Inductors of Resistance and Their Role in Photosynthesis and Antioxidant System Activity of Coffee Seedlings
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Abstract

We investigated the effects of formulations based on phosphite products on gas exchange and activity of antioxidant enzymes in coffee plants. Seedlings of the Mundo Novo cultivar were submitted to various treatments composed of different formulations of with potassium phosphite (ADB 120), manganese phosphite (Reforce Mn), and fungicide (Priority Xtra®). For coffee seedlings, the combination of potassium phosphite and citrus by-products, isolated or in a combination with other products elicited the antioxidant system. Besides the high activity of antioxidant enzymes, the photosynthetic rates were higher than other treatments. The better performance of coffee seedlings treated with those formulations occurred even in absence of pathogens.
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1. Introduction

Coffee production can be affected by biotic and abiotic factors that negatively influence yield and increase production costs. Among these factors there are high temperatures, drought, and diseases, mainly caused by fungi such as coffee rust and brown eyespot diseases [1]. Various management techniques such as afforestation, high

plant density, and irrigation have been developed to alleviate the problems caused by high temperatures and drought [2]. However, the treatment of coffee diseases is more challenging, relying on the application of chemicals, mainly fungicides, of which very few are commercially available [3].

One potential alternative to fungicides is the use of resistance inducers (elicitors) that respond to biotic and abiotic agents [4] [5]. Resistance inducers include phosphites and formulations based on plant extracts and by-products of plant agroindustries. When applied to plant leaves, phosphites can control diseases by two separate mechanisms: by directly affecting the pathogen and/or by activating the plant defense responses [6].

Plant response against pathogen is related to different physiological mechanisms such as generation of reactive oxygen species generation [7], changes in cell wall thickness, deposition of callose [8], increase in compounds of secondary metabolism [9] [10], and stimulation of networks controlled by plant hormones [11].

Phosphites are inducers of resistance in plants as they stimulate plant mechanisms of defense [8] [12]. Those compounds based on phosphites formulations have been used in defense against plant pathogens from genus such as Phytophora, Fusarium, Rhizoctonia, Erwinia, Peronospora, Plasmopora [8] [13]-[15] in different plant species.

Phosphites application in plants for induction of resistance is related to changes in pectin levels and in activity of enzymes related to the cell wall structure [16]; increases in activity of enzymes like phenylalanine ammonia-lyase that are involved in cellular defense and increases in synthesis of phenolic compounds [17]. There is also an increase in reactive species generation [8] and in the expression of genes related to the production the hormones ethylene, salicylic acid and jasmonic acid [18].

Many studies have been developed about the processes of resistance induction against pathogens by products based on phosphite formulations. Moreover, the mechanisms of induction of resistance and the changes promoted by phosphites are well known in plants under pathogen infestations. Nevertheless, there is a lack of works describing physiological changes induced by phosphites in plants in the absence of pathogens. In this study, we investigated the effects of formulations based on phosphite products on gas exchange and activity of antioxidant enzymes in coffee plants.

2. Material and Methods
The experiment was performed using 6-month-old seedlings of Coffea arabica L., cultivar Mundo Novo IAC 379-19. The seedlings were maintained in CO₂ concentrations of 405.51 ± 2.53 VPM (volume per million) and 60% humidity. The treatments were applied by hand sprayer, and gas exchange and harvesting for biochemical analyses were performed 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after spraying (DAS).

Treatment formulations were composed of isolates or mixtures (see Table 1) of manganese phosphate-P₂O₅ and Mn (Reforce Mn; Agrichem®), a fungicide (PrioriXtra®, which contains cyproconazole and azoxystrobin, Syngenta®) and potassium phosphite with citrus pulp residues (ADB 120, Agrichem®).

Ecophysiological evaluations were performed on the third and fourth fully expanded pair of leaves with the LI-6400XT Portable Photosynthesis System (LI-COR, Lincoln, USA). The evaluated parameters were: photosynthetic leaf rate (A), intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci), atmospheric CO2 concentration (Ca), stomatal conductance (gs), and transpiration (E). Carboxylation efficiency (CE) and water-use efficiency (WUE) were obtained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 Control</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 Reforce Mn (3.0 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Manganese phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 PrioriXtra® (0.5 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Fungicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 Reforce Mn (3.0 L·ha⁻¹) + PrioriXtra® (0.5 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Manganese phosphate + Fungicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 ADB 120 (3.0 L·ha⁻¹) + Reforce Mn (3.0 L·ha⁻¹) + PrioriXtra® (0.5 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Potassium phosphite plus citrus pulp residues + Manganese phosphite + Fungicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 ADB 120 (3.0 L·ha⁻¹) + PrioriXtra® (0.5 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Potassium phosphite plus citrus pulp residues + Fungicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7 ADB 120 (3.0 L·ha⁻¹) + Reforce Mn (3.0 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Potassium phosphite plus citrus pulp residues + Manganese phosphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8 ADB 120 (3.0 L·ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Potassium phosphite plus citrus pulp residues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from ratios of Ci/Ca and A/E, respectively. All evaluations were performed between 9 and 10 am with an artificial source of photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) in a chamber with 1000 µmol photons m⁻²·s⁻¹ (Blue + Red LED LI-6400-02B, LI-COR, Lincoln, USA).

The biochemical analyses were performed on the third and fourth fully expanded pair of leaves, which were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in an ultra freezer. For enzyme extraction, an extraction buffer (100 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.8, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM ascorbic acid) was added to 200 mg of the ground sample. The homogenized samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 g at 4°C for 20 min. Supernatants were collected and used for superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) analyses [19].

