Physical Activity and Mobility Function in Elderly People Living in Residential Care Facilities. “Act on Aging”: A Pilot Study
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The present study aims at investigating the changes between pre-test and post-test in mobility function, balance, and gait after a physical activity program in a sample of elderly people. Forty-four individuals living in residential care facilities were recruited, with a mean age of 85 (SD = 6.6) in the control group and 84.26 (SD = 7.4) in the intervention group. We collected baseline and post-test measurements for the Tinetti Test. The findings showed that the physical activity intervention had a positive effect on physical functions. There was a statistically significant change between the means of the two groups over time; the intervention groups showed a stable condition with respect to overall mobility function, balance, and gait while the control group showed decreased performance at the post-test. These results underline that even in critical conditions, relatively simple training may promote a more positive adjustment to old age.
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Introduction

Demographic projections and epidemiological studies show that throughout western society the population is getting older and older and that aging may be associated with an increase in disability and frailty, although most of the elderly population stay relatively healthy for a long time (World Health Organization, 2002; Aromaa & Koskinen, 2004; Stenzelius, Westergreen, Thorneman, & Rahm Hallberg, 2005). The level of decline of older people in physiological and psychological aspects (Shumway-Cook & Woollacott, 2000) is characterized by great individual variations due to both personal factors (idiosyncratic life way-Cook & Woollacott, 2000) is characterized by great individual variations due to both personal factors (idiosyncratic life way-Cook & Woollacott, 2000) and extrinsic factors (environmental and social contexts and opportunities) that lead to significant differences in performance (Spirduso, Francis, & MacRae, 2005). However, around 20% of people aged 70 or above experience limitations in carrying out daily activities and/or are affected by physical disabilities, resulting in a loss of autonomy (Manton & Land, 2000; Pennix et al., 2002).

Among all other factors, motor skills also decrease as individuals grow older (Shea, Park, & Braden, 2006; Voeckler-Rehage & Alberts, 2005) and especially certain parameters such as balance, flexibility, and strength, which are predictors of dependence in the Activities of Daily Living (ADLs) (Guralnik et al., 2000).

The present study represents the continuation of a series of pilot research projects (Ciairano, Liubicich, & Rabaglietti, 2010) that investigate the positive effects of a physical activity program on physical functioning in an Italian sample of older people in residential care facilities. In our previous research we focused on the psychological aspects of older people and health perceptions, while this present study analyzes objective aspects related to the physicality of older people.

Description of the Problem

Motor difficulties, the inability to manage daily activities, and serious illnesses are risk factors for becoming dependent on others and subsequent institutionalization (Hirvensalo, Ratanen, & Heikkin, 2000; Laukkanen, Leskin, Kauppinnen, Sakari-Rantala, & Heikkinen, 2000; Agüero-Torres, von Strauss, Viitanen, Winblad, & Fratiglioni, 2001; Rockwood et al., 2004).

With respect to ways of facing the dependence of older people on others, different European countries have different welfare politics and/or cultural traditions to deal with this problem (Anttonen & Sipila, 1996; Bettio & Platenga, 2004; Lucchetti, Mazzoni, Principi, & Greco, 2007). Generally speaking, in northern European and especially Scandinavian countries, the welfare ratio addressed to the aging population is very high, and institutionalization is more likely proposed to very ill elderly who cannot receive sufficient medical care in their own homes.

In southern European countries, traditionally the family compensates for low levels of public welfare, and institutionalization is more likely to be proposed to older people who are alone or who have a very weak social network that cannot support them in their daily activities. Irrespective to the cause of institutionalization, we know that it represents an adjunctive challenge for the elderly. In fact the study by Galloway and Jokl (2000) showed that at least 30% of older people experience deeper and quicker physical decline after entering residential care facilities, probably also because in these institutions older people lose their usual pattern of daily habits and their social context at the same time.

Although the institutionalization of elderly people is not desirable in principle, it is undeniable that it is the only reasonable solution especially at very high levels of frailty. Thus, we need to explore in which ways we can prevent the decline of older
people who are also in the precarious condition of institutionalization in order to avoid that this decline becomes a greater loss for the elderly themselves, at a greater cost for society, and to endorse more lively participation within the facilities.

