Non-Linear Analysis of Vibrations of Non-Linear System Subjected to Multi-Excitation Forces via a Non-Linear Absorber
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ABSTRACT

The dynamic response of mechanical and civil structures subjected to high-amplitude vibration is often dangerous and undesirable. Sometimes controlled vibration is desirable as in the machinery used in the formation of rigid hard material as Ceramics and diamond. This process is done via the passive control methods. The main purpose of this paper is to how reduction of vibration of nonlinear system subjected to multi-excitation forces via a nonlinear absorber. The nonlinear differential equations describing the model, which describe ultrasonic cutting machine are solved by using perturbation method. The effects of different parameter on the response of the system are studied. The stability of the numerical solution is investigated by using frequency response equations and phase-plane method. The simulation results are achieved using Matlab and Maple programs. A comparison is made with the available published work.
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1. Introduction

Vibrations are the cause of discomfort, disturbance, damage, and sometimes destruction of machines and structures. It must be reduced or controlled or eliminated. One of the most common methods of vibration control is the dynamic absorber. It has the advantages of low cost and simple operation at one modal frequency. In the domain of many mechanical vibration systems the coupled non-linear vibration of such systems can be reduced to non-linear second order differential equations which are solved analytically and numerically. Queini and Nayfeh [1] proposed a non-linear active control law to suppress the vibrations of the first mode of a cantilever beam when subjected to a principal parametric excitation. The method of multiple scales is applied throughout. The analysis revealed that cubic velocity feedback reduced the amplitude of the response. Asfar [2] took material non-linearity into consideration in the analysis of the performance of an elastomeric damper with a spring hardening cubic effects near primary resonance condition applying multiple time scale method. Eissa [3] reported that when using a dynamic absorber, its damping coefficient should be kept minimal for better system performance. Eissa [4] has shown that for controlling the vibration of a system subjected to harmonic excitations, the fundamental or the first harmonic absorber is the most effective one. Eissa and El-Ganaini [5,6] studied the control of both vibration and dynamics chaos of mechanical system having quadratic and cubic non-linearities, subjected to harmonic excitation using multi-absorbers. Kamel and Amer [7] studied the behavior of one-degree-of-freedom system with different quadratic damping and cubic stiffness non-linearities simulating the axial vibration of a cantilever beam under multi-parametric excitation forces. Song et al. [8] investigated the vibration response of the spring mass damper system with a parametrically excited pendulum hinged to the mass using the harmonic balance method. The stability analysis showed that the area of unstable motion of the system obtained from the third order approximation.

Soom [9] and Jordanov [10] studied the optimal parameter design of linear and non-linear dynamic vibration absorbers for damped primary systems. They examined optimization criteria other than the traditional one and obtained small improvements in steady state response by using non-linear springs. However, the presence of the non-linearities introduces dangerous instability, which in some cases may result in amplification
rather than reduction of the vibration amplitudes [11,12]. Natsiavas [13] applied the method of averaging to investigate the steady state oscillations and stability of non-linear dynamic vibration absorbers. He pointed out that proper selection of the system parameters would result in substantial improvements of non-linear absorbers and avoid dangerous effects that are likely to occur due to the presence of the non-linearities. Zhu et al. [14] studied the non-linear dynamics of a two-degree-of-freedom vibrating system having both non-linear damping and non-linear spring using the averaging method. Results showed that the vibration amplitude can be reduced by properly selecting the values of non-linear damper, non-linear spring stiffness and the range of exciting frequency. Nayfeh [15] compared application of the method of multiple scales with reconstitution and the generalized method of averaging for determining higher-order approximations of three single-degree-of-freedom systems and a two-degree-of-freedom system. Amer [16] investigated the coupling of two non-linear oscillators of the system and absorber representing ultrasonic cutting process. A threshold value of linear damping has been obtained, where the system vibration can be reduced dramatically. Eissa and Sayed [17-19] and Sayed [20], studied the effects of different active controllers on simple and spring pendulum at the primary resonance via negative velocity feedback or its square or cubic. Amer and Sayed [21-23] studied USM model subject to multi-extemal or both multi-extemal and multi-parametric and both multi-extemal and tuned excitation forces. The model consists of multi-degree-of-freedom system consisting of the tool holder and absorbers (tools) simulating ultrasonic machining process. The advantages of using multi-tools are to machine different materials and different shapes at the same time. Sayed and Hamed [24] studied the response of a two-degree-of-freedom system with quadratic coupling under parametric and harmonic excitations. The method of multiple scale perturbation technique is applied to solve the non-linear differential equations and obtain approximate solutions up to and including the second-order approximations. Sayed and Kamel [25,26] investigated the effects of different controllers on the vibrating system and the saturation control of a linear absorber to reduce vibrations due to rotor blade flapping motion. Amer and Sayed [27], studied the response of one-degree-of freedom, non-linear system under multi-parametric and external excitation forces simulating the vibration of the cantilever beam.

