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Abstract
In this paper, under the assumption that the exchange rate follows the extended Vasicek model, the pricing of the reset option in FBM model is investigated. Some interesting themes such as closed-form formulas for the reset option with a single reset date and the phenomena of delta of the reset jumps existing in the reset option during the reset date are discussed. The closed-form formulae of pricing for two kinds of power options are derived in the end.
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1. Introduction
The pricing of exotic options is often an optimal stochastic problem, in which the stochastic process sometimes follows jump-diffusion process. The issue gets more complicated because the distribution of the maximum is difficult to derive. There is no doubt that we should use jump-diffusion process instead of diffusion process to describe the changing behaviors of financial markets, but the pricing process is more complex. Market quotations, trade practices and information dissemination are three important factors which effect trade speed and transaction volume. Therefore, a reasonable pricing is the premise which will make the exchange market active.

In recent years, with the exception of European and American options, a large number of new financial derivatives are derived in the international financial derivative market. Among them, the power option is one of the new typical options. The research of the power options is critically significant in both theoretical aspect and
The BS [1] model has become an indispensable tool for option pricing and hedging in the finance industry. However, it is well documented that the Geometric Brownian Motion (GBM) assumption for the underlying asset’s price dynamics in the BS model fails to reflect the real facts: market return data display excess kurtosis (peaked and fat-tailed distributions), skewness, volatility clustering, long-range dependence and large, sudden movements, etc. These observations reveal that a simple GBM assumption misses some important features of the data. Therefore, many different option valuation models with realistic price dynamics have been currently proposed and tested. Some of these models include jump-diffusion model, subordinated processes, pure jump processes, Lévy processes, stochastic volatility model, regime-switching model, GARCH model, processes driven by FBM and others. Except for FBM, these models give rise to incomplete market. Hu and Oksendal [2], Elliott and van Hoek [3] have proved that there is no arbitrage in the FBM market if the Wick product is used in the definition of stochastic integration. Compared with the traditional efficient market theory, the fractional market theory is more appropriate and accurate. Pricing option with stochastic interest rate under jump-diffusion models is an important field in recent years.


In this paper, under the assumption that the exchange rate obeys the expanding Vasicek models, we obtain the pricing formulas of two kinds of power options under fractional jump-diffusion models.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Some notations and Lemma are introduced in Section 2. Section 3 describes the model discussed in this paper. Section 4 gives the pricing formulae for the first kind of power option and the second kind of option in FBM model with fractional jump diffusion. Finally, some conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

2. Preliminary

Before the introduction of our model, we firstly state several preliminary theorems and lemmas presented in [11].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $X \sim N(0,1), Y \sim N(0,1), Cov(X,Y) = \rho$. Then, it has

$$
E\left[ \exp(cX + dY) \chi_{\Delta X + b \Delta Y \geq k} \right] = \exp\left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( c^2 d^2 + 2\rho cd \right) \right] \cdot N\left( \frac{ac + bd + \rho(ad + bc) - k}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 2\rho ab}} \right),
$$

where $a, b, c, d, k \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $X \sim N\left(0, \sigma^2_x\right), Y \sim N\left(0, \sigma^2_y\right), Cov(X,Y) = \rho$. Then, it gets

$$
E\left[ \exp(cX + dY) \chi_{\Delta X + b \Delta Y \geq k} \right] = \exp\left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( c^2 \sigma^2_x + d^2 \sigma^2_y + 2\rho cd \sigma_x \sigma_y \right) \right] \cdot N(D),
$$

where $a, b, c, d, k \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
D = \frac{ac \sigma^2_x + bd \sigma^2_y + \rho(ad + bc) \sigma_x \sigma_y - k}{\sqrt{a^2 \sigma^2_x + b^2 \sigma^2_y + 2\rho ab \sigma_x \sigma_y}}.
$$