SOD activity was quantified as the ability to inhibit the photoreduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) according to [20]. CAT activity was evaluated by decreasing absorbance at 240 nm at 28°C for 3 min [21]. APX activity was evaluated as described by [22].

A split plot design was used with four replicates and eight treatments with four time-points evaluated (7, 14, 21, and 28 DAS). The experimental unit was composed of four plants. Statistical analyses were performed using the SISVAR program [23] and the Scott-Knott test (p < 0.05) was used for comparison of means.

3. Results and Discussion

There were no significant differences in photosynthetic rates between treatments during any of the evaluation time-points (Figure 1). However, after the second evaluation plants treated with formulations 5, 6, 7 and 8 were characterized by a higher photosynthetic rate. Plants from the other treatments showed lowered levels of photosynthesis after 14 DAS and maintained these lower levels until the final evaluation. There were no significant differences in carboxylation efficiency, transpiration, stomatal conductance, and water usage efficiency.

In the first week of the experiment, when there were no significant differences in photosynthetic rates (Figure 1), the temperature was approximately 29.5°C. Temperature values were constant until 21 DAS, reaching approximately 32.5°C at 28 DAS. Vapor deficit pressure (VDP) remained constant until 14 DAS, decreasing until 28 DAS. After 14 DAS photosynthetic rates remained constant in plants submitted to the treatments 5, 6, 7, and 8, but decreased in treatments 1, 2, 3, and 4. These results suggest that the most efficient formulations for maintaining photosynthesis in coffee seedlings under variable temperatures were composed of phosphite formulations. Phosphate application in high rates was related to the increase in leaf area index and reduction of infestation in soybean plants infected by downy mildew [24].

The SOD, CAT, and APX enzymes showed increased activity in the second time-point, decreased in the third and increased again in the final (fourth) time-point (Figure 2). In the last three evaluations, enzyme activities...
Figure 2. Activity of superoxide dismutase [SOD (a)], catalase [CAT (b)], and ascorbate peroxidase [APX (c)] of coffee seedlings at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after spraying (DAS) with by-product of citrus agroindustry and potassium phosphite (ADB 120), manganese phosphite (Reforce Mn), and fungicide (Priori Xtra®), individually or combined. The upper case letters are comparing treatments within time-point, whereas lower case letters are comparing treatments among all time-points (Scott-Knott test at 5% probability).
were higher in treatments 5, 6, 7, and 8 than the other treatments.

Taking into account that citrus by-products have high levels of ascorbate, the influence of this antioxidant molecule on activity of antioxidant enzymes and photosynthesis was investigated. The activation of the antioxidant system in coffee leaves was mainly observed in plants submitted to treatments containing ADB 120, that is a combination between phosphite and citrus by-products, when applied in isolation (treatment 8) or as part of a mixture (treatments 5, 6, and 7). In these plants, oxidative stress intensified by 14 DAS by induction of SOD (Figure 2(a)), which confers cellular protection to superoxide (O$_2^-$) and which generates H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$. The increase in SOD activity indicates not only an increase in superoxide radicals and oxidative stress, but also the rapid involvement of the enzyme in ROS detoxification.

In plants that have an efficient antioxidant system, toxic products generated in the cell are rapidly degraded by antioxidant enzymes [25]. In this work, the H$_2$O$_2$ resulting from the reaction catalyzed by SOD was metabolized by CAT (Figure 2(b)) or APX (Figure 2(c)) in a co-regulated system. CAT catalyzes H$_2$O$_2$ in O$_2$ and H$_2$O, whereas APX utilizes ascorbic acid as a reducing substrate and donates electrons to eliminate H$_2$O$_2$. Peroxidases are more efficient than catalase in H$_2$O$_2$ degradation, and function in a way to avoid lipid peroxidation, the main indication of oxidative damage [4]. Phosphite fertilization increased catalase activity in common beans plants in low concentrations and reduced this activity under higher concentrations [26]. In maize, phosphites stimulated eliciting biochemical responses by increasing guaiacol peroxidase activity and lignin biosynthesis [27]. In strawberry phosphites were related to higher fruit quality and stimulation of defense mechanisms by increasing anthocyanin production [28].

The coordinated action between the three enzymes observed in the plants submitted to the treatments 5, 6, 7, and 8, was essential to maintain cellular homeostasis by mitigating the damage caused by ROS. Thus, the success of the citrus by-products in resistance induction during stressful conditions can be explained, at least in part, by their ability to activate the antioxidant system of plants. In addition to antioxidant enzymes, plants have other antioxidant metabolites, such as ascorbate, which removes cytotoxic oxi-radicals and prevents damages caused by the effects of ROS [29]. In this work, the combination of potassium phosphite and citrus by-products was an external source of ascorbate, acting either directly or as a substrate for APX. In the ascorbate-glutathione cycle, the ascorbate is regenerated through the action of successive enzymes.

For coffee seedlings, the combination of potassium phosphite and citrus by-products, isolated or in a combination with other products elicited the antioxidant system. Besides the high activity of antioxidant enzymes, the photosynthetic rates were higher than other treatments. The better performance of coffee seedlings treated with those formulations occurred even in absence of pathogens. In this way, the combination of potassium phosphite and citrus by products can be used to enhance the tolerance of coffee plants to pathogens.

4. Conclusion

Coffee seedlings sprayed with potassium phosphite combined with by-product of citrus agroindustry (isolated or combined with other products) higher antioxidant enzymatic activities and maintained photosynthetic rates even in the absence of pathogens.
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