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**ABSTRACT**

The goal of this work was to analyze the phenological and physiological responses of *Brassica rupestris* Raf. subsp. *hispida* Raimondo & Mazzola and *Brassica rupestris* Raf. subsp. *rupestris* to environmental factors also in consideration of global change. We used seedlings of the two subspecies originated from wild plants from the natural habitats in Sicily and cultivated in the Botanical Garden of Rome. Leaf morphological and physiological traits and growth dynamic were analyzed as well as the response to an imposed water stress experiment. The results underlined a higher relative growth rate in plant height (RGRH, cm·cm$^{-1}$·d$^{-1}$) in *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* also attested by the highest plant height (H, cm), leaf area (LA, cm$^2$), specific leaf area (SLA, cm·g$^{-1}$), total leaf area per plant (TLA, cm$^2$) and total plant dry mass (PDM, g plant$^{-1}$).

Moreover, the significantly highest net photosynthetic rates ($A$, µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$) during the study period of this subspecies was related to the high Chlorophyll content (Chl, SPAD units). *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* was also the most tolerant subspecies to imposed water stress showing the highest relative water content (RWC, %), $A$ and water use efficiency (WUE, µmol·mmol$^{-1}$). On the whole, the results underline significant differences in plant and leaf traits of two subspecies allowing us to hypothesize that *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* might be at a competitive advantage with respect to the hypothesized increase of air temperature and decrease of water availability in the Mediterranean Basin.
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**1. Introduction**

The genus *Brassica* belongs to the family of *Brassicaceae* that is characterized by 435 genera and 3675 species worldwide [1]. This genus is remarkably known for having more important agricultural and horticultural crops than any other genus; in addition to the cultivated species, many of the wild species grow as weeds, especially in North America, South America and Australia [1].

Sicily is one of the main centres of diversification for wild species belonging to the same cytodeme of *Brassica oleracea* L. [2-4]. In particular, the section *Brassica* of the genus *Brassica* growing in Sicily and in the small surrounding islands is represented by five distinct species [2,4,5]. Among these *Brassica rupestris* Raf. is endemic to North-West Sicily [2,6].

On the basis of morphological characters, as well as ecological and geographical data, infraspecific taxa have been distinguished [2,7]. Subspecies, both geographically and morphologically distinct, were not found to be appreciably distant genetically, probably because of their recent evolution; molecular assay also detected a high level of polymorphism among the wild Sicilian populations [2]. In particular, *Brassica rupestris* Raf. subsp. *hispida* Raimondo & Mazzola differs from *Brassica rupestris* Raf. subsp. *rupestris* by glaucous and more densely hairy leaves, and by the general smaller size [7]. *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* grows in a mountainous area in the South of Palermo (north-facing limestone cliff, from 800 to 1300 m a.s.l.) while *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* has a wider distribution (limestone cliffs between sea level and 1100 m a.s.l.) and also grows in Stilo.
in Calabria [7]. Both subspecies are included in the Red List of the Italian Flora, respectively as endangered (EN) and low risk (LR) [8,9]. Their populations, in fact, are often restricted in size and distribution, basically because of the limited areas of cliffs, the competition with other species and the human disturbance (i.e. grazing, fire, quarries) [3]. Consequently, some of these populations need to be preserved by genetic resource conservation measures [10].

It has been estimated that species endemic to a single country represent 46% - 62% of world flora [11,12]. Rarity and endemism represent two factors which have particular significance when considering the risk of extinction and decline, and species most prone to extinction currently are often considered to be those that are naturally rare [13]. Past extinctions, in fact, have been primarily concentrated within relatively small endemic-rich areas, and it is these regions that hold the key to current threat [13].

In this context, the goals of this work were to analyze the phenological and physiological responses of B. rupestris subsp. hispida and B. rupestris subsp. rupestris to environmental factors also in consideration of global change.

