Effect of Mercuric Compounds on Pine and Sycamore Germination and Early Survival
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ABSTRACT

Mercury pollution has become an important current issue as a result of its environmental effects on a global scale. The Oak Ridge Reservation, established in 1942, was the designated site for the construction of the atomic bomb. During a 20-year period from 1944-1963 radioactive and toxic chemical pollutants, especially mercury compounds were released into the surrounding waterways. A germination study was conducted to investigate the ability of three tree species, American sycamore (Platanus occidentalis), shortleaf pine (Pinus echinata), and loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) seeds to germinate in mercuric nitrate (Hg(NO₃)₂) and methylmercury chloride (CH₃HgCl) solutions. A subsequent greenhouse study was conducted to assess the phytotoxic effects of different mercuric solutions on Platanus occidentalis (American Sycamore), inoculated with soils from East Fork Poplar Creek. We also measured vegetation stress by Near Infrared (NIR) spectroscopy. The wavelengths examined were those that are specific to chlorophyll and several carotenoids, which are involved in photosynthesis: 430 nm (Chl a), 448 nm (Chl b, carotenoids), 471 nm (carotenoids), 642 nm (Chl b), 662 & 680 nm (Chl a). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to identify patterns in sycamore leaf spectral data. Under in vitro conditions, as mercury concentration increased above 100 mg·kg⁻¹, germination of all species decreased, with P. echinata being the least sensitive. Germination was inhibited more when seeds were exposed to methyl mercury chloride than to mercuric nitrate. Organic species of mercury proved to be more toxic than inorganic species of mercury in our greenhouse study. Significant changes occurred in levels of all pigments sampled (p₄₃₀, p₄₄₈, p₄₇₁, p₆₄₂, p₆₆₂, and p₆₈₀) over the course of the experiment. NIR spectroscopy was not sensitive enough to detect other chemical changes to foliage following mercury application.
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1. Introduction

Mercury pollution is of particular importance due to its deleterious effects on aquatic plants and animals [1-3], soil microbial, plant and fungal communities [4-6]. In the 1950s (particularly 1956-1958) and early 1960s, over 1080 metric tons of mercuric nitrate and elemental mercury (Hg) were released into the environment through the soil. Subsequently, mercury compounds were discharge into East Fork Poplar Creek (EFPC), from the US Department of Energy Y-12 site in Oak Ridge, Tennessee USA [7,8]. East Fork Poplar Creek’s head water begins at the Y-12 Facilities located on the Oak Ridge Reservation. From the 1950s and early 1960s, mercury was used to separate lithium isotopes during the production of nuclear weapons [8]. This 15-mile long creek flows through the city of Oak Ridge in Anderson County and empties into the Clinch River in Roane County, TN. Levels of total Hg in the floodplain soils along the creek ranged from 0.5 to 3000 mg·kg⁻¹ in 1984 [7]. Several streams of various sizes (White Oak Creek, Mitchell Branch, McCoy Branch, Bear Creek, Poplar Creek, and East Fork Poplar Creek) which run through the Oak Ridge Reservation have been heavily impacted by radionuclides, trace metals (especially mercury), and polychlorinated biphenyls. Following remediation (i.e. pump-and-treat, in-situ anaerobic bioremediation and hydrofracture) of EFPC and other tributaries by the US Department of Energy and Science Application International Corporation [9,10], the total remaining soil Hg ranged from 0 to 200 mg·kg⁻¹ along transects, with some areas >200 mg·kg⁻¹. Lindberg et al. [11] reported that roughly 75% of total soil Hg was bound to organic matter in the forest soil at Walker Branch Watershed (Tennessee). Forests of the EFPC floodplain therefore received an acute dose of mercuric nitrate and elemental Hg during the 1950s, followed by
the chronic presence of Hg exposure over the past 50 years.