**Definition 2.1.** Some notations are given as follows
\[ F(w) = \sum_{a \in A} a_w H_a(w) \in (S_H^*)^+, \]
\[ G(w) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathfrak{P}} b_{\beta w} H_{\beta w}(w) \in (S_H^*)^+, \]
\[ F \diamond G(w) = \sum_{a, \beta \in \mathfrak{P}} a_{\alpha w} b_{\beta w_{a+\beta}}(w). \]

**Definition 2.2.** We define the stochastic integration of \( Y \) about \( \{B_H(t), t \in R, H \in (0,1)\} \) as
\[ \int_R Y(t) dB_H(t) = \int_R Y(t) \diamond W_H(t) dt, \]
where the function \( Y : R \rightarrow (S_H^*)^+ \), s.t. \( Y(t) \diamond W_H(t) \) is integrated in \( (S_H^*)^+ \). \( W_H(t) \) means fractional noise and \( \diamond \) means wick integral.

### 3. Description of Our Models

1) We assume that the interest rate follows the extended Vasicek model. That means it has the following form
\[ dr(t) = (a(t) - b(t) r(t)) dt + \sigma_r(t) dB(t), \]
where \( a(t) \) affects long-term average interest rate; \( b(t) \) is the average recovery rate which adjusts the relationship of short-term and long-term; \( \sigma_r(t) \) is fluctuation ratio.

In order to find out the discounted factor, we need to find out the discount rate \( \int_t^s r(s) ds \). From the Itô theorem, we have,
\[ d(e^{n(s)} r(s)) = e^{n(s)} (a(s) ds + \sigma_s(s) dB(s)), \]
where \( n(s) = \int_0^s b(u) du \). Integrating both sides of the equation above on \([t, s] \), we have
\[ r(s) = r(t) e^{n(s)-n(t)} + \int_t^s a(u) du + \int_t^s \sigma_s(u) dB(u). \]
Furthermore,
\[ \int_t^s r(s) ds = r(t) \int_t^s e^{n(s)-n(t)} ds + \int_t^s \int_s^t e^{n(s)-n(u)} a(u) du ds + \int_t^s \int_s^t \sigma_s(u) du ds dB(u), \]
\[ = r(t) \int_t^s e^{n(s)-n(t)} ds + \int_t^s a(u) \int_u^s e^{n(s)-n(u)} ds du + \int_t^s \sigma_s(u) du \int_u^s e^{n(s)-n(u)} ds dB(u), \]
\[ = r(t) m(t, T) + \int_t^s a(u) m(u, T) du + \int_t^s \sigma_s(u) m(u, T) dB(u), \]
\[ = G(t, T, r_t) + \int_t^T \sigma_s(u) m(u, T) dB(u), \]
where
\[ m(u, v) = \int_u^v e^{n(s)-n(u)} ds, \]
\[ G(t, T, r_t) = r(t) m(t, T) + \int_t^T a(u) m(u, T) du. \]

2) We assume the market is a full market with continuous time, and there exist two kinds of continuous trading assets, one of which is risk-free bonds. The price process of bonds \( M(t) \) satisfies the following equation
\[ \frac{dM(t)}{M(t)} = r(t) dt, \quad M(0) = 1, \]
where \( r(t) \) is a continuous function of time \( t \); The other trading asset is the risk asset \( S(t) \), the price process of \( S(t) \) satisfies the following equation
\[ dS(t) = S(t) \left[ \mu(t) dt + \sigma(t) dB(t) + \left( e^{\alpha(t)} - 1 \right) dQ \right]. \]
where \( B(t) \) is Brownian motion; \( Q \) is the number of random jumps of underlying asset price within \([0,t]\) and it follows the Poisson process with the parameter \( \lambda \); \( J(t) \) is the random variable which follows the normal distribution \( N\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \sigma^2\right) \); \( e^{J(t)}-1 \) is the height of the stock price jump; \( \mu(t) \) is the expected rate of return; \( \sigma^2(t) \) is the volatility. Solving the Equation (9), we have

\[
S(t) = S_0 \exp \left[ \int_0^t \left( \mu(t) - \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{2} \right) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(t) dB(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{Q(t)} J(i) \right].
\]

