Parkinson Kinetic Graph: Are Motor Fluctuations in Parkinson Disease Related with Disease Duration?
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1. Introduction

Motor fluctuations (FS) are frequently seen during progression in Parkinson Disease (PD) patients [1] [2]. Therapeutic interventions are focused on minimising FS [3] [4]. The degree of motor symptoms combined with the degree of...
disability experienced by patients determines therapeutic decisions. This decision is based on the amount of disturbance/disability the patient is experiencing, but an effective monitoring of these complications is missing.

The severity of these FS used to be measured by clinical rating scales or diaries [3]. However, these methods have numerous limitations, including inter-rater subjectivity and inability to measure the motor state of the patient continuously [3] [4].

Recently, the Parkinson’s KinetiGraph (PKG) has been developed to measure bradykinesia (BKS), dyskinesia (DKS) and FS almost continuously [3]. The aim of this study is to investigate whether the assessment of FS measured by PKG, is correlated with disease duration.

2. Material and Methods

The PKG is a method that collects movement data by an accelerometer placed around the wrist of the most severely affected side of PD patients [3]. Individual scores of BKS, DKS and as a result FS are being calculated [5].

The sample size consists of data from all PD patients with a successful PKG measurement (between 2014 and 2016) at the Medical Centre Leeuwarden (MCL), Martini Hospital Groningen and Isala Clinics Zwolle. Approval for this study is been given by the Medical Ethics Committee of MCL, Martini Hospital and Isala Clinics. PD patients wore the PKG at least 6 days. Primary study parameters are BKS and DKS and FS: The BKS is a quantitative measure of the bradykinesia a patient is experiencing during the day and is derived by calculating the maximum acceleration for each 2 minute period and the Mean Spectral Power (MSP) surrounding this peak. The algorithm recognises bradykinesia as having fewer movements, which are made with lower acceleration and amplitude and with longer intervals between movements, compared to normal subjects. The DKS is a quantitative measure of the dyskinesia a patient is experiencing during the day and is derived by calculating the mean acceleration and the MSP in a 2 min epoch. The algorithm recognises dyskinesia as having movements of normal amplitude and acceleration but with shorter periods without movement. The FS is a quantitative measure of the fluctuations a patient can experience during the day. The FS can be derived by summing the interquartile range of bradykinesia scores and dyskinesia scores and expressing this value as a logarithm. This score can be useful to identify patients whose fluctuations are progressing and who may require therapeutic changes [3] [5].

The renewed MDS PD diagnostic criteria were used. The essential criterion is Parkinsonism (defined as bradykinesia with rest tremor or rigidity) and once this has been established, a distinction can be made between clinically established and clinically probable PD. In clinically established PD, the specificity is maximized and the sensitivity is reduced whereas in clinically probable PD the specificity and sensitivity are balanced. The determination of PD relies on three categories: supportive criteria (positive features that increase the probability of
PD), absolute exclusion criteria (which rule out PD) and red flags (which must be counterbalanced by supportive criteria to allow PD diagnosis). The exact diagnostic criteria are shown in Postuma et al. [6].

The exclusion criteria are defined as follows: use of advanced therapy (Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS), Apomorphine pump or duodopa treatment), restricted movement (e.g. wheelchair or bedbound), concomitant disease that interferes with mobility in addition to PD (e.g. arthritis and rheumatisms) or PKG not worn for a minimum of 6 days.

This is a multicentre, retrospective, observational study, linking PKG outcome measures with clinical data. The following clinical data are collected from dossiers: sex, age, Parkinson’s disease duration, mobility, number of levodopa doses per day, levodopa equivalent dose and other (motor) details regarding PD.

IBM SPSS Statistics 19 was used for all statistical analyses. Descriptive analyses were used to describe patient characteristics by means with standard deviation and medians with minimal and maximal values. Categorical variables were described by frequencies with percentages. The variable disease duration is, based on percentiles for equal groups, categorized into four categories: 0 - 5 years, 6 - 7 years, 8 - 11 years and ≥12 years. Based on these categories, the variables are further described. The relation between disease duration and the variables levodopa equivalent dose, BKS, DKS and FS was calculated by Kruskal-Wallis test for independent samples with a significance set at $p \leq 0.05$.