2. Mathematical Analysis

Using a non-linear absorber connected to the main system, a model of a two degree-of-freedom oscillator under consideration can be written in the forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 + \omega_1^2 x_1 + \alpha_1 x_1^3 &+ \varepsilon \alpha_2 x_1^2 + \varepsilon \gamma_1 \dot{x}_1 + \varepsilon \gamma_2 x_1^2 + \epsilon x_2 + \alpha_4 (x_2-x_1)^2 \\
+ \varepsilon \gamma_3 (x_1-x_2) - \varepsilon \alpha_5 x_2 + \alpha_3 (x_1-x_2)^2 \\
+ \varepsilon \alpha_6 (x_1-x_2)^3 &- \epsilon F_1 \cos \Omega_1 t + \epsilon x_1 F_2 \cos \Omega_2 t \\
\dot{x}_2 + \omega_2^2 x_2 + \beta_1 (x_2-x_1)^2 &+ \varepsilon \beta_2 (x_2-x_1)^3 + \varepsilon \gamma_4 (x_2-x_1) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x_1, x_2\) are the displacement of the main system and absorber, \(\omega_1, \omega_2\) are the natural frequencies, \(\Omega_1, \Omega_2\) \((j = 1, 2)\) forcing frequencies, \(\epsilon\) small perturbation parameter, \(f_i\) the forcing amplitudes, \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2\) non-linear parameters \((i = 1, \cdots, 5)\), \(\zeta\), damping coefficient \((s = 1, 2, \cdots, 4)\). A first-order uniform solution of Equations (1) and (2) is sought using the method of multiple scales [28] in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(t; \epsilon) &= x_{10}(T_0, T_1) + \epsilon x_{11}(T_0, T_1) + \cdots \\
x_2(t; \epsilon) &= x_{20}(T_0, T_1) + \epsilon x_{21}(T_0, T_1) + \cdots
\end{align*}
\]

where \(T_0 = t\) is the fast time scale, which is associated with changes occurring at the frequencies \(\Omega_1\) and \(\Omega_2\) and \(T_1 = \sigma t\) is the slow time scale, which is associated with modulations in the amplitudes and phases resulting from the non-linearities and parametric resonance. In term of \(T_0\) and \(T_1\) the time derivatives became

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = D_0 + \epsilon D_1 + \cdots, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} = D_0^2 + 2 \epsilon D_0 D_1 + \cdots
\]

where \(D_n\) differential operators; \(D_n = \partial/\partial T_n \quad (n = 0, 1, \cdots)\). Substituting Equations (3) and (4) into Equations (1) and (2) and equaling the coefficients of same power of \(\epsilon\) in both sides, we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
O(\epsilon^0): & \\
\left(D_0^2 + \omega_0^2\right) x_{10} &= 0 \quad (6) \\
\left(D_0^2 + \omega_0^2\right) x_{20} &= \omega_2^2 x_{10} \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
O(\epsilon^1): & \\
\left(D_0^0 + \omega_0^2\right) x_{11} &= F_1 \cos(\Omega_1 T_0) + x_{10} F_2 \cos(\Omega_2 T_0) \\
-2D_0 D_1 x_{10} - \alpha_1 x_{10}^2 - \alpha_3 x_{10}^3 - \varepsilon_1 D_0 x_{10}^2 - \varepsilon_2 (D_0^0 x_{10}) x_{10} \\
-\varepsilon_3 (D_0^0 x_{10} - D_0 x_{20}) + \alpha_5 x_{20} - \alpha_4 \left(x_{10}^2 - 2x_{10} x_{20} + x_{20}^2\right) & - \alpha_3 \left(x_{10}^3 - 3x_{10}^2 x_{20} + 3x_{10} x_{20}^2 - x_{20}^3\right) \\
\left(D_0^0 + \omega_0^2\right) x_{21} &= -2D_0 D_2 x_{20} + \omega_0^2 x_{11} \\
-\beta_1 \left(x_{20}^2 - 2x_{20} x_{10} + x_{10}^2\right) & - \beta_3 \left(x_{20}^3 - 3x_{20}^2 x_{10} + 3x_{20} x_{10}^2 - x_{10}^3\right) \\
-\varepsilon_4 (D_0 x_{20} - D_0 x_{10})
\end{align*}
\]