(10)

3) In addition, the jump-diffusion model is independent of the risk appetite, so risk-free interest rate \( r(t) \) can be used to take place of the expected rate of return \( \mu(t) \) according to the risk-neutral valuation principles. Consequently, the Formula (10) can be rewritten as follow

\[
S(t) = S_0 \exp \left[ \int_0^t \left( r(t) - \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{2} \right) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(t) dB(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{Q(t)} J(i) \right].
\]

(11)

4) Fractional Jump-Diffusion assumption. On the basis of assumption of (3), we replace the Brownian motion \( B(t) \) with fractional Brownian motion \( H B(t) \) in (9), i.e., the risk-neutral dynamics of the underlying asset is given as

\[
dS(t) = S(t) \left[ (r(t) - \lambda \theta) dt + \sigma(t) dB_H(t) + J(t) dQ(t) \right].
\]

(12)

where \( \{B_H(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\} \) is the fractional Brownian motion in probability space \( (\Omega, F, P) \); \( Q \) is the number of random jumps of underlying asset price within \([t, T]\), which follows Poisson process with the parameter \( \lambda \); \( J(t) \) is the height of the jump on stock price, satisfying \( \ln(1+J(t)) \sim N\left(\ln(1+\theta) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \sigma^2\right) \); \( r(t) \) is the expected rate of return; \( \sigma^2(t) \) is the volatility.

From Equation (12), we have

\[
\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = (r(t) - \lambda \theta) dt + \sigma(t) dB_H(t) + J(t) dQ.
\]

(13)

According to the definitions and properties of stochastic calculus and function \( \exp\hat{\theta}(X) \), we get

\[
S(t) = S_0 \exp \left[ \int_0^t (r(s) - \lambda \theta) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_H(s) + \sum_{i=1}^{Q(t)} \ln(1+J(i)) \right] \\
= S_0 \prod_{i=1}^{Q(t)} \left(1+J(i)\right) \exp \left[ \int_0^t (r(s) - \lambda \theta) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_H(s) \right] \\
= S_0 \prod_{i=1}^{Q(t)} \left(1+J(i)\right) \exp \left[ \int_0^t (r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_H(s) \right].
\]

(14)

4. Pricing Formulae of Two Kinds of Power Options

Under stochastic rate and Jump-Diffusion assumptions, we obtain pricing formulae of two kinds of power options.

4.1. Pricing of the First Kind of Power Option

From Equations (5), we have

\[
\int_t^T r(s) ds = G(t, T, r_U) + \int_t^T \sigma_U m(u, T) dB_U(u),
\]

where \( m(u, v) \), \( G(t, T, r_U) \) are given by (6) and (7) respectively.

Suppose that underlying assets jump \( m \) times during \([t, T]\), then
Thus, we have the following conclusion.

**Theorem 4.1.** The formula of the first kind of call power option with exercise price \( K \) at exercise date \( T \) is

\[
C(t, r, S_t, K) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\lambda(T-t)\right) \binom{\lambda(T-t)}{m} \left(1 - 2e^{-\lambda(T-t)}\right),
\]

where

\[
1_e = S_t \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left(s_i \right) \cdot N(d_1),
\]

\[
2_e = K \exp \left[\frac{\sigma_x^2}{2} - G(t, T, r_t)\right] \cdot N(d_2),
\]

\[
s_i = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \sigma_x^2 + \frac{n^2-n}{2} \sigma_y^2 + (n-1)G(t, T, r_t) - n\int_0^T \lambda \theta ds,
\]

\[
\ln \left[ S_t \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + j(i))} \right] + G(t, T, r_t) - \int_0^T \lambda \theta ds + (n-1)\sigma_x^2 + \frac{2n-1}{2} \sigma_y^2
\]

\[
d_1 = \frac{\lambda(T-t) - \sigma_x^2 + (n-1)G(t, T, r_t) - n\int_0^T \lambda \theta ds}{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}},
\]

\[
\ln \left[ S_t \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + j(i))} \right] + G(t, T, r_t) - \int_0^T \lambda \theta ds - \sigma_x^2 - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2}
\]

\[
d_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}}{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}}.
\]