Several authors have investigated the seed developmental stage of the plant life cycle and the ways how essential and non-essential metal ions can affect developmental processes in vegetation [12-14]. Plant establishment can also be affected by mercury; Mishra and Choudhuri [15] observed reduction in root and shoot elongation and decreased germination in two rice cultivars exposed to Pb and Hg, and noted that Hg was more phytotoxic than Pb. Mercury toxicity also varies between compounds, with methylmercury being of much greater toxicity than inorganic mercury salts to a variety of life forms [16]. Within forest communities, heavy metal pollution has been shown to influence primary processes in plants, fungi, algae and bacteria [12,17,18]. However little is known about the effects of mercury on germination or early survival of tree species. Here we evaluate the ability of, American sycamore (Platanus occidentalis), loblolly pine (Pinus echinata), and shortleaf pine (Pinus taeda) to germinate in various mercury solutions. P. occidentalis was selected because of its abundant presence in mercury contaminated soils along the creek bank of EFPC in Anderson and Roane Co., Tennessee. The pine species were selected due to their ability to tolerate soil exposed to heavy metals [19]. We initially determine lethal and non-lethal concentrations for each species and test whether germination rate for each species decreases as mercuric concentration increases. The results of this germination study were used to develop the appropriate Hg treatments solutions for the subsequent greenhouse study. We test whether an inoculation treatment using EFPC soils enhance tolerance to different mercuric applications [20], monitor early seedling survival and measure the leaves of American sycamore using Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIR) as a tool to monitor changes in leaves due to mercury exposure.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Germination Experimental Design

Seeds of American sycamore, loblolly, and shortleaf pine were obtained from Sheffield Seed Company, New York. Seeds were sterilized for three minutes in a 10% bleach solution, and soaked in deionized water for 1 hour. Twenty-five seeds of pine were placed on 95 mm diameter Petri-plates lined with filter paper, while 50 seeds of sycamore were placed on 35 mm diameter Petri-plates lined with filter paper.

Stock solutions (500 mg·kg⁻¹) of mercuric nitrate (Hg(NO₃)₂) and methyl mercury chloride (CH₃HgCl) were prepared by mixing 0.27 grams of each compound in 540 mL of deionized water. The stock solution was further diluted to obtain final concentrations of 5, 10, 50, 100, and 500 mg·kg⁻¹. Depending on plate size, either 3 or 10 mL of mercuric solution was applied, to saturate filter paper without submerging seeds.

Each species (sycamore, loblolly and shortleaf) was germinated in each of the two mercuric solutions (treatment), Hg(NO₃)₂ and CH₃HgCl. Each treatment was replicated four times. Seeds were germinated in vitro in an incubation environment based on optimum conditions [21-23]: sycamore and pine species were placed in an incubator with 8 hours of light at 30°C and 16 hours of dark at 20°C. Germination percentage was recorded on day 7, 9, 12, 22, 37, and 44 for sycamore seeds and day 7, 13, 15, 22, 38, and 44 for pine species. On days 9 - 15, viable seedlings from Pinus sp. and P. occidentalis were transplanted into sterilized 8" pots containing 400 g of sterile 2:1 vermiculite/sand media.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for mean differences between block (experiment) by treatment interaction for each tree species for the germination study. ANOVA repeated measure combining the 4 replications using a Randomized Complete Block Design was used to test for differences in mean germination rate by tree species, mercury species, and mercury concentration, using day as a repeated measure. All data analysis was performed by SAS 9.1.3.

2.2. Soil Sampling Experimental Design

During June 2007, three blocks B1 (N36°00.52', W084°14'), B2 (N36°00.33', W084°16.82') and B3 (N35°57.243', W084°38.19') were established within the floodplain of East Fork Poplar Creek (EFPC) perpendicular to the creek bank. The Natural Resources Conservation Service has classified soils along EFPC as Inceptisols. These Newark silt loams are somewhat poorly drained and are moderately acid to moderately alkaline. Historical data for soil total Hg concentration along EFPC obtained from Science Application International Corporation (SAIC, 1994), was used to establish plots along the creek. Three Hg contamination levels, low (0 - 50 mg·kg⁻¹), medium (50 - 200 mg·kg⁻¹) and high (>200 mg·kg⁻¹) were established within each of the blocks, and circular plots were randomly placed within each of these areas. Plot size was 168.1 m² (0.017 hectare), with 3 plots each for low and medium levels, and one high level within Block 1 (1A, 1B, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G,1H) and Block 3 (3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 3E, 3F, 3G). Block 2 (2A, 2B, 2C) was smaller in area and contained one plot in each contamination level. The high/medium/low classification of some plots was later changed based on the results of our soil analysis.

