Local Existence of Solution to a Class of Stochastic Differential Equations with Finite Delay in Hilbert Spaces
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a local Lipschitz condition for the local existence of solution to a class of stochastic differential equations with finite delay in a real separable Hilbert space which has the following form:

$$dX(t) = AX(t) + f(t, X_t)dt + g(t, X_t)dW(t), \quad t \geq 0$$
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1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper focuses on the local existence of mild solution to a class of the following stochastic differential equations with finite delay in a real separable Hilbert space

$$dX(t) = AX(t) + f(t, X_t)dt + g(t, X_t)dW(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

where $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \to H$ is a linear (possibly unbounded) operator, and with a constant $\tau > 0$ we define

$$X_\tau(\theta) = X(t + \theta), \quad \theta \in [-\tau, 0]$$

In which, $C_{[-\tau,0]}$ is the space of all continuous functions from $[-\tau,0]$ into $H$ equipped with the norm

$$\|e\|_{C_{[-\tau,0]}} = \left( \sup_{-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0} \|X(\theta)\|^2_{H} \right)^{1/2}.$$

($f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C_{[-\tau,0]} \to H$ and $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C_{[-\tau,0]} \to \mathbb{L}^2_0$ are continuous functions; $W(t)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weiner process defined in Section 2).

In [1], if $A$ is the generator of a uniformly exponentially stable semi-group in $H$; $f, g$ satisfies Lipschitz and linear growth conditions then the mild solution of Equation (1) is exponentially stable in mean square.

In this paper, we prove the local existence of solution for Equation (1) with the weaker condition on $A, f$; and $g$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some notions from Bezandry and Diagana [1].

Let $H, K$ be real separable Hilbert spaces, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space; and $b_n(t), n = 1, 2, \cdots$ is a sequence of real-valued standard Brownian motions mutually independent on this space. Furthermore,

$$W(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_n} b_n, \quad t \geq 0.$$  

where $\lambda_n \geq 0, (n \geq 1)$ are nonnegative real numbers; and $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the complete orthonormal basis in $K$.

In addition, we suppose that $Q \in B(K,K)$ is an operator defined by $Qe_n = \lambda_n e_n$ such that

$$\text{Tr} Q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty.$$

Then, $EW(t) = 0$ and for all $t \geq s \geq 0$ the distribution of $W(t) - W(s)$ is $N(0,(t-s)Q)$. The $K$-valued stochastic process $W(t)$ is called a $Q$-Weiner process.

The subset $K_0 = Q^{1/2}K$ is a Hilbert space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{K_0} = \|Q^{1/2}u\|_{K}, \quad u \in K_0$$

and we define the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $K_0$ into $H$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_2^0 = \mathcal{L}_2^0(K_0,H) = \left\{ \psi \in B(K_0,H) : \text{Tr} \left( \psi Q^{1/2} \right) \left( \psi Q^{1/2} \right)^* < \infty \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_2^0$ is a separable Hilbert space with norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^0} = \text{Tr} \left( \psi Q^{1/2} \right) \left( \psi Q^{1/2} \right)^*, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{L}_2^0.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{L}_2^0\right)$ be all $\mathcal{L}_2^0$-valued predictable
processes $\Phi$ such that
\[ E^r \int_0^T \left[ \psi Q(t) \right] \left[ \psi Q(t) \right]^* \left[ \psi Q(t) \right] ds < \infty. \]

Then, for all $\Phi \in L^2 \left( [0, T], L^2_\mathbb{P} \right)$ the stochastic integral
\[ \int_0^T \Phi(s) dW(s) \in H \]
the stochastic integral is well-defined by
\[ \int_0^T \Phi(s) dW(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Phi(s_i) \sqrt{\lambda_i} \text{d}b_i(s), \]
where $W$ is the Q-Weiner process defined above. We have
\[ E \left[ \left( \int_0^T \Phi(t) dW(t) \right)^2 \right] \leq E \left[ \left( \int_0^T \Phi(s) \right)^2 ds \right] \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{2} \]

In the following, we assume the stochastic integrals are well defined. For stochastic differential equation and stochastic calculus, we refer to [1-8].

2.1. Definition [1]
For $T \geq 0$, a stochastic process $X(t)$ is said to be a strong solution of Equation (1) on $[-r, T]$ if
1) $X(t)$ is adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \geq 0$;
2) $X(t)$ is continuous in $t$ almost surely;
3) $X(t) \in D(A)$ for any $t \geq 0$, and
\[ X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t AX(s) ds \tag{3} \]

for all $t \geq 0$ with probability one.
4) $X(t) = \varphi(t), -r \leq t \leq 0$ almost surely.