**Proof.** According to the No-Arbitrage pricing theory, we get

\[
C(t, r, S_t, K) = E\left[ \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right) \left(S_t - K\right) \chi_{\sigma_x^2, \sigma_y^2 > K} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\lambda(T-t)\right) \binom{\lambda(T-t)}{m} \left(1 - 2e^{-\lambda(T-t)}\right).
\]

Let \( X = \int_0^T \sigma_r(u)m(u, T) dB(u), Y = \int_0^T \sigma_r(u) dB_{\mu}(u) \), then we have

\[
X \sim N\left(0, \int_0^T \sigma_r(u)m(u, T) du\right) = N\left(0, \sigma_x^2\right),
\]

\[
Y \sim N\left(0, \int_0^T \sigma_y^2(u) m_{2H-1} du\right) = N\left(0, \sigma_y^2\right),
\]

\[
1_e = E\left[ \exp\left(-\int_0^T r(s) ds\right) S_t \chi_{\sigma_x^2, \sigma_y^2 > K} \right].
\]

Since
\[
\exp\left[-\int_T^r(s)ds\right]S_t^n \\
= \exp\left[-\int_T^r(s)ds\right]S_t^n \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i)) \cdot \exp\left[n\int_T^r (r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1}) ds + n\int_T^r \sigma(s) dB_n(s)\right] \\
= S_t^n \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i)) \exp\left((n-1)\int_T^r r(s) ds + n\int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n^{2}\sigma^2}{2}\right) \cdot e^{\alpha Y} \\
= S_t^n \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i)) \exp\left((n-1) \left[G(t,T,r_i) + \int_T^r \sigma_t(u) m(u,T) dB(u)\right] + n\int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n^{2}\sigma^2}{2}\right) \cdot e^{\alpha Y} \\
= S_t^n \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i)) \exp\left((n-1) G(t,T,r_i) + n\int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n^{2}\sigma^2}{2}\right) \cdot e^{(n-1)X + \alpha Y}
\]

and

\[
S_t^n > K \Rightarrow S_t^n \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i)) \exp\left\{n \left[\int_T^r r(s) ds + \int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right]\right\} \cdot e^{\alpha Y} \\
> K \Rightarrow S_t^n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i))} \exp\left[\int_T^r r(s) ds + \int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right] \cdot e^{\alpha Y} \\
> \sqrt{K} \Rightarrow \exp\left[\int_T^r \sigma_t(u) m(u,T) dB(u) + G(t,T,r_i) + \int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right] \cdot e^{\alpha Y} \\
> \frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_t^n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i))}} \Rightarrow G(t,T,r_i) + \int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + X + Y \\
\]

\[
> \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_t^n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i))}}\right] \\
\Rightarrow X + Y > \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_t^n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i))}} - G(t,T,r_i) - \int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right],
\]

which means

\[
X, S_t^n > K \Rightarrow X = \frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_t^n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+j(i))}} - G(t,T,r_i) - \int_T^r (\lambda \theta) ds + \frac{\sigma^2}{2},
\]

