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ABSTRACT

The objective of this paper is to find the stationary distribution of a certain class of Markov chains arising in a biological population involved in a specific type of evolutionary conflict, known as Parker’s model. In a population of such players, the result of repeated, infrequent, attempted invasions using strategies from \( \{0,1,2,\ldots,m\} \), is a Markov chain. The stationary distributions of this class of chains, for \( m \in \{3,4,\ldots,\infty\} \) are derived in terms of previously known integer sequences. The asymptotic distribution (for \( m \to \infty \)) is derived.
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1. Introduction

In classical model in conflict theory [1], Parker’s model [2], two individuals compete for a reward \( V \) by selecting times from some set. Here we suppose that the available times are integer values in \( \{0,1,2,\ldots,m\} \). If a player chooses \( x \) and his opponent \( y \) then the payoff to the player \( E(x,y) \), is given by

\[
E(x,y) = \begin{cases} 
V - x & \text{if } x > y \\
\frac{V}{2} - x & \text{if } x = y \\
- x & \text{if } x < y
\end{cases}
\]

The scenario envisaged is as follows. An individual choosing time \( x \) displays for that length of time, incurring a cost \( x \). If \( x \) exceeds his opponent’s choice \( y \) then he collects the reward. In the event of a tie the reward is shared. In a population in which individuals are restricted to play either \( u \) or \( v \), where \( u < v \), then the payoff matrix \( P \) is simply

\[
P = \begin{pmatrix} V - u & - u \\ V - v & \frac{V}{2} - v \end{pmatrix}
\]

Thus if \( V/2 < (v - u) \) the first row strictly dominates the second (that is \( p_{11} > p_{21} \) and \( p_{12} > p_{22} \)), and if \( V/2 > (v - u) \) the second strictly dominates the first. We consider a population of individuals playing Parker’s model. We suppose that the population evolves as follows. Suppose at some time there is a population all of whom are playing a single strategy \( u \) (i.e. the population is monomorphic). A new strategy \( v \) arises by some random process. If \( u \) dominates \( v \) then the strategy \( v \) will be eliminated under any reasonable dynamic. On the other hand if \( v \) dominates \( u \), it will rapidly increase in frequency and displace \( u \). We will suppose that the introduction of new strategies is infrequent compared with the time taken for this replacement process. For a more detailed discussion of this model see [3].

2. The Class of Markov Chains

We investigate here the following class of Markov chains, [4], motivated by the above scenario. We suppose the available strategy set is \( M = \{0,1,2,\ldots,m-1\} \) and the reward \( V = 2^+ \). The use of \( V = 2^+ \) rather than \( V = 2 \) ensures that in every pair of strategies \( u \) and \( v \), where \( u \neq v \), one is dominant. The case \( V = 2^+ \) allows particularly neat forms for the distributions, whereas other values of \( V \) require more complex, less elegant analysis and will be presented elsewhere. New strategies arise from the set \( M \). If the current strategy is \( i \) and a new strategy \( j \) arises this latter will invade iff \( j \in \{i+1\} \cup \{0,1,\ldots,i-2\} \). If we suppose that the strategies arise with equal probabilities \( 1/m \) then we have a Markov chain with transition matrix \( A(m) = a \), given by
where, throughout, \( I_k \) is the \((k \times k)\) identity matrix, \( c_k \) is a \( k \) element column vector and \( r_k \) is a \( k \) element row vector.

We then have

\[
(u(m-1),0)A'(m) = m(u(m-1),0) + (r_{m-2},-1,1).
\]

Also

\[
A'(m) = \frac{1}{m} + \begin{pmatrix}
1/m & \text{if } j = i+1 \\
1/m & \text{if } j = i-1 \\
0 & \text{if } j = i \\
0 & \text{if } j = i+1 \\
1 - \Sigma_{j \neq i} a_{ij} & \text{if } i = j
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Clearly this chain is irreducible. We investigate the stationary distribution of this class of Markov processes, for \( m \in \{3,4,\ldots,\infty\} \) (the cases \( m = 1 \) and \( m = 2 \) are trivial). We derive a rational expression for these stationary distributions working throughout primarily in integers. For this reason we give the expression for the matrix \( A'(m) = mA(m) \) below.

\[
A'(m) = \begin{pmatrix}
m-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m-1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & (m-2) & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & (m-3) & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 4 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and so

\[
(u(m-2),0,0)A'(m) = m(u(m-2),0,0) + (r_{m-2},-1,1).
\]

