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Abstract

In this paper, we use the mappings with quasi-contractive conditions, defined on a partially ordered set with cone metric structure, to construct convergent sequences and prove that the limits of the constructed sequences are the unique (common) fixed point of the mappings, and give their corollaries. The obtained results improve and generalize the corresponding conclusions in references.
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1. Introduction

Huang and Zhang [1] recently have introduced the concept of cone metric spaces and have established fixed point theorems for a contractive type map in a normal cone metric space. Subsequently, some authors [2]-[7] have generalized the results in [1] and have studied the existence of common fixed points of a finite self maps satisfying a contractive condition in the framework of normal or non-normal cone metric spaces. On the other hand, some authors discussed (common) fixed point problems for contractive maps defined on a partially ordered set with cone metric structure [8]-[13]. These results improved and generalized many corresponding (common) fixed point theorems of contractive maps on cone metric spaces. Here, we will obtain (common) fixed point theorems of maps with certain quasi-contractive conditions on a partially ordered set with cone metric structure.

Let $E$ be a real Banach space. A subset $P_0$ of $E$ is called a cone if and only if:

i) $P_0$ is closed, nonempty, and $P_0 \neq \{0\}$.

ii) \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \ a, b \geq 0 \) and \( x, y \in P_0 \) implies \( ax + by \in P_0 \); 
iii) \( P_0 \cap \{ -P_0 \} = \{ 0 \} \).

Given a cone \( P_0 \subset E \), we define a partial ordering \( \leq \) on \( E \) with respect to \( P_0 \) by \( x \leq y \) if and only if \( y - x \in P_0 \). We will write \( x < y \) to indicate that \( x \leq y \) but \( x \neq y \), while \( x \ll y \) will stand for \( y - x \in \text{int}P_0 \) (interior of \( P_0 \)).

The cone \( P_0 \) is called normal if there is a number \( K > 0 \) such that for all \( x, y \in E \),
\[
0 \leq x \leq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq K\|y\|.
\]

The least positive number \( K \) satisfying the above is called the normal constant of \( P_0 \). It is clear that \( K \geq 1 \).

In the following we always suppose that \( E \) is a real Banach space, \( P_0 \) is a cone in \( E \) with \( \text{int}P_0 \neq \emptyset \) and \( \leq \) is a partial ordering with respect to \( P_0 \).

Let \( X \) be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping \( d : X \times X \to E \) satisfies

- d1) \( 0 \leq d(x, y) \) for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( d(x, y) = 0 \) if and only if \( x = y \);
- d2) \( d(x, y) = d(y, x) \) for all \( x, y \in X \);
- d3) \( d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \), for all \( x, y, z \in X \).

Then \( d \) is called a cone metric on \( X \), and \( (X, d) \) is called a cone metric space.

Let \( (X, d) \) be a cone metric space. We say that a sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) in \( X \) is

e) Cauchy sequence if for every \( c \in E \) with \( 0 \ll c \), there is an \( N \) such that for all \( m, n > N \), \( d(x_m, x_n) \ll c \);
g) convergent sequence if for every \( c \in E \) with \( 0 \ll c \), there is an \( N \) such that for all \( n > N \) such that \( d(x_n, x) \ll c \) for some \( x \in E \). Let \( x_n \to x \) or \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \).

\( (X, d) \) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in \( X \) is convergent in \( X \).

Let \( (X, d) \) be a cone metric space, \( f : X \to X \) and \( x_0 \in X \). \( f \) is said to be continuous \[13\] at \( x_0 \) if for any sequence \( x_n \to x_0 \), we have \( f x_n \to f x_0 \).

**Lemma 1** [14] Let \( (X, d) \) be a cone metric space. Then the following properties hold:

1) if \( u \leq v \) and \( v \ll w \), then \( u \ll w \); if \( 0 \ll a \ll c \) for all \( c \in \text{int} P_0 \), then \( a = 0 \);
2) if \( a \ll \lambda a \) where \( a \in P_0 \) and \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \), then \( a = 0 \).

**Lemma 2** [15] Let \( (X, d) \) be a cone metric space, \( \{ x_n \} \) a sequence in \( X \) and \( \{ a_n \} \) a sequence in \( P_0 \) and \( a_n \to 0 \). If \( d(x_n, x_m) \leq a_n \) for any \( m > n > 1 \), then \( \{ x_n \} \) is Cauchy.

2. Main Results

At first, we give an example to show that there exists a self-map \( f \) on a partially ordered set \( (X, \sqsubseteq) \) such that for each \( x \in X \) there exists \( y \) satisfying \( x = fy \) and \( x \sqsubseteq y \).

