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Abstract

In this paper, we define the generalized linear models (GLM) based on the observed data with incomplete information and random censorship under the case that the regressors are stochastic. Under the given conditions, we obtain a law of iterated logarithm and a Chung type law of iterated logarithm for the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) \( \hat{\beta} \) in the present model.

Keywords: Generalized Linear Model, Incomplete Information, Stochastic Regressor, Iterated Logarithm Laws

1. Introduction

The generalized linear model (GLM) was put forward by Nelder and Wedderburn [1] in 1970s and has been studied widely since then. The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) \( \hat{\beta} \) of the parameter vector \( \beta \) in GLM was given and its strong consistency and asymptotic normality were discussed by Fahrmeir and Kaufmann [2] in 1985. The randomly censored model with the incomplete information was presented by Elperin and Gertsbakin [3] in 1988. The analysis of the randomly censored data with incomplete information has become a new branch of the Mathematical Statistics. Xiao and Liu [4] in 2008 discussed the strong consistency and the asymptotic normality of MLE \( \hat{\beta} \) of GLM based on the data with random censorship and incomplete information. Xiao and Liu [5] discussed laws of iterated logarithm for quasi-maximum likelihood estimator of GLM in 2008, meanwhile, Xiao and Liu [6] in 2009 discussed laws of iterated logarithm for maximum likelihood estimator of generalized linear model randomly censored with incomplete information under the regressors given. However, Lai and Wei [8], Zeger and Karim [9] have studied the linear regression model under the case that the regressors are stochastic. In the practical application, especially in the biomedical social sciences, the regressors in GLM are often stochastic. Fahrmeir [10] investigated GLM with the regressors \( X_1, \cdots, X_n \) which are independent and identically distributed and gave MLE of matrix parameter without proof under the given conditions. Ding and Chen [11] in 2006 gave asymptotic properties of MLE in GLM with stochastic regressors. So, in the present paper, we will investigate the law of iterated logarithm and the Chung type law of iterated logarithm for maximum likelihood estimator of generalized linear model randomly censored with incomplete information under the case that regressive variables \( X_i, i \geq 1 \) are independent but not necessarily identically distributed.

2. Model with the Random Regressor

Suppose that the response variables \( Y_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \) are one dimension random variables, and regressor variable \( X_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \) are q-dimension random variables which have the distribution functions \( K_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), respectively. Here, \( x_i \) is the observation value of \( X_i, \quad X_i \in S_i \). Write \( S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_i \). Suppose that the observations \( \{Y_i, X_i\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), are mutually independent and satisfy.
1) The regression equation:
\[ E[Y | X_i = x_i] = m(x_i^β), i \geq 1 \] (2.1)
where the unknown parameter \( \beta \in B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+. \)

2) The conditional distribution of \( Y_i \) under \( X_i = x_i \) is the exponent distribution, i.e.
\[ P(Y_i \in dy | X_i = x_i) = C(y) \exp\{\theta(y - b(\theta))\} \mu(dy), i \geq 1 \] (2.2)
where \( \mu \) is a \( \sigma \)-finite measure, parameter \( \theta \in \Theta \), \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \), \( \Theta = \{\theta: 0 < \int C(y) \exp\{\theta y\} \mu(dy) < \infty\} \) is the natural parameter space and \( \Theta_0 \) is the interior of \( \Theta \). Since this conditional density integrates to 1, we see that \( b(\theta) = \int C(y) \exp\{\theta y\} \mu(dy) \), and the variance, \( \text{Var}[Y_i | X_i = x_i] = b'(;\theta) \), where \( b(\cdot), b'(\cdot) \) denote the first and second derivatives of \( b(\cdot) \), respectively.

