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ABSTRACT

A new algorithm is presented for solving Troesch’s problem. The numerical scheme based on the sinc-collocation technique is deduced. The equation is reduced to systems of nonlinear algebraic equations. Some numerical experiments are made. Compared with the modified homotopy perturbation technique (MHP), the variational iteration method and the Adomian decomposition method, it is shown that the sinc-collocation method yields better results.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a two-point boundary value problem, Troesch’s problem [1-3], defined by

\[ u'' = \mu \sinh(\mu u) \]  
\[ u(0) = 0, \ u(1) = 1 \]  


The purpose of this paper is to introduce a novel approach based on sinc function for the numerical solution of the class of nonlinear boundary value problems given in (1)-(2). Sinc approximation have become increasingly important in numerical analysis. Most commonly used techniques with sinc-collocation have been examined in [22-24] and references therein. The error of the method converges to zero like \( O(e^{-kN}) \), as \( N \to \infty \), where \( N \) is the numerical of collocation points used, and where \( k \) is a positive constant independent of \( N \).

The aim of this work is two folds. First we aim to investigate the ODEs of a variety of distinct orders, linear or nonlinear, to show that sinc-collocation method can work as a unified method. Second we aim to confirm the power of the sinc-collocation method in handling scientific and engineering problems.

The remaining structure of this article is organized as follows: a brief introduction to the sinc function is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, the sinc-collocation approach for the solution of Troesch’s problem is described. The results are compared with the exact solutions and some existing numerical solutions in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, a conclusion is given that briefly summarizes the results.

2. Sinc Function

A general review of sinc function approximation is given in [25,26] and the recent papers [27-29]. Hence, only properties important to the present goals are outlined in this section.

If \( f(x) \) is defined on the real line, then for \( h > 0 \) the Whittaker cardinal expansion of \( f \)

\[ f_n(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} f_k S(k,h)(x), \ m = 2N + 1 \]

where \( f_k = f(x_k), x_k = hk \), and the mesh size is given...
by
\[ h = \sqrt{\frac{\pi d}{\alpha N}}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad d \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \] (3)
where \( N \) is suitably chosen and \( \alpha \) depends on the asymptotic behavior of \( f(x) \). The basis functions on \((a,b)\) are then given by
\[ S(k,h)\phi(x) = \sin\left(\frac{\phi(x)-kh}{h}\right) \]
and
\[ \phi(x) = \ln\left(\frac{x-a}{b-x}\right) \] (4)

The interpolation formula for \( f(x) \) over \([a,b]\) takes the form
\[ f(x) \approx \sum_{k=-N}^{N} f_k S(k,h)\phi(x). \] (5)

The \( n \)-th derivative of the function \( f \) at points \( x_k = (a + b e^{i\theta})/(1 + e^{i\theta}) \) can be approximated using a finite number of terms as
\[ f^{(n)}(x_k) \approx h^n \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \delta_{jk}^{(n)} f_k \] (6)
where
\[ \delta_{jk}^{(n)} = \frac{d^n}{d\phi^n} S(j,h)\phi(x) \bigg|_{\phi=x_k} \]

The quadrature formula of \( F(x) \) is given by
\[ \int_a^b F(x) \, dx \approx h \sum_{k=-N}^{N} F(x_k) \phi'(x_k). \] (7)

We consider the following definitions and theorems in [26].

Definition 1.
Let \( D \) be a simply-connected domain in the complex \((z = x + iy)\) plane having boundary \( \partial D \). Let \( a \) and \( b \) denote two distinct points of \( D \) onto \( D_a \), where \( D_a \) denote the region
\[ \{ w \in C : |w| < d; d > 0 \} \]
such that \( \phi(a) = \infty \) and \( \phi(b) = -\infty \). Let \( \psi = \phi^{-1} \) denote the inverse map, and let \( \Gamma \) be defined by
\[ \Gamma = \{ z \in C : z = \psi(u), u \in R \}. \]

Given \( \phi, \psi \) and a positive number \( h \), let us set \( z_k = z_k(h) = w(kh), k = 0,1,2, \ldots \), let us also \( \rho \) by \( \rho(z) = e^{\psi(z)} \).