**Example** Let \( X = R \) be a real space. Define \( f : X \to X \) by
\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x}{2}, & \text{for } x \leq 1 \\
1 - \frac{x}{2}, & \text{for } 1 < x \leq 2 \\
3x - 6, & \text{for } 2 < x \leq 3 \\
\frac{x}{3}, & \text{for } x > 3.
\end{cases}
\]

Then obviously, for each \( x \in X \), there exists \( y \in X \) satisfying \( x = fy \) and \( x \sqsubseteq y \).

\( (X, \sqsubseteq, d) \) is said to be a partially order-cone metric space if \( (X, \sqsubseteq) \) is a partially ordered set and \( (X, d) \) is a cone metric space.

**Theorem 1** Let \( (X, \sqsubseteq, d) \) be a complete partially ordered-cone metric space. Suppose that a map \( f : X \to X \) is continuous and the following two assertions hold:

i) there exist \( A, B, C, D, E \geq 0 \) with \( A + B + C + 2E < 1 \) and for \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \sqsubseteq y \), such that
\[
d(x, y) \leq Ad(fx, fy) + Bd(x, fx) + Cd(y, fy) + Dd(x, fy) + Ed(fx, y);
\]

ii) for each \( x \in X \), there exists \( y \in X \) such that \( x = fy \) and \( x \sqsubseteq y \).

Then \( f \) has a fixed point \( x^* \in X \). Furthermore, if any two elements \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \text{Fix}(f) \) are comparative and
\[ A + D + E < 1, \] then \( f \) has a unique fixed point in \( X \).

**Proof** Take any \( x_0 \in X \), then by ii), we obtain a sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) as follows: \( x_{n+1} = fx_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x_0 \subseteq x_1 \subseteq x_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq x_n \subseteq \cdots \).

For any fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), since \( x_n \subseteq x_{n+1} \), by i),

\[
d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}/x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}/x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}/x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}/x_n, x_{n+1}) + \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}/x_n, x_{n+1})
\]

so \( d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left( \frac{A + B + E}{1 - C - E} \right) d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \), \( \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( k := \frac{A + B + E}{1 - C - E} \), then \( 0 \leq k < 1 \) by i) and

\[
d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq kd(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \), \( \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Repeating this process,

\[
d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \), \( \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( m > n \geq 1 \), then from the above,

\[
d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_m, x_n) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} k^i d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} k^i d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left( \frac{k^n}{1 - k} \right) d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) := a_n.
\]

Obviously, \( a_n \in P_0 \) and \( a_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) since \( 0 < k < 1 \). So \( d(\mathcal{F}^{n+1}, x_m, x_n) \leq a_n \) for all \( m > n \geq 1 \), hence \( \{ \mathcal{F}^{n+1} \} \) is a Cauchy sequence by Lemma 2 and there exists \( x^* \in X \) such that \( x_n \to x^* \) by the completeness of \( X \). Since \( f \) is continuous and \( x_n = fx_n \), so \( x^* = fx^* \) by (2) in Lemma 1, hence \( x^* \) is a fixed point of \( f \).

Another version of Theorem 1 is following:

**Theorem 2** Let \( (X, \subseteq, d) \) be a complete partially ordered-cone metric space. Suppose that \( f : X \to X \) is continuous and the following two assertions hold:

i) there exist \( A, B, C, D, E \geq 0 \) with \( A + 2B + 2C < 1 \) and for all \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \subseteq y \),

\[
d(x, y) \leq \mathcal{A}(x, y) + \mathcal{B}(x, y) + \mathcal{C}(x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, y) + \mathcal{E}(x, y);
\]

ii) for each \( x \in X \), there exists \( y \in X \) such that \( x = fy \) and \( x \subseteq y \).

Then \( f \) has a fixed point \( x^* \in X \). Furthermore, if \( x \) and \( y \) are comparative for all \( x, y \in \text{Fix}(f) \), then \( f \) has an unique fixed point in \( X \).

**Proof** Take \( A = A, B = B, C = C, D = D, E = E \), then the conclusion is true by Theorem 1.

From now, we give common fixed point theorems for a pare of maps.

