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Abstract

In this paper, we show the existence and nonexistence of entire positive solutions for a class of singular elliptic system

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div} \left( |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u| \right) &= b(|x|) f(u,v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\text{div} \left( |x|^{-aq} |\nabla v|^{q-2} |\nabla v| \right) &= d(|x|) g(u,v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{align*}
\]

We have that entire large positive solutions fail to exist if $f$ and $g$ are sublinear and $b$ and $d$ have fast decay at infinity. However, if $f$ and $g$ satisfy some growth conditions at infinity, and $b$, $d$ are of slow decay or fast decay at infinity, then the system has infinitely many entire solutions, which are large or bounded.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we mainly consider the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for the following singular $p$-laplacian elliptic system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div} \left( |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u| \right) &= b(|x|) f(u,v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\text{div} \left( |x|^{-aq} |\nabla v|^{q-2} |\nabla v| \right) &= d(|x|) g(u,v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{align*}
\]

where $N \geq 3, a > 0$, $b$ and $d$ are continuous, positive and nondecreasing functions in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $f, g : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are positive, nondecreasing and continuous functions.

When $a = 0, p = q = 2$, the following semi-linear elliptic system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta u + f(x,u,v) &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\Delta v + g(x,u,v) &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

has been studied extensively over the years, for example see [1-4]. If $f = -b(|x|)u^\alpha, g = -d(|x|)u^\beta$, the above system becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta u &= b(|x|)u^\alpha, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\Delta v &= d(|x|)u^\beta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}
\]

for which existence results for boundary blow-up positive solution can be found in a recent paper by Lair and Wood [5]. The authors established that all positive entire radial solutions of system above are boundary blow-up provided that

\[
\int_0^\infty t^\alpha b(t) \, dt = \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty t^\beta d(t) \, dt = \infty.
\]

On the other hand, if

\[
\int_0^\infty t^\alpha b(t) \, dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty t^\beta d(t) \, dt < \infty,
\]

then all positive entire radial solutions of this system are bounded.

F. Cîrstea and V. Rădulescu [2] extended the above results to a larger class of systems

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta u &= b(|x|)g(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\Delta v &= d(|x|)f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}
\]
Z. D. Yang [6] extended the above results to a class of quasi-linear elliptic system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div}(|Du|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= (|x|)g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\text{div}(|Vv|^{p-2} \nabla v) &= d(|x|)f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( N \geq 3 \), \( p, q > 1 \), and \( b, d \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \) are positive functions, \( f, g \in C^1([0, \infty)) \) are positive and non-decreasing. When \( f \) and \( g \) satisfy

- (H1) \( f(0) = g(0) = 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} = \sigma > 0 \);
- (H2) The Keller-Osserman condition,

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{G(t)}} < \infty, G(t) = \int_0^t g(s)ds,
\]

then there exists an entire positive radial solution, and in addition, the function \( b \) and \( d \) satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(H3)} & \quad \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^1 b(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt = \infty; \\
\text{(H4)} & \quad \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^1 d(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dt = \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

then all entire positive radial solutions are large.

On the other hand, if \( b \) and \( d \) satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(H5)} & \quad \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^1 b(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt < \infty; \\
\text{(H6)} & \quad \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^1 d(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dt < \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

then all entire positive radial solutions are bounded.

While in [7], the author got the relevant results of the same system only on the following conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(H7)} & \quad b, d, g, f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \text{ are continuous}; \\
\text{(H8)} & \quad g \text{ and } f \text{ are non-decreasing functions on } [0, \infty).
\end{align*}
\]

However, when \( a \neq 0, p \neq 2 \), there are few results about the existence and nonexistence of singular \( p \)-Laplacian elliptic system (1). And as to the single equation, we can refer to [8]. The present results are complements and extensions of some results in [7,9], which to be more precise, if \( a = 0, p = q = 2 \), you can get the relevant existence and nonexistence results for a class of semi-linear elliptic system with gradient term in [9]; Meanwhile, if \( a = 0, p, q > 1 \), you can get the relevant existence results for a class of quasi-linear elliptic system in [7].

For convenience, we need the following definition:

**Definition.** A solution \((u, v)\) of system

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div}(|x|^{2p-2}|Du|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= f(x, u, v), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\text{div}(|x|^{2q-2}|Vv|^{q-2} \nabla v) &= g(x, u, v), \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

is called an entire large solution (or explosive solution, or blow-up solution), if it is classical solution of (2) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( u(x) \to \infty \) and \( v(x) \to \infty \) as \( |x| \to \infty \).