(21)
Thus we obtain

\[ 1_e = E \left[ S_t \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( (n-1)G(t,T,r_t) + n \int_r^T (-\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_t^2 \right) \right] \]

\[ = S_t \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( (n-1)G(t,T,r_t) + n \int_r^T (-\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_t^2 \right) \cdot e^{(n-1)X + nY} \cdot \mathcal{N}(d_1) \]

\[ = S_t \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( (n-1)G(t,T,r_t) + n \int_r^T (-\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_t^2 \right) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_t^2 + n^2 \sigma_t^2 \right) \right) \cdot N(d_1) \]

\[ 2_e = E \left[ \exp \left( - \int_t^r r(s) ds \right) K \mathcal{X}_{S_{r+2}} \right], \]

and

\[ \exp \left[ - \int_t^r r(s) ds \right] = \exp \left[ -G(t,T,r_t) - \int_t^r \sigma_t \cdot m(u,T) dB^0(u) \right] = \exp \left[ -G(t,T,r_t) \right] \cdot \exp (\mathcal{X}). \] (23)

In the similar way, we have

\[ E \left[ \exp \left( - \int_t^r r(s) ds \right) K \mathcal{X}_{S_{r+2}} \right] = K \cdot E \left[ \exp \left( -G(t,T,r_t) \right) \cdot \exp (\mathcal{X}) \right] \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} - G(t,T,r_t) \right] \cdot N(d_2). \] (24)

**Theorem 4.2.** The formula of the first kind of put power option with exercise price \( K \) at exercise date \( T \) is

\[ P(t,r_t,S_t,K) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \exp \left( -\lambda (T-t) \right) \frac{\left( \lambda (T-t) \right)^m}{m!} \left( 1_p - 2p \right), \] (25)
where

\[ 1_\rho = K \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - G(t, T, r_i) \right) \cdot N(-d_2), \]

\[ 2_\rho = S^*_T \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp(s_i) \cdot N(-d_1), \]

**Proof.** Similar to the proof procedure in the first kind of call power option, we have

\[ 1_\rho = E \left[ \exp \left( -\int_T^t r(s) \, ds \right) K \chi_{\delta_T^* < K} \right]. \]

Since

\[ S^*_T < K \Rightarrow S^*_T \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( n \cdot \left[ \int_T^t r(s) \, ds + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right] \right) \cdot e^{nT}, \]

\[ < K \Rightarrow S_i \cdot \prod_{j=i}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( \int_T^t r(s) \, ds + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \cdot e^{rT} \]

\[ < \sqrt{K} \Rightarrow \exp \left( \int_T^t \sigma_t(u) m(u, T) \, dB(u) + G(t, T, r_i) + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \cdot e^{rT}, \]

\[ < \frac{2\sqrt{K}}{S_i \cdot \prod_{j=i}^{m} (1 + j(i))} \Rightarrow G(t, T, r_i) + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + X + Y \]

\[ < \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_i \cdot \prod_{j=i}^{m} (1 + j(i))} \right) \Rightarrow X + Y < \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{K}}{G(t, T, r_i) + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \right) \]

\[ X_{\delta_T^* < K} = \chi_{-X-Y<\ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{K}}{G(t, T, r_i) + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \right)}, \]

and

\[ \exp \left( -\int_T^t r(s) \, ds \right) = \exp \left( -G(t, T, r_i) - \int_T^t \sigma_t(u) m(u, T) \, dB(u) \right) \]

\[ = \exp \left( -G(t, T, r_i) \right) \cdot \exp(-X). \]

We obtain

\[ E \left[ \exp \left( -\int_T^t r(s) \, ds \right) K \chi_{\delta_T^* < K} \right] \]

\[ = K \cdot \exp \left( -G(t, T, r_i) \right) \cdot E \left[ \exp(-X) \chi_{-X-Y<\ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{K}}{G(t, T, r_i) + \int_T^t (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \right)} \right] \]

\[ = K \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - G(t, T, r_i) \right) \cdot N(-d_2). \]
Also

\[ 2_p = E\left[ \exp\left( -\int_0^T r(s) \, ds \right) S_T^* \right] \]

Since

\[
\exp\left[ -\int_0^T r(s) \, ds \right] S_T^* = \exp\left[ -\int_0^T r(s) \, ds \right] S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m \exp \left[ n_j \int_0^T \left( r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1} \right) \, ds + n_j \int_0^T \sigma(s) \, dB_T(s) \right]
\]

\[
= S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m \exp \left[ (n-1) \int_0^T r(s) \, ds + n_j \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_j^2 \right] \cdot e^{n_T}
\]

\[
= S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m \exp \left[ (n-1) \int_0^T r(s) \, ds + n_j \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_j^2 \right] \cdot e^{n_T}
\]