**Theorem 3** Let \( (X, \subseteq, d) \) be a complete partially ordered-cone metric space. If \( f, g : X \to X \) are two maps such that \( f \) or \( g \) is continuous and the following two assertions hold:

i) there exist \( A, B, C, D, E \geq 0 \) with \( 1 + B + C + E < 1 \) and \( A + B + C + D < 1 \) such that for all \( x, y \in X \),

\[
d(x, y) \leq \mathcal{A}(x, y) + \mathcal{B}(x, y) + \mathcal{C}(x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, y) + \mathcal{E}(x, y);
\]

ii) for each \( x \in X \), there exist \( y_1, y_2 \in X \) such that \( x = fy_1 \) and \( x \subseteq y_1 \), \( x \subseteq y_2 \).

Then \( f \) and \( g \) have a common fixed point \( x^* \in X \). Furthermore, if \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g) \) are compara-
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tive and $A + D + E < 1$, then $\text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g)$ is singleton.

**Proof** Take any element $x_0 \in X$, then using ii), we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying the following condition $x_{2n} = f_{x_{2n-1}}, \ x_{2n+1} = g_{x_{2n+2}}$ for all $n = 0, 1, \cdots$, and $x_0 \subseteq x_1 \subseteq x_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq x_n \subseteq \cdots$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by i), we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq Ad(f_{x_{2n+1}}, g_{x_{2n+2}}) + Bd(x_{2n+1}, f_{x_{2n+1}}) + Cd(x_{2n+2}, g_{x_{2n+2}})$$

$$+ Dd(x_{2n+1}, g_{x_{2n+2}}) + Ed(f_{x_{2n+1}}, x_{2n+2})$$

$$= Ad(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) + Bd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + Cd(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + Ed(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})$$

$$\leq (A + B + E)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) + (C + E)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),$$

hence

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K_1d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $K_1 = \frac{A + B + E}{1 - C - E}$. And

$$d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) \leq Ad(f_{x_{2n+3}}, g_{x_{2n+2}}) + Bd(x_{2n+3}, f_{x_{2n+3}}) + Cd(x_{2n+2}, g_{x_{2n+2}})$$

$$+ Dd(x_{2n+3}, g_{x_{2n+2}}) + Ed(f_{x_{2n+3}}, x_{2n+2})$$

$$+ Bd(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) + Cd(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + Dd(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq (A + C + D)d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + (B + D)d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}),$$

hence

$$d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K_2d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $K_2 = \frac{A + C + D}{1 - B - D}$.

Let $K = K_1K_2$, then $0 < K < 1$ by i), and by induction, for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq Kd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \leq K_1K_2d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) = Kd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq K^nd(x_1, x_1).$$

For any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$,

$$d(x_{2p+1}, x_{2q+1}) \leq \sum_{i=2p+1}^{2q} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \left(K_1 \sum_{i=p}^{q-1} K_i + K_1 \sum_{i=p+1}^{q} K_i\right)d(x_q, x_0)$$

$$\leq \frac{K^p(K_1 + 1)}{1 - K}d(x_q, x_0) \leq MK^p d(x_q, x_0),$$

where $M = \frac{2}{1 - k^\max\{K_1, 1\}}$. Similarly,
\[
\begin{align*}
\ell \left( x_{p+1}, x_q \right) &\leq \sum_{i=2}^{2q-1} \ell \left( x_i, x_{i+1} \right) \\
&\leq \left( K + \frac{K^p}{1-K} \right) \ell \left( x_0, x_1 \right) \\
&\leq MK^p \ell \left( x_0, x_1 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

So for any \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( m > n > 0 \), there exists \( n \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \frac{n-1}{2} \leq \alpha(n) \leq \frac{n}{2} \), that is, \( \alpha(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \)
such that

\[
\ell \left( x_m, x_n \right) \leq MK^{\alpha(n)} \ell \left( x_0, x_1 \right) := a_n.
\]

Obviously, \( a_n \in P_0 \) and \( a_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) since \( K < 1 \). So \( \ell \left( x_m, x_n \right) \leq a_n \) for all \( m > n \geq 1 \), hence \( \{ x_n \} \) is Cauchy by Lemma 2 and there exists \( x' \in X \) such that \( x_n \to x' \).

Suppose that \( f \) is continuous, then \( x' = fx' \) since \( x_{2n} = fx_{2n+1} \). For \( x' \) there exists \( y' \in X \) such that \( x' = gy' \) and \( x' \subseteq y' \) by ii). By i),

\[
\ell \left( x', y' \right) \leq \ell \left( x', fx' \right) + \ell \left( fx', gy' \right) + \ell \left( gy', y' \right)
\]

\[
=[C + E] \ell \left( x', y' \right).
\]

So \( x' = y' \) by (2) in Lemma 1, hence \( fx' = x' = gy' = gx' \). Therefore \( x' \in \text{Fix} \left( f \cap \text{Fix} \left( g \right) \right) \). Similarly, we can give the same result for the case of \( g \) being continuous.