Definition 2.
Let \( L_n(D) \) be the set of all analytic functions, for which there exists a constant, \( C \) such that
\[ |u(z)| \leq C \frac{\rho(z)^\alpha}{(1 + \rho(z))^{\frac{\pi}{2}}}, z \in D, 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \]

Theorem 1.
Let \( L_n(D) \), let \( N \) be a positive integer, and \( h \) be selected by the Formula (3) then there exist positive constant \( C_1 \), independent of \( N \), such that
\[ \sup_{z \in \Gamma} \left| u(z) - \sum_{k=-N}^{N} u_k S(k,h)\phi(z) \right| \leq C_1 e^{-\sqrt{\pi d N}}. \]

3. The Description of Sinc-Collocation Scheme

First, the sinc function composed with various conformal mappings, \( S(j,h)\phi \), are zero at the endpoints of the interval. Since the boundary conditions are nonhomogeneous, then these conditions need be converted to homogeneous ones via an interpolation by a known function. The nonhomogeneous boundary conditions in (2) can be transformed to homogeneous boundary conditions by the change of dependent variable \( y = u - x \). The new problem with homogeneous boundary conditions is then
\[ y'' = \mu \sinh \mu (y + x) \] (8)
subject to the boundary conditions
\[ y(0) = y(1) = 0 \] (9)

To obtain its approximate solution of Equations (8) and (9), we expand \( \sinh(\mu y) \) around \( \hat{y} \)
\[ \sinh(\mu y) = \sinh(\mu \hat{y}) + \mu \cosh(\mu \hat{y})(y - \hat{y}) + \frac{\mu^2}{2!} \sinh(\mu \hat{y})(y - \hat{y})^2 + \frac{\mu^3}{3!} \cosh(\mu \hat{y})(y - \hat{y})^3 + \ldots \]

Particularly, if \( \hat{y} = 0 \), then
\[ \sinh(\mu y) = \mu y + \frac{\mu^3}{3!} y^3 + \frac{\mu^5}{5!} y^5 + \ldots \]
and
\[ \cosh(\mu y) = 1 + \frac{\mu^2}{2!} y^2 + \frac{\mu^4}{4!} y^4 + \ldots \]
The Equation (8) becomes
\[ y'' + P_0(x)y + \sum_{j=2}^{6} P_j(x) y^j = f(x) \] (10)
where
\[ f(x) = -\mu \sinh(\mu x), \]
\[ P_0(x) = -\mu \cosh(\mu x), \]
\[ P_2(x) = -\frac{\mu^5 \sinh(\mu x)}{2!}, \]
\[ P_3(x) = -\frac{\mu^4 \cosh(\mu x)}{3!}, \]
\[ P_4(x) = -\frac{\mu^5 \sinh(\mu x)}{4!}, \]
\[ P_6(x) = -\frac{\mu^6 \cosh(\mu x)}{5!}, \]

The approximate solution for \( y(x) \) is represented by the formula
\[ y_m(x) = \sum_{k=N}^N c_j S_j(x), \quad m = 2N + 1. \tag{11} \]

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma.**

The following relation holds
\[ y''(x) \approx \sum_{k=N}^N c_k S(k, h) \phi(x). \tag{12} \]

where \( N \) and \( h \) are now dependent on both \( y(x) \) and \( y'' \).

Replacing the terms of (10) with the appropriate representation defined in (5), (6), and (12) and applying the collocation to it, we eventually obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.**

If the assumed approximate solution of problem (10) and (9) is (11), then the discrete sinc-collocation system for the determination of the unknown coefficients is given by
\[
\sum_{j=-N}^N h^2 + P_i(x_j) \delta_{ik}^{(0)} c_j + \sum_{j=2}^N P_j(x_j) c_k = f_k, \quad k = -N, -N+1, \ldots, N. \tag{13}
\]

The following notation will be necessary for writing down the system. Let \( D(g) \) be the \( m \times m \) diagonal matrix
\[ D(g) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x_{-N}) & & \\ & g(x_{-N+1}) & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & g(x_N) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14} \]

and define the \( m \times m \) matrices \( I^{(p)} \) (see [30]) for \( 0 \leq p \leq 2 \) by
\[ I^{(p)} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{ik}^{(p)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j, k = -N, \ldots, N \tag{15} \]

whose \( k \)-th entry is given by
\[
\delta_{ik}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = k, \\ 0, & j \neq k, \end{cases} \quad i = 0, \tag{16}
\]

\[
\delta_{ik}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} -\frac{n^2}{3}, & j = k, \\ -2(-1)^{k-j}, & j \neq k. \end{cases} \tag{17}
\]

Let \( c \) be the \( m \)-vector with \( j \)-th component given by \( c_j \), let \( e^a \) be the \( m \)-vector with \( j \)-th component given by \( e^a_j \), and \( I \) is an \( m \)-vector each of whose components is 1. In this notation the system in (13) takes the matrix form
\[
A \begin{pmatrix} c_{-N} \\ c_{-N+1} \\ \vdots \\ c_N \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=2}^N P_j \begin{pmatrix} c_j \end{pmatrix} = \Theta \tag{16} \]

where
\[
P_j = D(P_j), \quad j = 2, 3, \ldots, \sigma \]

and
\[
A = \frac{1}{h^2} I^{(0)} + I^{(2)} D(P_j). \tag{17}
\]

Now we have a nonlinear system of \( m = 2N + 1 \) equations of the \( m \) unknown coefficients, namely, \( \{c_j\}_{j=-N}^N \).

