Alternating Group Explicit Iterative Methods for One-Dimensional Advection-Diffusion Equation

Ning Chen, Haiming Gu*

College of Mathematics and Physics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, China
Email: guhm@ns.qd.sd.cn

Received 30 June 2015; accepted 28 August 2015; published 31 August 2015

Copyright © 2015 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract
The finite difference method such as alternating group iterative methods is useful in numerical method for evolutionary equations and this is the standard approach taken in this paper. Alternating group explicit (AGE) iterative methods for one-dimensional convection diffusion equations problems are given. The stability and convergence are analyzed by the linear method. Numerical results of the model problem are taken. Known test problems have been studied to demonstrate the accuracy of the method. Numerical results show that the behavior of the method with emphasis on treatment of boundary conditions is valuable.
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1. Introduction
In this paper, we consider the one-dimensional time-dependent advection-diffusion equations

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = b \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < x < L, 0 < t < T \\
u(0,t) = g_1(t), \quad 0 < t < T \\
u(1,t) = g_2(t), \quad 0 < t < T \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 < x < L 
\end{array} \right.
\]

(1)
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where $u_0(x), g_1(t), g_2(t)$ are known functions while the function $u$ is unknown; $a$ and $b$ are positive constants quantifying the diffusion and advection processes, respectively. Equation (1) describes advection-diffusion of quantities such as heat, energy, mass, etc. They find their application in water transfer in soils, heat transfer in draining film, spread of pollutants in rivers and dispersion of tracers in porous media. They are also widely used in studying the spread of solute in a liquid flowing through a tube, long-range transport of pollutants in the atmosphere, flow in porous media and many others (see [1] [2]). This method has been applied and analyzed for the Timoshenko beam problem, the Reissner-Mindlin plate, the arch problem and the ax symmetric shell problem. As a major contribution for these mixed formulations, this method has overcome the difficulties concerning the usual stability conditions allowing combinations of simple finite element polynomials of almost any order including the attractive equal-order interpolations.

They are also important in many branches of engineering and applied science. These equations are characterized by a non-dissipative (hyperbolic) advective transport component and a dissipative (parabolic) diffusive component. All numerical profiles go well when diffusion is the dominant factor. On the contrary, when advection is dominant transport process, most numerical results exhibit some combination of spurious oscillations and excessive numerical diffusion. These behaviors can be easily explained using a general Fourier analysis. Little progress has been made to overcome such difficulties effectively. Using extremely fine mesh is one alternative but is not prudent to apply it as it is computationally costlier. So a great effort has been made on developing the efficient and stable numerical techniques.

The numerical methods that have been applied to advection-diffusion equation include finite difference methods, Galerkin methods, spectral methods, wavelet based finite elements and several others. The AGE method is an iterative method employing the fractional splitting strategy which is applied alternately at each intermediate step on tridiagonal system of difference schemes. Its rate of convergence is governed by the acceleration parameter $r$. The AGE iterative method is applied to a variety of problems involving parabolic and hyperbolic partial differential equations (see [3]-[6]). In [7], Sahimi and Evans reformulated the AGE method to solve the Navier-Stokes equations in the stream function-vorticity form. In this paper we apply the AGE iterative method to the one-dimensional advection diffusion equation. We put forward a new iterative method by numerical differentiation and also extend the original method. The AGE method is shown to be extremely powerful and flexible and affords its users many advantages. Computational results are obtained to demonstrate the applicability of the method on some problems with known solutions.

2. Finite Difference Discretization

Let us divide the domain $K = [1, N]$ into $N$ equal sized elements of length $h = L/N$. The $N + 1$ nodes have coordinates $x_i$ with $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. The discrete solution consists of nodal values of the function $u_i$, which approximate the exact solution at the nodes $x_i$. We denote $\tau = t_{n+1} - t_n$ be time interval, $U^n_i$ be approximation solution, where $x_i = (i-1)h, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m+1; \quad L = n\tau, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots, J = \lfloor T/\tau \rfloor$. Let $r = \tau/h^2$ to be a ratio of mesh sizes. In this paper, we special discuss the AGE methods for $m = 8, J = L/m$.

