Immune Control of Equine Infectious Anemia Virus Infection by Cell-Mediated and Humoral Responses
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ABSTRACT

Equine Infectious Anemia Virus (EIAV) is a retrovirus that establishes a persistent infection in horses and ponies. The virus is in the same lentivirus subgroup that includes human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The similarities between these two viruses make the study of the immune response to EIAV relevant to research on HIV. We developed a mathematical model of within-host EIAV infection dynamics that contains both humoral and cell-mediated immune responses. Analysis of the model yields results on thresholds that would be necessary for a combined immune response to successfully control infection. Numerical simulations are presented to illustrate the results. These findings have the potential to lead to immunological control measures for lentiviral infection.
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1. Introduction

One of the most significant gaps in our knowledge of virus-host dynamics involves how different immune responses work together to counteract the pathogen. Understanding viral dynamics in the context of immune responses is essential for advancing our knowledge of host-pathogen interactions as well as for developing control strategies. Mathematical modeling has been instrumental in the study of viral dynamics and has increased our understanding of basic pathogenic interactions for infections including human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and influenza virus [1-6]. In this paper, we study Equine Infectious Anemia Virus (EIAV).

EIAV is a retrovirus of the genus lentivirus that infects equids such as horses and ponies. EIAV is spread between horses through biting flies [7]. Horse flies, primarily of the family Tabanidae [8], feed on acutely infected horses and spread the virus through a subsequent blood meal on an uninfected equid. Due to this insect vector, EIAV tends to be concentrated in warmer climates, but is considered a worldwide infection [7,9]. To control the spread of infection, horses are routinely tested at race-tracks, shows, and rodeos, before breeding, and crossing borders. This effort has proven largely successful, bringing the prevalence of EIAV-positive animals down to 0.38% by 1988 [7,10].

EIAV targets monocyte-derived macrophages in several tissues of infected equids, including spleen, liver, lungs, and bone marrow [7,11]. These tissues serve as reservoirs for infection for the remainder of the animal’s life. Immune control of EIAV is attributed to both cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) [12,13] and broadly neutralizing antibody (bnAb) [14] activity. Due to the changing dynamics of both the CTL and bnAb responses in both specificity and timing, it is believed that both responses are essential for long-term control of the infection.

Infection with EIAV typically follows three stages: acute, chronic, and asymptomatic [7]. The acute stage is an initial febrile episode associated with the onset of infection, high viral titer, and an adaptive immune response. Once the initial infection is brought under control, antigenic variants escape the immunological control and cause the increases in viral load and fevers associated with the chronic stage. After six to twelve months, the recurrent fevers cease and the animal enters the asymptomatic stage, which is associated with very low viral load and...
the absence of clinical symptoms. In some cases, a fourth recrudescent stage is seen, where the infected animal experiences recurring fevers for the remainder of its life. This stage is often associated with immunosuppressed or otherwise immunocompromised animals [15].

EIAV shows many life history traits similar to other lentiviruses, including a very rapid replication rate and high levels of antigenic variation. However, EIAV is atypical among lentiviruses in that most infected animals experience a few episodes of fever and high viral titer and then progress to the asymptomatic stage characterized by low viral titer and an absence of clinical disease manifestations. This is in direct contrast to lentiviruses human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV), in which infected individuals and animals develop immunodeficiency and disease, and makes EIAV an especially interesting comparison species for both clinical research and mathematical models [16].

There is a great body of previous work modeling the dynamics of viral infection. The standard three equation model of viral infection considers the uninfected cell, infected cell, and virus populations but does not include the dynamics of immune compartments [16-18]. Subsequent models augmented the standard model to four or five equations, examining the dynamics of the cellular (CTL) and humoral (antibody) immune responses individually [17,19] and in concert [20]. Two studies explicitly modeled viral dynamics with the dynamics of CTLs and antibodies to study Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) [21,22]. Wodarz investigated the dynamics and pathology of HCV, and Youssi et al. extended the theoretical results by Wodarz with a global stability analysis. However, these studies used a model with a depiction of antibody production in which antibodies proliferate according to mass action between antibodies and virus; this depiction is imprecise and a more accurate representation is needed.