The relation between disease duration and the variables age, sex, levodopa times/day, use of extra dopaminergic medication and use of walking aid was calculated with a chi-square test. For variables with significant differences between categories, the Mann-Whitney U was used to calculate the deviating group.

3. Results

In total, data of 215 patients who were measured in the period of December 2014 to May 2016 were collected. Of these 215 patients, 18 patients were measured twice and 3 patients were measured three times resulting in a total of 239 measurements. Of these patients, 41 patients with in total 48 measurements met the exclusion criteria and were excluded. Therefore, the statistical analysis is performed on 174 patients with a total of 191 measurements. Of one patient, the disease duration was not known. Table 1 presents the patient characteristics. Results of the analysis of the variables, subdivided by disease duration are shown in Table 2.

A tendency for a reverse parabolic configuration was found in the FS: a deflecting rising curve between the first three categories and a descending curve between the third and fourth category. There was a significant difference found between the categories ($p = 0.050$). A significant increase in FS was found between the first and third category ($p = 0.005$), followed by a slight decrease in the fourth category.

The following significant results were found between the categories:
Table 1. Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (years), mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Sex, n (%)</th>
<th>Disease duration (years), median (min-max)</th>
<th>Walking aid, n (%)</th>
<th>Levodopa equivalent dose (mg), median (min-max)</th>
<th>Use of other anti-Parkinson medication, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>68.7 (8.82)</td>
<td>Male 102 (53%)*</td>
<td>Male 7 (1 - 28)</td>
<td>None 145 (75.9%)</td>
<td>Male 800 (0 - 2100)</td>
<td>No extra drugs 60 (31.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>69.7 (7.27)</td>
<td>Female 89 (47%)</td>
<td>Female 8 (1 - 21)</td>
<td>Walking sticks 4 (2.1%)</td>
<td>Female 650 (100 - 1350)</td>
<td>1 extra drug 97 (50.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missing n = 1</td>
<td>Crutches 2 (1.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 extra drugs 31 (16.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walker 36 (18.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 extra drugs 2 (1.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mobility scooter 1 (0.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 extra drugs 1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combination 3 (1.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Disease duration divided in four categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1 (0 - 5 years)</th>
<th>2 (6 - 7 years)</th>
<th>3 (8 - 11 years)</th>
<th>4 (≥12 years)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (min-max)</td>
<td>70 (48 - 87)</td>
<td>70 (46 - 88)</td>
<td>67 (47 - 82)</td>
<td>73 (56 - 87)</td>
<td>0.540b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (male)</td>
<td>43 (21)</td>
<td>51 (31)</td>
<td>51 (25)</td>
<td>45 (25)</td>
<td>0.583b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levodopa equivalent dose (mg), median (min-max)</td>
<td>600 (0 - 1500)</td>
<td>700 (300 - 1500)</td>
<td>800 (150 - 1650)</td>
<td>750 (375 - 2100)</td>
<td>0.078b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levodopa dose times/day, median (min-max)</td>
<td>4 (0 - 8)</td>
<td>5 (3 - 10)</td>
<td>5 (3 - 8)</td>
<td>5 (3 - 10)</td>
<td>0.006b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra anti-Parkinson medication use, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (53.5%)</td>
<td>33 (64.7%)</td>
<td>39 (76.5%)</td>
<td>35 (77.8%)</td>
<td>0.044b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of walking aid, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (16.3%)</td>
<td>8 (15.7%)</td>
<td>13 (25.5%)</td>
<td>18 (40.0%)</td>
<td>0.022b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradykinesia, median (min-max)</td>
<td>26.40 (11.30 - 42.60)</td>
<td>24.90 (15.90 - 43.70)</td>
<td>25.30 (12.60 - 45.30)</td>
<td>24.70 (13.70 - 51.95)</td>
<td>0.877b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyskinesia, median (min-max)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.20 - 26.85)</td>
<td>2.20 (0.10 - 27.00)</td>
<td>2.40 (0.10 - 25.80)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.10 - 31.90)</td>
<td>0.094b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluctuation score, median (min-max)</td>
<td>8.00 (4.10 - 25.10)</td>
<td>9.20 (4.50 - 25.40)</td>
<td>9.30 (5.40 - 12.30)</td>
<td>9.10 (4.40 - 22.80)</td>
<td>0.050b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significance was set at α ≤ 0.05; *measured with Kruskal-Wallis; *measured with Chi-square. Fluctuation Score: Cat1-Cat3 p = 0.005*; Levodopa times a day: Cat1-Cat2 p = 0.005*; Cat1-Cat3 p < 0.000*; Cat1-Cat4 p < 0.000*; Cat2-Cat4 p = 0.042*; Anti-Parkinson medication use: Cat1-Cat3 p = 0.020*; Cat1-Cat4 p = 0.017*; Use of walking aid: Cat1-Cat4 p = 0.014*; Cat2-Cat4 p = 0.008*.
• Levodopa times a day ($p = 0.006$); group 1 - 2: $p = 0.005$; group 1 - 3: $p = 0.000$; group 1 - 4: $p = 0.042$.
• Extra-Parkinson medication ($p = 0.044$); group 1 - 3: $p = 0.020$; group 1 - 4: $p = 0.017$.
• Use of walking aid ($p = 0.022$); group 1 - 4: $p = 0.014$; group 2 - 4: $p = 0.008$.