The general solution of Equations (6) and (7) can be
expressed in the form

\[ x_{10} = A_i \exp(i \omega_1 T_0) + cc \]  \hspace{1cm} (10) \\
\[ x_{20} = A_i \exp(i \omega_2 T_0) + A_i \Delta_i \exp(i \omega_1 T_0) + cc \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where \( \Delta_i = \alpha_i^2 / \left( \omega_1^2 - \alpha_i^2 \right) \) and \( A_i, A_2 \) are unknown functions in \( T_1 \), which can be determined from eliminating the secular terms at the next approximation, and \( cc \) stands for the conjugate of the preceding terms. The particular solutions of Equations (8) and (9) after eliminating the secular terms are given by:

\[ x_{11} = U_1 \exp(i \Omega_1 T_0) + U_2 \exp(i \Omega_2 T_0) + H_1 \exp(2i \omega_1 T_0) + H_2 \exp(3i \omega_1 T_0) \]

\[ + H_3 \exp(i \omega_2 T_0) + H_4 \exp(2i \omega_2 T_0) + H_5 \exp(3i \omega_2 T_0) + H_6 \exp(i \omega_1 \pm \omega_2) T_0 \]

\[ + H_7 \exp(i 2\omega_1 \pm \omega_2) T_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ x_{21} = U_1 \exp(i \Omega_1 T_0) + U_2 \exp(i \Omega_2 T_0) + H_1 \exp(2i \omega_1 T_0) + H_2 \exp(3i \omega_1 T_0) \]

\[ + H_3 \exp(i \omega_2 T_0) + H_4 \exp(2i \omega_2 T_0) \]

\[ + H_5 \exp(i 2\omega_1 \pm \omega_2) T_0 + H_6 \exp(i \omega_1 \pm \omega_2) T_0 \]

\[ + H_7 \exp(i \omega_1 \pm 2\omega_2) T_0 + H_8 \exp(i \Omega_1 T_0) + H_{18} \exp(2i \omega_1 T_0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

From the above derived solutions, the reported resonance cases are

1) **Primary resonance:** \( \Omega_1 \equiv \omega_1, \Omega_1 \equiv \omega_2 \)

2) **Sub-harmonic resonance:** \( \Omega_2 \equiv 2\omega_1 \)

3) **Internal resonance:** \( \omega_0 \equiv n \omega_1, \omega_0 \equiv n \omega_2, n = 1, 2, 3 \)

4) **Combined resonance:** \( \Omega_2 \equiv \omega_1 \pm \omega_2 \)

5) **Simultaneous resonance:** Any combination of the above resonance cases is considered as simultaneous resonance.

### 3. Stability of the System

The stability of the system is investigated at one of the worst resonance cases (confirmed numerically), which is the simultaneous primary, sub-harmonic and internal resonance where \( \Omega_1 \equiv \omega_1, \Omega_2 \equiv 2\omega_1 \) and \( \omega_0 \equiv \omega_0 \). Using the detuning parameters \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) such that:

\[
\Omega_1 = \omega_1 + \varepsilon \sigma_1, \Omega_2 = 2\omega_1 + \varepsilon \sigma_2, \omega_0 = \omega_0 + \varepsilon \sigma_3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Substituting Equation (14) into Equations (8) and (9) and eliminating the secular terms leads to the solvability conditions as

\[
2i \omega_1 A_i = - \left[ i \omega_1 \xi_1 + i \omega_1 \xi_3 + (i \omega_1 \xi_2 + 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_3) A_1 \bar{A}_1 \right] \]

