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Abstract

This paper gives a new generalization of higher order Daehee and Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. We define the multiparameter higher order Daehee numbers and polynomials of the first and second kind. Moreover, we derive some new results for these numbers and polynomials. The relations between these numbers and Stirling and Bernoulli numbers are obtained. Furthermore, some interesting special cases of the generalized higher order Daehee and Bernoulli numbers and polynomials are deduced.
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1. Fundamental and Principles

The $n$-th Daehee polynomials are defined by [1]-[9].

$$\left( \frac{\log(1+t)}{t} \right)^n(1+t)^t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (1)$$

If $x = 0$ hence $D_n = D_n(0)$ are called Daehee numbers,

For $n \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x)_n \, d\mu_0(x) = D_n. \quad (2)$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Kim [1] introduced Daehee numbers of the first kind of order $k$ by

$$D_n^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k)_n \, d\mu(x_1) \, d\mu(x_2) \cdots d\mu(x_k), \quad (3)$$

where $n$ is nonnegative integer.
The generating function of these numbers are given by

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n^{(k)} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{\log(1+t)}{t} \right)^k, \]

(4)

where \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \).

The higher-order Dahee polynomials are defined by, [10]

\[ D_n^{(k)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k + x)^n \, d\mu(x_1) \, d\mu(x_2) \cdots d\mu(x_k). \]

(5)

For \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), the Bernoulli polynomials of order \( k \) are defined by, see [1] [11] [12] [13],

\[ \left( \frac{t}{(e^t - 1)} \right)^r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \]

(6)

when \( x = 0, B_n^{(k)} = B_n^{(k)}(0) \) are called the Bernoulli numbers of order \( k \).

Also, Kim proved that

\[ D_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} S(n, r) B_r^{(k)}(x), \]

(7)

and

\[ B_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} S(n, r) D_r^{(k)}(x). \]

(8)

An explicit formula for higher-order Dahee numbers are given by

\[ D_n^{(k)} = \frac{1}{n+k} \binom{n+k}{k}, \quad (n \geq 0, k \geq 1) \]

(9)

where \( s(n, k) \) are the Stirling numbers of the first kind, see [1] [10].

In this article, Sections 2 and 3, give a new generalization of higher order Dahee numbers and polynomials which are called the multiparameter higher order Dahee numbers and polynomials of the first kind. In Sections 4 and 5, we define the multiparameter higher order Dahee numbers and polynomials of the second kind. Furthermore, the relations between these numbers and Stirling and Bernoulli numbers are obtained.

## 2. Multiparameter Higher Order Dahee Numbers of the First Kind

The multiparameter higher order Dahee numbers of the first kind \( D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} \) are defined by

\[ D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k - \alpha_r \right)^r \, d\mu_{0}(x_1) \, d\mu_{0}(x_2) \cdots d\mu_{0}(x_k), \]

(10)

where \( n \) is nonnegative integer.

**Theorem 1.** The numbers \( D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} \) satisfy the relation

\[ D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} S(n, i; \alpha, \beta) D_{r}^{(k)}, \]

(11)
Proof. The generalized Comtet numbers of the first and second kind, \( s_{\alpha}(n, i; \mathcal{F}) \) and \( S_{\alpha}(n, i; \mathcal{F}) \), (see [14] [15] [16]), are defined, respectively, by

\[
(x; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} s_{\alpha}(n, i; \mathcal{F}) x^i, \tag{12}
\]

and

\[
x^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} S_{\alpha}(n, i; \mathcal{F})(x; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}), \tag{13}
\]

where \((x; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \alpha_i)\), \(\mathcal{G} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1})\), \(\mathcal{F} = (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_{n-1})\).

From Equation (10) and using Equation (12), we have

\[
D^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\mathcal{F}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{k}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_{\alpha}(n, m; \mathcal{F})(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k)^m d\mu_0(x_1) \cdots d\mu_0(x_k)
\]

\[= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_{\alpha}(n, m; \mathcal{F}) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{k}} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k)^m d\mu_0(x_1) \cdots d\mu_0(x_k) \tag{14}\]

Substituting from Equation (3) into Equation (14) we have

\[
D^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\mathcal{F}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{k}} S(n, \ell) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} S(m, \ell) d\mu_0(x_1) \cdots d\mu_0(x_k) \tag{15}\]

Since, see [15],

\[
\prod_{m=0}^{\infty} s_{\alpha}(n, m; \mathcal{F}) S(m, \ell) = S(n, l; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}), \tag{16}\]

hence we obtain Equation (11).

