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Abstract
A new class of reflected backward stochastic differential equations (RBSDEs) driven by Teugels martingales associated with Lévy process and Countable Brownian Motions are investigated. Via approximation, the existence and uniqueness of solution to this kind of RBSDEs are obtained.
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1. Introduction
Recently, Y. Ren [1] proved via the Snell envelope and the fixed point theorem, the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the following RBDSDEs driven by a Lévy process and a extra Brownian motion with Lipschitz coefficients, where the obstacle process is right continuous with left limits (càdlàg):

\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s,Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T g_j(s,Y_s, Z_s)d\overline{B}_s^j + K_T - K_t - \sum_{j=1}^J \int_t^T Z_s^{(j)}dH_s^{(j)},
\]

where the \(dH_s^{(j)}\) is a forward semi-martingale Itô integrals (see He et al. [2]) and the \(d\overline{B}_s^j\) is a backward Itô integral.

Note that, in all the previous works, the equations are driven by finite Brownian motions. In their recent work, Pengju Duan et al. [3] introduced firstly the reflected BDSDEs driven by countable extra Brownian motions:

\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s,Y_s, Z_s)ds + \sum_{j=1}^J g_j(s,Y_s, Z_s)d\overline{B}_s^j + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s^{(i)},
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where the \(dW_s\) is the standard forward stochastic Itô integral and the \(d\overline{B}_s^j\) is the backward stochastic Itô integral.
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Under the global Lipschitz continuity conditions on the coefficients \( f \) and \( g \), they proved via Snell envelope and fixed point theorem, the existence and uniqueness of the solution for RBDSDEs (1.1). Next, J.-M. Owo [4] relaxed the Lipschitz continuity condition on the coefficient \( f \) to a continuity with sub linear growth condition and derive the existence of minimal and maximal solutions to RBDSDEs (1.1).

Motivated by [1] [3] [4], in this paper, we mainly consider the following RBDSDEs driven by a Lévy process and countable Brownian motions, in which the obstacle process is right continuous (càdlàg):

\[
Y_t = \xi + \int_0^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{J}} \int g_j(s, Y_s, Z_s) \, dB^j_s + K_T - K_t - \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \int_0^T x_i(t) \, dH^i_s.
\]  

The paper is devoted to prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution for RBDSDEs driven by a Lévy process and countable Brownian motions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some preliminaries and notations. In section 3, we establish the main results.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

Throughout this paper, \( T \) is a positive constant and \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \) is a probability space on which, \( \{B^i_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}_{i=1}^\infty \) are mutual independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions and \( \{L_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\} \) be a \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued pure jump Lévy process of the form \( L_t = bt + L_t^c \) independent of \( \{B^i_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\} \), which correspond to a standard Lévy measure \( \nu \) satisfying

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \lambda y) \nu(dy) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} \nu(dy) < \infty, \quad \text{for every} \quad \lambda > 0 \text{ and for some} \quad \lambda > 0.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{N} \) denote the class of \( P \)-null sets of \( \mathcal{F} \). For each \( t \in [0, T] \), we define

\[
\mathcal{F}_t \triangleq \left( \bigvee_{s \geq t} \mathcal{F}^s \right) \mathcal{V} \mathcal{F}^t
\]

where for any process \( \{\eta_t\}; \mathcal{F}^\eta_t = \sigma\{\eta_s; s \leq r \leq t\} \cup \mathcal{N} \), \( \mathcal{F}^\eta_t = \mathcal{F}^\eta_{0,t} \).

Note that \( \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\} \) is an increasing filtration and \( \{\mathcal{F}^\eta_t, t \in [0, T]\} \) is a decreasing filtration. Thus the collection \( \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\} \) is neither increasing nor decreasing so it does not constitute a filtration.