Now we give our main theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose \( f \) and \( g \) satisfy

\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} f(s, t), \sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} g(s, t) \right\} < +\infty,
\]

and \( b, d \) satisfy the decay conditions

\[
\int_0^\infty \left( t^{m}b(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty \left( t^{m}d(t) \right)^{1/(q-1)} dt < \infty,
\]

where \( m = \min\{p, q\} \), then problem (1) has no positive entire radial large solution.

In order to state our results conveniently, let us write

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{B}(\infty) := \lim_{r \to \infty} B(r), \\
B(r) := \int_0^r \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^1 b(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt, \quad r \geq 0, \\
D(\infty) := \lim_{r \to \infty} D(r), \\
D(r) := \int_0^r \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^1 d(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dt, \quad r \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{F}(\infty) := \lim_{r \to \infty} F(r), \\
F(r) := \int_0^r \left( f(s, s) + g(s, s) \right)^{\frac{1}{m_0-1}} ds, \quad r \geq \alpha > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m_0 \) satisfies

\[
m_0 = \left\lfloor \min \left\{ p, q \right\} , \quad \min \left\{ p, q \right\}, \quad \max \left\{ p, q \right\}, \quad \max \left\{ p, q \right\}, \quad \min \left\{ p, q \right\}, \quad \max \left\{ p, q \right\} \right\rfloor,
\]

we see that

\[
F'(r) = \frac{1}{\left( f(r, r) + g(r, r) \right)^{\frac{1}{m_0-1}}} > 0, \quad \forall r > \alpha
\]

so, \( F \) has the inverse function \( F^{-1} \) on \([\alpha, \infty)\), and \( F \) and \( F^{-1} \) are both increasing functions on \([\alpha, \infty)\).

**Theorem 2.** Assume

\[
F(x) = \infty.
\]

Then the system (1) has infinitely many positive entire solutions \((u, v) \in C^1([0, \infty))\). Moreover, the following hold:

1) If \( B(\infty) < \infty \) and \( D(\infty) < \infty \), then \( u \) and \( v \) are bounded;
2) If \( B(\infty) = \infty \) and \( D(\infty) \), then \( \lim_{r \to \infty} u(r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} v(r) = \infty \), that is all positive entire solutions of (1) are large.

**Theorem 3.** If
2. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we consider the proof of Theorem 1 by contradictions. Assume that the system (1) has the positive entire radial large solution \((u, v)\). From (1), we know that

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left(t^{N-p-1}(u')^{p-1}(t)\right)' &= t^{N-1}b(t)f(u(t), v(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \\
\left(t^{N-q-1}(v')^{q-1}(t)\right)' &= t^{N-1}d(t)g(u(t), v(t)), \quad t \geq 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

Now we set

\[
U(r) = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} u(t), \quad V(r) = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} v(t),
\]

it is easy to see that \((U, V)\) are positive and nondecreasing functions. Moreover, we have \(U \geq u, V \geq v\) and \(U(r), V(r) \to +\infty\) as \(r \to +\infty\). It follows from (3) that there exists \(C_0 > 0\) such that

\[
\max \left\{ f(s, t), g(s, t) \right\} \leq C_0 (s + t)^{m-1}, \quad s + t \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
\max \left\{ f(s, t), g(s, t) \right\} \leq C_0, \quad s + t \leq 1.
\]

Then by (8) and (9), we have

\[
\max \left\{ f(s, t), g(s, t) \right\} \leq C_0 (1 + s + t)^{m-1}, \quad s + t \geq 0.
\]

Then we can get

\[
f(u(r), v(r)) \leq C_0 (1 + u(r) + v(r))^{m-1}
\leq C_0 (1 + U(r) + V(r))^{m-1}, \quad r \geq 0.
\]

So, for all \(r \geq r_0 \geq 0\), we obtain

\[
\begin{aligned}
u(r) &= u(r_0) + \int_0^r \left( t^{1+ap-N} \int_0^s \left( s^{N-1}b(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \right) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, ds \, dt \\
&\leq u(r_0) + C \int_0^r \left( t^{1+ap-N} \int_0^s \left( s^{N-1}b(s) (1 + U(s) + V(s))^{m-1} \right) \, ds \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt \\
&\leq u(r_0) + C (1 + U(r) + V(r))^{m-1} \int_0^r \left( t^{1+ap-N} \int_0^s \left( s^{N-1}b(s) \right) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt \\
&\leq u(r_0) + C (1 + U(r) + V(r))^{m-1} \int_0^r \left( t^{m}b(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt \\
&\leq u(r_0) + C (1 + U(r) + V(r)) \int_0^r \left( t^{m}b(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(C\) is a positive constant. As \(m = \min\{p, q\}\), we have \(0 < m - 1 < p - 1\), so the last inequality above is valid. Notice that (4), we choose \(r_0 > 0\) such that