\[ + 6\alpha_1 A_1 \bar{A}_1 \left[ A_1 \exp(-2i \sigma_1 T_i) + \bar{A}_1 \exp(2i \sigma_1 T_i) \right] \]

\[ + \left[ \alpha_3 + i \omega_1 \xi_2 + 6\alpha_1 A_1 \bar{A}_1 \right] \exp(i \sigma_1 T_i) \]

\[ - 3\alpha_3 A_1^2 \bar{A}_1 \exp(2i \sigma_1 T_i) + \frac{F_i}{2} \exp(i \sigma_1 T_i) \]

\[ + \bar{A}_1 \frac{F_i}{2} \exp(i \sigma_1 T_i) \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

To analyze the solutions of Equations (15) and (16), we express \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) in the polar form

\[ A_i = a_i \exp(i \mu_i) \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where \( a_i \) and \( \mu_i \) are real of \( T_1 \). Substituting Equation (17) into Equations (15) and (16) and separating real and imaginary part yields,

\[ a_i' = \left[ \xi_1 + \frac{\xi_3}{2} \right] a_i - \frac{\xi_2}{8} a_i^2 + \frac{F_i}{4 \omega_1} \sin \theta_i + \frac{\omega_0 \xi_2}{2 \omega_1} \cos \theta_i + \frac{\alpha_3}{2 \omega_1} \sin \theta_i \]

\[ a_i'' = \frac{3 \alpha_3}{8 \omega_1} \cos \theta_i a_i^2 - \frac{3 \alpha_3}{8 \omega_1} \sin \theta_i a_i^2 a_i + \frac{F_i}{2 \omega_1} \cos \theta_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

\[ a_i' = \left[ \xi_1 + \frac{\xi_3}{2} \right] a_i + \frac{\omega_0 \xi_2}{4 \omega_2} \cos \theta_i \]

\[ a_i'' = \frac{3 \beta_1}{4 \omega_2} + \frac{3 \beta_2}{8 \omega_2} \sin \theta_i a_i^2 a_i - \frac{3 \beta_1}{4 \omega_2} \sin \theta_i a_i^2 a_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

\[ \mu_i a_2 = - \left[ \frac{\omega_0 \xi_2}{2 \omega_2} \sin \theta_i \right] a_i + \frac{3 \beta_1}{4 \omega_2} + \frac{3 \beta_2}{8 \omega_2} \cos \theta_i a_i^2 a_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)
where
\[
\theta_i = \sigma_i T_i - \mu_i, \quad \theta_2 = \sigma_2 T_i - 2 \mu, \quad \theta_3 = \sigma_3 T_i - \mu + \mu_2 \tag{22}
\]

For the steady state solution \( \theta_* = \theta'_* = 0 \). Then from Equation (22) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu' &= \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2 = \sigma, \quad \mu'_2 = \sigma - \sigma_3 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Then it follows from Equations (18)-(21) that the steady state solutions are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
F &- \frac{\omega_2 \zeta_2}{2} a_i \cos \theta - \frac{\alpha_i}{2 \omega_2} \cos \theta - \frac{F_i}{2 \omega_2} \sin \theta = 0 \\
F &- \frac{\omega_2 \zeta_2}{2} a_i \cos \theta - \frac{\alpha_i}{2 \omega_2} \cos \theta - \frac{F_i}{2 \omega_2} \sin \theta = 0 \\
F &- \frac{\omega_2 \zeta_2}{2} a_i \cos \theta - \frac{\alpha_i}{2 \omega_2} \cos \theta - \frac{F_i}{2 \omega_2} \sin \theta = 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Solving the resulting algebraic equations yields two possibilities for the fixed points for each case.