2.3. Experimental Design for Greenhouse Study

Soil cores obtained from plots recorded as “high” plots (1D and 2A) along EFPC were used to inoculate syca-
of Mercuric Compounds on Pine and Sycamore Germination and Early Survival

more seeds in plastic trays (21" × 11" × 2"). Eight seed beds, (two controls, two inoculated with soil cores from plot 1D, two inoculated with soil cores from plot 2A, and two inoculated with a combination of 1D and 2A (combo)) were established with all beds containing sterilized 2:1 (by volume) vermiculite/sand media. Commercial sycamore seeds of Tennessee origin were obtained from Sheffield Seed Company, New York. The seeds were sterilized for three minutes in a 10% bleach solution, soaked in deionized water for 1 hour and planted in the beds (per company protocol). The seed beds were placed in the greenhouse, allowed to establish for 2 months, and watered with 25% Hoagland's solution throughout the study [24].

After two months, the sycamore seedlings were transplanted into individual pots containing sterilized 2:1 (by volume) vermiculite/sand media (400 g per pot) and allowed to establish for an additional 6 weeks, at which point they were approximately 10 cm tall with several pairs of mature leaves.

We used a randomized split plot design, where Hg treatment was the whole plot within each block. Four Hg treatments were the whole plot factors (methyl mercury chloride (CH3HgCl), mercuric nitrate (Hg(NO3)2), methyl mercury chloride + mercuric nitrate (CH3HgCl + Hg(NO3)2), and no mercury). Within the whole plot, inoculant treatments were the four subplot factors (1D, 2A, 1D + 2A and no inoculate). The experimental block (B1) was replicated once. Seedlings established in the four inoculation treatments (1D, 2A, 1D + 2A, and no inoculate) were randomly assigned to tubs with a total of 24 seedlings per tub (6 seedlings for each inoculate treatment). Each tub represented one of the four Hg treatments (CH3HgCl, Hg(NO3)2, CH3HgCl+Hg(NO3)2, and no mercury). Tubs were used to keep contaminated solutions contained. Seedlings were watered once a week with either Hg(NO3)2, CH3HgCl, a combination of CH3HgCl + Hg(NO3)2 or deionized water. Mercury solution was made such that total Hg concentration in a liter of deionized water was 100 mg·kg⁻¹. Watering cans were used to directly water the leaves of the seedlings. One liter of solution was randomly assigned to each of the treatments which contained 24 seedlings each. Seedlings were treated weekly from 9/24/08 to 10/22/08. Fifteen days (10/8/08) into the experiment seedlings, treated with any combination of methyl mercury chloride were terminated due to plant mortality. The Hg treatment continued until the completion of the experiment for seedlings that received Hg(NO3)2 and deionized water.

To minimize volatilization of mercuric compounds, which occurs rapidly above 30°C, a cooling system using chilled water circulating through copper tubing was set up below the tubs to keep soil temperature at or below 30°C during the experiment. Soil temperature was taken three times a day (morning, afternoon and evening). The temperature during the month of September averaged 28.1°C during the day to 16.5°C at night, and during the month of October averaged 22.4°C during the day to 9.2°C at night. Daily observations were taken to note changes in foliar appearance such as color, curling and wilting.