Next we derive the following theorem which gives a representation of the multiparameter higher order Dahee numbers of the first kind in terms of the generalized multiparameter non central Stirling numbers of the second kind and Stirling number of the first kind, see [1] [10] [17].

**Theorem 2.** The numbers \( D^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\mathcal{F}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
D^{(k)}_{n,\alpha,\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} S(n, \ell; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) S(\ell + k, k) \tag{17}\]

**Proof.** Substituting from Equation (9) into Equation (11) we obtain Equation (17).

**Remark 1:**
Theorem 3. The numbers \( D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} \) satisfy the relation
\[
D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} S(n, \ell; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) B_{\ell}^{(i)}. \tag{19}
\]

Proof. Using Equation (7) in Equation (11) we have
\[
D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} S(n, \ell; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} s(\ell, i) B_{j}^{(i)}
= \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} S(n, \ell; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) s(\ell, i) B_{j}^{(i)}
= \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} S(n, \ell; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) s(\ell, i) B_{j}^{(i)}. \tag{20}
\]
Substituting from [15, Equation (4.5)] into Equation (20), we obtain Equation (19).

Theorem 4. The numbers \( B_{\alpha}^{(k)} \) satisfy the relation
\[
B_{\alpha}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} S_{\alpha} (n, \ell; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) D_{i,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)}. \tag{21}
\]

Proof. From Equation (19)
\[
D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} S_{\alpha} (n, \ell; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) B_{\ell}^{(i)},
\]
we can write this equation in the matrix form as follows
\[
D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} = S_{\alpha} (\bar{a}, \bar{r}) B^{(k)}, \tag{22}
\]
thus we get
\[
S_{\alpha} (\bar{a}, \bar{r}) D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} = S_{\alpha} (\bar{a}, \bar{r}) S_{\alpha} (\bar{a}, \bar{r}) B^{(k)} = IB^{(k)} = B^{(k)}, \tag{23}
\]
this matrix form is equivalent to Equation (21).

3. Multiparameter Higher Order Daehee Polynomials of the First Kind

The multiparameter higher order Daehee polynomials of the first kind \( D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} (x) \) are defined by
\[
D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} (x)
= \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+p}} \cdots \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+p}} \left( x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k + x - \alpha_j \right)^\nu \, d\mu_0 (x_1) d\mu_0 (x_2) \cdots d\mu_0 (x_k). \tag{24}
\]

Theorem 5. The polynomials \( D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} (x) \) satisfy the relation
\[
D_{n,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} (x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{a}} S(n, i; \bar{a}, \bar{r}) D_{i,\bar{a},\bar{r}}^{(k)} (x). \tag{25}
\]

Proof. From Equation (24) we have
Substituting from Equation (5) into Equation (26) we have

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_{\vec{a}}(n, m; \vec{r}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} S(m, \ell) D_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

Substituting from Equation (5) into Equation (26) we have

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_{\vec{a}}(n, m; \vec{r}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} S(m, \ell) D_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

Substituting from Equation (5) into Equation (26) we have

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_{\vec{a}}(n, m; \vec{r}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} S(m, \ell) D_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

Theorem 6. The polynomials \( D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) \) satisfy the relation

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_{\vec{a}}(n, m; \vec{r}) B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

Proof. Using Equation (7) in Equation (25) we have

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_{\vec{a}}(n, m; \vec{r}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} S(m, \ell) B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

Substituting from [15, Equation (4.5)] into Equation (29) we obtain Equation (25).

Theorem 7. The polynomials \( B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \) satisfy the relation

\[ B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} S_{\ell, i} B_{i}^{(i)}(x) \]

Proof. From Equation (28)

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_{\vec{a}}(n, m; \vec{r}) B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

this equation can be written in the following matrix form

\[ D_{n, \vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = S_{\vec{a}}(\vec{r}) B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]

We easily have the matrix form

\[ S_{\vec{a}}(\vec{r}) D_{\vec{a}, \vec{r}}^{(i)}(x) = S_{\vec{a}}(\vec{r}) B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) = IB_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) = B_{\ell}^{(i)}(x) \]
This is equivalent to Equation (30). Moreover some interesting special cases are investigated.

**Some special cases:**

**Case 1:** Setting \( x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k = x \) in Equation (10), we obtain

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (x - \alpha_0) \cdots (x - \alpha_{k-1}) d \mu_0(x)
\]  

(31)

**Corollary 1.** The numbers \( D_{n; \mathbf{x}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} S(n, i; \mathbb{R}) D_i.
\]

(32)

**Proof.** Setting \( x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k = x \) in Equation (11), we obtain Equation (32).

