Weed Management in Spring Planted Cereals with Mesotrione
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ABSTRACT

There is little information on the efficacy of mesotrione for the control of broadleaved weeds in spring planted cereals under Ontario environmental conditions. A total of eight studies were conducted in Ontario over a two-year period (2010 to 2011) to evaluate cereal tolerance and weed control efficacy of mesotrione applied preemergence (PRE) at 25, 50, 100, 140, and 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ in spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat. Mesotrione, applied preemergence at the rates evaluated, caused no injury in either year in spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, or wheat evaluated at 1, 2 and 4 week after emergence (WAE). The predicted mesotrione rate required to give adequate control of AMBEL, CHEAL, POLCO and SINAR was generally greater than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$. The average yield of the weedy check was 81% of the weed-free check. According to the exponential to maximum regression, the mesotrione rates required to give 90%, 95% and 98% of the weed-free check were 15, 30 and 45 g ai ha$^{-1}$, respectively. To provide yield equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, 36 g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed. Based on these results, mesotrione applied preemergence at 25, 50, 100, 140, and 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ can be safely used in spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat. However, greater than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed to adequately control AMBEL, CHEAL, POLCO and SINAR.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, cereal production has increased in Ontario because of new, improved cultivars, reduced-till production systems and increased prices. Cereals including spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat are also ideal crops to include in the rotation as they help to maintain soil structure and break weed cycles. One of the most significant aspects of spring cereal production is weed management [1]. Herbicides registered in cereals have not changed appreciably in the past 20 years in Ontario [2]. Postemergence (POST) herbicides such as 2,4-D, MCPA, bromoxynil/MCPA, dicamba/MCPA, mecoprop, dichlorprop/2,4-D and thifensulfuron-methyl/tribenuron-methyl are still being used, either alone or in combination for the control of broadleaved weeds in cereals [2,3]. There have been reports of crop sensitivity in cereals with some of these herbicides in cereals [4]. Currently, there are no soil applied residual herbicides available for annual grass and broadleaved weed control in spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats and wheat in Ontario. More research is needed to determine tolerance and weed control efficacy of spring planted cereals to recently developed herbicides with a novel mode of action.

Mesotrione is a triketone that inhibits the p-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD) enzyme responsible for catalyzing the conversion of tyrosine to plastoquinone and α-tocopherol [5-7]. Mesotrione can be absorbed by the germinating seed, emerging root and shoot and is translocated in the xylem and phloem [8]. Mesotrione controls broadleaved weeds including Amaranthus...
retroflexus L. (redroot pigweed), Chenopodium album L. (common lamb’s-quarters), Xanthium strumarium L. (common cocklebur), Abutilon theophrasti Medic. (velvetleaf), Polygonum persicaria Mill. (lady’sthumb), and Ambrosia trifida L. (giant ragweed) and some grass species including Digitaria sanguinalis (L.) Scop. (large crabgrass) and Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) Beauv. (barnyardgrass) [8-10]. Injury symptoms with mesotrione in susceptible plants include bleaching of meristemic tissue followed by growth cessation and necrosis within 3-5 days [5,8]. Mesotrione has a favorable environmental and toxicological profile with relatively low toxicity to mammals, birds and aquatic species [10].

There is limited information published on the sensitivity of spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat to mesotrione and the efficacy of mesotrione for the control of broadleaved weeds in spring seeded cereals under Ontario environmental conditions. Mesotrione can provide growers with an additional herbicide option to provide season-long control of broadleaved weeds in Ontario.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Establishment

Field studies were conducted at the Huron Research Station, Exeter, Ontario in 2010 and 2011. The soil for study sites was a Brookston clay loam. Seedbed preparation consisted of moldboard plowing in the autumn followed by two passes with a cultivator with rolling basket harrows in the spring.

There were four trials established in each year (one for each cereal type: barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat) adjacent to each other as a randomized complete block design with four replications. Treatments included a weed-free control, a standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA applied POST at 560 g ai ha⁻¹ and mesotrione applied PRE at 25, 50, 100, 140, and 280 g ai ha⁻¹ and to evaluate the efficacy of mesotrione on common broadleaved weeds in Ontario.

2.1. Data Collection

Visible crop injury was rated on a scale of 0 to 100% (0 = no visible injury, and 100 = plant death) at 1, 2, and 4 weeks after emergence (WAE). Weed control was evaluated on a scale of 0 to 100% (0 = no weed control, and 100 = complete weed control) 4 and 8 WAE. Weed density and biomass by species were recorded from two half-meter quadrats in each plot at 8 WAE. All cereals were harvested in late July using a plot combine and yields were adjusted to 14.8%, 14.5%, 13.5%, and 14.0% moisture for barley, durum wheat, oats and wheat, respectively.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using non-linear regression (PROC NLIN) in SAS 9.2 [11]. The weed-free control and the bromoxynil/MCPA treatment were not included in regression analysis. Weed density and dry weight were converted to a percent of the weedy control and yield was converted to a percent of the weed-free control prior to analysis. The PROC MIXED procedure of SAS was used to determine if environments (comprising cereal types and years) could be combined for regression analysis. If the environment by mesotrione rate interaction was not significant, there was deemed to be no difference in response among cereal type and year combinations and environments were analyzed together.