Suppose that the censor random variables \( U_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \) are mutually independent but not necessarily identically distributed, with the distribution function \( G_i(u) \) and \( dG_i(u) = g_i(u) \mu(du) \). Denote \( K_i(dx) = \tau_i(x) \mu(dx), i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \). Suppose that \( U_i \) is independent of \( (Y_i,X_i) \)

For \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), let \( \alpha_i = I_{(y_i,\alpha_i)} \),
\[ \delta_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Y_i < U_i, \text{but the real value of } Y_i \text{ isn't observed}, \\
1, & \text{else}, \\
Y_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 1, \delta_i = 1 \\
U_i, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
Obviously, \( \{ (Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, X_i), i = 1, 2, \cdots \} \) is a mutually independent and observable sample. The conditional density and distribution function of \( Y_i \) under \( X_i = x_i \) are respectively denoted as
\[ f(y, x_i^β) = C(y) \exp\{x_i^β y - b(x_i^β)\} \]
\[ F(z, x_i^β) = \int_\mathbb{R} C(y) \exp\{x_i^β y - b(x_i^β)\} \mu(dy) \]
\[ = P(Y_i < z | X_i = x_i) \]
Let \( G_i(z) = 1 - G_i(x) \),
\[ P(Z_i < z, \alpha_i = 1, \delta_i = 1 | X_i = x_i) = E \left[ I_{(y_i, \alpha_i)} I_{(y_i < U_i)} | Y_i, U_i, X_i = x_i \right] 1_{X_i = x_i} \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^z \int_{-\infty}^{x_i} P(\delta_i = 1 | Y_i = y, U_i = u, X_i = x_i) P(Y_i \in dy, U_i \in du | X_i = x_i) \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^z \bar{G}_i(y) f_i(y) \mu(dy) \]
\[ F(z, x_i^β) = 1 - F(z, x_i^β), \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \]

Suppose
\[ P(\delta_i = 1 | Y_i = y, U_i = u, X_i = x_i) = p, \]
if \( y < u, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{N}_1 \),
\[ P(\delta_i = 0 | Y_i = y, U_i = u, X_i = x_i) = 1 - p, \]
if \( y < u, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{N}_1 \),
where \( 0 \leq p \leq 1 \). This assumption came from T. Elperin and I. Gertsbak, [3]. In the reliability study, the instant of an item's failure is observed if it occurs before a randomly chosen inspection time and the failure is signaled. Otherwise, the experiment is terminated at the instant of inspection during which the true state of the item is discovered. T. Elperin and I. Gertsbak, assumed that the failure time of every item was signaled randomly with probability \( p \) before the randomly chosen inspection time. Then, we have
\[ P(Y_i < y, U_i < u | X_i = x) \]
\[ = P(Y_i < y | X_i = x) P(U_i < u), \ \forall y, u, x \]

Without loss of generality, assuming that \( X_i \) is discrete, we have
\[ P(Y_i < y, U_i < u | X_i = x) = P(Y_i < y | X_i = x) P(U_i < u) \] (2.5)

We first give the following propositions.

**Proposition 2.1.** Under the regular assumptions above, we have
\[ P(Z_i < z, \alpha_i = 1, \delta_i = 1 | X_i = x) \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^z \bar{G}_i(y) f_i(y) \mu(dy), \] (2.6)
\[ P(Z_i < z, \alpha_i = 1, \delta_i = 0 | X_i = x) \]
\[ = (1 - p) \int_{-\infty}^z F_i(y | x_i^β) dG_i(y), \] (2.7)
\[ P(Z_i < z, \alpha_i = 0 | X_i = x) \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^z F_i(y | x_i^β) dG_i(y). \] (2.8)

**Proof.** We only show (2.6) for the discrete case, the continuous case can be shown in the way similar to that of the discrete case.

\[ P(Z_i < z, \alpha_i = 1, \delta_i = 1 | X_i = x) = E \left[ I_{(y_i, \alpha_i)} I_{(y_i < U_i)} | Y_i, U_i, X_i = x_i \right] 1_{X_i = x_i} \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^z \int_{-\infty}^{x_i} P(\delta_i = 1 | Y_i = y, U_i = u, X_i = x_i) P(Y_i \in dy, U_i \in du | X_i = x_i) \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^z \bar{G}_i(y) f_i(y) \mu(dy) \] (2.9)
where (2.9) follows from (2.3) and (2.5). Similarly, we can demonstrate (2.7) and (2.8).