2. Materials and Methods

Experiments were carried out at the Botanical Garden of Rome (41°53′53″ N, 12°28′46″ E; 53 m a.s.l., Latium) in the period April 2009 - December 2010. Plant material was obtained from the Germplasm Bank of Palermo Botanical Garden. Seeds of Brassica rupestris Raf. subsp. hispida Raimondo & Mazzola and Brassica rupestris Raf. subsp. rupestris, collected respectively at Mt. Pizzuta (37°59′34″N, 13°15′45″E; 1305 m a.s.l., Palermo, Sicily) and at Mt. Pellegrino (38°09′57″ N 13°21′15″E; 580 m a.s.l., Palermo, Sicily), were sown in the middle of April 2009. Seedlings were grown in a glasshouse in 15 cm clay pots filled with peaty soil (pH 4.5). Thirty days after sowing, fifty two-leaved seedlings of each subspecies were transplanted into clay pots (24 cm in diameter and 32 cm in depth) filled with potting compost and placed under local environmental conditions.

2.1. Climate

Natural habitats of two subspecies were under a Mediterranean type of climate. The average annual air temperature of Mt. Pizzuta was 16.3°C and the average annual rainfall was 1130 mm; the mean minimum air temperature of the coldest month (February) was 4.1°C and the mean maximum air temperature of the hottest month (August) was 33.1°C (Meteorological Stations of Piana degli Albanesi, 37°59′44″N 13°16′37″E; 744 m a.s.l., data for the period 1994-2003). Dry period was from June to August (68.5 mm of total rainfall during that period). The average annual air temperature of Mt. Pellegrino was 19.6°C and the average annual rainfall was 562 mm; the mean minimum air temperature of the coldest month (February) was 9.6°C and the mean maximum air temperature of the hottest month (August) was 31.3°C (Meteorological Stations of Palermo, Osservatorio Astronomico, 38°06′41″N 13°21′13″E; 37 m a.s.l., data for the period 1994-2003). Dry period was from May to August (83.7 mm of total rainfall during that period).

The climate of Rome was of Mediterranean type, and most of the total annual rainfall (698 mm) occurred in autumn and winter (Meteorological Station of the Collegio Romano, 41°53′54″N 12°28′46″E; 63 m a.s.l., data for the period 2000-2010). The mean minimum air temperature of the coldest month (January) was 4.8°C, the mean maximum air temperature of the hottest months (July-August) was 31.0°C and the annual mean air temperature was 16.8°C. Dry period was from June to August (59 mm of total rainfall during that period). During the study period, the mean maximum air temperature in July 2010 (the hottest month) was 32.6°C and in January (the coldest month) was 4.6°C.

2.2. Phenology and Growth Dynamic

Phenological observations (time of seedling emergence, stem elongation, leafing) were carried out every three days, on 30 selected plants per subspecies, during the growing season.

Growth parameters included plant height (H, cm), leaf area (LA, cm²), specific leaf area (SLA, cm·g⁻¹), total leaf area per plant (TLA, cm²), total plant dry mass (PDM, g·plant⁻¹), relative growth rate in plant height (RGRH, cm·cm⁻¹·d⁻¹), relative growth rate in plant dry mass (RGRM, g·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) and plant growth efficiency (LE, m²·g⁻¹). LA measurements were carried out on leaf samples at the middle position of the stem (four leaves per plants), when its maximum surface was attained [14], using an area meter (Image Analysis System, Delta-T Devices, UK). SLA was calculated as LA to leaf dry mass (DM, g) after oven drying at 80°C to constant mass, according to [15]. H was measured until its maximum was attained. Ten plants per subspecies at maximum H were harvested and then separated into stem, leaves and roots. TLA was determined for all plants. Dry mass of each fraction was recorded after oven drying at 80°C to constant mass, and PDM was determined.