We know that physical activity can be used, also at older ages, for promoting the overall wellbeing of individuals (Galloway & Jokl, 2000). Several studies have shown that physical activity interventions lead to modifications in behavior related to the risk of falls (Barnett, Smith, Lord, Williams, & Baumand, 2003; Suzuki, Kim, Yoshida, & Ishizaki, 2004; Means, Rodell, & O’Sullivan, 2005), since even limited movement can initiate a process of change over the short or medium term (van der Bij, Laurant, & Wensing, 2002). Besides this, as reported in the meta-analysis carried out by Beswick et al., (2008), increasing the amount of daily physical activity reduces the risk of institutionalization, hospital admissions, falls, and disabilities.

Different types of training have proven to be capable of improving the motor skills and the quality of life of elderly people (Baker, Atlantis, & Fiatarone, 2007), in particular if the abilities of each individual are taken into account in terms of intensity and workload. The World Health Organization guidelines (1999) identify aerobic endurance, resistance strength, flexibility, and balance as related to the health of the elderly. Aside from this, these guidelines suggest group physical activity in order to promote increased psycho-social benefits and to provide further motivation to continue the program and persevere in older people. The older people showed some preference for the physical activity programs that include exercises designed to improve balance as well as flexibility and gait (Norton, Galgali, & Campbell, 2001; Day et al., 2002). In compliance with the indications provided by the American College of Sports Medicine (2000), physical activity programs designed for the elderly who are already in residential care facilities have to be addressed at preserving the skills that are functional towards maintaining independence as long as possible, slowing down the processes that may lead to disability, and educating the elderly about an active lifestyle. These indications also emphasize that daily physical activity and physical activity programs may contribute to overall individual wellbeing and play a key role in preventing a wide range of different illnesses. Some interventions showed specific positive effects on strength, flexibility, mobility, and balance (Rydwik, Frandin, & Akner, 2004). What is generally acknowledged is that it is crucial to design interventions that are able to prevent the most negative consequences of institutionalization, like the loss of acceptable levels of autonomy, which in turn may start a negative vicious cycle of constant deterioration. Despite widespread awareness of this, very few studies, especially in countries outside the northern European ones, have addressed the issue of investigating the efficacy of interventions specifically aimed at improving mobility function and/or its stable maintenance, which is the basis for preserving autonomy as long as possible in very old groups of people. The majority of the previous studies concentrated on the younger bracket of the elderly population (aged between 65 and 75) living in normal conditions (among others see: Marsh, Miller, Rejeski, Huttona, & Kritchevsky, 2009; Bird, Hill, Ball, & Willimans, 2009). The present study aims at considering older institutionalized elderly in a southern European country.

Purpose

We looked at two groups of older people living in three residential care facilities to describe the physical functioning changes from pre-test to post-test in relation to their participation in a physical activity program. The objective of this research is to test the effects of a physical activity program on mobility function, in particular balance and gait which are strictly related to walking, in the case of institutionalized elderly people. We assumed that participating in a physical activity program could improve or at least retain an elderly person’s mobility function as a whole and/or in its two components of balance and gait, or keep it constant over time. As anticipated, physical activity may be a protective factor for the institutionalized elderly and it increases so that the individuals can be independent for as long as possible (Fried, Ferrucci, Darer, Williamson, & Anderson, 2004; Gill et al., 2004).

Methods

Study Design

The intervention was introduced in two residential care facilities of the Piedmont region in the north of Italy and another residential care facility, in the same area, was used as the control group: Currently, more than 5000 older people of the Piedmont region live in residential care facilities (Banchero, et al., 2009). First, from the list offered by the Health Office of the Piedmont Region, we selected 30 facilities that have similar features in terms of their according to the National Health Service, the number and typology of guests (range from 80 to 120), the intermediate social and economic conditions of the guests (all the guests in these facilities are requested to contribute a small amount for the care they receive), and services offered to the older people (presence of nurses, healthcare operators, physiotherapist, and psychologist). Second, we randomly extracted six of these facilities from the list and all of them were specialized in physical fitness training for the elderly and were specialized in physical fitness training for the elderly (Ciairano et al., 2006). They were selected on the basis of their results in the university courses “Adapted Physical Activity” and “Health and Old Age”. That is, we selected only people who achieved a final grade higher than the 95th percentile of the grade distribution for each of these subjects. The set of activities was specifically designed for our research. The intervention protocol, as advised by the American
College of Sports Medicine (2007), focused on three specific objectives: mobility, balance, and resistance strength. The intervention was organized so as to reproduce the movements and gestures of daily life, considering the three aims above. The intervention was designed with a gradual increase of the parameters of work intensity and complexity of exercise.