Suppose that \( z_i \) is the observation of \( Z_i \), \( \overline{\alpha} \) is the observation of \( \alpha_i \), \( \overline{\delta} \) is the observation of \( \delta_i \), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) imply that for all \( i \geq 1 \), the conditional distribution of \((Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i)\) under \( X_i = x_i \) is the following:

\[
\left[ p \overline{G_i}(z_i) f(z_i; x_i' \beta) \right]^{\overline{\alpha_i}} (1 - p) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \left[ \left( 1 - p \right) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \right]^{-\overline{\alpha_i}} \mu(dz_i) \]

(2.10)

Let

\[ Z_{(n)} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n), \quad \alpha_{(n)} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), \]
\[ \overline{\alpha}_{(n)} = (\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_n), \quad \delta_{(n)} = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n), \quad \overline{\delta}_{(n)} = (\overline{\delta}_1, \ldots, \overline{\delta}_n), \]
\[ X_{(n)} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n), \quad x_{(n)} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), \]
\[ x_i = (x_i, x_i, \ldots). \]

We easily get the following proposition.

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ p \overline{G_i}(z_i) f(z_i; x_i' \beta) \right]^{\overline{\alpha_i}} (1 - p) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \left[ \left( 1 - p \right) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \right]^{-\overline{\alpha_i}} \mu(dz_i) \], \quad n \geq 1

(2.13)

The conditional probability measure corresponding to (2.13) is written as \( P_{\beta}^X(*) \). Meanwhile, let \( E_{\beta}^X(*) \) and \( Var_{\beta}^X(*) \) denote the conditional expectation and conditional variance under the conditional probability measure \( P_{\beta}^X(*) \), respectively. Set \( \beta_{(n)} \) do-

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ p \overline{G_i}(z_i) f(z_i; x_i' \beta) \right]^{\overline{\alpha_i}} (1 - p) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \left[ \left( 1 - p \right) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \right]^{-\overline{\alpha_i}} \mu(dz_i) \tau_i(x_i) \mu(dx_i) \]

(2.14)

The probability measure (unconditional) corresponding to (2.14) is denoted as \( P_{\beta}^*(*) \). Meanwhile, let \( E_{\beta}^*(*) \) and \( Var_{\beta}^*(*) \) denote the expectation and variance under the probability measure \( P_{\beta}^*(*) \), respectively. For notational simplicity, let

\[ P(*) = P_{\beta}^*(*) \]
\[ E(*) = E_{\beta}^*(*) \]
\[ Var(*) = Var_{\beta}^*(*) \]

It is that the parameters in (2.14) are studied by us.

3. Main Results

Furthermore, from (2.14) we get the likelihood function of

\[ (Z_1, \alpha_1, \delta_1, X_1), \ldots, (Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \]

as follows

\[ L(\beta, Z_1, \alpha_1, \delta_1, X_1, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \]

Proposition 2.2. For all \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[
P(Z_{(n)} < z_{(n)}, \alpha_{(n)} = \overline{\alpha}_{(n)}, \delta_{(n)} = \overline{\delta}_{(n)} | X_{(n)} = x_{(n)})
\]
\[
= P(Z_{(n)} < z_{(n)}, \alpha_{(n)} = \overline{\alpha}_{(n)}, \delta_{(n)} = \overline{\delta}_{(n)} | X_{(n)} = x_{(n)})
\]
\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(Z_i < z_i, \alpha_i = \overline{\alpha}_i, \delta_i = \overline{\delta}_i | X_i = x_i)
\]

(2.11)

and

\[
P(Z_i < z_i, \alpha_i = \overline{\alpha}_i, \delta_i = \overline{\delta}_i | X_{(n)} = x_{(n)})
\]
\[
= P(Z_i < z_i, \alpha_i = \overline{\alpha}_i, \delta_i = \overline{\delta}_i | X_{(n)} = x_{(n)})
\]
\[
= P(Z_i < z_i, \alpha_i = \overline{\alpha}_i, \delta_i = \overline{\delta}_i | X_{(n)} = x_{(n)})
\]

(2.12)

where \( Z_{(n)} < z_{(n)} \) means \( Z_i < z_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Remark 2.1. Proposition 2.2 implies that under \( P(*) \), \( X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} \), \( U_i, i \geq 1 \) are mutually independent and so are \( Y_i, i \geq 1 \), and \( (Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i), i \geq 1 \).