\[
\max \left\{ \int_0^r (r^{m}b(r))^{1/(p-1)} \, dr, \int_0^r (r^{m}d(r))^{1/(p-1)} \, dr \right\} < \frac{1}{4C}.
\]
It follows that \( \lim_{r \to +\infty} u(r) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} v(r) = +\infty \), we can find \( r_i \geq r_0 \) such that
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) = \max_{r_i \leq r \leq r} u(t), \quad \mathcal{F}(r) = \max_{r_i \leq r \leq r} v(t), \quad \forall r \geq r_i. \tag{12}
\]
Thus, we have
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) \leq u(r_0) + C \left[ (1 + \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r)) \int_0^r \left( r^{\alpha p} b(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt \right], \quad \forall r \geq r_i.
\]
By (11), we get
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) \leq u(r_0) + \left( 1 + \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \right) \int_0^r \left( r^{\alpha p} b(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt, \quad \forall r \geq r_i.
\]
that is
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) \leq C_1 + \left( \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \right), \quad \forall r \geq r_i.
\]
where \( C_1 = \frac{1}{4} + u(r_0) > 0 \). Similarly,
\[
\mathcal{F}(r) \leq C_2 + \left( \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \right), \quad \forall r \geq r_i.
\]
which implies
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \leq 2(C_1 + C_2), \quad \forall r \geq r_i. \tag{13}
\]
(13) means that \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) are bounded and so \( u = u(r) \) and \( v = v(r) \) are bounded which is a contradiction. It follows that (1) has no positive entire radial large solutions and the proof is now completed.

**Remark.** In Theorem 1, if \( p, q \geq 2 \), \( f \) and \( g \) satisfy
\[
u(r) = \nu(r_0) + \int_0^r \left[ (1 + \alpha p - N) \int_0^r s^{N-1} b(s) f(u(s), v(s)) \, ds \right]^{1/(p-1)} \, dr
\leq \nu(r_0) + C_4 \int_0^r \left[ (1 + \alpha p - N) \int_0^r s^{N-1} b(s) (1 + u(s) + v(s)) \, ds \right]^{1/(p-1)} \, dr
\leq \nu(r_0) + C_4 (1 + U(r) + V(r)) \int_0^r \left[ (1 + \alpha p - N) \int_0^r s^{N-1} b(s) \, ds \right]^{1/(p-1)} \, dr
\leq \nu(r_0) + C_4 (1 + U(r) + V(r)) \int_0^r \left( r^{\alpha p} b(t) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt
\]
where \( C_4 \) is a positive constant.

Notice the condition (4), we choose \( r_0 > 0 \) such that
\[
\max \left\{ \int_0^{r_0} \left( r^{\alpha p} b(r) \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dr, \int_0^{r_0} \left( r^{\alpha q} b(r) \right)^{1/(q-1)} \, dr \right\} < \frac{1}{C_4},
\]
together with (12), we get
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) \leq u(r_0) + (1 + \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r)) \frac{1}{p-1}
\]
Similarly, we have
\[
\mathcal{F}(r) \leq v(r_0) + (1 + \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r)) \frac{1}{q-1}
\]
and \( b, d \) satisfy the same decay conditions (4), we can also get the same result that problem (1) has no positive entire radial large solution.

In the following, we will give the detailed proof. **Proof.** We also consider the proof by contradiction. If using the same process in Theorem 1, we will omit that items here.

Assume that the system (1) has the positive entire radial large solution \( (u, v) \), we can get from the given condition above that there exists \( C_3 > 0 \) such that
\[
\max \left\{ f(s, t), g(s, t) \right\} \leq C_3 (s + t), \quad \text{for } s + t \geq 1
\]
and
\[
\max \left\{ f(s, t), g(s, t) \right\} \leq C_3, \quad \text{for } s + t \leq 1
\]
so, we can get
\[
\max \left\{ f(s, t), g(s, t) \right\} \leq C_3 (1 + s + t), \quad \text{for } s + t \geq 0
\]
Thus, we can get
\[
f(u(r), v(r)) \leq C_3 \left( 1 + u(r) + v(r) \right) \leq C_3 \left( 1 + U(r) + V(r) \right), \quad \text{for } r \geq 0,
\]
here \( U(r), V(r) \) are the same functions defined in Theorem 1.