We can obtain the coefficient of the approximate solution by solving this nonlinear system by Newton’s method. The solution \( c = (c_{-N}, \ldots, c_N) \) gives the coefficients in the approximate sinc-collocation solution \( y_m(x) \) of \( y(x) \). Then, the approximate solution of (1) is
\[
u_m(x) = \sum_{j=-N}^N c_j S_j(x) + x \]

**Newton's Method.**

To solve the system of Equation (16), we express these equations as the simultaneous zeroing of a set of functions, where the number of functions to be zeroed is equal to the number of independent variables.

\[
F(c) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{-N}(c_{-N}, c_{-N+1}, \ldots, c_N) \\ F_{-N+1}(c_{-N}, c_{-N+1}, \ldots, c_N) \\ \vdots \\ F_N(c_{-N}, c_{-N+1}, \ldots, c_N) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}
\]

A very important method for the solution of Equation (17) is Newton’s method:

Let \( c^{(i)} \) be the guess at the solution for iteration \( i \). Assuming the \( \|F^{(i)}\| \) is not small enough, we seek an
update vectors \( \Delta c^{(i)} \)
\[
c^{(i+1)} = c^{(i)} + \Delta c^{(i)},
\]
i.e.
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
c^{(i+1)}_N \\
\vdots \\
c^{(i+1)}_{N-i}
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
c^{(i)}_N \\
\vdots \\
c^{(i)}_{N-i}
\end{pmatrix} + 
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta c^{(i+1)}_N \\
\vdots \\
\Delta c^{(i+1)}_{N-i}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

such that \( F\left(c^{(i+1)}\right) = 0 \). Using the multidimensional extension of Taylor’s theorem to approximate the variation of \( F\left(c^{(i)}\right) \) in the neighborhood of \( c^{(i)} \)
gives
\[
F\left(c^{(i)} + \Delta c^{(i)}\right) = F\left(c^{(i)}\right) + F'\left(c^{(i)}\right) \Delta c^{(i)} + O\left(\|\Delta c^{(i)}\|^2\right),
\]
where \( F'\left(c^{(i)}\right) \) is the Jacobian of the system of equations:
\[
F'\left(c^{(i)}\right) = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial F_N}{\partial c_N}(c) & \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial c_{N+1}}(c) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial c_N}(c) \\
\frac{\partial F_{N+1}}{\partial c_N}(c) & \frac{\partial F_{N+1}}{\partial c_{N+1}}(c) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{N+1}}{\partial c_N}(c) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{2N-i}}{\partial c_N}(c) & \frac{\partial F_{2N-i}}{\partial c_{N+1}}(c) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{2N-i}}{\partial c_N}(c)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

Neglecting higher order terms and designating \( J^{(i)} \) as the Jacobian evaluated at \( c^{(i)} \). We can rearrange Equation (19)
\[
F\left(c^{(i)} + \Delta c^{(i)}\right) = F\left(c^{(i)}\right) + J^{(i)} \Delta c^{(i)}
\]
The goal of Newton iterations is to make \( F\left(c^{(i)} + \Delta c^{(i)}\right) = 0 \), so setting that term to zero in the preceding equation gives
\[
J^{(i)} \Delta c^{(i)} = -F\left(c^{(i)}\right)
\]

Equation (22) is a system of \( m \) linear equations in the \( m \) unknown \( \Delta c^{(i)} \). Each Newton iteration step involves evaluation of the vector \( F^{(i)} \), the matrix \( J^{(i)} \) and the solution to Equation (22). A common numerical practice is to stop the Newton iteration whenever the distance between two iterates is less than a given tolerance, i.e. when
\[
\left\|c^{(i+1)} - c^{(i)}\right\| \leq \epsilon.
\]

Algorithm.
- Initialize: \( c = c^{(0)} \)
- For \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