Introduce the following fourth order difference form as

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right)_i = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i-1}^{n+1}}{h^2} + u_i^{n+1} - 2u_i^n + u_i^{n+1} \right]$$

$$+ O(\tau^2 + h^4),$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\tau} + O(\tau^2)$$

Applying the second class Saul’yev asymmetric difference schemes (see [8]), this leads to the following eight difference form based eight internal grid points as

$$U^{n+1}_{i+1} - 8r (2b-a) U^{n+1}_{i+1} + r (b-a) U^{n+1}_{i+2}$$

$$- 2r (b+a) U^{n+1}_{i-2} + 16r (2b+a) U^{n+1}_{i-1} + (1-45br) U^n_{i-1} + 8r (2b-a) U^n_{i+1} - r (b-a) U^n_{i+2},$$

$$275$$
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3. AGE Iterative Methods

Now, we can construct AGE iterative forms based on (4)-(11). Let \( m = 8J \). For the time level \( n \), if \( n \) can be odds, the grid points will be divided into \( J \) parts. Know that there are eight grid points in each part, and then, we will apply the form (4), (5), \ldots, (11) to find the solution one by one. If \( n \) can be evens, the grid points will be divided into three sections. At left boundary section, there are four grid points. We can apply the form (8), (9), (10), (11) to find the solution. At middle section, the grid points will be divided into \( J - 1 \) parts. There are eight grid points in each part, and we will apply the form (4), (5), \ldots, (11) to find the solution one by one. At right boundary section, there are four grid points. We can apply the form (4), (5), (6), (7) to find the solution.

Find \( U_{i+1}^{n+1} \), \( j = i + 1, i + 2, \ldots, i + 8 \), \( i = 8k \), \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, J - 1 \), the AGE iterative methods by use (4)-(11) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
-8r(2b + ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1} + (1 + 31br)U_{i+2}^{n+1} - 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+3}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+4}^{n+1} = -2r(b + ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+3}^{n+1} + 8r(b - ah)U_{i+4}^{n+1} + 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} + (1 + 29br)U_{i+6}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} + r(b + ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

\[
\begin{align*}
-8r(2b + ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1} + (1 + 29br)U_{i+3}^{n+1} - 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+4}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} = -2r(b + ah)U_{i+3}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+4}^{n+1} + 8r(b - ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} + 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+6}^{n+1} + (1 + 31br)U_{i+7}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1} + r(b + ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

\[
\begin{align*}
-8r(2b + ah)U_{i+3}^{n+1} + (1 + 29br)U_{i+4}^{n+1} - 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+6}^{n+1} = -2r(b + ah)U_{i+4}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} + 8r(b - ah)U_{i+6}^{n+1} + 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} + (1 + 31br)U_{i+8}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1} + r(b + ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

\[
\begin{align*}
2r(b + ah)U_{i+4}^{n+1} - 16r(2b + ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} + (1 + 45br)U_{i+6}^{n+1} - 16r(2b - ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} + 2r(b - ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1} = (1 - 15br)U_{i+5}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+6}^{n+1} - r(b - ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

\[
\begin{align*}
2r(b + ah)U_{i+5}^{n+1} - 16r(2b + ah)U_{i+6}^{n+1} + (1 + 45br)U_{i+7}^{n+1} - 16r(2b - ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1} + 2r(b - ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1} = (1 - 15br)U_{i+6}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} - r(b - ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

\[
\begin{align*}
r(b + ah)U_{i+6}^{n+1} - 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} + (1 + 29br)U_{i+8}^{n+1} - 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1} = -r(b + ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1} + 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1} + (1 + 31br)U_{i+3}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

\[
\begin{align*}
r(b + ah)U_{i+7}^{n+1} - 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1} + (1 + 29br)U_{i+1}^{n+1} - 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+3}^{n+1} = -r(b + ah)U_{i+8}^{n+1} + 8r(2b - ah)U_{i+1}^{n+1} + r(b - ah)U_{i+2}^{n+1} + 8r(2b + ah)U_{i+3}^{n+1} + (1 + 31br)U_{i+4}^{n+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(11)
This may be written in matrix form as
\[
(I + rP_k) \mathcal{U}^{n+1} = B,
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{U}^{n+1} = \left(U_{i_1}^{n+1}, U_{i_2}^{n+1}, \ldots, U_{i_k}^{n+1}\right)^T,
\]
and
\[
P_k = bC_k + ahD_k,
\]
where
\[
C_k = \begin{bmatrix} E_k & F_k \\ F_k^T & H_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_k = \begin{bmatrix} U_k & V_k \\ -V_k^T & U_k \end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
E_k = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -16 & 1 & 0 \\ -16 & 31 & -16 & 1 \\ 1 & -16 & 29 & -16 \\ 0 & 1 & -16 & 45 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -32 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_k = \begin{bmatrix} 45 & -16 & 1 & 0 \\ -16 & 29 & -16 & 1 \\ 1 & -16 & 31 & -16 \\ 0 & 1 & -16 & 15 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
U_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 8 & -1 & 0 \\ -8 & 0 & 8 & -1 \\ 1 & -8 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & -8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 16 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]
where
\[
M_k = \begin{bmatrix} H_k & 0 \\ 0 & E_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_k = \begin{bmatrix} U_k & 0 \\ 0 & U_k \end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
d = \left(d_{i_1}, -2r(b + ah)U^n_{i_1}, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2r(b - ah)U^n_{i_9}, d_{i_{10}}\right)^T,
\]
\[
d_{i+1} = -2r(b + ah)U^n_{i_{i+1}} + 16r(2b - ah)U^n_i, \quad d_{i_{10}} = 16r(2b - ah)U^n_{i_{10}} + 2r(b - ah)U^n_{i_{10}}.
\]
We know that $C_k$ is nonnegative symmetric matrix and $D_k$ is a skew symmetric matrix. Let
\[
U^n = \left(U_1^n, U_2^n, \ldots, U_m^n\right)^T,
\]
Then the AGE iterative methods in matrix form can be written as
\[
(I + rG_1)U^{n+1} = (I - rG_2)U^n,
\]
\[
G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_k & \cdots & P_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_k & \cdots & P_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_k & \cdots & P_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ bE_k + ahU_k & \cdots & bE_k + ahU_k \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
bH_k + ahU_k \quad \text{now}
\]
Similarly, for $U^{n+2}$, we obtain