(2.10) and (2.11) imply that the conditional distribution of \( ((Z_1, \alpha_1, \delta_1), \ldots, (Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n)) \) under \( X_{(n)} = x_{(n)} \) is

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ p \overline{G_i}(z_i) f(z_i; x_i' \beta) \right]^{\overline{\alpha_i}} (1 - p) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \left[ \left( 1 - p \right) F(z_i; x_i' \beta) g_i(z_i) \right]^{-\overline{\alpha_i}} \mu(dz_i) \tau_i(x_i) \mu(dx_i) \]

(2.13)

note the real value of \( \beta \). For notational simplicity, let

\[ E^X(*) = E_{\beta}^X(*) \]
\[ Var^X(*) = Var_{\beta}^X(*) \]

(2.14) implies that the joint distribution of is

\[ ((Z_1, \alpha_1, \delta_1, X_1), \ldots, (Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n)) \]

(3.1)

Taking the logarithm to (3.1) and dropping the terms which are free of \( \beta \) yield the logarithm likelihood function:

\[
I_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \alpha_i \delta_i \log f(Z_i; X_i' \beta) + \alpha_i (1 - \delta_i) \cdot \log F(Z_i; X_i' \beta) + (1 - \alpha_i) \log \overline{F}(Z_i; X_i' \beta) \right]
\]

(3.2)

where \( I_n(\beta; Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, x_i, \ldots, z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, x_n) \) is the logarithm likelihood function defined in Xiao and Liu [8].
We have the score function

\[ T'_n(\beta) = \frac{\partial l'_n(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \left[ \alpha_i \delta_i z_i - \hat{b}(X_i^T \beta) + \frac{\alpha_i (1 - \delta_i)}{F_i(z_i; X_i^T \beta)} \int_\mathbb{Y} y f(y; X_i^T \beta) \mu(dy) \right] + \frac{(1 - \alpha_i)}{F_i(z_i; X_i^T \beta)} \int_\mathbb{Y} y f(y; X_i^T \beta) \mu(dy) \]

(3.3)

where \( T_n(\beta; Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, X_i, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \) is defined as in Xiao and Liu [8]. And

\[ H_n(\beta) = -\frac{\partial^2 l_n(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i X_i^T \left[ \hat{b}(X_i^T \beta) + \alpha_i (1 - \delta_i) \int_\mathbb{Y} y f(y; X_i^T \beta) \mu(dy) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{F_i(z_i; X_i^T \beta)} \left( \int_\mathbb{Y} y f(y; X_i^T \beta) \mu(dy) \right)^2 \]

where \( H_n(\beta; Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, X_i, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \) is defined as in Xiao and Liu [8].

The solution of the logarithm likelihood equation

\[ T'_n(\beta) = 0 \]

(3.4)

is written as

\[ \hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}_n(Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, X_i, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \].

(3.5)

(3.3) and (3.4) imply that

\[ \hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}_n(Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, X_i, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \],

(3.6)

where \( \hat{\beta}_n(Z_i, \alpha_i, \delta_i, X_i, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, X_n) \) is defined as in Xiao and Liu [8]. The norm of matrix \( A = \{a_{ij}\}_{p \times q} \) is defined as \( \|A\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{q} a_{ij}^2} \). We write \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) as the usual inner product and \( e_s \) as the sth canonical basis in \( \mathbb{R}^q \). Let

\[ Y_1(z, \theta) = F^{-1}(z, \theta) \int_\mathbb{Y} y f(y; \theta) \mu(dy) \]

\[ Y_2(z, \theta) = F^{-1}(z, \theta) \int_\mathbb{Y} y^2 f(y; \theta) \mu(dy) \]

\[ Y_3(z, \theta) = \int_\mathbb{Y} y f(y; \theta) \mu(dy) \]

\[ Y_4(z, \theta) = \int_\mathbb{Y} y^2 f(y; \theta) \mu(dy) \]

where \( Y_i(z, \theta) \) is the MLE of \( \theta \). Hence, our first result states a law of the iterated logarithm for the maximum likelihood estimator of \( \beta_0 \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Under conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) and (C4), if \( \hat{\beta}_n \) is the MLE of \( \beta_0 \), then for \( 1 \leq s \leq q \), we have

\[ \sup_{\beta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_n \left[ L_s^1 \left( Z_i; x_i^T \beta \right) \right] \leq L_s < \infty, a.s., \]

(3.7)

\[ Y_1(z, \theta_0) - Y_1(z, \theta_1) \leq L_1(z, x) | \theta_1 - \theta_0 |, \]