2.4. NIR Analysis of Sycamore Leaves

Spectra obtained from vegetation during stress or senescence typically show increased overall reflectance in the visible, due to loss of chlorophyll, and decreased reflectance in the NIR, due to damage to leaf cell walls and mesophyll tissue [25-27]. NIR spectra were collected from the leaves of living sycamore seedlings during the one month of mercury treatment using a portable leaf near infrared probe attached to a spectrometer (LabSpec® Pro). Eight spectra were collected from sycamore seedlings leaves in each of the four mercury treatments on days 2, 4, 7, 10, 22 of the experiment. We measured vegetation stress by analyzing the reflectance spectra, therefore the entire 350 - 2500 nm spectral interval was examined. After initial analysis, we focused on the following wavelengths at which the maximum peaks of chlorophyll and several carotenoids are found: 430 nm (Chl a), 448 nm (Chl b, carotenoids), 471 nm (carotenoids), 642 nm (Chl b), 662 & 680 nm (Chl a) [28].

2.5. Pigment Analyses

Repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the effects of each mercury treatment (CH3HgCl, CH3HgCl + Hg(NO3)2, Hg(NO3)2, control) on each of the six wavelengths characteristic of photosynthetic pigments, and collected from the visible region by near infrared spectroscopy (430 nm (Chl a), 448 nm (Chl b, carotenoids), 471 nm (carotenoids), 642 nm (Chl b), 662 & 680 nm (Chl a)). Before analysis, log transformation of pigment data was performed to normalize the data. Since there are 6 pigments × 4 treatments × 4 days (96 F-test), significance levels were adjusted to minimize Type 1 error using a Bonferroni adjustment where, 4 trt × 4 days = 16 tests, so 16/0.05 = 0.003 [29]. The new alpha, α = 0.003 was used instead of 0.05 to evaluate day test and paired days tests within each treatment. Day was a repeated measure. Statistical analyses were run in SAS 9.1.3.

2.6. Multivariate Analyses of Spectra

A multivariate technique is required to extract structural information from spectral data due to high inter-correlation between spectra. Principal component analysis (PCA) is an unsupervised exploratory analysis of spectral data. Outliers can be detected and patterns in spectral data,
through grouping or clustering, are observed. Multivariate analysis of the near-infrared spectra was performed using Unscrambler v. 9.2 software (CAMO Software Inc., Woodbridge, NJ). All spectra were modified by reduction (averaging) of wavelength by 4 and mean normalized prior to PCA analyses.

2.7. Mercury Analysis

Sycamore seedling roots and shoots were harvested after one month of mercury treatment and analyzed for total mercury concentration. Fresh roots and shoots were rinsed in deionized water in a three step process to remove debris, and ground in liquid nitrogen. Samples were analyzed for total mercury concentration by cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS) by Western Kentucky University, Institute of Combustion Science and Environmental Technology.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Mercuric Compounds Effect on Germination

Both pine species germinated in Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ and CH$_3$HgCl solutions $\leq$ 500 ppm, and $\leq$ 100 ppm, respectively. American sycamore germinated in Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ and CH$_3$HgCl solutions $\leq$ 10 ppm. Tukey’s test for mean differences resulted in a significant interaction for loblolly pine (P < 0.0002) but, not for sycamore and shortleaf pine for block (experiment) by treatment. The 4-way interaction was significant (P < 0.001) so each tree species was evaluated separately for a 3-way interaction (mercury species x concentration x day). The data meet normality assumptions but, due to unequal variances between treatment levels a rank transformation was conducted (Table 1). Data are presented only for day 12, when maximum total germination was recorded (Figures 1(a)-(b)).

From our germination study we can see that the ability for certain tree species to germinate in in vitro conditions depends on type of mercury compound, mercury concentration applied, and tree species. Our results show that at the highest concentration of mercuric nitrate solution both pines species germinated, but shortleaf was generally much more sensitive than loblolly to mercury treatment (Figures 1(a)-(b)). Sycamore germination was greatly affected by concentrations above 10 mg·kg$^{-1}$.