**Corollary 2.** The numbers \( D_{n; \mathbf{x}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} S(n, i; \mathbb{R}) B_i.
\]

(33)

**Proof.** Setting \( x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k = x \) in Equation (19), we get Equation (33).

**Case 2:** Setting \( r_i = 1 \) in Equation (31) we have

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (x - \alpha_0) \cdots (x - \alpha_{k-1}) d \mu_0(x).
\]

(34)

**Corollary 3.** The numbers \( D_{n; \mathbf{x}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} S(n, i; \mathbb{R}) D_i.
\]

(35)

**Proof.** Let \( r_i = 1 \) in Equation (32), we obtain Equation (35).

**Corollary 4.** The numbers \( D_{n; \mathbf{x}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} S(n, i; \mathbb{R}) B_i.
\]

(36)

**Proof.** Setting \( r_i = 1 \) in Equation (33), we obtain Equation (36).

**Theorem 8.**

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (x - \alpha_0) \cdots (x - \alpha_{k-1}) d \mu_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i S(n, i; \mathbb{R}) \frac{\ell!}{\ell}, \quad \ell \geq 0.
\]

(37)

**Proof.** Substituting by \( D_n = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{n+1} \) (see [2] [10]) in Equation (34) and Equation (35), we obtain Equation (37).

**Case 3:** Setting \( r_i = 1, \alpha_i = i \) in Equation (10) we obtain

\[
D_{n; \mathbf{x}}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k - i) d \mu_0(x_1) d \mu_0(x_2) \cdots d \mu_0(x_k)
\]

(38)

and

\[
D_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} S(n, \ell) B_\ell^{(k)}(x).
\]
The multiparameter higher order Daehee numbers of the second kind \( \hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} \) are defined by

\[
\hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (-x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_k - \alpha_i)^{y_i} \, d\mu_0 (x_1) d\mu_0 (x_2) \cdots d\mu_0 (x_k). \tag{39}
\]

**Theorem 9.** The numbers \( \hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} \) satisfy the relation

\[
\hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i S(n, \ell; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{F}) D_{i}^{(k)}, \tag{40}
\]

where \( (x; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{F}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \alpha_i)^{y_i}, \overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}), \overline{F} = (r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}) \).

**Proof.** Using Equation (39) we have

\[
\hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m s_{\overline{a}} (n, m; \overline{F}) (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k)^m \, d\mu_0 (x_1) \cdots d\mu_0 (x_k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m s_{\overline{a}} (n, m; \overline{F}) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S(m, \ell)(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k) \, d\mu_0 (x_1) \cdots d\mu_0 (x_k)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m s_{\overline{a}} (n, m; \overline{F}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} S(m, \ell) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k) \, d\mu_0 (x_1) \cdots d\mu_0 (x_k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m s_{\overline{a}} (n, m; \overline{F}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} S(m, \ell) \hat{D}_{\ell}^{(k)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} (-1)^m s_{\overline{a}} (n, m; \overline{F}) S(m, \ell) D_{\ell}^{(k)},
\]

substituting from Equation (16) in Equation (41), then we obtain Equation (40).

Next we derive the following theorem which gives a representation of multi-parameter higher order Dahee numbers of the second kind in terms of the generalized multiparameter non-central Stirling numbers of the second kind and Stirling number of the first kind, see [1] [10] [17].

**Theorem 10.** The numbers \( \hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} \) satisfy the relation

\[
\hat{D}_{n,r}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i S(n, \ell; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{F}) s(\ell + k, k). \tag{42}
\]

**Proof.** Substituting Equation (9) in Equation (40), we obtain Equation (42).

**Remark 2:** For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
Theorem 11. The numbers \( \hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} \) satisfy the relation
\[
\hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i s_{\alpha} (n, \ell, \varphi) B_i^{(i)}.
\] (44)

Proof. Substituting Equation (7) in Equation (40) we have
\[
\hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i S(n, \ell, \alpha, \varphi) s(\ell, i) B_i^{(i)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i S(n, \ell, \alpha, \varphi) s(\ell, i) B_i^{(i)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i S(n, \ell, \alpha, \varphi) s(\ell, i) B_i^{(i)}.
\]

Using [15, Equation (4.5)], we obtain Equation (44).