Participants

In each residential care facility the older participants, both the intervention group and the control group, were selected by the director of the residential care facility, who is a trained physician, from among all the older people living in the facility. The three criteria for inclusion were: 1) self-sufficiency (see above), 2) absence of serious chronic and/or acute diseases, and 3) intact cognitive functions, which were directly verified by the researchers. The Mini Mental Test (Folstein & McHugh 1975) was used to evaluate cognitive functions, and all the participants reached or exceeded the minimum score of 23.

First, our study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Turin, and second the participants were informed that participation in the study was voluntary and confidential. All the selected individuals agreed to participate and gave their written informed consent, in accordance with Italian law and the ethical code of the Italian Association of Psychologists (1997).

Those who were included in the experimental group participated in the physical activity program, while the control group was comprised of individuals who did not participate in the program and continued their normal activities planned in the facility. With respect to the physical activity of the older people in the control group, they simply continued their free activity of walking in the facility’s garden since they are self-sufficient individuals.

The sample comprised of 44 people, 16 of whom were males (36%; 2 in the control group and 14 in the experimental group) and 28 females (64%; 9 in the control group and 19 in the experimental group). We did not find differences between the experimental and control group (χ² = 2.1, d.f. = 1, p = .27). The mean age was 85 (SD = 6.6) for the control group and 84.26 (SD = 7.4) for the experimental group (t-test = –.34, d.f. = 41, p = .73). All the participants lived in the residential care facility permanently. With regard to marriage status, the majority were widows/widowers (N = 26) or married (N = 8), while others had never married (N = 7), or were divorced (N = 3). No differences were found between the experimental and control group (χ² = 3.7, d.f. = 3, p = .29). In terms of education, two levels were considered: “low”, corresponding to compulsory education (only primary school) and “high”, corresponding to additional non-compulsory education (more than primary school). In this case we also did not find significant differences between the two groups (χ² = 2.1, d.f. = 1, p = .64). The average level of education of the men and women in the sample was in line with that of the age-matched national population (National Institute of Statistics, 2006; Costa, Migliardi, & Ginavi 2006). In fact, 75% of the participants had received only compulsory education, exactly like about 70% of the national population. Former occupations were divided into manual (N = 31) and non-manual labor (N = 13) and there were no differences between the experimental and control group (χ² = 3.2, d.f. = 1, p = .35). With regard to previous participation in organized exercise or sporting activities, the majority of individuals (N = 27) had never participated and there were no differences between the experimental and control group (χ² = 1.3, d.f. = 1, p = .31). The main characteristics of the participants are described in Table 1.

Procedure

The mobility functions (Köpke & Meyer, 2006) of balance and gait in the elderly were assessed using the Tinetti Test (Tinetti, 1986). The version of the Tinetti Test used for this study included a total of 16 items that corresponded to the mobility functions: 9 items corresponded to balance, and 7 to gait. Of the items, 11 had a score ranging from 0 to 2, 6 items had a score ranging from 0 to 1, with a maximum total score of 28 for mobility functions. The maximum scores were 16 for balance and 12 for gait. The higher the total score, the better the performance. All participants had to perform the Tinetti Test twice, during the pre-test and post-test.

Data and Statistical Analysis

We ran repeated measures analyses of variance for each of the aspects considered in the present study. In every model, we examined one between-factor experimental condition (intervention and control group) and one within-factor condition of time (pre-test and post-test). In addition, we examined the interaction term between the experimental condition and the time.

Results

In general, the intervention group and the control group differed with respect to the mobility function (total Tinetti Test) as well as balance (Tinetti Test balance subscale) and gait (Tinetti Test gait subscale) (Table 2). Mobility function was higher in the intervention group (19.48 vs. 7.95); balance was also higher in the intervention group (11.78 vs. 4.36), and the same was true for gait (7.82 vs. 3.31).