If \( x', y' \in \text{Fix} \left( f \cap \text{Fix} \left( g \right) \right) \) then \( x' \) and \( y' \) are comparative, hence by i),

\[
\ell \left( x', y' \right) \leq \ell \left( x', fx' \right) + \ell \left( fx', gy' \right) + \ell \left( gy', y' \right) + \ell \left( fx', y' \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left( A + D + E \right) \ell \left( x', y' \right)
\]

so \( x' = y' \) by (2) in Lemma 1. Hence \( \text{Fix} \left( f \right) \cap \text{Fix} \left( g \right) = \left\{ x' \right\} \).

Modifying the idea of Zhang [16], we obtain next three corollaries.

**Corollary 1** The conditions of \( A, B, C, D, E \) in i) of Theorem 3 can be replaced by the following:

i') there exist \( A, B, C, D, E \geq 0 \) such that \( A + B + C + D + E = 1 + \epsilon \), \( A + D + E < 1 \), \( C + E < 1 \), \( B + D < 1 \), \( (C - B)(D - E) > 2\epsilon \).

**Proof** Since \( (C - B)(D - E) > 2\epsilon \) \( \Rightarrow A + CD + BE - \epsilon > A + \epsilon + BD + CE \) so

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell(A + CD + BE - \epsilon) &> A + \epsilon + BD + CE,
\end{align*}
\]

hence \( (1 - B - C - D - E) + CD + BE > (A + B + C + D + E) + BD + CE \) therefore

\[
K = \frac{A + B + E + A + C + D}{1 - C - E} < 1.
\]

**Corollary 2** The conditions of \( A, B, C, D, E \) in i) of Theorem 3 can be replaced by the following:

i'') there exist \( A, B, C, D, E \geq 0 \) such that \( A + B + C + D + E = 1 \), \( A + B + D + E = 1 \), \( C + E < 1 \), \( B + D < 1 \).

**Proof** Take \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( (C - B)(D - E) > 2\epsilon \) and \( A + D + E + \epsilon < 1 \), and let \( A' = A + \epsilon \). Then the following holds: for all comparative elements \( x, y \in X \),

\[
\ell \left( x, y \right) \leq A' \ell \left( fx, gy \right) + B \ell \left( x, fx \right) + C \ell \left( y, gy \right) + D \ell \left( x, y \right) + E \ell \left( gx, y \right).
\]

Obviously, \( A', B, C, D, E \) satisfy i') in Corollary 1.

**Corollary 3** The conditions of \( A, B, C, D, E \) in i) of Theorem 3 can be replaced by the following:

i''' there exist \( A, B, C, D, E \geq 0 \) such that \( A + B + C + D + E < 1 \) and \( B = C \) or \( D = E \).

**Proof** Since \( A, B, C, D, E < 1 \), so \( A^2 + A(1 - A) < 1 - (B + C + D + E) \), hence

\[
A^2 + A(B + C + D + E) + (C + E)(B + D) < 1 - (B + C + D + E) + (C + E)(B + D),
\]

or

\[
A^2 + A(B + C + D + E) + (C + D)(B + E) < 1 - (B + C + D + E) + (C + D)(B + E),
\]

which implies that
\[
\frac{A + C + E}{1 - C - E} \cdot \frac{A + B + D}{1 - B - D} < 1,
\]
or
\[
\frac{A + C + D}{1 - C - D} \cdot \frac{A + B + E}{1 - B - E} < 1.
\]

If \( B = D \) or \( D = E \), then the above two relations reduce
\[
K = \frac{A + B + E}{1 - C - E} \cdot \frac{A + C + D}{1 - B - D} < 1.
\]

The following is a non-continuous version of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 4** Let \((X, \subseteq, d)\) be a complete partially ordered-cone metric space. If \( f, g : X \to X \) are maps such that i) and ii) in Theorem 3 hold and iii) or iv) holds

iii) if an increasing sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges to \( x \in X \), then \( x_n \subseteq x \) and \( x_n \subseteq fx \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( f^x = 1_x \);

iv) if an increasing sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges to \( x \in X \), then \( x_n \subseteq x \) and \( x_n \subseteq gx \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( g^x = 1_x \).

Then \( f \) and \( g \) have a common fixed point \( x' \in X \). Furthermore, if \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g) \) are comparative and \( 1^x \in A \), then \( \text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g) \) is singleton.