(29)

and

\[
S_T^* < K \Rightarrow S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ n \cdot \left[ \int_0^T r(s) \, ds + \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2} \right] \right] \cdot e^{n_T}
\]

\[
< K \Rightarrow S_T^* \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i))} \exp \left[ \int_0^T r(s) \, ds + \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2} \right] \cdot e^{n_T}
\]

\[
< \sqrt{K} \Rightarrow \exp \left[ \int_0^T \sigma_j(u) \, dB_T(u) + G(t,T,r) + \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2} \right] \cdot e^{n_T}
\]

\[
< \sqrt{K} \Rightarrow \exp \left[ \int_0^T \sigma_j(u) \, dB_T(u) + G(t,T,r) + \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2} \right] \cdot e^{n_T}
\]

\[
< \frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i))} \Rightarrow G(t,T,r) + \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2} + X + Y
\]

(30)

\[
< \ln \left[ \frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i))} \right]
\]

\[
\Rightarrow X + Y > \ln \left[ \frac{\sqrt{K}}{S_T^* \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + j(i))} \right] - G(t,T,r) - \int_0^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds + \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2}
\]

\[
\chi_{\frac{1}{2},K \cdot p} \chi = \chi
\]

(31)
We get
\[
E\left[ \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) S_T^\alpha, X_{S_T^\alpha < K} \right] 
\]
\[
= E\left[ S_T^\alpha \prod_{i=1}^m \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left[ \left( n-1 \right) G(t, T, r_t) + n \int_t^T \left( -\lambda \theta \right) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_t^2 \right] \right].
\]
\[
e^{\left( n-1 \right) X^* + n \gamma^*} \cdot \chi_{\left[ X-Y > \ln \left( \frac{S_T^\alpha}{X} \right) \right]} G(t, T, r_t) + n \int_t^T \left( -\lambda \theta \right) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_t^2 
\]
\[
= S_T^\alpha \prod_{i=1}^m \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left[ \left( n-1 \right) G(t, T, r_t) + n \int_t^T \left( -\lambda \theta \right) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_t^2 \right] \cdot \exp \left( \frac{\left( n-1 \right)^2 \sigma_x^2 + n^2 \sigma_y^2}{2} \right)
\]
\[
\cdot N \left[ - \ln \left( \frac{S_T^\alpha \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + j(i)) \sqrt{K}}{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}} \right) - G(t, T, r_t) + \int_t^T (\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{(2n-1)}{2} \sigma_t^2 \right]
\]
\[
= S_T^\alpha \prod_{i=1}^m \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left( \frac{\left( n-1 \right)^2 \sigma_x^2 + n^2 \sigma_y^2}{2} + (n-1) G(t, T, r_t) - n \int_t^T \lambda \theta \, ds \right) \cdot N(-d_i).
\]

4.2. Pricing of the Second Kind Power Option

**Theorem 4.3.** The formula of the second kind call power option with exercise price \( K \), exercise date \( T \) is
\[
C(t, r_t, S_t, K) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \exp \left( -\lambda (T-t) \right) \left( \frac{\lambda (T-t)}{m!} \right)^m \left( 3 - 4 \right).
\]
where

\[ 3_z = S_y^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} \sigma_x^2 + \frac{n^2 - n}{2} \sigma_y^2 + (n-1) G(t, T, r_y) - n \int_t^T \lambda \theta ds \right) \cdot N(d_z), \]

\[ 4_z = K \exp \left( \frac{\sigma_x^2}{2} - G(t, T, r_x) \right) \cdot N(d_z), \]

\[ N(d_z) = N \left( \frac{\ln S_y \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) - G(t, T, r_y) - \int_t^T \lambda \theta ds + (n-1) \sigma_x^2 + \frac{2n-1}{2} \sigma_y^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}} \right), \]

\[ N(d_z) = N \left( \frac{\ln S_y \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) + G(t, T, r_y) - \int_t^T \lambda \theta ds - \sigma_x^2 - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2}}{\sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}} \right). \]