All parameters were regressed against mesotrione rate, designated as RATE in the equations. The equation used for percent weed control (dose-response), using a four parameter log-logistic model was:

\[
Y = C + \left( \frac{D - C}{1 + \exp \left[ -b \left( \ln \text{RATE} - \ln I_{50} \right) \right] } \right)
\]

(1)

where C is the lower asymptote, D is the upper asymptote, b is the slope and I₅₀ is the dose which gives a response halfway between C and D.

The equation used for the one instance where dose-response did not fit the weed control data (CHEAL for 2011, 4 WAE), and for yield (exponential to maximum) was:

\[
Y = f + g \left( \exp \left[ -h \times \text{RATE} \right] \right)
\]

(2)

where f is the upper asymptote, g is the magnitude of the response and h is the slope of the response.

The equation used for percent density and dry weight (dose-response), using a four parameter log-logistic model was:

\[
Y = C + \left( D - C \right) / \left( 1 + \exp \left[ -b \left( \ln \text{RATE} - \ln I_{50} \right) \right] \right)
\]

(3)
where parameters are identical to Equation (2), the only difference is that $b$ is positive to reflect the change in direction of the response.

In cases where the dose-response equation did not fit density and dry weight, an inverse exponential equation was used:

$$Y = i + j \cdot \left(\exp[-k \cdot RATE]\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $i$ is the lower asymptote, $j$ is the magnitude of the response and $k$ is the slope of the response.

For POLCO density and dry weight, a linear equation best fit the data:

$$Y = a + m \cdot RATE$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $a$ is the intercept and $m$ is the slope.

3. Results and Discussion

Regression equations were used to calculate predicted mesotrione rates (g ai ha$^{-1}$) required to give 50%, 80% and 95% percent control of weed species or a 50%, 80% and 95% reduction in percent weed density or dry weight ($R_{50}$, $R_{80}$ and $R_{95}$), or the rate which gave 90%, 95% and 98% yield of the weed-free control ($R_{90}$, $R_{95}$, $R_{98}$). The predicted rate of mesotrione ($R_{eq}$) that gave the equivalent control (or reduction in density, dry weight, or yield) to the bromoxynil/MCPA (standard) treatment for a given weed species or the crop was also calculated. If any rate was predicted to be higher than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$, it was simply expressed as “$>$280” since it would be improper to extrapolate outside the range of rates evaluated in these experiments.

3.1. Crop Injury

There was no injury in either year for spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat to mesotrione applied preemergence at 25, 50, 100, 140, and 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 1, 2 and 4 WAE (data not shown). The level of injury observed in this study is similar to those found with currently used POST herbicides in Ontario such as 2,4-D, MCPA, dichlorprop plus 2,4-D, and bromoxynil plus MCPA [2,4,12].

3.2. Visible Weed Control

The primary weeds present at the study sites included *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* (AMBEL), *Chenopodium album* (CHEAL), *Polygonum convolvulus* (POLCO) and *Sinapis arvensis* (SINAR).

The predicted mesotrione rates required to give 50%, 80% and 95% control of AMBEL were 88, 242 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 4 WAE and 55, 184 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 8 WAE, respectively (Table 1). To provide AMBEL control equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, 201 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 4 and 8 WAE, respectively (Table 1).

The mesotrione rates required to give 50%, 80% and 95% control of CHEAL were 11 - 45, 26 - 85 and $46 - >280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 4 WAE and 35, 72 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 8 WAE, respectively (Table 1). To provide CHEAL control equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, 45 - 77 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 4 and 8 WAE, respectively (Table 1).

The mesotrione rates required to give 50%, 80% and 95% control of POLCO were 97, $>280$ and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 4 WAE and 53, 249 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 8 WAE, respectively (Table 1). To provide POLCO control equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 4 and 8 WAE (Table 1).

The mesotrione rates required to give 50%, 80% and 95% control of SINAR were 34, 107 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 4 WAE and 31, 73 and 192 g ai ha$^{-1}$ at 8 WAE, respectively (Table 1). To provide SINAR control equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, 76 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 4 and 8 WAE (Table 1).

3.3. Weed Density and Biomass

The predicted mesotrione rates required to give 50, 80 and 95% reduction were 246, $>280$ and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for AMBEL density and 183, $>280$ and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for AMBEL biomass, respectively (Table 2). To provide AMBEL density and biomass equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, greater than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 8 WAE (Table 2).

The predicted mesotrione rates required to give 50, 80 and 95% reduction were 41 - 66, 71 - 264 and 131 - $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for CHEAL density and 40, 101 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for CHEAL biomass, respectively (Table 2). To provide CHEAL density and biomass equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, greater than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 8 WAE (Table 2).