2.2. Definition [1]
For $T \geq 0$, a stochastic process $X(t)$ is said to be a mild solution of Equation (1) on $[-r, T]$ if
1) $X(t)$ is adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \geq 0$;
2) $X(t)$ is continuous in $t$ almost surely;
3) $X$ is measurable with $\int_0^T \|X(t)\|^2 dt < \infty$ almost surely for any $T > 0$ and
\[ X(t) = (T(t) \varphi(0) + \int_0^t T(t-s) f(s, X_s) ds \tag{4} \]

for all $t \geq 0$ with probability one.

4) $X(t) = \varphi(t), -r \leq t \leq 0$ almost surely.

3. Main Results
We assume that
(*) The operator $A$ generates a strongly semi-group $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $H$.

(**) $f(t, x)$ and $g(t, x)$ satisfy local Lipchitz conditions respect second argument that means for $\alpha > 0$ be given real number, there exits $C_1(\alpha), C_2(\alpha) > 0$ such that with $t \geq 0$, $x, y \in C_\alpha$, and $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq \alpha$, we have
\[ \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq C_1(\alpha) \|x - y\|_{C_\alpha}, \]
\[ \|g(t, x) - g(t, y)\|_{C_\alpha} \leq C_2(\alpha) \|x - y\|_{C_\alpha}. \]

If condition (*) holds, we will prove that if $X(t)$ is a strong solution of Equation (1) then it also is a mild one. It is expressed by Theorem 3.1.

3.1. Theorem
If (*) holds then (3) can be written in the form (4).

Proof: Applying Fubini theorem, we have
\[ \int_0^T (T(t-s) g(u, X_s)) ds = \int_0^T g(u, X_s) dW(u) \tag{5} \]

On the other hand
\[ A \int_0^T (T(t-s) g(s, X_s)) ds \]
\[ = \int_0^T (T(t-s) - I) g(s, X_s) ds \tag{6} \]

From (5) and (6), one has
\[ A \int_0^T (T(t-s) g(s, X_s)) ds \]
\[ = \int_0^T (T(t-s) - I) g(s, X_s) ds \tag{7} \]

or
\[ \int_0^T g(s, X_s) ds = \int_0^T (T(t-s) g(s, X_s) dW(s) - A \int_0^T (T(t-s) g(s, X_s) ds). \]
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By the definition of strong solution, we have

\[ X(t) = T(t)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s, X_s)\, ds + \int_0^t T(t-s)g(s, X_s)\, dW(s) \]

Now, we turn our attention to the local existence of mild solution of Equation (1).

### 3.2. Theorem

If the condition (*) and (**) are satisfied, then (1) has only mild solution.

**Proof:** Let \( T > 0 \) be a fixed number in \( \mathbb{R} \), for each \( \alpha > 0 \), there exists \( \varphi \in C \) (\( \|\varphi\| \leq \alpha \)), such that

\[ \|f(t, \varphi)\| \leq C_1(\alpha)\|\varphi\| + \|f(t, 0)\| \leq \alpha C_1(\alpha) + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|f(s, 0)\| \leq C, \]

\[ \|g(t, \varphi)\| \leq C_2(\alpha)\|\varphi\| + \|g(t, 0)\| \leq \alpha C_2(\alpha) + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|g(s, 0)\| \leq C, \]

where

\[ C = \max \left\{ \alpha C_1(\alpha) + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|f(s, 0)\|, \right. \]

\[ \left. \alpha C_2(\alpha) + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|g(s, 0)\| \right\}. \]

For any \( \varphi \in C \), we chose \( \alpha = \|\varphi\| + 1 \). Let \( C_{ad} \) be a subspace of \( C([-r,T],H) \) containing all functions \( X \) which adapt with \( \{F_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) such that \( X_0 \in C \) and \( X : [0,T] \to H \) is continuous. Then \( C_{ad} \) is a Banach space with norm

\[ \|X\|_{ad} = \|X_0\|_{C^0} + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X(t)\|^2_{C^1}. \]

Let us consider a set \( Z \) which is defined by

\[ Z = \left\{ X \in C_{ad} : X(s) = \varphi(s) \text{ for } s \in [-r, 0] \right\} \]

and \( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X(s) - \varphi(0)\| \leq 1 \).