As the proof of Theorem 1, we omit the same process here, for all \( r \geq r_0 \geq 0 \), we obtain
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \leq 2(C_1 + C_2), \quad \forall r \geq r_i
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) = \max \left\{ \sup_{s \geq 1} f(s, t), \sup_{s \geq 1} g(s, t) \right\} < +\infty
\]
Set \( m = \min\{p, q\} \), we get
\[
\mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \leq u(r_0) + v(r_0) + (1 + \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r)) \frac{1}{m-1}
\leq u(r_0) + v(r_0) + 2 \left( 1 + \mathcal{U}(r) + \mathcal{F}(r) \right) \frac{1}{m-1}
\]
that is, 
\[ (1 + U(r) + V(r) - 2(1 + U(r) + V(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \leq 1 + u(r) + v(r), \quad \forall r \geq 0 \]

We claim the above inequality is invalid. In fact, set a function
\[ T(1 + U(r) + V(r)) = (1 + U(r) + V(r) - 2(1 + U(r) + V(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \]
then
\[ T'(1 + U(r) + V(r)) = 1 - \frac{2}{m-1}(1 + U(r) + V(r))^{\frac{2}{m-1}} > 0, \]
as \( r \) is large enough.

So, \( T(1 + U(r) + V(r)) \) is an increasing function on \([0, \infty)\), and it can not be always controlled by a fixed constant, which is a contraction. It follows that system (1) has positive radial solutions. On this purpose we fix \( \beta > \alpha \) and \( \gamma > \alpha \) and we show that the system
\[
\begin{array}{l}
\{ p^{N - ap - 3} \Phi_p(u') \} = p^{N - 1} b(r) f(u(r), v(r)), \quad r > 0, \\
\{ p^{N - qp - 3} \Phi_q(v') \} = p^{N - 1} d(r) g(u(r), v(r)), \quad r > 0,
\end{array}
\]
\[ u(0) = \beta > 0, \quad v(0) = \gamma > 0, \]
has positive solution \((u, v)\) (where \( \Phi_p(s) = \int_0^s [s]^{p-2} s \)). Thus \( U(x) = u(|x|), V(x) = v(|x|) \) are positive solutions of (1). Integrating (14) we have
\[ u(r) = \beta + \int_0^r \left( \int_0^{N-1} s^{N-3} b(s) f(u(s), v(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt, \quad r \geq 0,
\]
\[ v(r) = \gamma + \int_0^r \left( \int_0^{N-1} s^{N-3} d(s) g(u(s), v(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dt, \quad r \geq 0.
\]
Let \( \{u_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) and \( \{v_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be the sequences of positive continuous functions defined on \([0, \infty)\) by
\[
\begin{array}{l}
u_0(r) = \beta, \\
v_0(r) = \gamma, \\
u_{n+1}(r) = \beta + \int_0^r \left( \int_0^{N-1} s^{N-3} b(s) f(u_n(s), v_n(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt, \quad r \geq 0, \\
v_{n+1}(r) = \gamma + \int_0^r \left( \int_0^{N-1} s^{N-3} d(s) g(u_n(s), v_n(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} dt, \quad r \geq 0.
\end{array}
\]

Obviously, for all \( r \geq 0 \), we have
\[ u_n(r) \leq \beta, \quad v_n(r) \leq \gamma, \quad u_0 \leq u_1, \quad v_0 \leq v_1.
\]

The monotonicity of \( f \) and \( g \) yield
\[ u_1(r) \leq u_2(r), \quad v_1(r) \leq v_2(r), \quad r \geq 0.
\]

Repeating such arguments we deduce that
\[ u_n(r) \leq u_{n+1}(r), \quad v_n(r) \leq v_{n+1}(r), \quad r \geq 0, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

and we obtain that sequences \( \{u_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) and \( \{v_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) are nondecreasing on \([0, \infty)\). Notice
which implies
\[ \frac{u'(r) + v'(r)}{\left( f(u_n(r) + v_n(r), u_n(r) + v_n(r)) + g(u_n(r) + v_n(r), u_n(r) + v_n(r)) \right)^{1/(m_0-1)}} \leq B'(r) + D'(r), \]

where \( m_0 \) has been defined before. And then integrating on \( (0, r) \) we obtain
\[ \int_0^r \left( f(u(t) + v(t), u(t) + v(t)) + g(u(t) + v(t), u(t) + v(t)) \right)^{1/(m_0-1)} dt \leq B(r) + D(r). \]