The goal of this study is to create a mathematical model of EIAV and immune system dynamics in order to predict conditions that correlate with viral control. We use a five-equation model, explicitly containing the dynamics of CTLs and of antibodies, which builds upon previous work. We include an alternate equation for antibody dynamics that models antibody production in direct proportion to virus [23]. We then find the steady state solutions.

2. Mathematical Model

In this section we present a five-equation model that builds upon earlier studies [16,17,21,22]. Our model explicitly contains the dynamics of CTLs and antibodies, including an equation for antibody dynamics that models antibody production in direct proportion to virus [23]. We then find the steady state solutions.

2.1. Model Formulation

Our deterministic model representing the five interacting populations is shown by the following system of ordinary differential equations:

\[
\frac{dM}{dt} = \lambda - \rho M - \beta MV
\]

\[
\frac{dl}{dt} = \beta MV - \delta l - k IC
\]

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = hl - \gamma V - f VA
\]

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = \psi IC - \omega C
\]

\[
\frac{dA}{dt} = \alpha V - \mu A
\]

In this model, the target cells of EIAV infection are monocyte-derived tissue macrophages [7,11,24,25]. The number of uninfected target cells is represented by \(M\). These cells become infected cells (I) following contact with virus (V) at rate \(\beta\). Uninfected target cells are generated at rate \(\lambda\) and die at rate \(\rho\). The infected cell death rate is \(\delta\). Infected cells are killed by cytotoxic T lymphocytes, or CTLs (C), at rate \(k\). Virus is produced by infected cells at rate \(h\) and cleared at rate \(\gamma\). The virus is neutralized by antibodies (A) at rate \(f\). CTLs proliferate in response to contact with infected cells at rate \(\psi\) and die at rate \(\omega\). The antibody population grows in proportion to virus at rate \(\alpha\) [23] and is cleared at rate \(\mu\).

A schematic diagram of the model dynamics, indicating...
the flow in and out of each compartment, is shown in Figure 1. Model variables and parameters are listed in Table 1. The initial conditions for the model are \(M(0) = M_0, \ V(0) = V_0, \ C(0) = C_0, \) and \(I(0) = A(0) = 0.\) We assume all parameters are nonnegative.

### 2.2. Equilibrium Points

The equilibria (or steady states) of the model are found by setting the equations of the model to zero. The infection-free steady state (also called the infection-free equilibrium, or IFE) is given by

\[
E_0 = \left( \hat{M}, \hat{I}, \hat{V}, \hat{C}, \hat{A} \right) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\rho + \beta V}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)
\]

We obtain four other solutions: \(E_{1,2} = \left( \hat{M}, \hat{I}, \hat{V}, \hat{C}, \hat{A} \right)\) and \(E_{3,4} = \left( \hat{M}, \hat{I}, \hat{V}, \hat{C}, \hat{A} \right),\) where

\[
\hat{M} = \frac{\lambda}{\rho + \beta V} , \quad \hat{I} = \frac{\mu}{\psi}, \quad \hat{V} = \frac{-\gamma \psi + \sqrt{\left( \gamma_1 \psi \right)^2 + 4 \psi f \alpha o \omega}}{2 \psi f \alpha}, \quad \hat{C} = \frac{\psi \left( \frac{\beta \lambda V}{\rho + \beta V} - \delta \omega \right)}{k \omega - \psi}, \quad \hat{A} = \frac{\alpha V}{\mu}
\]