Theorem 12. The numbers \( B_i^{(i)} \) satisfy the relation
\[
B_i^{(i)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i s_{\alpha} (n, \ell, \varphi) \hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)}.
\] (45)

Proof. Equation (44) can be written in a matrix form as
\[
\hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} = s_{\alpha} (\varphi) I_i B_i^{(i)},
\] (46)

hence we get
\[
S_{\alpha} (\varphi) \hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} = S_{\alpha} (\varphi) s_{\alpha} (\varphi) I_i B_i^{(i)} = I_i B_i^{(i)},
\]
\[
I_i S_{\alpha} (\varphi) \hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} = I_i I_i B_i^{(i)} = I B_i^{(i)} = B_i^{(i)}.
\] (47)

this is equivalent to Equation (45). Where \( I_i \) is the diagonal \((n+1) \times (n+1)\) matrix with elements \((I_i)_{ij} = (-1)^i, i = j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\).

5. Multiparameter Higher Order Daeehe Polynomials of the Second Kind

The multiparameter higher order Daeehe polynomials of the second kind \( \hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} (x) \) are defined by
\[
\hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} (x) = \int_{E_0} \cdots \int_{E_0} \prod_{j=0}^{\ell} (-x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_i + x - \alpha_i) y^\ell \, d\mu_0 (x_1) d\mu_0 (x_2) \cdots d\mu_0 (x_i). \] (48)

Theorem 13. The polynomials \( \hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} (x) \) satisfy the relation
\[
\hat{D}_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} (x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i S(n, i; \alpha, \varphi) D_{\alpha, \varphi}^{(i)} (-x). \] (49)
Proof. Using Equation (48) we have

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}}^{(k)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(n, m; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) (x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{k} - x)^{m} \, d\mu_{0}(x_{1}) \cdots d\mu_{0}(x_{k})
\]

\[= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(n, m; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{k} - x)^{m} \, d\mu_{0}(x_{1}) \cdots d\mu_{0}(x_{k})
\]

\[= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(n, m; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) \sum_{l=0}^{m} S(m, l) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{k} - x) \, d\mu_{0}(x_{1}) \cdots d\mu_{0}(x_{k}) \right)
\]

\[= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(n, m; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) \sum_{l=0}^{m} S(m, l) D_{l}^{(k)}(-x)
\]

Substituting from Equation (16) into Equation (50), we obtain Equation (49).

**Theorem 14.** The polynomials \( \hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}}^{(k)}(x) \) satisfy the relation

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}}^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^{l} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(n, l; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) B_{l}^{(k)}(-x).
\]

Proof. Using Equation (7) in Equation (49), we have

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}}^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^{l} S(n, l; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) \sum_{j=0}^{l} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(\ell, j) B_{l}^{(k)}(-x)
\]

\[= \sum_{l=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{l} S(n, l; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(\ell, j) B_{l}^{(k)}(-x)
\]

Substituting from [15, Equation (4.5)] into Equation (52), we obtain Equation (51).

Next we derive some important special cases.

**Some special cases:**

**Case 1:** Setting \( x_{1} = x_{2} = \cdots = x_{k} = -x \) in Equation (39), we obtain

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-x - \alpha_{0})^{0} \cdots (-x - \alpha_{n-1})^{0} \, d\mu_{0}(x).
\]

**Corollary 5.** The numbers \( \hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} S(n, j; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) D_{j}.
\]

**Corollary 6.** The numbers \( \hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}} \) satisfy the relation

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} s_{\mathbf{\alpha}}(n, j; \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}) B_{j}.
\]

**Case 2:** Setting \( r_{l} = 1 \) in Equation (53), we obtain

\[
\hat{D}_{n, \mathbf{\alpha}, \mathbf{\mu}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-x - \alpha_{0}) \cdots (-x - \alpha_{n-1}) \, d\mu_{0}(x).
\]
Corollary 7. The numbers $\hat{D}_{n, \alpha}$ satisfy the relation
\[
\hat{D}_{n, \alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i S(n, i; \alpha) D_i.
\] (57)

Corollary 8. The numbers $\hat{D}_{n, \alpha}$ satisfy the relation
\[
\hat{D}_{n, \alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i s_{q}(n, \ell) B_{\ell}.
\] (58)

Theorem 15. \[
\int_{\mathbb{E}_p} (-x-\alpha_0)(-x-\alpha_1)\cdots(-x-\alpha_{n-1}) d\mu_0(x)
\]
\[=
\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i S(n, i; \alpha) \frac{\ell!}{\ell + 1}, \quad \ell \geq 0.
\] (59)

Proof. Substituting by $\hat{D}_n = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{n+1}$ (see [18]) in Equation (56) and Equation (57), we obtain Equation (58).

6. Conclusion
In this paper we define the multiparameter higher order Daehee numbers and polynomials of the first and second kind. Some new results for these numbers and polynomials are derived. Furthermore, some interesting special cases of the multiparameter higher order Daehee and Bernoulli numbers and polynomials are deduced.
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