RGRH was calculated, according to [16], as RGRH = ln H2-ln H1/t2-t1, where H1 and H2 were plant height at time t1 (seedling emergence) and t2 (maximum plant height). RGRM was calculated, according to [17], as RGRM = ln PDM2-ln PDM1/t2-t1, where PDM1 and PDM2 were the total plant dry mass at time t1 (emergence) and t2 (maxi-
mum total plant dry mass). The plant growth efficiency (LE, m³·g⁻¹) was calculated as LE = ΔH × TLA/ΔPDM, where ΔH and ΔPDM were plant H growth increment and PDM increment, calculated over the growing season, according to [18,19].

2.3. Gas Exchange

Gas exchange measurements were carried out monthly from May 2009 to May 2010. Net photosynthetic rates (A, μmol CO₂ m⁻²·s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (gs, mol H₂O m⁻²·s⁻¹), transpiration rates (E, mmol H₂O m⁻²·s⁻¹) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, μmol photon m⁻²·s⁻¹) were measured by an open infrared CO₂ gas analyzer (ADC LCA4, UK), equipped with a leaf chamber (PLC, ADC, UK). Measurements were carried out on cloud-free days (PAR > 1000 μmol photon m⁻²·s⁻¹), in the morning (from 9.30 to 12.30) to ensure that near-maximum daily photosynthetic rates were measured [20]. On each sampling occasion, fully expanded leaves were used; the measurements were carried out on five plants per subspecies (three leaves per plant).

2.4. Chlorophyll Content

Total chlorophyll content (Chl, SPAD units) was measured seasonally by a SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter (Minolta, Japan), according to [21,22]. The measurements were carried out on fully expanded leaves after cleaning the surface dust. Measurements were carried out on five plants per subspecies (three leaves per plant) and three readings per leaf were averaged to account for within-leaf variations [23].

2.5. Imposed Water Stress

The water stress experiment was conducted in July 2010. Water was withheld from 10 plants per subspecies to generate water stress, according to [24]. The well-watered control plants (10 plants per subspecies) were watered regularly to field capacity. During the experiment, diurnal air temperature was 27.3°C and air humidity 59%.

Water status, as expressed by predawn and midday leaf water potential (ψpd, ψm), was measured on three fully expanded leaves per plant, before, during and after the water stress treatment. ψ (MPa) was measured using a pressure chamber (SKPM 1400, Sky Instruments, UK). Predawn and midday leaf relative water content (RWCpd, RWCm) were calculated at the same time, on three leaves per plant, as (FM-DM)/(TM-DM) × 100 [25], where FM was the fresh leaf mass, DM the dry mass and TM the fully hydrated samples. Leaf samples were hydrated until saturation for 48 h at 5°C in the dark and then dried in an oven at 80°C until constant mass. Gas exchange measurements (A, gs, E) were monitored during the experiment on three fully expanded leaves per plant, in the morning. The instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE, μmol mmol⁻¹) was calculated as the ratio between A and E, according to [26].

2.6. Statistics

Differences in the considered variables were determined by an analysis of variance (ANOVA). Simple regression analysis was carried out to examine the correlation between the considered physiological variables. All statistical tests were performed using a statistical software package (Statistica, Statsoft, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Phenology and Growth Dynamic

The phenological trend of *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* was characterised by the seedling emergence at the end of April, respectively 12 ± 1 days and 15 ± 2 days after sowing, when the daily mean air temperature was 15.8°C ± 2.1°C and the mean minimum air temperature was 11.7°C ± 1.6°C.

The analyzed growth parameters are reported in Table 1. The maximum H (20.3 ± 1.1 and 56.5 ± 3.5 cm, respectively for *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris*) was reached forty-three weeks after the beginning of the vegetative activity. The mean value of RGRH was 0.0175 ± 0.0010 cm·cm⁻¹·d⁻¹ for *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and 0.0208 ± 0.0020 cm·cm⁻¹·d⁻¹ for *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris*. Leaves were produced con-