So
\[ \int_{\beta \gamma}^{u_n(r) + v_n(r)} \frac{d \tau}{\left( f(\tau, \gamma) + g(\tau, \gamma) \right)^{1/(m_0-1)}} \leq B(r) + D(r), \]

that is
\[ F(u_n(r) + v_n(r)) - F(\beta + \gamma) \leq B(r) + D(r), \forall r \geq 0. \quad (16) \]

It follows from \( F^{-1} \) is increasing on \( [0, \infty) \) and (16) that
\[ u_n(r) + v_n(r) \leq F^{-1}(F(\beta + \gamma) + B(r) + D(r)), \forall r \geq 0. \quad (17) \]

It follows from \( F(\infty) = \beta \) that \( F^{-1}(\infty) = \infty \). By (17), the sequences \( \{u_n\} \) and \( \{v_n\} \) are bounded and increasing on \([0, c_0]\) for arbitrary \( c_0 > 0 \). Thus, \( \{u_n\} \) and \( \{v_n\} \) have subsequences converging uniformly to \( u \) and \( v \) on \([0, c_0]\). By the arbitrariness of \( c_0 > 0 \), we see that \((u, v)\) is a positive solution of (15), that is, \((U, V)\) is an entire positive solution of (1). Notice \( U(0) = \beta, V(0) = \gamma \) and \((\beta, \gamma) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)\) was chosen arbitrarily, it follows that (1) has infinitely many positive entire solutions.

1) If \( B(\infty) < \infty \) and \( D(\infty) < \infty \), then
\[ u(r) + v(r) \leq F^{-1}(F(\beta + \gamma) + B(\infty) + D(\infty)) < \infty, \]

which implies that \((U, V)\) are the positive entire bounded solutions of (1).

2) If \( B(\infty) = \infty = D(\infty) \), since
\[ u(r) \geq \beta + f^{1/(p-1)}(\beta, \gamma) B(r), \quad v(r) \geq \gamma + g^{1/(q-1)}(\beta, \gamma) D(r), \quad \forall r \geq 0. \]

Thus, we have
\[ \lim_{r \to \infty} u(r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} v(r) = \infty, \]

which yield \((U, V)\) are the positive entire large solutions of (1). The proof of theorem is now completed.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** If condition (5) holds, then we have
\[ F(u_n(r) + v_n(r)) \leq F(\beta + \gamma) + B(r) + D(r) \leq F(\beta + \gamma) + B(\infty) + D(\infty) \leq F(\infty) < \infty. \]

Since \( F^{-1} \) is strictly increasing on \([0, \infty)\), we have
\[ u_n(r) + v_n(r) \leq F^{-1}(F(\beta + \gamma) + B(\infty) + D(\infty)) < \infty. \]

The last part of the proof is clear from the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 3 is now finished.

**4. Proof of Theorem 4**

1) It follows from the proof of Theorem 3, we have
\[ u_n(r) \leq u_{n+1}(r) \leq \beta + f^{1/(p-1)}(u_n(r), v_n(r)) B(r) \leq \beta + f^{1/(p-1)}(u_n(r) + v_n(r), u_n(r) + v_n(r)) B(r), \quad (18) \]

and
\[ v_n(r) \leq v_{n+1}(r) \leq \gamma + g^{1/(q-1)}(u_n(r), v_n(r)) D(r) \leq \gamma + g^{1/(q-1)}(u_n(r) + v_n(r), u_n(r) + v_n(r)) D(r). \quad (19) \]

Let \( R > 0 \) be arbitrary. From (18) and (19) we get
\[ u_n(R) + v_n(R) \leq \beta + \gamma + f^{1/(p-1)}(u_n(R) + v_n(R), u_n(R) + v_n(R)) B(R) \]
\[ + g^{1/(q-1)}(u_n(R) + v_n(R), u_n(R) + v_n(R)) D(R) \leq \beta + \gamma + \frac{1}{m_0-1} \left( f(u_n(R) + v_n(R), u_n(R) + v_n(R)) \right)^{(m_0-1)} \]
\[ + g^{1/(q-1)}(u_n(R) + v_n(R), u_n(R) + v_n(R)) \right)^{(m_0-1)} \left( B(R) + D(R) \right), \quad n \geq 1. \]
This implies
\[ 1 \leq \frac{\beta + \gamma}{u_n(R) + v_n(R)} \cdot \frac{[f(u_n(R) + v_n(R)) + g(u_n(R) + v_n(R))]^{(m-1)}}{u_n(R) + v_n(R)}, \quad n \geq 1. \]

