n\theta = (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n. \]

Hence, the zeros of \( T_n(x) \) are

\[ x_j = \cos\left(\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n}\right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n. \]  

(3)

The internal extrema of \( T_n(x) \) correspond to the extreme values of \( \cos n\theta \), namely the zeros of \( \sin n\theta \), since \( \frac{d}{dx} T_n(x) = \frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} \). Hence, including those at \( x = \pm 1 \), the extrema of \( T_n(x) \) on \([-1,1]\) are

\[ x_j = \cos\frac{j\pi}{n}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n. \]  

(4)

3. DADM with New Nodes

The Adomian decomposition method (ADM) is now known as an efficient and accurate tool for deriving analytical solutions without linearization or perturbation for large classes of linear and nonlinear operator equations in different fields of applied mathematics, engineering and physics.

By applying ADM, the solution \( x \) of Equation (1) is given by the following series form

\[ x(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x_r(t), \]  

(5)

where the components \( x_r(t), \quad r \geq 0 \), can be computed later on. We represent the nonlinear term \( u(x(t)) \) by the Adomian polynomials, \( A_r(t) \), as follows:

\[ u(x(t)) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r(x_0(t), x_1(t), \ldots, x_r(t)), \]  

(6)

where \( A_r(t) \) can be evaluated by the following formula, [7],

\[ A_r(x_0(t), x_1(t), \ldots, x_r(t)) = \frac{1}{r!} \frac{d^r}{dt^r} \left[ u \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \beta^r x_r \right) \right] \bigg|_{\beta=0}. \]  

(7)

By substituting from (5) and (6) into (1), we obtain

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x_r(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} y(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} k(t,s) A_r(s) \, ds. \]  

(8)

Now, we can compute the components \( x_r(t), \quad r \geq 0 \), by using the following recursive relations [19]

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{r+1}(t) &= \frac{b}{\lambda} \int_{t}^{b} k(t,s) A_r(s) \, ds, \quad r \geq 0, \\
x_0(t) &= \frac{y(t)}{\lambda}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(9)

It is noticed that the computation of each component \( x_r(t), \quad r \geq 0 \), requires the computation of an integral in Equation (9). If the evaluation of integral in (9) is analytically impossible, the ADM can not be applied. So the quadrature rules are used to approximate the definite integrals. Now, we consider a numerical integration scheme given by the formula

\[ \int_{a}^{b} g(s) \, ds = \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{n,j} g(S_{n,j}), \]  

(10)

where \( g(s) \) is a continuous function on \([a,b]\), \( S_{n,j} = a + jh \) are the nodes of the quadrature rule, \( h = \frac{b-a}{n} \) and \( w_{n,j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n \), are the weight functions. From (9) and (10), we obtain

\[ x_{n+1}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{n,j} k(t,S_{n,j}) A_r(S_{n,j}) \right] r \geq 0. \]  

(11)

By collocating transformed Equation (11) at \( n+1 \) points \( t_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n \), which are the same points of quadrature rule, we get

\[ x_{r+1}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{n,j} k(t_i,S_{n,j}) A_r(S_{n,j}) \right] r \geq 0. \]  

(12)

The approximate solution of Equation (1) by using DADM can be obtained by summing the approximate values to the components \( x_r(t), \quad r \geq 0 \), represented by Equation (12) at nodes \( S_{n,j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots, n \). In [17], Behiry et al. applied Simpson rule with number of sub-interval \( n \) and step size \( \frac{b-a}{n} \), Simpson’s rule is given by the formula

\[ \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \, dx = \frac{h}{3} \left( f(a) + f(b) \right) 
+ \frac{4h}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_{2i}) 
+ \frac{2h}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x_{2i+1}). \]  

(13)

Approximating the definite integral in Equation (9) by applying formula (13) to get

\[ x_{r+1}(t) \approx \frac{h}{3\lambda} \left[ k(t,S_{r,j}) A_r(S_{r,j}) + k(t,S_{r,j}) A_r(S_{r,j}) \right] 
+ \frac{4h}{3\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{n} k(t,S_{2i-j}) A_r(S_{2i-j}) 
+ \frac{2h}{3\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{n} k(t,S_{2i}) A_r(S_{2i}). \]  

(14)