### Table 1. Parameters and variables of model of EIAV infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>Source rate of uninfected cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho)</td>
<td>Death rate of uninfected cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)</td>
<td>Infection rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta)</td>
<td>Death rate of infected cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>Rate of killing by CTLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Virus production rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>Clearance rate of virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>Neutralization of virus by antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\psi)</td>
<td>Proliferation rate of CTLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\omega)</td>
<td>Death rate of CTLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>Antibody production rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu)</td>
<td>Clearance rate of antibodies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>Uninfected cells (monocytes/macrophages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>Infected cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V)</td>
<td>Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>Antibodies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of mathematical model of EIAV infection with cellular and humoral immune responses. Populations modeled include the target cells (macrophages, \(M\)), infected cells (\(I\)), virus (\(V\)), cytotoxic T lymphocytes (\(C\)) and antibodies (\(A\)).](image-url)
Since $\hat{V}_2$ and $\hat{V}_2$ are less than zero, the steady states $E_0$ and $E_1$ are not biologically meaningful and therefore will not be discussed further.

3. Linear Stability Analysis of Model Equilibria

In this section we discuss the basic reproductive number, $R_0$, which arises from linear analysis around the infection-free equilibrium point, $E_0$. We provide stability conditions for $E_0$ in Theorem 2, and we provide existence criteria for $E_1$ and $E_2$ in Theorem 3. In addition we provide a numerical stability analysis as well as numerical simulations that illustrate the stability of these equilibrium points.

3.1. Analytical Results

The basic reproductive number, $R_0$, is a threshold that delineates whether an infection spreads or dies out when a single infected cell encounters a population of uninfected target cells [26-30]. If $R_0>1$, then more than one cell (on average) becomes infected and the infection will spread; if $R_0<1$, then less than one cell (on average) becomes infected and infection will not take hold [31].

**Theorem 1.** The basic reproductive number of the model is $R_0=\frac{b\beta b}{\delta \gamma}$.

*Proof.* We determine $R_0$ using the next-generation method [32]. Consider the matrix of new infections, $F$, and the matrix of transfers, $V$, both evaluated at the infection-free equilibrium:

$$F(E_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\beta \lambda}{\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V(E_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta & 0 \\ -b & \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Hence, $F(E_0)\cdot V(E_0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{b\beta b}{\rho \gamma} & \frac{\beta \lambda}{\rho \gamma} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The basic reproductive number is given by the spectral radius (i.e., the eigenvalue with the largest modulus) of $F(E_0)\cdot V(E_0)^{-1}$. Hence, $R_0 = \frac{b\beta b}{\delta \gamma}$.

**Theorem 2.** The IFE, $E_0 = \left(\frac{2}{\rho}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right)$, of the model is linearly asymptotically stable and attracting for $R_0<1$ and unstable for $R_0>1$.

*Proof.* That the IFE is linearly asymptotically stable and attracting for $R_0<1$ but unstable for $R_0>1$ is an immediate consequence of the computations provided in Theorem 1 and the results of van den Driessche and Watmough [32].

The equilibrium $E_1$ exists if $\dot{\hat{C}}$ is biologically relevant, i.e., $\hat{C}>0$. $\dot{\hat{C}}$ can be rewritten as $\hat{C} = \frac{\delta}{k}(R_1-1)$, where $R_1 = \frac{\hat{V}_2 \beta \lambda \gamma}{\delta \rho + \hat{V}_2 \beta \lambda \rho}$. Hence, $E_1$ exists if $R_1>1$.

We will refer to $E_1$ as the boundary equilibrium, which represents the case with the presence of antibodies and without CTLs. We will refer to $E_3$ as the endemic equilibrium, which represents the case with the presence of both antibodies and CTLs.

**Theorem 3.** If $R_1<0$, then $E_0$ is the only equilibrium point and is linearly stable. If $R_1<1$, then $E_0$ is unstable, and boundary equilibrium $E_1$ exists. If $1<R_1<R_0$, then $E_0$ is unstable, boundary equilibrium $E_1$ exists, and endemic equilibrium $E_3$ exists.

