Application of FVM Analysis for Elastic Characteristics on Cutting Process of a Composited Coating Iron
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Abstract

A composite material as a work piece is taken into account to investigate the elastic characteristics displaying during the cutting process. The magnitude of the elastic behaviors such as displacements and stresses reacts sensitively to the cutting angle and the vertical force increase, and the magnitude increases along the increments of the cutting angle and the vertical force increase. The buffering mechanism at the bond coat is described well by the fluctuation phenomenon for the horizontal displacement distribution profiles at the substrate. The variation of cutting angle under high vertical force yields profound influence on the behaviors of the longitudinal stress and the shear stress.
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1. Introduction

Analysis of the contact-zone behavior between the cutting tool and the work piece is a crucial task to inspect and idealize a metal machining. The surface intensity of the machined work-piece is wrapped up in the chip formation zone, and the contact condition between the cutting tool rake face and the chip bestows profound influences on tool wear [1]. Moreover, surface layer state of the worn tools is needed to be considered to understand the
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different wear mechanisms occurring in the cutting zone.

The geometry of the cutting edge is an important factor to determine elastic characteristics such as stresses, strain, and displacements. The elastic characteristics on the machining forces, material flow, and temperature distribution in the cutting zone were investigated by Denkena et al. [2] using symmetrical and asymmetrical round cutting edge. Keyvan et al. [3] analyzed the effective geometry of the cutting edge prior to cutting by employing the circular regression method. A sensitivity analysis to geometric and cutting conditions was carried out by Rodrigues et al. employing particle finite element method [4], and the influence of cutting parameters on cutting forces generated during the turning of aluminium alloy (UNS A97075) work pieces was studied by Agustina et al. [5].

The micro structural characterizations of work piece play an important role to determine the thermal and mechanical properties, which influence the elastic behavior such as displacements, strain, and stresses. Wallbank [6] examined the elastic characteristics of layer’s microstructure using different work piece materials, and investigation on the interface between the build-up edge and the cutting tool was performed by Kummel et al. [7]. In the present work, the elastic characteristics of a composite material as a work piece appearing during the cutting process. Various cutting forces and angles are chosen for the analysis of elastic behavior. The composite material was prepared through an air plasma spray, and METECO 204 NS powder is adopted for the top coat of TBCs. A couple of partial differential equations are derived based on the thermal elastic theory. Due to the complexity of the governing equations, a finite volume approach [8] is applied to analyze the elastic characteristics.

2. Mathematical Modelling
2.1. Mathematical Derivation

Two-dimensional cutting models are taken into account to investigate the cutting-zone behavior. The work piece is a composite material composed of the top coat, the bond coat, and the substrate, and subjecting to a vertical loading due to the cutting tool (see Figure 1).

Under the assumption of the vertical loading, the equilibrium equation for the plane elasticity problems can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (1a)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (1b)
\]
The $\sigma_x$, $\sigma_y$, and $\tau_{xy}$ are $x$-directional, $y$-directional, and shear stress, respectively. The strain components in terms of deformation components are

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \varepsilon_y = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \gamma_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \quad (2)$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the displacement components in the $x$- and $y$-directions, respectively. Based on Hooke’s law the plane strain-stress relations are written by

$$\frac{E}{1-\nu^2}\varepsilon_x - \nu\sigma_y = -\frac{E}{1-\nu^2}\sigma_x + \nu\sigma_y = \frac{2(1+\nu)}{E}\tau_{xy}. \quad (3)$$

The combination of Equations (1)-(3) leads to the following governing equations

$$K \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + (K + G) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + G \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad (4a)$$

$$G \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + (K + G) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} + K \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = 0, \quad (4b)$$

where $K = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2}$ and $G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$. $E$ and $\nu$ represent the Elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio, respectively.

Since the governing equations too complex to obtain the analytic solution, a finite volume method is applied for the numerical sketches. The elastic characteristics are displayed based on the following boundary conditions:

1) $x = 0, \quad 0 \leq y \leq M; \quad u = 0.$

2) $0 \leq y \leq M; \quad u = 0.$

3) $x = \frac{L}{2}, \quad y = M; \quad \sigma_x = P\cos\theta, \quad \sigma_y = P\sin\theta.$

The $P$ and $\theta$ on the boundary condition 3) imply vertical force and cutting angle, respectively.