Taking into account the monotonicity of \( \{u_n(R) + v_n(R)\}_{n \geq 1} \), there exists
\[ L(R) := \lim_{n \to \infty} (u_n(R) + v_n(R)). \]

We claim that \( L(R) \) is finite. Indeed, if not, we let \( n \to \infty \) and the assumption (6) leads us to a contradiction, thus \( L(R) \) is finite. Since \( u_n, v_n \) are increasing functions, it follows that the map \( L : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) is nondecreasing and
\[ u_n(r) + v_n(r) \leq u_n(R) + v_n(R) \leq L(R), \quad \forall r \in [0, R], \quad n \geq 1. \]

Thus the sequences \( \{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{v_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) are bounded from above on bounded sets. Let
\[ u(r) := \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(r), \quad v(r) := \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(r), \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq 0. \]

Then \( (u, v) \) is a positive solution of (14).

In order to conclude the proof, it is enough to show that \( (u, v) \) is a large solution of (14). We see
\[ u(r) \geq \beta + f^{1/(p-1)}(\beta, \gamma) B(r), \quad v(r) \geq \gamma + g^{1/(q-1)}(\beta, \gamma) D(r), \quad \forall r \geq 0. \]

Since \( f \) and \( g \) are positive functions and
\[ B(\infty) = \infty = D(\infty) = \infty, \]
we can conclude that \( (u, v) \) is a large solution of (14) and so \( (U, V) \) is a positive entire large solution of (1).

Thus any large solution of (14) provide a positive entire large solution \( (U, V) \) of (1) with \( U(0) = \beta, V(0) = \gamma \).

Since \( \beta, \gamma \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \) was chosen arbitrarily, it follows that (1) has infinitely many positive entire large solutions.

2)\( \]
\[ \sup_{s \geq 0} f(s, s) + g(s, s) < \infty \]
holds, then we have
\[ L(R) := \lim_{n \to \infty} (u_n(R) + v_n(R)) < \infty. \]

Thus
\[ u_n(r) + v_n(r) \leq u_n(R) + v_n(R) \leq L(R), \quad \forall r \in [0, R], \quad n \geq 1. \]

So the sequences \( \{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}, \{v_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) are bounded from above on bounded sets. Let
\[ u(r) := \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(r), \quad v(r) := \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(r), \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq 0. \]

Then \( (u, v) \) is a positive solution of (14).

It follows from (18) and (19) that (1) has infinitely many positive entire bounded solutions. The proof is completed.

5. The Existence and Nonexistence of Entire Positive Solutions of the Corresponding Singular Elliptic Systems with Gradient Term

In this section, we consider the following singular elliptic systems with gradient term:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{div} \left[ x^{1-a} \left| \nabla u \right|^p + \left| \nabla v \right|^q \right] + \left| \nabla u \right|^{p-1} &= b(\left| x \right|) f(u, v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\text{div} \left[ x^{1-a} \left| \nabla v \right|^p + \left| \nabla v \right|^q \right] + \left| \nabla v \right|^{q-1} &= d(\left| x \right|) g(u, v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{align*} \]

where \( N \geq 3, a > 0 \), \( b \) and \( d \) are continuous, positive and nondecreasing functions in \( \mathbb{R}^N \),
\( f, g : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) are positive, nondecreasing functions and continuous functions.

We can get the same four theorems under the same conditions in the foregoing items. In the detailed proofs, only a few modifications should be noticed. Such as, we note

\[ B(\infty) := \lim_{r \to \infty} B(r), \]
\[ B(r) = \int_0^r \left( e^{-t} t^{1+a-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} b(s) \, ds \right)^{1/(p-1)} \, dt, \quad r \geq 0, \]
\[ D(\infty) := \lim_{r \to \infty} D(r), \]
\[ D(r) = \int_0^r \left( e^{-t} t^{1+a-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} d(s) \, ds \right)^{1/(q-1)} \, dt, \quad r \geq 0, \]
and

\[ F(\infty) := \lim_{r \to \infty} F(r), \]

\[ F(r) = \int_a^r \frac{ds}{\left( f(s) + g(s) \right)^{1/(m_0-1)}}, \quad r \geq \alpha > 0, \]

where \( m_0 \) is defined as before and other changes are similar, so we omit here.
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