**Case (1):** The controller is deactivated \((a_1 \neq 0, a_2 = 0)\), the frequency response equation can be obtained in the form (after eliminating all parameters corresponding to the controller).

\[
\begin{align*}
9 \alpha_2^2 &- \frac{\sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} a_i + \left( \sigma^2 + \frac{\zeta_2^2}{4} \right) a_i^2 - \frac{F_i^2}{4 \omega_1^2} a_i^2 = 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Case (2):** The controller is activated \((a_1 \neq 0, a_2 \neq 0)\), the resulting two equations are obtained

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma + \frac{9(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2}{64 \omega_1^4} a_i^4 &+ \left( \frac{3 \sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^3 + \left( \frac{3 \sigma + \zeta_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^2 + \left( \frac{\zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2}{4 \omega_1^3} \right) a_i + \left( \frac{\zeta_3}{4 \omega_1} \right) \right) a_i^2 \\
+ \frac{9 \alpha_2^2}{64 \omega_1^4} a_i^4 &+ \left( \frac{3 \sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^3 + \left( \frac{3 \sigma + \zeta_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^2 + \left( \frac{\zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2}{4 \omega_1^3} \right) a_i + \left( \frac{\zeta_3}{4 \omega_1} \right) \right) a_i^2 \\
+ \frac{9 \alpha_2^2}{64 \omega_1^4} a_i^4 &+ \left( \frac{3 \sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^3 + \left( \frac{3 \sigma + \zeta_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^2 + \left( \frac{\zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2}{4 \omega_1^3} \right) a_i + \left( \frac{\zeta_3}{4 \omega_1} \right) \right) a_i^2 \\
+ \frac{9 \alpha_2^2}{64 \omega_1^4} a_i^4 &+ \left( \frac{3 \sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^3 + \left( \frac{3 \sigma + \zeta_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^2 + \left( \frac{\zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2}{4 \omega_1^3} \right) a_i + \left( \frac{\zeta_3}{4 \omega_1} \right) \right) a_i^2 \\
+ \left( \frac{3 \sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^3 + \left( \frac{3 \sigma + \zeta_2}{4 \omega_1} \right) a_i^2 + \left( \frac{\zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2}{4 \omega_1^3} \right) a_i + \left( \frac{\zeta_3}{4 \omega_1} \right) \right) a_i^2 \\
+ \left( 9 \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma \alpha_2}{4 \omega_1} a_i + \left( \sigma^2 + \frac{\zeta_2^2}{4} \right) a_i^2 - \frac{F_i^2}{4 \omega_1^2} a_i^2 = 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]
4. Results and Discussion

The differential Equation (1) governing the oscillating main system is solved numerically (applied Runge-Kutta 4th order method) after eliminating all parameters corresponding to the controller.

Table 1 summaries the worst resonance cases with and without absorber.

Figure 1 shows the steady state amplitude and phase plane of the main system at the primary resonance where $\Omega_1 \approx \omega_1$. In this figure, we observe that the steady state amplitude is about four of the maximum excitation force amplitude $F_1$. The system is stable with multi-limit cycle and the response of the system is tuned with some chaos.

Figure 2 shows the steady state amplitude and phase plane of the main system at the sub-harmonic resonance case where $\Omega_2 \approx 2\omega_1$. We note that the steady state amplitude is about 3 of the maximum excitation force amplitude $F_1$. Also the chaotic wave motion will increase.

The steady state amplitude and phase plane of the main system at simultaneous primary and principle parametric resonance respectively where $\Omega_1 \approx \omega_1, \Omega_2 \approx 2\omega_1$ is shown in Figure 3. In this figure, the steady state amplitude is about 5 of the maximum excitation force amplitude $F_1$ respectively. The system is stable with fine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Amplitude ratio $(x_i/F_1)$ without absorber</th>
<th>Amplitude ratio $(x_i/F_1)$ with absorber</th>
<th>Amplitude ratio $(x_i/F_1)$</th>
<th>$E_s$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_1 \approx \omega_1$</td>
<td>500%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Multi-limit cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_2 \approx 2\omega_1$</td>
<td>500%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Limit cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_3 \approx 3\omega_1$</td>
<td>500%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Limit cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_4 \approx 4\omega_1$</td>
<td>500%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Limit cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_5 \approx 5\omega_1$</td>
<td>500%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Limit cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3\omega_1 \approx 2\omega_1$</td>
<td>500%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Limit cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. System behavior without absorber at $\Omega_1 \approx \omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ is a way from $\omega_1$. $\xi_1 = 0.05, \alpha_1 = 0.03, \alpha_2 = 0.04, F_2 = 0.3$.