### 2.2. Finite Volume Formulation

Due to complexity of the governing equation a finite volume method is applied for the approximation. The domain is divided up into control volume and integrates the field equations over each control volume. The discretizations for the governing equations are developed based on the following relations at the adjacent locations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \left|_{ij, 1/2} \right. = \frac{u_{i+1/2,j} - u_{i-1/2,j}}{\Delta \xi}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \left|_{ij, -1/2} \right. = \frac{u_{i-1/2,j} - u_{i+1/2,j-1}}{\Delta \xi}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \left|_{ij, -1} \right. = \frac{u_{i-1/2,j} - u_{i+1/2,j}}{2\Delta \xi},$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \left|_{ij, 1/2} \right. = \frac{1}{2\Delta \xi} \left(3w_{i,j-1} - 4w_{i,j} + w_{i-1,j} \right), \quad w_{m_{i+1/2,j+1}^{1/2}} = w_{m_{i+1/2,j}} + \frac{1}{4} \left(3w_{m_{i+1,j+1}} - 4w_{m_{i+1,j}} + w_{m_{i+1,j-1}} \right),$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \left|_{ij, -1/2} \right. = \frac{1}{2\Delta \xi} \left(3w_{m_{i-1/2,j+1}} - 4w_{m_{i-1/2,j}} + w_{m_{i-1/2,j-1}} \right), \quad \phi_{i+1/2,j+1} \left|_{ij, 1/2} \right. = \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_{i+1,j+1} + \phi_{i+1,j} \right).$$

The finite surface mesh is denoted by $(i, j)$ and the subscript $1/2$ presents the value of the displacement at the boundary of the control surface (see Figure 2). Based on the above relations at the adjacent locations the Equation (4) are discretized as below

$$A_{11}u_{i+1,j} + A_{12}u_{i+1,j+1} + A_{13}u_{i+1,j-1} + A_{14}u_{i,j} + B_{11}v_{i,j+1} + B_{12}v_{i-1,j+1} + B_{13}v_{i-1,j-1} + B_{14}v_{i,j} + B_{15}v_{i,j+1} + B_{16}v_{i,j-1} + B_{17}v_{i,j+1} + B_{18}v_{i-1,j+1} + B_{19}v_{i-1,j-1} + B_{19}v_{i,j-1} = 0. \quad (5a)$$
$A_{11}v_{i+1,j} + A_{12}v_{i,j+1} + A_{13}v_{i,j-1} + A_{14}v_{i-1,j} + A_{15}v_{i,j} + B_{12}u_{i,j+1} + B_{13}u_{i,j-1} + B_{14}u_{i-1,j} + B_{15}u_{i,j} + B_{16}u_{i-1,j-1} + B_{17}u_{i-1,j+1} + B_{18}u_{i-2,j} + B_{19}u_{i-2,j-1} = 0. \hspace{2cm} (5b)$

with the following coefficients:

$A_{11} = K \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}, \quad A_{12} = G \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y}, \quad A_{13} = G \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y}, \quad A_{14} = K \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}, \quad A_{15} = -2K \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} - 2G \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y},$

$B_{11} = \frac{5}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{12} = -(KV + G), \quad B_{13} = \frac{3}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{14} = -\frac{10}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{15} = 2(KV + G),$

$B_{16} = \frac{5}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{17} = \frac{3}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{18} = -(KV + G), \quad B_{19} = \frac{3}{8}(KV + G),$

$A_{21} = G \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}, \quad A_{22} = K \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y}, \quad A_{23} = K \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y}, \quad A_{24} = G \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}, \quad A_{25} = -2G \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} - 2K \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y},$

$B_{21} = \frac{5}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{22} = -(KV + G), \quad B_{23} = \frac{3}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{24} = -\frac{10}{8}(KV + G),$