Let us introduce some spaces:

- \( \mathcal{H}_2^\mathcal{F} \) denotes the space of real-valued processes \( \{\varphi_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\} \) such that \( \varphi_t \) is \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-measurable, for a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( E\left(\int_0^T \varphi_t^2 \, dt\right) < \infty \).
- \( \mathcal{P}_2^\mathcal{F} \) denotes the sub set of \( \mathcal{H}_2^\mathcal{F} \) formed by the \( \mathcal{F} \)-predictable processes;
- \( S_2^\mathcal{F} \) stands for the set of real-valued, càdlàg, random processes \( \{\varphi_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\} \) such that \( \varphi_t \) is \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-measurable, for any \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = E\left(\sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \varphi_r^2\right) < \infty \).
- \( \mathcal{A}_2^\mathcal{F} \) denotes the space continuous, real-valued, increasing processes \( \{K_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\} \), such that \( K_t \) is \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-measurable, for a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \), \( K_0 = 0 \) and \( E\left(K_T^2\right) < \infty \).
- \( \ell^2 \) denotes the set of real valued sequences \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) such that \( \|x\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n|^2 < \infty \).

We will denote by \( \mathcal{H}_2^\mathcal{F}(\ell^2) \) and \( \mathcal{P}_2^\mathcal{F}(\ell^2) \) the corresponding spaces of \( \ell^2 \)-valued processes \( \{\varphi_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\} \) such that

\[
\|\varphi\|_{\ell^2,\mathcal{F}(\ell^2)} = E\left(\int_0^T \|\varphi_t\|_{\ell^2}^2 \, dt\right) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E\left(\int_0^T |\varphi_n(t)|^2 \, dt\right) < \infty.
\]

In the sequel, for ease of notation, we set \( \|\|_{\ell^2} = \|\| \).

Furthermore, we denote by \( \{H^{(i)}_t\}_{i=1}^\infty \) the Teugels Martingale associated with the Lévy process \( \{L_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\} \).

More precisely

\[
H^{(i)}_t = c_{j_1} T^{(i)}_{j_1} + c_{j_2} T^{(i-1)}_{j_2} + \ldots + c_{j_\kappa(i)} T^{(i)},
\]

where \( T^{(i)}_{j_1} = L^{(i)}_{j_1} - E\left(L^{(i)}_{j_1}\right) = L^{(i)}_{j_1} - t E\left(L^{(i)}_{j_1}\right) \) for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( L^{(i)}_{j_1} \) are power-jump processes. That is, \( L^{(i)}_{j_1} = L_{j_1} \) and \( L^{(i)}_{j_1} = \sum_{a \in \Delta_j} (\Delta_j a) \) for \( i \geq 2 \), with \( \Delta_j = L_{j_2} - L_{j_1} \).
In [5], Nualart and Schoutens proved that the coefficients $c_{ij,k}$ correspond to the orthonormalization of the polynomials $1, x, x^2, \cdots$ with respect to the measure $\mu(dx) = x^2 dx + \sigma^2 \delta_y(dx)$, i.e. $q_i(x) = c_{ij,k} x^k + c_{ij,k+1} x^{k+1} + \cdots + c_{ij,n}$. The martingale $\langle H^{(i)} \rangle_t$ can be chosen to be pairwise strongly orthonormal martingale. That is, for all $i,j$, $\langle H^{(i)}_t, H^{(j)}_t \rangle = \delta_{ij} t$.

**Definition 2.1.** A solution of (1.2) is a triplet of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$-valued process $(Y, Z, K)$, which satisfies (1.2), and

1) $(Y, Z, K) \in S^+_\infty \times \mathcal{P}_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathcal{A}^2_\infty$;
2) $Y, Z \geq 0, \forall t \in [0,T]$;
3) $K$ is a continuous and increasing process with $K_0 = 0$ and $\int_0^T (Y_s - S_t) dK_t = 0$.

Throughout the paper, we let the coefficients $f: \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_j: \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the terminal value $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the obstacle $S: \Omega \times [0,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following assumptions:

(H1) for all $(t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $(f(t,y,z), g_j(t,y,z))_{j=1}^\infty$ are $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable such that
$$E\left[ \int_0^T |f(s,y,0,0)|^2 ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty E\left[ \int_0^T |g_j(s,y,0,0)|^2 ds \right] \right] < \infty;$$

(H2) for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $(y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,
$$\left| \left| f(t,y_1, z_1) - f(t,y_2, z_2) \right| \right|^2 \leq C \left( \left| y_1 - y_2 \right|^2 + \left| z_1 - z_2 \right|^2 \right);$$
$$\left| g_j(t,y_1, z_1) - g_j(t,y_2, z_2) \right|^2 \leq C_j \left| y_1 - y_2 \right|^2 + \alpha_j \left| z_1 - z_2 \right|^2$$
where $C > 0$, $C_j > 0$ and $\alpha_j > 0$ are constants with $\sum_{j=1}^\infty C_j < \infty$ and $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j < 1$.