Then, discrete the independent variable at the nodes used for the quadrature rule in Equation (14). Quadrature rules that are based on an expansion of the integrand in terms of Chebyshev polynomials employ a
change of variables and use a discrete cosine transform approximation for the cosine series which have fast converging accuracy comparable to another quadrature rules. A cosine series converges very rapidly for functions that are even, periodic, and sufficiently smooth. This is true when we used Chebyshev polynomial to approximate the integrand function, since \( f(\cos \theta) \) is even and periodic in \( \theta \) and is \( r \)-times differentiable. In order to use numerical integration method with orthogonal polynomial as Chebyshev, we transform the interval \([a, b]\) into the interval \([-1,1]\) by using the transformation

\[
r = \frac{1}{2}[(b-a)t+a+b].
\]

Applying this formula to Equation (9), we get

\[
x_n(t) = \frac{b-a}{2\lambda} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \left( \frac{(b-a)r+b+a}{2} \right) A_r \left( \frac{(b-a)r+b+a}{2} \right) \,dr.
\]

\[r \geq 0.
\]

(15)

Then we approximate the definite integral in Equation (15) by any quadrature rule using the zeros of Chebyshev polynomials form Equation (9) we can improve the accuracy of DADM as we show in the following examples.

4. Illustrative Examples

In this section we apply our methods to some integral equations of Hammerstein type. These examples show the efficient and accuracy of our method.

**Example 4.1.** Consider the nonlinear integral equation

\[
10x(t) = 10t - \frac{1}{4}(e-1)\exp(t^4) + \int_0^t \exp(s^4+t^4)(x(s))^3 \,ds.
\]

Here, \( \lambda = 10 \), \( y(t) = 10t - \frac{1}{4}(e-1)\exp(t^4) \), \( r(t,s) = \exp(s^4+t^4) \) and \( u(x(t)) = (x(s))^3 \). Equation (16) has an exact solution \( x(t) = t \), [20].

Let \( x_0(t) = \frac{y(t)}{\lambda} = t - \frac{1}{40}(e-1)\exp(t^4) \).

By using DADM with Simpson’s rule, the effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) is shown in Table 1 while the effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) by using DADM with Chebyshev nodes is shown in Table 2.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the nonlinear integral equation

\[
20x(t) = 20t + \cos(e+t) - \cos(1+t) + \int_0^t \exp(x(s))\sin(t+e^s) \,ds.
\]

Here, \( \lambda = 20 \), \( y(t) = 20t + \cos(e+t) - \cos(1+t) \), \( r(t,s) = \sin(t+e^s) \) and \( u(x(t)) = \exp(x(s)) \). Equation (17) has an exact solution \( x(t) = t \), [20].

Let \( x_0(t) = \frac{y(t)}{\lambda} = t + \frac{1}{20}\cos(e+t) - \frac{1}{20}\cos(1+t). \)

By using DADM with Simpson’s rule, the effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) is shown in Table 3 while the effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) by using DADM with Chebyshev nodes is shown in Table 4.

### Table 1. The effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) by using DADM with Simpson’s rule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( n = 2 )</th>
<th>( n = 4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.7806 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>2.1462 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>2.1546 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.8955 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.2846 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>2.9450 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>4.840 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.8340 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) by using DADM with Chebyshev nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( n = 2 )</th>
<th>( n = 4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.14645</td>
<td>4.2648 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.06699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.6176 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75000</td>
<td>4.5378 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.9798 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.75000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85355</td>
<td>3.2481 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.09330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1985 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. The effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) by using DADM with Simpson’s rule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( n = 2 )</th>
<th>( n = 4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>1.4639 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.6361 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>4.3978 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>7.5710 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>6.8861 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>8.9462 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.3504 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.0450 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. The effect of \( n \) in absolute error at \( m = 5 \) by using DADM with Chebyshev nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( n = 2 )</th>
<th>( n = 4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.14645</td>
<td>3.2670 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.06699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.6965 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>9.4204 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.8484 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1257 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.75000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85355</td>
<td>2.0074 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.09330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2740 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

We have solved the nonlinear integral equation of Hammerstein type by using DADM and the zeros of Chebyshev polynomial. The properties of Chebyshev roots are used to rise the accuracy of the DADM. Our examples demonstrate the validity of the proposed method. The method can be extended and applied to the system of nonlinear integral equations and nonlinear integral equations of Volterra type, but some modifications are required.
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