*Proof.* We obtain that $R_1<0$, from the inequalities

$$R_1 = \frac{\hat{V}_1 \beta \lambda \gamma}{\delta \rho + \hat{V}_2 \beta \lambda \rho} < \frac{\hat{V}_2 \beta \lambda \gamma}{\delta \rho + \hat{V}_2 \beta \lambda \rho} = R_0$$

since $\hat{V}_1 < \frac{b\omega}{\gamma \psi}$. The latter inequality is shown as follows. In determining the equilibrium points $E_1$ and $E_2$, the values $\hat{V}_1$ and $\hat{V}_2$ were found as the roots of the quadratic function

$$G(V) = \psi f \alpha V^2 + \gamma \mu V - b \omega \mu .$$

Since the leading coefficient of $G(V)$ is positive, and $G\left(\frac{b\omega}{\gamma \psi}\right)>0$, then the positive root $\hat{V}_1$ lies to the left of the positive value $\frac{b\omega}{\gamma \psi}$. That is, $\hat{V}_1 < \frac{b\omega}{\gamma \psi}$.

We now consider the existence of the equilibrium points $E_1$ and $E_2$. This is determined by the sign of the roots $\hat{V}_1$ and $\hat{V}_2$ of the quadratic function

$$H(V) = f \alpha \delta \beta V^2 + (\mu \gamma \delta \beta + f \alpha \delta \mu) V + \mu (\gamma \delta \beta - b \beta \lambda) .$$

Since $\mu (\gamma \delta \beta - b \beta \lambda) = \mu \gamma \delta \mu (1-R_0)$, then if $R_0<1$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{(\mu \gamma \delta \beta + f \alpha \delta \mu)^2 - 4f \alpha \delta \beta \mu (\gamma \delta \beta - b \beta \lambda)} < \mu \gamma \delta \beta + f \alpha \delta \mu ,$$

which implies that $\hat{V}_1<0$ and $\hat{V}_2<0$. Hence, the equilibrium points $E_1$ and $E_2$ are not biologically possible. If $R_0>1$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{(\mu \gamma \delta \beta + f \alpha \delta \mu)^2 - 4f \alpha \delta \beta \mu (\gamma \delta \beta - b \beta \lambda)} > \mu \gamma \delta \beta + f \alpha \delta \mu ,$$

which implies that $\hat{V}_1>0$ and $\hat{V}_2<0$. This indicates that $E_1$ is an existing equilibrium. The endemic equilibrium $E_3$ exists only if $\hat{C}>0$. 
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Since $\hat{C} = \frac{\delta}{k} (R_1 - 1)$, $E_3$ is biologically possible only if $R_1 > 1$. Theorem 3 follows from the arguments above.

We note that $R_1$ is a threshold that delineates the boundary equilibrium $(E_1)$ from the endemic equilibrium $(E_2)$.

3.2. Numerical Stability Analysis

In the previous sections we found the steady states of the model and determined thresholds for their linear stability. The biologically plausible steady states $E_0$, $E_1$, and $E_3$ represent the scenarios of the infection-free equilibrium (IFE), the boundary equilibrium with no CTLs, and the endemic equilibrium with both CTL and antibody function (Ab), respectively.

We next performed a linear stability analysis of the equilibrium of the model by using numerical values for the parameters (i.e., a unique parameter set representing each of the three equilibria) and determining the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrices evaluated at each steady state. Negative real parts of all the eigenvalues indicated stability of the steady state. We present the results using three representative parameter sets. Our results are summarized in Table 2. Parameter sets 1, 2, and 3 were selected because they predict equilibria $E_0$, $E_1$, and $E_3$, respectively. These parameter sets differ in the value for $\lambda$; equivalent results were found by altering the other parameters that affect $R_0$ (data not shown).

3.3. Numerical Simulations

We now illustrate the results on linear stability with numerical simulations using each of the three parameter sets.

Infection-Free Equilibrium, $E_0$. In the IFE, the infection dies out. All populations approach zero except the number of uninfected cells, which approaches its uninfected steady state level. The time course of infection showing each of the populations over 150 days is shown in Figure 2.

Boundary Equilibrium, $E_1$. The boundary equilibrium $E_1$ represents the case with antibodies but no CTLs. Here $R_0 > 1$ and $R_1 < 1$. The long-term dynamics show that all populations reach a positive steady state except CTLs, which decay to zero. The time course of infection showing each of the populations over 150 days is shown in Figure 3.