Table 1. Mean values (± standard error) for the considered plant traits in *Brassica rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and *Brassica rupestris* subsp. *rupestris*. H = plant height; LA = leaf area; SLA = specific leaf area; TLA = total leaf area per plant; PDM = total plant dry mass; RGRH = relative growth rate in plant height; RGRD = relative growth rate in plant dry mass; LE = plant growth efficiency. Mean values are significantly different (ANOVA, p < 0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth parameters</th>
<th>Brassica rupestris subsp. <em>hispida</em></th>
<th>Brassica rupestris subsp. <em>rupestris</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H (cm)</td>
<td>20.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>56.5 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA (cm²)</td>
<td>77.1 ± 12.0</td>
<td>109.6 ± 16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA (cm²·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>137.2 ± 17.1</td>
<td>164.3 ± 15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLA (cm²)</td>
<td>1 052.3 ± 17.1</td>
<td>1273.5 ± 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDM (g)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>24.3 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGRH (cm·cm⁻¹·d⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0175 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>0.0208 ± 0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGRD (g·g⁻¹·d⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0160 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0181 ± 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE (m³·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00161 ± 0.00010</td>
<td>0.00295 ± 0.00030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.2. Gas Exchange

During the study period, *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* showed significantly higher values of measured gas exchange parameters than *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* (Figure 1). The highest $A$ ($26.7 \pm 0.5$ and $33.7 \pm 0.4$ µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$, respectively for *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris*; an average of the two years) was measured in May, when the daily mean air temperature was $19.6^\circ C \pm 1.3^\circ C$, decreasing by 50% in August ($31.1^\circ C \pm 1.8^\circ C$ mean maximum air temperature), and by 70% in January ($4.4^\circ C \pm 1.5^\circ C$ mean air temperature) (Figure 1). $g_s$ and $E$ showed the highest values in spring and summer and the lowest ones in winter (Figure 1). There were significant correlations between $g_s$ and $A$ (Figure 2).

3.3. Chlorophyll Content

*B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* showed significantly higher values of Chl than *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* (Figure 3). The highest Chl was found in spring ($51.1 \pm 1.2$ and $43.9 \pm 1.6$ SPAD units respectively) and autumn ($50.8 \pm 1.9$ and $43.8 \pm 1.7$ SPAD units respectively), decreasing in summer by 2% in *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and 7% in *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* and by 2.6% and 12%, respectively in winter. There were significant correlations between Chl and $A$ (Figure 2).

3.4. Imposed Water Stress

In the control plants, predawn and midday RWC and $\psi$ were constant during the experimental period, and differences between subspecies were not significant (Table 2; Figure 4). Stressed plants showed a progressive reduction of RWC and $\psi$ and a higher reduction was observed after 4 days of water stress, when differences between subspecies were significant (Table 2; Figure 4). The reduction of RWC and $\psi$ was greater in *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* ($53.7\% \pm 1.0\%$ and $-4.04$ MPa, at midday) compared with *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* ($61.3\% \pm 1.2\%$ and $-3.58$ MPa, at midday). Upon re-watering, the plant water status returned to that of the prior water stress after 1 day.

In both subspecies, the drought-induced decline in RWC and water potential was accompanied by large reductions in gas exchange (Figure 5). Differences between subspecies were significant and after 4 days of water stress, *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida* showed lower...
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Figure 2. Regression analysis between the considered physiological variables for *Brassica rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and *Brassica rupestris* subsp. *rupestris*. \( A = \) net photosynthetic rates; \( g_s = \) stomatal conductance; \( \text{Chl} = \) Chlorophyll content. Regression equation, determination’s coefficient \( (R^2) \) and \( p \) level are shown.

Figure 3. Seasonal trend of chlorophyll content (Chl) of *Brassica rupestris* subsp. *hispida* and *Brassica rupestris* subsp. *rupestris*. Standard error is shown. Mean values are significantly different (ANOVA, \( p < 0.05 \)).

values of \( A \), \( g_s \), and WUE. Upon re-watering, in addition to the plant water status, the gas exchange returned to that of prior to water stress after 1 day.