(H3) $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathcal{P})$, i.e. $\xi$ is a $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random variable such that, $E[|\xi|^2] < \infty$.

(H4) $S$ is a real-valued, càdâg process such that $S_t$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable, for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$ and $S_t \leq \xi$ a.s., with $\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_t' - S_t)^2 \right) < \infty$, where $S_t' = \max(S_t, 0)$. Moreover, we assume that its jumping times are inaccessible stopping times (see He et al. [2]).

### 3. The Main Results

We first establish the existence and uniqueness result for RBSDEs driven by finite Brownian motions and a Lévy process:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s,Y_s,Z_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_t^T g_j(s,Y_s,Z_s) dB^j_s + K_T - K_t - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_t^T Z^{(i)}_s dH^{(i)}_s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

For any $n \geq 1$, we have the following existence and uniqueness result.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume (H1)-(H4). Then, there exists a unique solution $(Y, Z, K)$ of Equation (3.1).

*Proof.* For $n = 1$, we obtain the existence and uniqueness result due to Y. Ren [1]. For any $n > 1$, we can prove the desired result following the same ideas and arguments as in Y. Ren [1]: it is a straightforward adaptation of the proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 in Y. Ren [1]. Firstly, we consider the special case that is the function $f$ and $g_j$ do not depend on $(Y, Z)$, i.e. $f(\omega,t,y,z) \equiv f(\omega,t)$, $g_j(\omega,t,y,z) \equiv g_j(\omega,t)$, for all $(\omega,t,y,z) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. It suffices to replace suitably $\mathcal{F}^B_t$ and $\int_0^T g(s) dB_s$ in the proof of Theorem 2 respectively by $\mathcal{F}^B_t$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^T g_j(s) dB^j_s$. On the other hand, it suffices to replace
$$\int_0^T \alpha_j (Y_t - Y') (g(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) - g(s, \tilde{Y}'_s, \tilde{Z}'_s)) dB_s, \int_0^T \alpha_j \left| g(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) - g(s, \tilde{Y}'_s, \tilde{Z}'_s) \right|^2 ds,$$ and $C$ in the proof of Theorem 3 respectively by $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j (Y_t - Y') (g_j(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) - g_j(s, \tilde{Y}'_s, \tilde{Z}'_s)) dB^j_s,$ $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j \left| g_j(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) - g_j(s, \tilde{Y}'_s, \tilde{Z}'_s) \right|^2 ds,$ $\sum_{j=1}^\infty C_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j$. Therefore, we omit the details.

Now, we are ready to establish the main result of this paper which is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Under assumptions (H1)-(H4), there exists a unique solution $(Y, Z, K) \in S^+_\infty \times \mathcal{P}^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathcal{A}^2_\infty$ of Equation (1.2).
Proof. (Existence.) By Lemma 3.1, for any \( n \geq 1 \), there exists a unique solution of (3.1), denoted by \( (Y^n, Z^n, \mathcal{K}^n) \), i.e., \( (Y^n, Z^n, \mathcal{K}^n) \in \mathcal{S}_t^\infty \times \mathcal{P}_t^{\infty} \times \mathcal{A}_t^\infty \) and

\[
1) \quad Y^n_t = \xi + \int_0^t f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \, dB_s^j + K^n_t - K^n_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t Z^{n(j)}_s \, dH^{j}_s; \tag{3.2}
\]

\[
2) \quad Y^n_t \geq S, \quad \forall \; t \in [0, T] \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T (Y^n_t - S) \, dK^n_t = 0.
\]

The idea consists to study the convergence of the sequence \( (Y^n, Z^n, \mathcal{K}^n) \), and to establish that its limit is a solution of (1.2). To this end, we first establish the following estimates:

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y^n_t|^2 + \int_0^T |Z^n_t|^2 \, dt + |K^n_t|^2 \right) \leq \lambda, \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a non-negative constant independent of \( n \). Indeed, applying Itô’s formula to \( |Y^n_t|^2 \), we have

\[
E |Y^n_t|^2 + E \left[ \int_0^T |Z^n_t|^2 \, dt \right] = E \left[ |\xi|^2 \right] + 2E \left[ \int_0^T f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) (dB_s^j)^2 \right] + 2E \left[ \int_0^T |Z^n_s|^2 \, dH^{j}_s \right].
\]