The effects of methyl mercury chloride on seed germination proved to be more harmful, similar to the results of Godbold and Hutterman [4] who observed reduced root elongation in spruce seedlings exposed to Pb and Hg compounds, with methylmercury being intrinsically more toxic. After removal from mercury treatment, germinating seeds of the two pines species followed the same trend, where germinates previously exposed to methyl mercury chloride at concentrations greater than 10 mg·kg$^{-1}$ died over the following 2 week period (Figures 2(a)-(b)), and the number of sycamore surviving from any treatment was too small to analyze. However mercuric nitrate presence had no discernible negative effect on seedling survival in the post-treatment period. Fargašová [12] investigated the acute effects of five heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Hg, As, and Cr) on seed germination and root growth of mustard seed (Sinapis alba) and found that metal addition had minimal toxic effect on mustard seed germination, but reduced root growth and nutrient uptake. Our results suggest that methylmercury chloride has a threshold level of toxicity between 10 and 50 mg·kg$^{-1}$, probably due to irreversible effects on membrane permeability, as has been previously shown for leaf tissue [30]. A comparison of electrolyte leakage following the method of Zwiazek and Blake [31] (data not shown) showed that seedlings germinated in our 50 mg·kg$^{-1}$ treatment had membrane permeability that was 46% greater than that in lower methylmercury chloride and mercuric nitrate solutions, which were all similar.

3.2. The Effect of Mercury Treatment on Sycamore Leaf Spectra

Total Hg concentrations in Hg-treated roots ranged from 638.5 to 3438 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Hg, whereas Hg in treated shoots (stem and leaf) ranged from 78.6 to 246 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Hg. In sycamore seedling roots from the control treatment, total Total Hg concentrations in Hg-treated roots ranged from 638.5 to 3438 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Hg, whereas Hg in treated shoots (stem and leaf) ranged from 78.6 to 246 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Hg. In sycamore seedling roots from the control treatment, total Hg ranged from 3 to 17 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Hg. Shoots from the same samples ranged from 1.0 to 3.8 mg·kg$^{-1}$ Hg. These seedlings were watered with deionized water so, any Hg found in control seedlings roots presumably came from Hg vapours circulating in the air. Mercury treatments were randomly placed with dissimilar treatments adjacent to each other. Since Hg is very volatile, the ver-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Num df</th>
<th>Den df</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platanus occidentalis</td>
<td>Mer x Con x Day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus echinata</td>
<td>Mer x Con x Day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus taeda</td>
<td>Mer x Con x Day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.0042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Effect of mercury x concentration x day on germination rate for each species.
miculite/sand media may have allowed volatilization of Hg, thereby affecting all sycamore seedlings, and exposing the leaves and soil media of the control seedlings to Hg vapours.

Sycamore seedlings that received CH\(_3\)HgCl or CH\(_3\)HgCl + HgNO\(_3\) developed red discolorations on their leaves by day 6 following the initial Hg treatment. By day 8, early senescence and leaf wilting was apparent in all the leaves of seedlings exposed to CH\(_3\)HgCl. After day 11 all CH\(_3\)HgCl treatments were terminated due to seedling death. Control and Hg(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) treatments remained viable until the completion of the experiment. Mercuric nitrate treatment had no observable effects on leaf color or health of the sycamore seedlings.

The spectra of a healthy plant has characteristic strong absorptions at ~450 nm and ~680 nm due to chlorophylls and strong reflectance in the NIR arising from internal scattering of light from the cell wall-air interfaces [32]. When vegetation is stressed, spectra show increased reflectance in the visible light range due to loss of chlorophyll and decreased reflectance in the NIR range. Due to damage to leaf cell walls and mesophyll tissue [25-27]. There were differences such as discoloration, wilting and curling of leaves observed especially when sycamore seedlings were watered with any methyl mercury treatment. As mentioned above, the seedlings in treatments that received methyl mercury chloride expired before the end of the experiment, so pigment analyses was conducted only on days 2, 4, 7 and 10. We further examine the effects of each mercury treatment on each wavelength characteristic of photosynthetic pigment by days sampled (Table 2). There was an overall significant dif-
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3.3. NIR Analysis of Sycamore Leaves