4. Numerical Examples
The closed form solution to this problem in terms of the Jacobian elliptic function has been given [3] as
\[
u(x) = \frac{2}{\mu} \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{u'(0)}{2} \text{Sc}(\mu x | - \frac{1}{4} [u'(0)]^2)\right),
\]
where \( u'(0) \), the derivative of \( u \) at 0, is given by the expression \( u'(0) = 2\sqrt{1-m} \), with \( m \) being the solution of the transcendental equation
\[
\sinh\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right) = \text{Sc}(\mu m)
\]
where the Jacobian elliptic function \( \text{Sc}(\mu m) \) [2,3] is defined by \( \text{Sc}(\mu m) = \frac{\sin\phi}{\cos\phi} \) where \( \phi \) and \( \lambda \) are related by the integral
\[
\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-m}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\sin^2\theta}} d\theta.
\]

In Tables 1 and 2 the numerical solution obtained by sinc-collocation method is compared with the exact solution derived from Equation (23) and with the numerical solution obtained by the modified homotopy perturbation technique (MHP) [17], variation method [9] and decomposition method [8] for the case \( \lambda = 0.5 \) and \( \lambda = 1.0 \) respectively.

In Table 3, the numerical solution obtained by the sinc-collocation method for \( \mu = 5 \) is compared with the numerical approximation of the exact solutions given by a Fortran code called TWPBVP and the numerical solution obtained by B-spline collocation method [19].

5. Discussions
There are two main goals that we aimed for this work. The first is to employ the powerful sinc-collocation method to investigate nonlinear ordinary differential equations. The second is to show the power of this method and its significant features. The two goals are achieved.

In [27], we compared the performance of the collocation and Galerkin methods using sinc bases for solving linear and nonlinear second order two-point boundary value problems and shown that the most significant virtue
Table 1. Numerical solutions of Troesch’s problem for the case $\mu = 0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0951769</td>
<td>0.0959443</td>
<td>0.0959395</td>
<td>0.1000416</td>
<td>0.0959477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1906338</td>
<td>0.1921287</td>
<td>0.1921193</td>
<td>0.2003336</td>
<td>0.1921352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2866534</td>
<td>0.2887944</td>
<td>0.2887806</td>
<td>0.3011275</td>
<td>0.2888034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3835229</td>
<td>0.3861848</td>
<td>0.3861675</td>
<td>0.4026773</td>
<td>0.3861955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4815373</td>
<td>0.4845741</td>
<td>0.4845274</td>
<td>0.5052411</td>
<td>0.4845585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5810019</td>
<td>0.5841332</td>
<td>0.5841127</td>
<td>0.6090820</td>
<td>0.5841442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6822351</td>
<td>0.6852011</td>
<td>0.6851822</td>
<td>0.7144698</td>
<td>0.6852105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7855717</td>
<td>0.7880165</td>
<td>0.7880018</td>
<td>0.8216826</td>
<td>0.7880234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8913669</td>
<td>0.8928542</td>
<td>0.8928462</td>
<td>0.9310084</td>
<td>0.8928578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Numerical solutions of Troesch’s problem for the case $\mu = 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08179699</td>
<td>0.08466125</td>
<td>0.08438170</td>
<td>0.10016683</td>
<td>0.08492528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.16453087</td>
<td>0.17017135</td>
<td>0.16962076</td>
<td>0.20133869</td>
<td>0.17067908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.24916736</td>
<td>0.25739390</td>
<td>0.25659292</td>
<td>0.30454102</td>
<td>0.25810502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.33673220</td>
<td>0.3472228</td>
<td>0.34621073</td>
<td>0.41084132</td>
<td>0.34807811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.42834716</td>
<td>0.44059983</td>
<td>0.43944227</td>
<td>0.52137347</td>
<td>0.44152329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5257402</td>
<td>0.53853439</td>
<td>0.53733006</td>
<td>0.63736635</td>
<td>0.53943772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.62897114</td>
<td>0.64212860</td>
<td>0.64101046</td>
<td>0.76017896</td>
<td>0.64291809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.74116837</td>
<td>0.75260809</td>
<td>0.75173354</td>
<td>0.89134491</td>
<td>0.75319489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.86397002</td>
<td>0.87136251</td>
<td>0.87088353</td>
<td>1.03263022</td>
<td>0.87167571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Numerical solutions of Troesch’s problem for the case $\mu = 5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01075342</td>
<td>0.010002027</td>
<td>0.00762552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.03320051</td>
<td>0.03099793</td>
<td>0.03817903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.25821664</td>
<td>0.24170496</td>
<td>0.23252435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.45506034</td>
<td>0.42461830</td>
<td>0.44624551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the collocation procedure is its ease in application. The collocation method easily generalizes to problems having general boundary conditions.
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