From assumption (H2) and Young’s inequality, for any \( \theta > 0 \), we have

\[
2Y^n_t f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \leq \frac{2C}{\theta} |Y^n_t|^2 + \frac{\theta}{2C} \left| f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \right|^2 \leq \left( \frac{2C}{\theta} + \theta \right) |Y^n_t|^2 + \frac{\theta}{C} \left| f(s, 0, 0) \right|^2,
\]

\[
\left| g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \right|^2 \leq (1+\theta) C \left| Y^n_t \right|^2 + (1+\theta) \alpha_j \left| Z^n_t \right|^2 + \left( 1+\frac{1}{\theta} \right) \left| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right|^2.
\]

Using again Young inequality, we have for any \( \beta > 0 \),

\[
2E \left[ \int_0^T Y^n_t \, dK^n_t \right] = 2E \left[ \int_0^T S_t \, dK^n_t \right] \leq \frac{1}{\beta} E \left( |S_t|^2 \right) + \beta E \left( K^n_t - K^n_0 \right)^2.
\]

Since

\[
K^n_0 - K^n_t = Y^n_0 - \xi - \int_0^T f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \, ds - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \, dB_s^j + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T Z^{n(j)}_s \, dH^{j}_s, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

we have, for any \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
E \left( K^n_0 - K^n_t \right)^2 \leq 5E \left( |Y^n_0|^2 + |\xi|^2 + \int_0^T \left( f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \right)^2 \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T \left( g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) \right)^2 \, dB_s^j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T \left( Z^{n(j)}_s \right)^2 \, dH^{j}_s.
\]