**Proof.** Similarly, we have

\[ 3_z = E \left[ \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) ds \right) S_y^n \chi_{S_y^{2 \times 2}} \right]. \]

Since

\[ \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) ds \right) S_y^n \]

\[ = \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) ds \right) S_y^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( n \int_t^T (r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2n-1}) ds + n \int_t^T \sigma(s) dB_n(s) \right) \]

\[ = S_y^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( (n-1) \int_t^T r(s) ds + n \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_x^2 \right) \cdot e^{\sigma_T} \]

\[ = S_y^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( (n-1) \left[ G(t, T, r_y) + \int_t^T \sigma(u) m(u, T) dB(u) \right] + n \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_y^2 \right) \cdot e^{\sigma_T} \]

\[ = S_y^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left( (n-1) G(t, T, r_y) + n \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma_y^2 \right) \cdot e^{(n-1)X + \sigma_T}, \]

and
\[ S_r \geq K \Rightarrow S_r \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ \int_t^T r(s) \, ds + \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right] \cdot e^r \]

\[ \geq K \Rightarrow \exp \left[ \int_t^T \sigma_r(u) m(u, T) dB(u) + G(t, T, r_T) + \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right] \cdot e^r \]

\[ \geq \frac{K}{S_r \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i))} \Rightarrow G(t, T, r_T) + \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + X + Y \]

\[ \geq \ln \frac{K}{S_r \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i))} \Rightarrow X + Y \]

\[ \geq \ln \frac{K}{S_r \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i))} - G(t, T, r_T) - \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \Rightarrow Z_{2r + K} = X + Y \]

(35)

We obtain

\[ E \left[ \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) S_r^n X_{2r+K} \right] = E \left[ S_r^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ (n-1)G(t, T, r_T) + n \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma^2 \right] \right] \]

\[ \cdot e^{(n-1)X + Y} \cdot \mathcal{X} \]

\[ = S_r^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ (n-1)G(t, T, r_T) + n \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma^2 \right] \]

\[ \cdot E \left[ e^{(n-1)X + Y} \cdot \mathcal{X} \right] \]

\[ = S_r^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ (n-1)G(t, T, r_T) + n \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma^2 \right] \cdot \exp \left( \frac{(n-1)^2 \sigma^2 + n^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right) \]

\[ = S_r^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ \ln \left( \frac{S_r \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i))}{K} \right) + G(t, T, r_T) - \int_t^T (-\lambda \theta) \, ds + (n-1) \sigma^2 + \frac{(2n-1) \sigma^2}{2} \right] \cdot \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2} \]

\[ = S_r^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{n^2 - n}{2} \sigma^2 + (n-1)G(t, T, r_T) - n \int_t^T \lambda \theta \, ds \right] \cdot N(d_3). \]
Also,

\[ 4_s = E \left[ \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) K \chi_{S_{T^2} K} \right], \]

where

\[ \chi_{S_{T^2} K} = \chi \left[ T \geq \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S_{i+1}}{S_i} \right)}{\sigma^2} - \int_t^T \theta \, ds + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right] \]

and

\[ \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) = \exp \left( -G(t, T, r_t) \right) \exp \left( -\int_t^T \sigma_s(u) m(u, T) \, dB^Q(u) \right) = \exp \left( -G(t, T, r_t) \right) \exp \left( -X \right). \]

In the similar way, we have

\[ E \left[ \exp \left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) K \chi_{S_{T^2} K} \right] = K \cdot \exp \left( -G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot E \left[ \exp \left( -X \right) \right] \]

\[ = K \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot N(d_s). \]