4. Discussion

The geographical distribution of species can be perceived as a result of underlying multiple factors varying in space and time [27]. It is widely considered that the occurrence of species in a given locality is constrained by climatic processes on broad spatial scales [28], whereas the availability of appropriate habitat determines distribution patterns on local scales [29,30].

The Mediterranean climate is characterised by a hot dry period in summer and a cool wet period in winter.
In Mediterranean climates, the length of the growing season is constrained to a short period in spring and early summer [32,34]. Therefore, a rapid deployment of leaf area and a high photosynthetic capacity during this period might have important positive effects on the final carbon balance of the leaf population [47].

On the whole, the results underline significant differences in plant and leaf trait responses of B. rupestris subsp. rupestris and B. rupestris subsp. hispida to environmental factors. Seedling emergence of two subspecies happened at the end of April, when the daily mean air temperature was 15.8°C ± 2.1°C, and maximum H was reached in the middle of June, when the daily mean air temperature was 23.9°C ± 1.8°C. Since plant size is an important determinant of survival and reproduction in nature, differences in RGR are of central importance in plant ecology [48]. The mean RGRH and RGRM were both highest in B. rupestris subsp. rupestris in respect to B. rupestris subsp. hispida, and at the end of the growing season the two subspecies showed wide variations in their growth parameters. In particular, higher TLA of B. rupestris subsp. rupestris (1273.5 ± 21.2 cm² compared to 1052.3 ± 17.1 cm² of B. rupestris subsp. hispida) maximised light interception resulting in higher biomass accumulation (24.3 ± 2.8 g plant⁻¹ respect to 3.3 ± 0.7 g plant⁻¹ of B. rupestris subsp. hispida), according to the results obtained for other species [32,49-51].

SLA is an important plant functional trait as it is an indicator of ecophysiological characteristics like relative growth rate, stress tolerance and leaf longevity [15]. [52] underline that SLA is one of the major contributors to an axis of resource capture, usage and availability and it appears as a trait with more direct ecological interpretation in comparative studies [53]. Higher SLA is beneficial for obtaining a more extensive foliage display that captures more light for constant biomass investment [54]. Among the studied subspecies, the highest SLA was found in B. rupestris subsp. rupestris, confirming that fast-growing species (i.e. higher RGR) show high SLA [53].

According to [22], in this study photosynthetic pigments should be related to SLA and leaf type. The pubescent leaves of B. rupestris subsp. hispida have, during the year, a lower Chl than the glabrous leaves of B. rupestris subsp. rupestris. These differences may arise because the pubescence may serve to change the spectral quality of incoming radiation as well as reflecting a proportion of it, thereby minimizing harm to the photosynthetic apparatus [22,55,56]. Variation in pigment concentration and light-harvesting capacity has been linked with differences in overall photosynthetic capacity [57,58]. During the study period, B. rupestris subsp. rupestris showed significantly higher A values than B. rupestris subsp. hispida, reaching the maximum values (33.7 ± 0.4 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ compared to 26.7 ± 0.5 of B. rupestris
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subsp. *hispida*) in May, when the daily mean air temperature was 19.6 ± 1.3.

Photosynthesis in plants is closely related to plant water potential [59]. Decreasing water status in plants is commonly linked to decreased photosynthesis and decreased production [60,61]. Under drought, ψ and RWC of *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* were higher than *B. rupestris* subsp. *hispida*, which appears to be the most susceptible to soil moisture limitations, as was expected based on its distribution in areas with high year-round soil moisture levels [62-64]. Similarly, *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* was able to maintain higher A, higher g, and higher WUE in water stress. In particular, higher WUE is expected to be the favorable trait in water-limited environments, where water loss is minimized [65-68].

In conclusion, the results allow us to hypothesize that *B. rupestris* subsp. *rupestris* might be at a competitive advantage with respect to the increase of air temperature and drought stress. Ecological requirements and mobility affect the scale on which species respond to their environment [30]. Knowing the potential growth of red-listed species and their resource use capability is aimed in facilitating efficient allocation of management efforts to critical regions with high conservation value [14].
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