Therefore,

\[
E \left| Y^n_t \right|^2 + E \left[ \int_0^T |Z^n_t|^2 \, dt \right] \leq E \left| \xi \right|^2 + E \left[ \left( \frac{2C}{\theta} + \theta \right) \left| Y^n_t \right|^2 + \frac{\theta}{C} \left| f(s, 0, 0) \right|^2 \right] \, ds + \frac{1}{\beta} E \left( |S_t|^2 \right) + 5\beta E \left( \left| Y^n_0 \right|^2 + 2T \sum_{j=1}^n \left( C |Y^n_t|^2 + C |Z^n_t|^2 + \left| f(s, 0, 0) \right|^2 \right) \, ds \right) + 5\beta \sum_{j=1}^n \left( 1+\theta \right) C \left| Y^n_t \right|^2 + \left( 1+\frac{1}{\theta} \right) \left| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right|^2 \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( 1+\theta \right) C \left| Y^n_t \right|^2 + \left( 1+\frac{1}{\theta} \right) \left| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right|^2 \, ds.
\]
Consequently,
\[
(1-5\beta)E[Y_n^\alpha] + [1-\theta-(1+\theta)\alpha-5\beta(2TC+(1+\theta)\alpha+1)]E\int_0^T\|Z_n^\alpha\|^2\,ds
\]
\[
\leq (1+5\beta)E[|\xi|^2] +\left[\frac{2C}{\theta}+(\theta)+(5\beta+1)(1+\theta)\sum_{j=1}^n C_j +10\beta TC\right]E\int_0^T|Y_n^\alpha|^2\,ds
\]
\[
+\left[\frac{C}{\theta}+10\beta T\right]E\int_0^T|f(s,0,0)|^2\,ds +\left[1+\frac{1}{\theta}(1+5\beta)\sum_{j=1}^n E\int_0^T|g_j(s,0,0)|^2\,ds +\frac{1}{\beta}\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}E|S_j|^2\right].
\]
We choose \(\beta, \theta > 0\) such that, \(\beta < \frac{1-\alpha}{5(2TC+\alpha+1)}, \theta \leq \frac{1-\alpha-5\beta(2TC+\alpha+1)}{1+\alpha+5\beta\alpha}\). Then, there exists a constant \(c = c(\alpha, T, C) > 0\), such that
\[
E[Y_n^\alpha] \leq cE\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T|f(s,0,0)|^2\,ds + \int_0^T|g_j(s,0,0)|^2\,ds + \sup_{0\leq s\leq T}E|S_j|^2\right].
\]
Applying Gronwall’s inequality, we get
\[
E[Y_n^\alpha] \leq c e^{\alpha T}E\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T|f(s,0,0)|^2\,ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty E\int_0^T|g_j(s,0,0)|^2\,ds + \sup_{0\leq s\leq T}E|S_j|^2\right].
\]
Therefore, we have the existence of a constant \(c_1\) such that
\[
E\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}Y_n^\alpha + \int_0^T\|Z_n^\alpha\|^2\,ds + |K_n^\alpha|^2\right]
\]
\[
\leq c_1E\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T|f(s,0,0)|^2\,ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty E\int_0^T|g_j(s,0,0)|^2\,ds + \sup_{0\leq s\leq T}E|S_j|^2\right] < \infty.
\]
Now, we show that \((Y^n, Z^n, K^n)\) is a Cauchy sequence in \(S_2^\alpha \times T_2^\alpha(f)^2 \times \mathcal{A}_s^2\). To this end, without loss of generality, we let \(m < n\). Then, by difference, we obtain
\[
Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha = \int_m^T \left( f(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) - f(s, Y^m_{s-}, Z^m_s) \right) ds + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left[ g_j(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) - g_j(s, Y^m_{s-}, Z^m_s) \right] dB_j^i
\]
\[+ \sum_{j=m+1}^n \int_m^T g_j(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) dB_j^i + \int_m^T (dK_s^n - dK_s^m) - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_m^T (Z_s^{(i)} - Z_s^{(i)}(\cdot)) dH^{(i)}_s. \tag{3.4}\]
Applying Itô’s formula to \(\|Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha\|^2\), we get
\[
\|Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha\|^2 = 2\int_m^T (Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha) \left( f(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) - f(s, Y^m_{s-}, Z^m_s) \right) ds + 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^n \int_m^T (Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha) g_j(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) dB_j^i
\]
\[+ 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^n \int_m^T (Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha) (g_j(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) - g_j(s, Y^m_{s-}, Z^m_s)) dB_j^i + \int_m^T (Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha) (dK_s^n - dK_s^m)
\]
\[- 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^n \int_m^T (Y_n^\alpha - Y_m^\alpha) (Z_s^{(i)} - Z_s^{(i)}) dH^{(i)}_s + \int_m^T [g_j(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) - g_j(s, Y^m_{s-}, Z^m_s)] dB_j^i
\]
\[+ \sum_{j=m+1}^n \int_m^T g_j(s, Y^n_{s-}, Z^n_s) dB_j^i - \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty \int_m^T (Z_s^{(i)} - Z_s^{(i)}(\cdot)) (Z_s^{(i)} - Z_s^{(i)}(\cdot)) d[H^{(i)}_s, H^{(j)}_s]. \tag{3.5}\]
Taking expectation in both side of (3.5) and noting that \( \int_t^T (Y^n_s - Y^n_t) \big( dK^n_s - dK^n_t \big) \leq 0 \), we have
\[
E \left[ \int_t^T (Y^n_s - Y^n_t)^2 + \int_t^T \|Z^n_s - Z^n_t\|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq 2E \int_t^T (Y^n_s - Y^n_t) \left( f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) - f(s, Y^n_t, Z^n_t) \right) ds \\
+ \sum_{j=m+1}^n E \int_0^T \left\| g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) - g_j(s, Y^n_t, Z^n_t) \right\|^2 ds. \tag{3.6}
\]
Using again Young’s inequality, assumption (H2) and the estimates (3.3), we obtain,
\[
E \left[ \int_t^T (Y^n_s - Y^n_t)^2 + \int_t^T \|Z^n_s - Z^n_t\|^2 \, ds \right] \\
\leq C_p E \int_t^T (Y^n_s - Y^n_t)^2 ds + 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left( \lambda \mathcal{T} C_j + \lambda \alpha_j + E \int_0^T \left\| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right\|^2 ds \right),
\]
where \( C_p = \frac{2C}{1 - \alpha} + \sum_{j=m}^\infty C_j + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \).