The predicted mesotrione rates required to give 50, 80 and 95% reduction were 46, 177 and $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for SINAR density and 17, 40 and 84 g ai ha$^{-1}$ for SINAR biomass, respectively (Table 2). To provide SINAR density and biomass equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, greater than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was needed at 8 WAE (Table 2).

The predicted mesotrione rates required to give 50, 80 and 95% reduction was $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for POLCO density and 216 to $>280$ g ai ha$^{-1}$ for POLCO biomass (Table 2). To provide POLCO density and biomass equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, greater than 280 g ai ha$^{-1}$ of mesotrione was
Table 1. Regression parameter estimates and predicted mesotrione rates from dose-response and exponential to maximum models of visual weed control 4 and 8 WAE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weed</th>
<th>WAE</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parameter estimates (±SE)</th>
<th>Predicted mesotrione rate g ai ha⁻¹</th>
<th>R50</th>
<th>R80</th>
<th>R95</th>
<th>Req</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMBEL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>93 (7)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>80 (10)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBEL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>92 (7)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>49 (7)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEAL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>92 (4)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>42 (3)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEAL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.10 (5.6)</td>
<td>91 (5)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>42 (11)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLCO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.49 (5.7)</td>
<td>100 (0)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLCO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>86 (12)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>42 (11)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINAR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.23 (2.1)</td>
<td>100 (0)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINAR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.14 (3.0)</td>
<td>100 (0)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>42 (11)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>&gt;280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AMBEL, common ragweed; CHEAL, common lamb’s quarters; POLCO, wild buckwheat; SINAR, wild mustard; WAE, weeks after spring grain emergence; ΔDose response parameters (Eq. 1): b, slope; C, lower asymptote; D, upper asymptote; I50, rate required for 50% response. Inverse exponential parameters (Eq. 2): f, upper asymptote; g, magnitude of response; h, slope of response; R50, R80, R95 and Req are the rates required to give weed control of 50%, 80%, 95% and equivalent to bromoxynil/MCPA, respectively, for a given weed species.

Table 2. Regression parameter estimates and predicted mesotrione rates from dose-response, inverse exponential and linear models of percent weed density and dry weight 8 WAE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weed</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parameter estimates (±SE)</th>
<th>Predicted mesotrione rate g ai ha⁻¹</th>
<th>R50</th>
<th>R80</th>
<th>R95</th>
<th>Req</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHEAL</td>
<td>Dens</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>99 (13)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>41 (9)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEAL</td>
<td>Dens</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>99 (13)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>65 (41)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEAL</td>
<td>Drywt</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>99 (11)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>41 (11)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEAL</td>
<td>Drywt</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>99 (11)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>47 (24)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AMBEL, common ragweed; CHEAL, common lamb’s quarters; Dens, percent weed density; Drywt, percent weed dry weight; POLCO, wild buckwheat; SINAR, wild mustard; WAE, weeks after spring cereal emergence; ΔDose response parameters (Equation (3)): b, slope; C, lower asymptote; D, upper asymptote; I50, rate required for 50% response. Exponential to maximum parameters (Equation (4)): f, lower asymptote; j, magnitude of response; h, slope of response. Linear parameters (Equation (5)): a, intercept; m, slope; R50, R80, R95 and Req are the rates required to give a 50%, 80%, 95% and equivalent to bromoxynil/MCPA reduction in percent density or dry weight, respectively, for a given weed species.

3.4. Yield

The average yield of the weedy control was 81% of the weed-free control. According to the exponential to maximum regression, the mesotrione rates required to give 90, 95 and 98% of the weed-free control were 15, 30 and 45 g ai ha⁻¹, respectively. To provide yield equivalent to the standard treatment of bromoxynil/MCPA, 36 g ai ha⁻¹ of mesotrione was needed (data not shown). In other studies, there was no difference in yield of barley, oats and wheat to the PRE application of herbicides such as saflufenacil [1] and mesotrione [13] which is similar to the yield response of cereals to currently used POST herbicides such as 2,4-D amine, bromoxynil plus MCPA, and dichlorprop plus 2,4-D [2,4]. Mesotrione applied POST reduced the yield of spring wheat as much as 14% but had no adverse effect on the yield of spring barley or spring oats in another study [13]. Saflufenacil applied POST also reduced yield of spring barley 24% and spring wheat 13% but had no effect on the yield of spring oats [1]. Other studies have shown cereal yield reduction of as much as 39% with dicamba applied POST alone, or in combination with other herbicides such as 2,3,6-TBA.
MCMA or mecoprop [1,3,4,14-17].

4. Conclusion

Based on these results, mesotrione applied preemergence at 25, 50, 100, 140, and 280 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) can be safely used in spring planted barley, durum wheat, oats, and wheat. The predicted mesotrione rate required to give adequate control of AMBEL, CHEAL, POLCO and SINAR was generally greater than 280 g ai ha\(^{-1}\). Further studies are needed to evaluate mesotrione applied preemergence at rates greater than 280 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) for the control of broad-leaved weeds in spring planted cereals.
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