$$(I + rG)U^{n+2} = (I - rG)U^{n+1}. $$

Finally, we will obtain the AGE methods (note to be $I$) when $n = 0, 2, 4, \cdots$

$$
\begin{align*}
(I + rG_1)U^{n+1} &= (I - rG_2)U^n, \\
(I + rG_2)U^{n+2} &= (I - rG_1)U^{n+1}. \\
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar manner, we can write the AGE algorithm, when $n$ is odd.

4. Analysis for the Stability and Convergence

**Theorem 4.1.** The AGE iterative methods for eight grid points (I) are stable absolutely.

**Proof.** It is easily to see that the matrix $E_k$, $C_k$, $H_k$ are nonnegative.

$$
G_1 + G_1^T = 2b \begin{bmatrix}
C_k & \cdots \\
C_k & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
C_k & \cdots
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
G_2 + G_2^T = 2b \begin{bmatrix}
C_k & \cdots \\
C_k & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
C_k & \cdots
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Since $b > 0$, we find that $G_1 + G_1^T$, $G_2 + G_2^T$ are nonnegative too.

From (20), we have $U^{n+2} = GU^n$.

where

$$
G = (I + rG_1)^{-1}(I - rG_2)(I + rG_1)^{-1}(I - rG_2),
$$

Note $\bar{G} = (I + rG_1)G(I + rG_2)^{-1}$.

Since $G_1 + G_1^T$, $G_2 + G_2^T$ are nonnegative matrix, from Kellog’s lemma (see [9]), we have

$$
\|G_1 - rG_2 \|_F \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

we can obtain $\rho(G) = \rho(\bar{G}) \leq \|\bar{G}\|_F \leq 1$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed.

Now, we will discuss the convergence for the AGE methods (I).

For (4), (5), ..., (11), we can note to be the operator of $Lu$. Defining $L^{(i)}$, $i = 4, 5, \cdots, 11$, to be discretization operator corresponding to (4)-(11):

$$
L^{(i)}u^n_i = \frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ (1 + 15br)u^n_{i-1} - 8r(2b - ah)u^n_{i+1} + r(b - ah)u^n_{i+2} + 2r(b + ah)u^n_{i-2} \right. \\
- 16r(2b + ah)u^n_{i-1} - (1 - 45br)u^n_{i+1} - 8r(2b - ah)u^n_{i+2} + r(b - ah)u^n_{i-2} \left. \right\}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ (1 + 7r \rho)^{+} \left( (u^n_{i})^{+} + \left( 1 + 7r \rho \right) \frac{\tau^2}{3!} \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{3} \right) + \left( 1 + 7r \rho \right) \frac{\tau^2}{3!} \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{3} \right) + (14br + 6r \rho)h \left[ \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} \right] \right. \\
+ \left[ 12br + 4r \rho \right] \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left[ \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} \right] + O \left( \tau^4 + h^2 \right) \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+}
$$

(21)

We have:

$$
L^{(i)}u^n_i - [Lu]^n_i = 7r \rho \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} + \left( 1 + 7r \rho \right) \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{3} + (14br + 6r \rho)h \left[ \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left( u^n_{i} \right)^{+} \right] + O \left( h^4 + h^6 \right)
$$

(22)
In a similar manner, we can obtain the truncation error as

\[ L_n^{(5)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 14ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 14a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(23)

\[ L_n^{(6)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 10ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 10a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(24)

\[ L_n^{(7)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 18ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 18a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(25)

\[ L_n^{(8)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 14ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 14a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(26)

\[ L_n^{(9)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 10ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 10a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(27)

\[ L_n^{(10)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 14ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 14a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(28)

\[ L_n^{(11)} u_i^n - [L u]_i^n = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^n + (2b + 10ah)(u_{i+1})^n \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 10a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^n \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(29)