Therefore, by Gronwall’s inequality, we have
\[
E \left[ \int_t^T (Y^n_s - Y^n_t)^2 + \int_t^T \|Z^n_s - Z^n_t\|^2 \, ds \right] \leq 2e^{C_p T} \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \left( \lambda \mathcal{T} C_j + \lambda \alpha_j + E \int_0^T \left\| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right\|^2 ds \right),
\]
which, by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality provides
\[
E \left( \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |Y^n_s - Y^n_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^n_s - Z^n_t\|^2 \, ds \right) \leq C \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \left( \lambda \mathcal{T} C_j + \lambda \alpha_j + E \int_0^T \left\| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right\|^2 ds \right).
\]
Well, from assumptions (H1)-(H2), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left( \lambda \mathcal{T} C_j + \lambda \alpha_j + E \int_0^T \left\| g_j(s, 0, 0) \right\|^2 ds \right) < \infty.
\]
Consequently, we get,
\[
E \left( \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |Y^n_s - Y^n_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^n_s - Z^n_t\|^2 \, ds \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.7}
\]
Moreover, from (3.4) together with Hölder’s and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy’s inequalities, we have
\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |K^n_s - K^n_t|^2 \leq 6E \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |Y^n_s - Y^n_t|^2 + 6E \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |Y^n_s - Y^n_t|^2 + 6TE \int_0^T \left\| f(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) - f(s, Y^n_t, Z^n_t) \right\|^2 ds \\
+ 6 \sum_{j=1}^\infty E \int_0^T \left\| g_j(s, Y^n_s, Z^n_s) - g_j(s, Y^n_t, Z^n_t) \right\|^2 ds + 6 \sum_{j=m+1}^n E \int_0^T \left\| K^n_s - K^n_t \right\|^2 ds,
\]
which, together with assumption (H2) and (3.7), provides
\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |K^n_s - K^n_t|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.8}
\]
Consequently, \( \{Y^n, Z^n, K^n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( S^2_t \times P^2_t \left( \ell^2 \right) \times A^2_t \) which is a Banach space. Therefore, there exists a process \( \{Y, Z, K\} \in S^2_t \times P^2_t \left( \ell^2 \right) \times A^2_t \), such that
\[
E \left( \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |Y^n_s - Y|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^n_s - Z_t\|^2 \, ds + \sup_{0 \leq s < T} |K^n_s - K|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.9}
\]
Now, let us show that the process \( \{Y, Z, K\} \in S^2_t \times P^2_t \left( \ell^2 \right) \times A^2_t \) satisfies our Equation (1.2). From Cauchy-
Schwarz inequality, together with (H2), we have

\[ E \left( \left[ \int_T^T f \left( s, Y_s^*, Z_s^* \right) ds - \int_T^T f \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) ds \right]^2 \right) \leq C \left( T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| Y_t^* - Y_t \right|^2 + \int_T^T \left\| Z_s^* - Z_s \right\|^2 ds \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]

Also, by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy’s inequality, we get

\[ E \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T g_j \left( s, Y_s^*, Z_s^* \right) dB_s^j - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) dB_s^j \right| \right) \leq c E \int_0^T \left\| Z_s^* - Z_s \right\|^2 ds \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \]

and

\[ E \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T g_j \left( s, Y_s^*, Z_s^* \right) \overline{dB_s^j} - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) \overline{dB_s^j} \right| \right) \leq c E \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T \left| g_j \left( s, Y_s^*, Z_s^* \right) - g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) \right|^2 ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T \left| \overline{dB_s^j} \right|^2 ds \right).

Now, from (H1)-(H2) and the fact that \((Y, Z, K) \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha^2 \times \mathcal{P}_c (\ell^2)\), we have

\[ \sum_{j=1}^\infty E \int_0^T \left| g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) \right|^2 ds \leq 2 T \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty C_j \right) E \left| Y_T^* - Y_T \right|^2 + 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j \right) E \left( T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| Y_t^* - Y_t \right|^2 + \left( T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| Z_t^* - Z_t \right|^2 \right) \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]

which implies that

\[ \sum_{j=1}^\infty E \int_0^T \left| g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) \right|^2 ds \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]

Moreover,

\[ E \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_T^T \left| g_j \left( s, Y_s^*, Z_s^* \right) - g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) \right|^2 ds \right) \leq E \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty C_j T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| Y_t^* - Y_t \right|^2 + \alpha T \left( T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| Z_t^* - Z_t \right|^2 \right) \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]

Therefore,

\[ E \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T g_j \left( s, Y_s^*, Z_s^* \right) dB_s^j - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_T^T g_j \left( s, Y_s, Z_s \right) dB_s^j \right| \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]

On the other hand, from the result of Saisho [6] (see p. 465), we have

\[ \int_0^T \left( Y_t^* - S_t \right) dB_t \to \int_0^T \left( Y_t - S_t \right) dB_t, \quad \text{P.a.s.}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]

Finally, passing to the limit in (3.2), we conclude that \((Y, Z, K)\) is a solution of (1.2).