The differences between the intervention and the control group were statistically significant for the mobility function (F = 26.72, p < .001, η² = .383), balance (F = 34.43, p < .002, η² = .445), and gait (F = 14.08, p < .001, η² = .247).

As for the effects of the intervention (Figure 1), mobility function decreased between the pre-test and post-test in the control group (from 11.36 to 4.54), while it remained relatively stable in the intervention group (from 20.09 to 18.88). The interaction between the condition and the time was significant and there were noticeable differences concerning the decrease in mobility function between the intervention group and the control group (F = 7.15, p < .001, η² = .143).

For what concerns balance (Figure 2), a decrease between the pre-test and post-test was observed in the control group (from 6.64 to 2.64), while it remained relatively stable in the experimental group (from 11.97 to 11.59). The interaction between condition and time was significant, the decrease in balance was markedly different when looking at the intervention group and the control group (F = 7.24, p < .010, η² = .144).

Finally, the control group (Figure 3) showed a decrease in gait (from 4.73 to 1.91), while the intervention group remained stable (from 8.24 to 8.24). Also in this case, there were significant differences in the decrease of their gait (F = 5.26, p < .027, η² = .109).

In general, the effect of the intervention explains a proportion of variance—around 10% - 15%—which is reasonably high when considering the small sample size of the present study according to Cohen (1988).
**Table 1.**
Characteristics of participants (N, %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past participation in physical activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past job</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non manual</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family condition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only Primary School</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than Primary School</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Tinetti Total                   | Control M (SE) | 7.95 (1.93) | Experimental M (SE) | 19.48 (1.1) | Pre-test M (SE) | 15.73 (1.29) | Post-test M (SE) | 11.71 (1.17) | Control Pre M (SE) | 11.36 (2.24) | Experimental Pre M (SE) | 4.54 (2.04) | Control Post M (SE) | 20.09 (1.27) | Experimental Post M (SE) | 18.88 (1.16) |
| **Tinetti Balance**             | Control M (SE) | 4.36 (1.06) | Experimental M (SE) | 11.78 (.60) | Pre-test M (SE) | 9.30 (.69) | Post-test M (SE) | 7.11 (.69) | Control Pre M (SE) | 6.64 (1.22) | Experimental Pre M (SE) | 2.64 (.70) | Control Post M (SE) | 11.97 (.70) | Experimental Post M (SE) | 11.59 (.68) |
| **Tinetti Gait**                | Control M (SE) | 3.31 (1.04) | Experimental M (SE) | 7.82 (.67) | Pre-test M (SE) | 6.48 (.67) | Post-test M (SE) | 4.66 (.67) | Control Pre M (SE) | 4.73 (1.17) | Experimental Pre M (SE) | 1.91 (.67) | Control Post M (SE) | 8.24 (.67) | Experimental Post M (SE) | 8.24 (.67) |

| ME Group                        | F(1,43) = 26.72 p < .001 η² = .383 | F(1,43) = 14.63 p < .001 η² = .254 | F(1,43) = 7.15 p < .011 η² = .143 |
| ME Time                         | F(1,43) = 34.43 p < .002 η² = .445 | F(1,43) = 10.62 p < .001 η² = .198 | F(1,43) = 7.24 p < .010 η² = .144 |
| IE Group * Time                 | F(1,43) = 5.26 p < .027 η² = .109 | F(1,43) = 17.52 p < .001 η² = .289 | F(1,43) = 5.26 p < .010 η² = .109 |

**Table 2.**
Results of repeated measures ANOVA. Mean (M), Standard Deviation (DS), Main Effects (ME), and interaction effects (IE) for the dependent variables related to mobility functions of elderly people.
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**Figure 1.**
Mobility function.
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**Figure 2.**
Balance.
The objective of this study was to investigate how participation in a physical activity program affects the mobility functions of a group of elderly people staying in residential care facilities, focusing in particular on its effects on balance and gait. As for the first research hypothesis, we wanted to determine if participating in a physical activity program can improve an elderly person’s mobility function or keep it stable over time. Mobility, understood as the ability to independently move around in one’s environment, is a fundamental expression of an individual’s autonomy. A decrease in mobility function is often a predictor of future health issues that might lead to disability. In line with the reference literature about the effects of physical activity on a comparable sample with a similar health condition and age, (Nakagawa et al., 2008; Netz, Wu, Becker, & Tenenbaum, 2005; Snow, Shaw, Winters, & Witzke, 2000), the results of our research seem to point to physical activity as an indispensable tool to preserve residual skills and to curb their loss, which is fundamental in order to be independent when walking.