Computing \( U_i^{n+1} \) by \( U_i^n \), we can use (4), (6) and (7), (9) alternatively when \( i = 1, 3 \). Considering (4) and (27), (6) and (28)

\[ L_n^{(3)} U_i^{n+1} - [L U]_i^{n+1} = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^{n+1} + (14b + 18ah)(u_{i+1})^{n+1} \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(1 + 7rah)(u_{i-1})^{n+1} \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(30)

\[ L_n^{(5)} U_i^{n+1} - [L U]_i^{n+1} = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) a(u_i)^{n+1} + (2b + 14ah)(u_{i+1})^{n+1} \right] \frac{r h^2}{2} + \frac{(2b + 14a) \cdot (u_{i-1})^{n+1} \cdot \frac{r h^2}{2}}{2} + O \left( r^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau} \right), \]  

(31)
Comparing (30) and (26), (31) and (28), we can obtain obviously the truncation error is \( O(\tau^2 + h^4) \). Computing \( U^{n+1}_i \) by \( U^n_i \), we can use (4), (6) and (7), (9) alternatively too when \( i = 2, 4 \). Considering (6) and (24), (8) and (26)

\[
L_n^{(6)} u^n_i - [u^{n+1}_i] = \left[ -a(u^n_i) + (2b - 10ah)(u^n_{i+1}) \right] rh - (2b - 10ah)(u^n_{i+1}) \frac{r^2}{2} \\
- (1 - rah)(u^n_i) + (20b - 4a)(u^n_{i-1}) \frac{r^2}{2} \, + \, O\left(\tau^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau}\right),
\]

\[ (32) \]

\[
L_n^{(8)} u^n_i - [u^{n+1}_i] = \left[ 7a(u^n_i) - (14b + 6ah)(u^n_{i+1}) \right] rh + (14b + 6ah)(u^n_{i-1}) \frac{r^2}{2} \\
- (1 + 7rah)(u^n_i) + (12b + 4a)(u^n_{i-1}) \frac{r^2}{2} \, + \, O\left(\tau^2 + h^2 + \frac{h^6}{\tau}\right),
\]

\[ (33) \]

Comparing (32) and (27), (33) and (26), we can obtain obviously the truncation error is \( O(\tau^2 + h^4) \) too. In the same manner, we can deduce the truncation error for \( i = 5, 6, 7, 8 \).

5. Illustrative Examples

To illustrate the proposed AGE and Newton-AGE iterative methods and to demonstrate their convergence computationally, we have solved the following four problems whose exact solutions are known. The right-hand-side functions, initial and boundary conditions may be obtained using the exact solutions. We also have compared the proposed AGE and AGE-4P (see [10]) iterative methods. We have considered

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0, \\
&u(0,t) = u(1,t), \\
&u(x,0) = \cos(2\pi x), \\
&0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T
\end{align*}
\]

Its exact solution is \( u(x,t) = e^{-4\pi^2 t} \cos(2\pi(x-t)), \quad 0 < x < 1 \).

Let \( h = \frac{1}{m}, \quad \tau = rh^2, \quad x_i = (i-1)h, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1 \), \( \tau = n\tau \). \( U^n_i \) is approximation solution, and \( u^n_i \) is exact solution.

Define the norm of \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \),

\[
E_{2,\Delta t}^{n} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{j} (U^n_i - u(x_i,t_i))^2 \Delta t \right]^{1/2} \\
E_{\infty,\Delta t}^{n} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| U^n_i - u(x_i,t_i) \right|
\]

The rate of the method is

\[
\text{Convergence rate} = \log\left(\frac{E_{l,\Delta t_1}^{n}}{E_{l,\Delta t_2}^{n}}\right), \quad l = 2, \infty.
\]

where \( U^n_i \) is the numerical solution with space step size \( \Delta x \), \( j = 1, 2 \) and time step \( \tau \), and \( u(x,t) \) is the exact solution. In Table 1, the convergence rates are displayed. In Table 2, the absolute errors are obtained.

We have:

The errors and rate of iterations both for the AGE and AGE-4P methods are tabulated. The errors for the AGE-4P are second order and the new AGE iterative methods (I) are fourth order method. In this paper, we have discussed the AGE and AGE-4P iterative methods for the numerical solution of one-space dimensional advection
diffusion equations. The methods are \(O(\tau^2 + h^4)\) accurate and applicable to problems in both Cartesian and polar coordinates. The proposed AGE and AGE-4P methods show superiority. The development of the AGE group methods implies that parallelism can be easily applied advantageously.
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