$B_{25} = 2(KV + G), \quad B_{26} = -\frac{6}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{27} = \frac{5}{8}(KV + G), \quad B_{28} = -(KV + G), \quad B_{29} = \frac{3}{8}(KV + G).$

3. Results and Discussions

The work piece is a composite material and the mechanical and thermal properties for each layer are shown in Table 1. For the analysis of the elastic characteristics of the work piece the representative cutting angles: $\alpha = 107^\circ, 123^\circ$, and the vertical forces: $P = 100N, P = 500N$ are chosen. The finite volume model developed in Section 2.2 applied with the step size 200/20 and 11/100 mm, respectively, for the radius $x$ and longitudinal $y$ to obtain the numerical solutions.

The work piece is a composite material and the mechanical and thermal properties for each layer are shown in Table 1. For the analysis of the elastic characteristics of the work piece the representative cutting angles: $\alpha = 107^\circ, 123^\circ$, and the vertical forces: $P = 100N, P = 500N$ are chosen. The horizontal displacement distribution profiles are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3(a) shows the horizontal displacement appearing at the top coat. Since the cutting angle is getting acute, a larger horizontal displacement occurs at near the contacting area. Similar phase is appeared for the vertical force, and a larger horizontal displacement develops as the vertical force increases. The elastic behaviors of the bond coat are similar to the top coat except the magnitude of the horizontal displacement (see Figure 3(b)). Smaller horizontal displacement is generated. On the other hand, the horizontal displacement distribution profiles of the substrate show different aspect (see Figure 3(c)). Negative horizontal displacement takes place at the contacting point, while the horizontal displacement develops to the positive direction around the part of contacting point. The phenomenon is due to the buffering mechanism of the bond coat. Figure 4 exhibits the longitu-
Table 1. Mechanical and thermal properties used in this study for analyzing elastic characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material/Property</th>
<th>Elastic module $E$ (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio $\nu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top coat (8 wt% Y$_2$O$_3$ doped ZrO$_2$)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond coating (AMDRY 995C)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate (NIMONIC 263)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Horizontal displacement distribution profiles: (a) At the top coat; (b) At bondcoat; (c) At the substrate.

The horizontal stress distribution profiles are displayed in Figure 5. At the top coat the horizontal stress is trivial until the variable $x \leq 160$ mm and the domain is under the loading of the compressive stress around the boundary (see Figure 5(a)). As the cutting angle and vertical force increase a larger horizontal stress develops. As shown in Figure 5(b) the horizontals tress for the bond coat describes similar aspect to the top coat. However, the substrate is under the action of tensile horizontal stress. Even though the magnitude of the tensile stress is trivial until the variable $x \leq 80$ mm, the magnitude of the stress increases along the increase of the cutting angle and vertical force (see Figure 5(c)).

Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b) represent the longitudinal stress distribution profiles and the shear stress distribution profiles, respectively. The domain is under the compressive longitudinal stress except the neighborhood of the boundary, and the stress fluctuates near the boundary (Figure 6(a)). The magnitude of the longitudinal stress is getting larger along the increments of the cutting angle and vertical force. Under higher pressure the variation of the cutting angle yields deep effect to the movement of the longitudinal stress.

As shown Figure 6(b) the magnitude of the shear stress is larger in comparison to of the longitudinal stress. The fluctuation near the boundary presents dramatic change in the movement. Similarly, the behavior of the
shear stress is so sensitive to the change of cutting angle under high vertical force.

4. Conclusion

The elastic characteristics of a composite material as a work piece appearing during the cutting process are investigated. In the domain the magnitude of the elastic behaviors is getting larger as the cutting angle and the vertical force increase, which demonstrates the validity of mathematical modeling. The fluctuation of the hori-
Figure 6. Stress distribution profiles: (a) Longitudinal stress; (b) Shear stress.

Horizonal displacement at the substrate explains well the buffering mechanism of the bond coat. For the longitudinal stress and the shear stress distribution profiles, the variation of cutting angle under high vertical force displays profound influence on the movement implying that the controlling the factors: cutting angle and vertical force are important process.
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