Now consider

\[
v = (m-1)(u(m-1),0) + (u(m-2),0,0) + (r_{m-2},-1,1).
\]

We have

\[
vA'(m) = (m-1)[m(u(m-1),0) + (r_{m-2},-1,1)]
\]

\[
+ m[(u(m-2),0,0) + (r_{m-3},-1,1,0)] + (r_{m-3},1,-2,1)
\]

\[
= m[(u(m-1),0) + (u(m-2),0,0)]
\]

\[
+ [(0,0,0,0,-(m-1),(m-1))
\]

\[
+ (0,0,0,-1,1,0) + (0,0,1,-2,1)]
\]

\[
= mv
\]

so \( v \) is the required eigenvector.

We now have a recurrence relation for the \( u(m) \) which is

\[
u(m) = (m-1)(u(m-1),0) + (u(m-2),0,0) + (r_{m-2},-1,1).
\]

This is valid for \( m \geq 4 \) using \( u(2) = (0,1) \) and \( u(3) = (1,1,1) \).

Suppose we write \( y(m) \) for the sum of the elements of \( u(m) \) so that \( u(m)/y(m) \) is the stationary distribution of the Markov chain. We have immediately that

\[
y(m) = (m-1)y(m-1) + y(m-2)
\]

with \( y(2) = 1, y(3) = 3 \). This is sequence A001040 [5] specified as \( a(n) \), where our \( y(n) = a(n+2) \) where the sequence is initiated with \( a(0) = 0 \) and \( a(1) = 1 \).

We can extract individual elements of the stationary distributions. Suppose that \( u(m,i) \) is the \( i \)th element
of \( u(m) \). Then we have that

\[
u(m,i) = (m-1)u(m-1,i) + u(m-2,i)
\]

for \( i = 0, m - 3 \), with initial values \( u(3,0) = 1 \) and \( u(4,0) = 3 \), \( u(3,1) = 1 \) and \( u(4,1) = 4 \) and for \( i \geq 2 \), we have \( u(i+1,i) = 1 \) and \( u(i+2,i) = i \). The sequence for \( u(m,0) \) is A058307, and \( u(m,1) \) is A058279 in [5].

Table 1 gives some values of \( u(m,i) \).

4. The Asymptotic Eigenvector

Having derived recurrence relations for the elements of the eigenvectors we now consider the limit as \( m \to \infty \). We begin with a simple Lemma.

Lemma

Suppose we have a recurrence relation of the form

\[
y_i = \alpha_i y_{i-1} + \beta y_{i-2}
\]

where the \( \alpha_i > 1 \) is not dependent on the \( y_i \), and \( \beta > 0 \). Suppose we have two sequences, \( \{ z_i \} \) and \( \{ z_i^* \} \) satisfying the recurrence relationship but initiated by different values \( i.e. \) by \( (z_0, z_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot) \) and \( (z_0^*, z_1^*, \cdot \cdot \cdot) \) respectively. Then \( z_i / z_i^* \to c \) as \( i \to \infty \) where \( c \) is a constant, which depends on the initial values.

Proof

Since

\[
z_i = (\alpha_i z_{i-1} + \beta z_{i-2}) z_{i-1}^* - z_{i-1} (\alpha_i z_{i-1}^* + \beta z_{i-2}^*)
\]

\[
= \beta (z_{i-2} z_{i-1}^* - z_{i-1} z_{i-2}^*) = -\beta Z_{i-1}
\]

We have

\[
z_i / z_i^* = (z_{i-1} z_{i-1}^*) = \frac{Z_i}{z_{i-1}^*}
\]

\[
= -\frac{\beta Z_{i-1}}{z_{i-1}^*}
\]

Now the denominator increases at a rate greater than \( \beta \) as one can see easily by considering the limiting case \( \alpha = 1 \), so the above expression tends to zero as \( i \to \infty \) and so \( y_i / y_i^* \to \) as \( i \to \infty \).

Comment

Much weaker conditions are necessary than those stated above for \( y_i / y_i^* \to \) as \( i \to \infty \).

We can apply the lemma immediately to the elements of the stationary distribution, expressed in the integer form. The ratios for 0 and 1 elements for \( m = 3(1)8 \) are 1, 1.307692, 1.308824, 1.308789, 1308789 illustrating the speed with which convergence takes place. We have no expression for the asymptotic value but for \( m = 200 \) the ratio is approximately 1.308793731. The ratios for 1 and 2 elements for \( m = 3(1)8 \) are 1, 0.5, 0.529412, 0.528090, 0.528131, 0.528130 and for \( m = 200 \) approximately 0.5281297672.