Figure 2. System behavior without absorber at $\Omega_2 \approx 2\omega_1$ and $\Omega_1$ is a way from $\omega_1$.  

Copyright © 2012 SciRes. AM
limit cycle, denoting that the system is free from dynamic chaos.

4.1. Effect of the Controller

Figure 4 shows that the steady state amplitude of the system with absorber at the simultaneous resonance $\Omega_1 \equiv \omega_1$, $\Omega_2 \equiv 2\omega_1$, and $\omega_2 \equiv \omega_1$. It can be seen for the main system that the steady state amplitude is 0.33%, but the steady state amplitude of the controller is about 42% of excitation amplitude $F_1$. This means that the effectiveness of the absorber $E_a$ ($E_a = \text{the steady state amplitude of the main system without absorber/the steady state amplitude of main system with absorber}$) is about 1500. Also, the oscillations of the system and absorber have multi-limit cycle and limit cycle respectively.

4.2. Frequency Response Curves

The frequency response Equations (29) and (30), practical case are nonlinear algebraic equations, which are solved numerically. The numerical results are shown in Figures 5-14. These figures, show the steady state response-frequency curve for the stability second case (practical case), where $a_1, a_2 \neq 0$ in the case of simultaneous primary, sub-harmonic resonance in the presence of one-to-one internal resonance. Figure 5 shows that the effect of the detuning parameter $\sigma_1$ on the steady state amplitude of the main system. In this figure, we observe that, the amplitude $a_1$ has one continuous curve. From this figure, we observe that the steady state amplitude of the main system have multi-valued solutions.

For increasing excitation forces $F_1, F_2$ and for increas-
ing natural frequencies, we note that the steady state magnitude of the main system is increased as shown in Figures 6-8. Figures 9 and 10 show that as non-linear spring stiffness $\alpha_3$ or $\alpha_5$ are increased positively the continuous curve is moved downwards and has decreased magnitudes. It can be concluded that increasing the non-linear spring stiffness $\alpha_3$ or $\alpha_5$ can reduce the amplitude of the system and obtain the effect of reduction of the vibration amplitude. Also for negative value of non-linear spring stiffness $\alpha_3$ or $\alpha_5$ the response amplitude is bent to the left and the stability region is decreased.

Figure 11, shows that the effects of detuning parameter $\sigma_3$ on the amplitude of the absorber. From this figure, we observe that the steady state amplitude of the absorber has single-valued solution. From Figures 12-14 we note that for decreasing natural frequencies or decreasing non-linear parameter $\beta_2$ or decreasing linear damping coefficient $\zeta_4$ the curves diverge down and the steady state amplitude is decreasing.

5. Conclusions

The system consists of the main system and the absorber representing the vibration of many applications in machine tools, ultrasonic cutting process, subjected to ex-
seen from the results that the optimal working conditions are deduced to investigate system stability. It can be so internal resonance of the system is simultaneous primary, sub-harmonic and absorber maximum value. This means that the effectiveness of the amplitude of the main system is reduced to 0.33% of its

C

ing function to the excitation amplitudes above study the following may be concluded.

1) The optimum working conditions for the system are when, \( \Omega_1 \equiv \omega_1, \Omega_2 \equiv 2\omega_1, \omega_2 \equiv \omega_1 \). From the above study the following may be concluded.

2) The steady state amplitude is a monotonic increasing function to the excitation amplitudes \( F_1, F_2 \) and natural frequency \( \omega_1 \).

3) The zones of multi-valued region are increased for large values of \( F_1 \).

4) For increasing positive value of non-linear spring stiffness \( \alpha_3 \) the continuous curve is shifted downwards produce hard spring.

5) For negative values of \( \alpha_3 \), the curve is bent to the left produce soft spring; the region of unstable is increased.

6) The region of multi-valued is disappeared from the steady state amplitude of the absorber for increasing value of damping coefficient \( \zeta_4 \), and the continuous curve has a single-valued curve which is shifted downwards.

7) Increasing the non-linear spring stiffness \( \alpha_3 \) can reduce the amplitude of the main mass and obtain the effect of reduction of the vibration amplitude, which is a good agreement with Reference [14].

8) Numerical simulations show the system exhibits periodic motions and chaotic motions, which is a good agreement with Reference [14].
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