**Proof** By i) and ii) in Theorem 3, we construct a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) such that \( d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) < 1 \). By i),

\[
d(x^*, x'^*) = d(x_{2n+1}, x^*) + d(x_{2n+1}, x'^*)
\]

so we obtain

\[
d(x^*, x'^*) \leq L_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x^*) + L_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x'^*)
\]

where \( L_1 = \frac{A + B + E}{1 - C - E} \) and \( L_2 = \frac{1 + B + D}{1 - B - E} \). Since \( x_n \to x^* \), for any \( c \in \text{Int} P_0 \), there exist enough large \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( d(x_{2n}, x^*) \leq \frac{c}{2L_1} \) and \( d(x_{2n+1}, x^*) \leq \frac{c}{2L_2} \) for all \( n \geq N \), hence

\[
d(x^*, x'^*) \leq K_1 d(x_{2n}, x^*) + K_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x^*) \leq \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c, \quad \forall n \geq N.
\]

So \( d(x^*, x'^*) = 0 \) by (1) in Lemma 1, hence \( x'^* = x^* \).

For \( x^* \in X \) there exists \( y' \in X \) such that \( x = f y' \) and \( x^* \subseteq y' \) by ii). Hence by i),

\[
d(y', x^*) \leq d( y', x^*) + d( y', x^*) \leq [B + D] d(y', x^*),
\]

so \( y' = x^* \) by (2) in Lemma 1. Hence \( x^* = f y' = f x^* \), i.e., \( x^* \in \text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g) \).
Case II: Suppose iii) holds, then \( x_0 \subseteq x' \) and \( x_0 \subseteq fx' \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( f^2 = 1_x \). By (1),

\[
\begin{align*}
 d(x', fx') &\leq d(x', x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, fx') = d(x', x_{2n+2}) + d(fx', x_{2n+2}) \\
 &\leq d(x', x_{2n+2}) + Ad(fx', gx_{2n+2}) + Bd(fx', fx') \\
 &\quad + Cd(x_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+2}) + Dd(fx', x_{2n+1}) + Ed(fx', x_{2n+2}) \\
 &= d(x', x_{2n+2}) + Ad(x', x_{2n+1}) + Bd(fx', x') \\
 &\quad + Cd(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + Dd(fx', x_{2n+1}) + Ed(x', x_{2n+2}) \\
 &\leq d(x', x_{2n+2}) + Ad(x', x_{2n+1}) + Bd(fx', x') + C\left[d(x_{2n+2}, x') + d(x', x_{2n+1})\right] \\
 &\quad + D\left[d(fx', x') + d(x', x_{2n+1})\right] + Ed(x', x_{2n+2}),
\end{align*}
\]

so we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
 d(x', fx') &\leq L_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x') + L_4 d(x_{2n+2}, x'),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( L_3 = \frac{A + C + D}{1 - B - D} \) and \( L_4 = \frac{1 + C + E}{1 - B - D} \). Since \( x_n \to x' \), for any \( c \in \text{Int} P_0 \) there exist enough large \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( d(x_{2n+1}, x') \leq \frac{c}{2L_3} \) and \( d(x_{2n+2}, x') \leq \frac{c}{2L_4} \) for all \( n \geq N \), hence

\[
\begin{align*}
 d(x', fx') &\leq L_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x') + L_4 d(x_{2n+2}, x') \\
 &\leq \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c, \quad \forall n \geq N. \quad \text{So } d(x', fx') = 0 \quad \text{by (1) in Lemma 1, that is,}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
fx' = x'.
\]

For \( x' \in X \) there exists \( y' \in X \) such that \( x' = gy' \) and \( x' \subseteq y' \) by ii). Hence by i),

\[
\begin{align*}
 d(x', y') &\leq Ad(fx', gy') + Bd(x', fx') + Cd(y', gy') + Dd(x', gy') + Ed(fx', y') \\
 &= [C + E]d(x', y'),
\end{align*}
\]

so \( y' = x' \) by (1) in Lemma 1. Hence \( fx' = x' = gy' = gx' \), i.e., \( x' \in \text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g) \).

So in any case, \( x' \in \text{Fix}(f) \cap \text{Fix}(g) \). The uniqueness is obvious.

**Remark 1** We can also modify Corollary 1 - 3 to give the corresponding corollaries of Theorem 4, but we omit the part.

**Remark 2** In this paper, we discuss the common fixed point problems for mappings with quasi-contractive type (i.e., expansive type) on partially ordered cone metric spaces, but some authors in references discussed the same problems for contractive or Lipschitz type. So our results improve and generalize the corresponding conclusions.
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