To further examine the effects of mercury on leaf chemistry we explored spectra in the near infrared region, 1.0 to 2.5 μm (1000 - 2500 nm) of the mercury treated sycamore leaves by day and mercury treatment. Near-infrared spectra were visually similar, showing two bands at 1443 nm and 1930 nm over the selected spectral range (Figure 3). Several possible compounds in plant leaf tissue, such as water (with peaks at 1430 and 1930 nm), starch (with peaks at 990, 1220, 1560, 1700, 1770, 1930 nm) or cellulose (1200, 1480, 1930 nm) could be possible absorptive components present. Water has been shown to mask the absorption features of plant compounds (i.e. cellulose, starch, lignin, etc.) in the NIR [34].
Table 2. Mean reflectance at six wavelengths (p430, p448, p471, p642, p662, p680) for sycamore seedling leaves on days 2, 4, 7, and 10 during mercury treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.15±0.02</td>
<td>0.10±0.06</td>
<td>0.12±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃HgCl</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.14±0.01</td>
<td>0.12±0.13</td>
<td>0.12±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.14±0.01</td>
<td>0.18±0.23</td>
<td>0.11±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>0.16±0.03</td>
<td>0.13±0.02</td>
<td>0.16±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>0.15±0.02</td>
<td>0.10±0.06</td>
<td>0.13±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃HgCl</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.14±0.01</td>
<td>0.12±0.14</td>
<td>0.13±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.15±0.01</td>
<td>0.18±0.23</td>
<td>0.12±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>0.16±0.03</td>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.02</td>
<td>0.11±0.07</td>
<td>0.14±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃HgCl</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.16±0.01</td>
<td>0.14±0.16</td>
<td>0.14±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.16±0.01</td>
<td>0.20±0.23</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>0.18±0.04</td>
<td>0.16±0.03</td>
<td>0.19±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>0.11±0.03</td>
<td>0.36±0.06</td>
<td>0.22±0.13</td>
<td>0.25±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃HgCl</td>
<td>0.11±0.02</td>
<td>0.36±0.08</td>
<td>0.29±0.25</td>
<td>0.36±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo</td>
<td>0.10±0.02</td>
<td>0.41±0.07</td>
<td>0.43±0.20</td>
<td>0.38±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.13±0.03</td>
<td>0.40±0.11</td>
<td>0.38±0.05</td>
<td>0.37±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p662</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
<td>0.23±0.03</td>
<td>0.16±0.10</td>
<td>0.19±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃HgCl</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
<td>0.23±0.03</td>
<td>0.21±0.22</td>
<td>0.24±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.25±0.03</td>
<td>0.30±0.22</td>
<td>0.24±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.08±0.02</td>
<td>0.26±0.07</td>
<td>0.25±0.04</td>
<td>0.27±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
<td>0.24±0.02</td>
<td>0.16±0.10</td>
<td>0.19±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃HgCl</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.24±0.02</td>
<td>0.19±0.21</td>
<td>0.21±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.24±0.02</td>
<td>0.27±0.23</td>
<td>0.21±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
<td>0.25±0.05</td>
<td>0.25±0.04</td>
<td>0.27±0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means and their corresponding letters are listed. Means with the same letter labels are not significantly different.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed only on days 2, 4, and 10 due to a shift in the spectral data on day seven. Analysis was conducted on the whole data set to determine variations between mercury-treated and control seedlings (Figure 4). Following mercury treatment on day 2, there were no differences in mercury-treated and control leaves indicating that mercury treatments had not affected seedling tissue, but on day 4, there seemed to be a chemical change in all leaves when analyzed by NIR. Even though control seedlings received deionized water, mercury was found in the roots (<16 mg·kg⁻¹) and shoots (leaves and stems) (<3 mg·kg⁻¹) of these seedlings when analyzed post experiment. Control treatments were randomly placed alongside mercury treatments to ensure the same experimental regime. All treatments were administered as a solution where sycamore seedlings were randomly watered.
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Figure 4. Principal component analysis (PCA) score plot of spectra from leaves treated with deionized water, Hg(NO₃)₂, CH₃HgCl and Hg(NO₃)₂ + CH₃HgCl.
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