Endemic Equilibrium, $E_3$. The endemic equilibrium $E_3$ shows the case with both antibodies and CTLs. We observe nonnegative steady state values for all populations. In this case, $R_0 > 1$ and $R_1 > 1$. The time course of infection, showing each of the populations over 150 days, is shown in Figure 4. This is the case that correlates with clinical infection of horses with EIAV.

Long-term dynamics over 3000 days. Equilibrium values are seen clearly in simulations run over 3000 days. The boundary equilibrium over 3000 days is shown in Figure 5. The endemic equilibrium over 3000 days is shown in Figure 6. We observe that final values for $M$, $I$, $V$ and $A$ are greater in $E_3$ than in $E_1$, but this is likely due to the larger value for $\lambda$ in this parameter set. For parameter set 1 representing the IFE, all populations except $M$ reach zero over 3000 days (data not shown).

4. Discussion

In summary, we constructed a mathematical model of EIAV infection that takes into account the dynamics of cell-mediated and humoral immune responses. Both of these immune components have been found to be necessary for immune control of this infection. We performed linear stability analysis and simulation of the model to predict long-term behavior in healthy and infected states.

We presented equations for the basic reproductive number $R_0$ as well as a second threshold, $R_1$. We showed that $R_0$ distinguishes the IFE $(E_0)$ from the boundary equilibrium $(E_1)$ and the endemic equilibrium $(E_3)$, and that $R_1$ distinguishes $E_1$ from $E_3$. Finally, we presented parameter sets that correlate with the results of the linear stability analysis.

The steady states each describe a scenario with a different virological and immunological profile: viral clearance $(E_0)$, control of infection with antibodies and no CTLs $(E_1)$, and control of infection with both antibodies and CTLs $(E_3)$. Biologically, two of these scenarios are seen in horses: viral clearance (i.e., no infection) $(E_0)$ and control of infection with both antibodies and CTLs (i.e., coexistence of all five populations) $(E_3)$. Since coexistence is what is observed in clinical EIAV infection, knowledge of the characteristics of this steady state may be useful both for understanding fundamental mechanisms of immune control as well as for developing therapeutic strategies to bring about the control of viral infections.
Figure 2. Long-term dynamics of $M$, $I$, $V$, $C$, and $A$ populations over 150 days for a parameter set representing the infection-free equilibrium (IFE). Parameters are as follows: $\lambda = 0.05$, $\rho = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.0001$, $\delta = 0.5$, $b = 10000$, $\gamma = 20$, $\varphi = 0.75$, $\omega = 5$, $f = 3$, $\alpha = 150$, $\mu = 20$ (parameter set 1). Here, $R_0 = 0.5$ and $R_1 = 0$.

Figure 3. Long-term dynamics of $M$, $I$, $V$, $C$, and $A$ populations over 150 days for a parameter set representing the $E_1$ boundary equilibrium. Parameters are as in Figure 2 except $\lambda = 1$ (parameter set 2). Here, $R_0 = 10$ and $R_1 = 0.02$.

Figure 4. Long-term dynamics of $M$, $I$, $V$, $C$, and $A$ populations over 150 days for a parameter set representing the $E_3$ endemic equilibrium. Parameters are as in Figure 2 except $\lambda = 50$ (parameter set 3). Here, $R_0 = 500$ and $R_1 = 5.26$.

Figure 5. Long-term dynamics of $M$, $I$, $V$, $C$, and $A$ populations over 3000 days for parameter set 2 representing the $E_1$ boundary equilibrium. Parameters are as in Figure 3.

Figure 6. Long-term dynamics of $M$, $I$, $V$, $C$, and $A$ populations over 3000 days for parameter set 3 representing the $E_3$ endemic equilibrium. Parameters are as in Figure 4.

infection without disease. Future work in this area may be applicable for understanding other lentiviral infections that cause disease, such as HIV.
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