Secondly, we assumed that our specifically designed physical activity program would improve both the balance and gait of institutionalized elderly people and preserve these over time. Our results concerning balance and gait are very similar to those reported in the literature. Indeed, physical activity programs based on resistance strength training presented to samples of institutionalized older people do help preserve their lower limb strength or slightly increase it (Jessup, Horne, Vishen, & Wheeler, 2003), which is directly related to walking autonomously. As for balance, issues such as the physiological aging process, the loss of straightening reflexes, a decrease in muscular strength to preserve an upright posture, the vulnerability of sensory organs, as well as an increase in swaying justify the postural instability typical of the older ages. Hence, elderly individuals must concentrate and completely focus their attention in order to keep their balance. The various components of gait undergo changes simply due to the natural aging process. The initiation of steps, its height, continuity and symmetry, path deviation, and turning while walking are components of gait that mirror an older person’s confidence and efficiency in walking. If difficulties in keeping one’s balance and walking are ascertained through the Tinetti Test (Tinetti, 1986), this can help predict an increased risk of falls. As for the assumption that the older individuals who participated in our physical activity program improved or retained these functions to a higher extent than the control group, the results indicate that walking skills were preserved in the intervention group more so than in the control group. Our research seems to support the hypothesis that physical activity programs can trigger positive changes even in the short term, thus enabling elderly individuals to better manage those actions that imply simple movements, such as moving from place to place within one’s dwelling, going to the bathroom autonomously, and taking short walks.

When comparing the intervention group to the control group, the variation between pre-test and post-test data in relation to the age of the sample and to the institutionalized condition, highlights the protective function played by physical activity on the health of the older elderly, for whom a good overall physical condition is a key precondition for an independent daily life. Facing and accepting the challenges of a changing body, rediscovering the joys of movement, and socializing, as well as once again learning to trust oneself, preserving one’s skills and applying them to daily living in order to retain one’s autonomy as long as possible—all of this can be turned into a great chance to promote health and to prevent a wide range of risks faced by the elderly.

The limitations of this study mainly concern the small number of individuals in the sample, justified by the difficulties in recruiting older people living in residential care facilities that were self-sufficient and met the criteria of our research. Residential care facilities in Italy are actually becoming more and more the places where the oldest elderly live in a situation of frailty and are no longer able to lead a fully independent life (Banchero et al., 2009). Moreover, their dependence on others in daily life activities is most likely linked to the progress of old age and to the fact that some debilitating diseases have become chronic.

Despite the limitations concerning the sample type, some stimulating observations can be drawn from our study. Firstly, this study fits into the new line of research on the most frail segment of the population—the older elderly in residential care facilities—with the purpose of investigating the effects of motor training on a sample of institutionalized older people. The reference literature mostly regards interventions carried out in northern European and north American countries, which are not indicative of the Italian context that is characterized by a different social and healthcare system and in which the elderly are mostly looked after by their relatives.

Secondly, this study fits into the new line of research on the most frail segment of the population—the old elderly in residential care facilities—evidence that frailty is a process that requires great attention in the future from researchers in order to predict those elderly who are at risk of ADL dependency and to identify effective interventions, such as physical training programs, to prevent or delay the onset of dependence (Guily et al., 2008). Moreover, individual psychological resources can play an important role in the maintenance of these benefits in the short and medium term: in the oldest of the elderly the maintenance of these activities is strategic for both physical and psychological wellbeing (Caplan & Schooeller, 2003).

Conclusion

Considering the growing numbers of the weaker portion of the population, made up of people over 80 who live in a institution, this study proves that simple physical activity programs, conducted by suitably trained instructors with a degree in physical...
education or sports-related fields, can bring about changes in the physical functioning of the elderly. Setting realistic goals and designing activity protocols that take scientific evidence into consideration (in relation to the type, intensity, and frequency of the physical training) might lead to constructing and implementing interventions that are useful to improving the general adjustment of citizens and their quality of life.
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