**Theorem 4.4.** The formula of the second kind put power option with exercise price \( K \), exercise date \( T \) is

\[ P(t, r_t, S_t, K) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\lambda(T-t) \right) \frac{(\lambda(T-t))^m}{m!} \left( 3_r - 4_r \right), \]

where

\[ 3_r = K \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot N(-d_s), \]

\[ 4_r = S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i)) \exp \left[ \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{n^2 - n}{2} \sigma^2 + (n-1)G(t, T, r_t) - n \int_t^T \lambda \theta \, ds \right] \cdot N(-d_s), \]

\[ N(-d_s) = N\left( \frac{-\ln \left[ \frac{S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + j(i))}{K} \right] - G(t, T, r_t) + \int_t^T \lambda \theta \, ds + \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2}} \right). \]
**Proof.** Similarly, we have

\[ 3_p = E\left[ \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) K_{S_T < K} \right]. \]

Since

\[ X_{S_T < K} = X \left[ \frac{S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left( \int_t^T \left( r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1} \right) \, ds + \int_t^T \sigma(s) \, dB_u(s) \right)}{K \cdot \exp \left( G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot E\left[ \exp(-X) \right] \chi} \right] \]

and

\[ \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) = \exp\left( \int_t^T \sigma_r \sigma_m \, d\mu_T \right) \cdot \exp(-X), \quad \text{we obtain} \]

\[ E\left[ \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) K_{S_T < K} \right] \]

\[ = K \cdot \exp\left( G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot E\left[ \exp(-X) \right] \chi \left[ \frac{S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left( \int_t^T \left( r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1} \right) \, ds + \int_t^T \sigma(s) \, dB_u(s) \right)}{K \cdot \exp \left( G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot E\left[ \exp(-X) \right] \chi} \right] \]

\[ = K \exp\left( \frac{\sigma^2_t}{2} - G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot N(-d_4). \]

Also,

\[ 4_p = E\left[ \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) S_{T_t}^{n} K_{S_T < K} \right]. \]

Since

\[ \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) S_{T_t}^{n} \]

\[ = \exp\left( -\int_t^T r(s) \, ds \right) S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left[ n \int_t^T \left( r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1} \right) \, ds + n \int_t^T \sigma(s) \, dB_u(s) \right] \]

\[ = S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left[ \left( n - 1 \right) \int_t^T r(s) \, ds + n \int_t^T \left( -\lambda \theta \right) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma^2_t \right] \cdot e^{\sigma^2_t} \]

\[ = S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left[ \left( n - 1 \right) G(t, T, r_t) + n \int_t^T \sigma_r \sigma_m \, d\mu_T \right] + n \int_t^T \left( -\lambda \theta \right) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma^2_t \right] \cdot e^{\sigma^2_t} \]

\[ = S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left[ \left( n - 1 \right) G(t, T, r_t) + n \int_t^T \left( -\lambda \theta \right) \, ds - \frac{n}{2} \sigma^2_t \right] \cdot e^{\left( n - 1 \right) X + n Y}, \]

and

\[ X_{S_T < K} = X \left[ \frac{S_t \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \left( 1 + j(i) \right) \exp \left( \int_t^T \left( r(s) - \lambda \theta - H \sigma^2(s) s^{2H-1} \right) \, ds + \int_t^T \sigma(s) \, dB_u(s) \right)}{K \cdot \exp \left( G(t, T, r_t) \right) \cdot E\left[ \exp(-X) \right] \chi} \right] \]

we obtain
5. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigate the issue of pricing the reset option in FBM model, closed-form formulas for the reset option with a single reset date, and the phenomena of delta of the reset jumps existing in the reset option during the reset date. Under the assumption that the exchange rate follows the extended Vasicek model, we obtain the closed-form of the pricing formulas for two kinds of power options under fractional Brownian Motion (FBM) jump-diffusion models.
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