4. Discussion

Using the PKG, a significant increase in FS was found during disease progression in PD patients. This is in accordance with several studies that found a positive relation in frequency between motor complications (FS and dyskinesias) and disease progression (set by clinical rating scales) [7]. However, in our study, FS tend to decrease in the most advanced stages of PD. This is in line with previous studies which described a spontaneous “resolution” or a “remission” of dyskinesia and FS during the later or end stages of PD, without any reduction in levodopa treatment and/or in the dose of anti-Parkinson drugs [8] [9]. Papapetropoulos et al. mentioned a decrease in dopaminergic receptor density and post-synaptic degeneration with disease progression as a possible explanation for the resolution [8]. We have to take in consideration, that late stage PD patients use significant more walking aid. This could be an explanation for a decline in FS in later stages of PD. When patients use a walker, the wrist is more stable than when walking without. This fixation can have a negative influence on the primary variables.

Surprisingly, no difference in BKS between groups was found. As a result, FS are completely dependent by DKS. We had expected to find lower BKS early in the course of the disease. Possibly, an effective BKS treatment was set in all groups, or the occurrence of DKS was more pronounced in later stages compared to the early stages. Another explanation can be that the PKG is not sensitive enough to measure this difference in BKS.

As expected, the distribution of levodopa intake (times a day) was different between the categories [10]. In later stages of PD, levodopa is more frequently used with shorter intervals. Although, not significant, a tendency for increased amounts of levodopa was seen during disease progression, probably to minimise FS [7]. However, in the most advanced PD patients, a slightly decrease in levodopa amount was seen, suggesting that the maximum beneficial level of levodopa was reached. Probably, using supra-maximal levodopa, minimal response was reached and more adverse effects were present [9] [11] [12] [13]. On the other hand, other Parkinson medications were more used in later phases of PD.

Several factors in our study might have influenced the results. Firstly, we have a relatively small group of patients. Secondly, all patients were already measured in the context of therapy, so we could benefit and include many patients in a short period of time. However, this method created a selection bias. The clinicians selected patients for the PKG registration, because their FS were not optimally controlled by their present medication. This results in a study population
with only poorly controlled patients. Additionally, no control study group was taken in our design. Thirdly, the available data we could abstract from the medical dossiers was limited due to the study design. To further investigate the evolution of motor complications with the progression of the disease, a large prospective cohort study can be performed. In conclusion, in the early and middle phase of Parkinson disease, an increase of FS is seen. In advanced stages (more than 12 years of disease) FS stabilise.
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