It is easy to verify that \( Z \) is a closed subspace of \( C_{ad} \).

Let \( U : Z \to Z \) be the operator defined by

\[ U(X)(t) = X(t) = T(t)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s, X_s)\, ds \]

\[ + \int_0^t T(t-s)g(s, X_s)\, dW(s) \]

for \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( \varphi(t) \) for \( t \in [-r, 0] \).

We now prove that \( U(Z) \subseteq Z \). Indeed,
\[ \|U(X)(t) - \varphi(0)\|^2 = E \|U(X)(t) - \varphi(0)\|^2 = E \left( T(t)\varphi(0) - \varphi(0) + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s,X_s)ds + \int_0^t T(t-s)g(s,X_s)dW(s) \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq 3E \|T(t)\varphi(0) - \varphi(0)\|^2 + 3E \|\int_0^t T(t-s)f(s,X_s)ds\|^2 + 3E \|\int_0^t T(t-s)g(s,X_s)dW(s)\|^2 \]
\[ \leq 3E \|T(t)\varphi(0) - \varphi(0)\|^2 + 3MT \int_0^t E \|f(s,X_s)\|^2 ds + 3M \int_0^t E \|g(s,X_s)\|^2 ds. \]

Since \( \|X(s) - \varphi(0)\| \leq 1, \forall s \in [0,T] \), \( \|X(s)\| \leq \alpha \) with \( \alpha = \|\varphi\| + 1 \), we have \( \|X_s\| \leq \alpha \) for any \( s \in [0,T] \).

Furthermore,

\[ \|f(s,X_s)\| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|g(s,X_s)\| \leq C. \]

Hence

\[ \|U(X)(t) - \varphi(0)\|^2 \leq 3E \|T(t)\varphi(0) - \varphi(0)\|^2 + 3MC^2 (T^2 + T) \]

with \( M = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|T(t)\|^2 \).

If we choose \( T \) small enough, such that

\[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left\{ 3E \|T(s)\varphi(0) - \varphi(0)\|^2 + 3MC^2 (T^2 + T) \right\} \leq 1. \]

Then, for any \( t \in [0,T] \) we have \( \|U(X)(t) - \varphi(0)\| \leq 1 \). In other words, we have \( U(Z) \subseteq Z \).

For any \( X,Y \in Z \),

\[ E \|U(X)(t) - U(Y)(t)\|^2 = E \left( \int_0^t T(t-s)[f(s,X_s) - f(s,Y_s)]ds + \int_0^t T(t-s)[g(s,X_s) - g(s,Y_s)]dW(s) \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq 2E \left( \int_0^t \|T(t-s)\| \|f(s,X_s) - f(s,Y_s)\|ds \right)^2 + 2E \left( \int_0^t \|T(t-s)\| \|g(s,X_s) - g(s,Y_s)\|dW(s) \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq 2MT \int_0^t E \|f(s,X_s) - f(s,Y_s)\|^2 ds + 2ME \left( \int_0^t \|g(s,X_s) - g(s,Y_s)\|^2 dW(s) \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq 2MC^2 T \int_0^t E \|X(s) - Y(s)\|^2 ds + 2MC^2 \int_0^t E \|X(s) - Y(s)\|dW(s) \]
\[ \leq 2MC^2 (T + 1) \int_0^t E \|X(s) - Y(s)\|^2 ds. \]

In addition, for any \( a > 0 \) and \( t \in [0,T] \), we have:

\[ e^{-at} E \|U(X)(t) - U(Y)(t)\|^2 \leq 2MC^2 (T + 1) \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} E \|X(s) - Y(s)\|^2 ds \]
\[ \leq 2MC^2 (T + 1) \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} ds \leq 2a^{-1}MC^2 (T + 1) \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{-as} E \|X(s) - Y(s)\|^2. \]

Therefore,

\[ \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left\{ e^{-at} E \|U(X)(t) - U(Y)(t)\|^2 \right\} \leq 2a^{-1}MC^2 (T + 1) \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} e^{-as} E \|X(s) - Y(s)\|^2. \]

Finally, if \( a > 2MC^2 (T + 1) \), we have \( U \) is contraction map in \( Z \) respects to the norm

\[ \|X\| = \|X\|_{C_0} + \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left( e^{-as} E \|X(t)\|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad X \in C_0. \]

Because this norm is equivalent to \( \|\cdot\|_{ad} \), by applying fixed point principle we conclude that (1.1) has only mild solution on \([-r,T]\).
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