In the absence of a simple way of evaluating the limiting ratios discussed above analytically we adopt a different method to derive the asymptotic stationary distribution, again expressed in integers. Suppose this is given by \( x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots) \) and define \( \sigma_j = \Sigma_{i \neq j} x_i \). We have that \( x_i = x_{i+1} + \sigma_{i+2} \). Thus \( x_0 = \sigma_2 \), and

\[
x_i = x_{i+1} + x_{i+2} \Rightarrow x_2 = x_0 - x_1 \cdot
\]

In a similar way we can obtain \( x_i = -5x_0 + 4x_1 \), and \( x_i = 21x_0 - 16x_1 \), and so on. It is clear that the signs alternate. For ease we introduce the following notation; we write \( X_i = (c_i, d_i) \) when \( x_i = (-1)^i c_i x_0 + (-1)^i d_i x_1 \) so that the sequences \( \{ c_i \} \) and \( \{ d_i \} \) for \( i \geq 2 \) consist of positive integers. Similarly we write \( \Sigma = (g_i, h_i) \) when \( \sigma_i = (-1)^i g_i x_0 + (-1)^i h_i x_1 \) so the sequences \( \{ g_i \} \) and \( \{ h_i \} \) consist of positive integers. Thus we have \( X_0 = (1, 0), X_1 = (0, 1), X_2 = (2, 1), X_3 = (5, 4), X_4 = (21, 16) \) and so on, while \( \Sigma_2 = (1, 1), \Sigma_3 = (4, 3) \) and so on.

The theorem below gives recurrence relations for \( c_i \), \( d_i \), \( g_i \), and \( h_i \), in terms of A058279 and A058307 [5].

Theorem

For \( k \geq 2 \) we have \( c_i = e_{i-1} + e_{i-2} \) where \( e_n = (n+1) e_{n-1} + e_{n-2} \) with \( e_0 = e_1 = 1 \) ([5] A058279).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m \downarrow i \rightarrow )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3015</td>
<td>3946</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 gives the first 15 elements for the eigenvector for $m = 200$. Some idea of the speed of convergence can be gained by observing that these values agree with the elements of the eigenvector for $m = 15$ except in the final 2 decimal places.

5. Conclusion

We have derived the stationary distribution of the frequencies of the available strategies in a population in which mutations occur infrequently, for Parke’s model when the reward is $2^+$ and for integer valued strategies. These relate to certain known integer sequences. This work provides a base for further investigations for other values of the reward, and more complex invasion processes.

6. Discussion

Parker’s model, which is also known as the Scotch Auction, is often used in the conflict theory literature as an example of a simple model in which there is no ESS (evolutionarily stable strategy). The implication of this is that there is no population assembly which is resistant to invasion. Of course if such a contest actually occurs it is important to ask what will happen in the population. This is the question which is addressed in [3], and which generates the class of matrices considered here. The stationary distribution then corresponds to the frequency with which one would observe a population to be playing a specific strategy, except if one happened on a population in transition.

The class of cases discussed above arises from Parker’s model when we consider a fixed reward value $V = 2^+$, and when the value of $m$, the range of possible strategies, is allowed to vary. It would be of interest to examine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$x_i$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$x_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.302225342036022</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.000000000913183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.395549315928047</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.000000000070272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.208901368143935</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.000000000005021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.071070553532083</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0000000000095282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.017943127907571</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0000000001095282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.003608334354138</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.000000000005021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.000603414235085</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.000000000005021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0000000000095282</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.000000000005021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0000000000095282</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.000000000005021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0000000000095282</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.000000000005021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. First 15 eigenvector elements for $m = 200$.  
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other possible values of $V$ as $m$ varies. For example, for $V = 4^4$, the “Markov matrices” have 1’s for $j = i+1, i+2, <i-2$, 0’s for $j = i-1, i-2, >i+2$ and diagonal elements to make the row sums $m$. It is hoped to treat these models in a subsequent paper.

We observe from the numerical values that the most frequent strategy value played is 1, that the distribution is uni-modal and that the strategies $\{0, 1, 2\}$ are played over 90% of the time; asymptotically approximately 0.90667 which agrees to five decimal places to the value for $m = 6$, while the mean value is asymptotically approximately 1.1207 which agrees to five decimal places to the value for $m = 8$. These latter figures confirm the rapidity of the convergence.
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