(Uniqueness.) Let \(Y^1, Z^1, K^1\) \((i = 1, 2)\) be two solutions of (1.2). Applying Itô’s formula to \(e^{\theta t} Y^1_t - Y^2_t\), we get

\[ e^{\theta t} Y^1_t - Y^2_t = 2 \int_0^T e^{\theta s} \left( Y^1_s - Y^2_s \right) \left( f \left( s, Y^1_s, Z^1_s \right) - f \left( s, Y^2_s, Z^2_s \right) \right) ds \]

\[ + 2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^T e^{\theta s} \left( Y^1_s - Y^2_s \right) g_j \left( s, Y^1_s, Z^1_s \right) \overline{dB_s^j} - e^{\theta s} \left( Y^1_s - Y^2_s \right) g_j \left( s, Y^2_s, Z^2_s \right) dB_s^j \]

\[ - 2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^T e^{\theta s} \left( Y^1_s - Y^2_s \right) \left( Z^{(j)}_s - Z^{(j)}_s \right) ds \]

\[ - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^T e^{\theta s} \left( Z^{(j)}_s - Z^{(j)}_s \right) \left| H^{(j)}_s - H^{(j)}_s \right|^2 ds. \]
Taking expectation in both side of (3.10) and noting that \( \int_0^T \left( Y_i^1 - Y_i^2 \right) (dK^1_t - dK^2_t) \leq 0 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
E(e^{\beta t} |Y_i^1 - Y_i^2|^2) &+ \beta \int_0^T e^{\beta s} |Y_i^1 - Y_i^2|^2 ds + \int_0^T e^{\beta s} \|Z_i^1 - Z_i^2\|^2 ds \\
\leq 2E\int_0^T e^{\beta s} (Y_i^1 - Y_i^2) \left( f(s, Y_i^1, Z_i^1) - f(s, Y_i^2, Z_i^2) \right) ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^n E\int_0^T e^{\beta s} \left| g_j(s, Y_i^1, Z_i^1) - g_j(s, Y_i^2, Z_i^2) \right|^2 ds.
\end{align*}
\] (3.11)

Using again Young’s inequality \( 2ab \leq \frac{2C}{1-\alpha} a^2 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} b^2 \) and assumption (H2), we obtain,

\[
\begin{align*}
E(e^{\beta t} |Y_i^1 - Y_i^2|^2) &+ \beta \int_0^T e^{\beta s} |Y_i^1 - Y_i^2|^2 ds + \int_0^T e^{\beta s} \|Z_i^1 - Z_i^2\|^2 ds \\
\leq \left( \frac{2C}{1-\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_j + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right) E\int_0^T e^{\beta s} |Y_i^1 - Y_i^2|^2 ds + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} E\int_0^T e^{\beta s} \|Z_i^1 - Z_i^2\|^2 ds,
\end{align*}
\]

Choosing \( \beta > \frac{2C}{1-\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_j + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \), we have \( Y_i^1 = Y_i^2 \), a.e., for all \( t \in [0,T] \). So, we have \( Z_i^1 = Z_i^2 \), a.e., for all \( t \in [0,T] \).

On the other hand, since,

\[
K_i^1 - K_i^2 = \left( Y_i^1 - Y_i^2 \right) \left( Y_i^1 - Y_i^2 \right) - \int_0^t \left( f(s, Y_i^1, Z_i^1) - f(s, Y_i^2, Z_i^2) \right) ds \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( g_j(s, Y_i^1, Z_i^1) - g_j(s, Y_i^2, Z_i^2) \right) dB_j^i - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( Z_i^{(j)} - Z_i^{(j)} \right) dH_j^i, \ t \in [0,T],
\]

we have \( K_i^1 = K_i^2 \), a.e., for all \( t \in [0,T] \). Then, we complete the proof.
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