(3.8)

\[ Y_2(z, \theta_0) - Y_2(z, \theta_1) \leq L_2(z, x) | \theta_1 - \theta_0 |, \]

(3.9)

\[ Y_4(z, \theta_0) - Y_4(z, \theta_1) \leq L_4(z, x) | \theta_1 - \theta_0 |, \]

(3.10)
\[ P_{n_0} \left\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{2 \log \log n} < e_s, \hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0 > \geq \sqrt{e_s^T Q(\beta_0) e_s} \left| X_\infty \right| \right\} = 1, \text{ a.s.} \]

and

\[ P_{n_0} \left\{ -\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{2 \log \log n} < e_s, \hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0 > \geq -\sqrt{e_s^T Q(\beta_0) e_s} \left| X_\infty \right| \right\} = 1, \text{ a.s.} \]

**Proof.** For arbitrarily given \( x_\infty = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), we regard the conditional probability measure \( P(\cdot | X_\infty = x_\infty) \) as the probability measure \( P(\cdot) \) defined in Xiao and Liu [8], and note that as \( X_\infty = x_\infty \) is given, MLE \( \hat{\beta}_n \) is equivalent to MLE

\[ \hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}_n(Z, \alpha_s, \delta_s, x_1, \ldots, Z_n, \alpha_n, \delta_n, x_n) \]

obtained in Xiao and Liu [8]. Thus, Remark 2.1 implies Theorem 2.1 in Xiao and Liu [8], and hence we have the desired results.

**Remark 3.1.** Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, we take expectations for the results above and immediately get

\[ P_{n_0} \left\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{2 \log \log n} < e_s, \hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0 > \geq \sqrt{e_s^T Q(\beta_0) e_s} \left| X_\infty \right| \right\} = 1, \text{ a.s.} \]

and

\[ P_{n_0} \left\{ -\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{2 \log \log n} < e_s, \hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0 > \geq -\sqrt{e_s^T Q(\beta_0) e_s} \left| X_\infty \right| \right\} = 1, \text{ a.s.} \]

Note that Theorem 3.1 establishes a law of iterated logarithm for each component of \( \hat{\beta}_n \). Our next result is a Chung type law of iterated logarithm. To this aim, we add and additional condition. For notational simplicity, let

\[ \omega_i(s) = e_i^T Q(\beta_0) X_i (X_i^T \beta_0) e_i \]

Then

\[ \omega_i^2(s) = e_i^T Q(\beta_0) X_i X_i^T \tau^T (X_i^T \beta_0) Q^T (\beta_0) e_i \]

We make the following assumption:

\[ (C5) \inf_{i \in I} E \left[ \omega_i^2(s) \left| X_\infty \right| \right] > 0, \text{ a.s., where} \]

\[ I = \left\{ i : E \left[ \omega_i^2(s) \left| X_\infty \right| \right] > 0 \right\} \text{ a.s.} \]

**Theorem 3.2.** Under conditions (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4) and (C5), if \( \beta_n \) is the MLE of \( \beta_0 \), then for \( 1 \leq s \leq q \), we have

\[ P_{n_0} \left\{ \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \log n}{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \left\{ \left| e_s, \hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0 > \right| \right\} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{8}} \left| e_s^T Q(\beta_0) e_s \right| \left| X_\infty \right| \right\} = 1, \text{ a.s.} \]

**Proof.** In the way similar to that of Theorem 3.1, we immediately obtain the desired result.

**Remark 3.2.** Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2, we take expectations for the results above and immediately get

\[ P_{n_0} \left\{ \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \log n}{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \left\{ \left| e_s, \hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0 > \right| \right\} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{8}} \left| e_s^T Q(\beta_0) e_s \right| \left| X_\infty \right| \right\} = 1 \]

**4. Conclusions**

The results obtained in the present paper are based on the case that the link function is a natural link function. However, Ding and Chen [9] gave the consistency and asymptotic normality of MLE \( \hat{\beta}_n \) of GLM under the case that the link function is of non-natural link, hence, the academicians who are interested in GLM may furthermore investigate the iterated logarithm law and Chung type iterated logarithm law of MLE \( \hat{\beta}_n \) of GLM under the case that the link function is of non-natural link.
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