Spectrophotometric Determination of Water-Soluble Hexavalent Chromium and Determination of Total Hexavalent Chromium Content of Portland Cement in the Presence of Iron (III) and Titanium (IV) Using Derivative Ratio Spectrophotometry
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ABSTRACT

A rapid, reliable and accurate method for the determination of hexavalent chromium in Portland cement is developed. The proposed method includes direct spectrophotometric determination of Cr (VI) in Portland cement with 1, 2, 5, 8 Tetrahydroxyanthraquinone, (Quinalizarin, QINZ) at pH 1.5. The European Directive (2003/53/EC) limits the use of cements so that it contains no more than 2 mg·Kg\(^{-1}\) of water-soluble Cr (VI). The absorbance at 565 nm due to Cr (VI)-QINZ complex is recommended for the determination of water-soluble Cr (VI) in Portland cement. The quantification of Cr (VI) released from cement when mixed with water is performed according to TRGS 613 (Technical Rules of Hazardous Substances). The validity of the method is thoroughly examined and the proposed method gives satisfactory results. A derivative spectrophotometric method has been developed for the determination of total Cr (VI) in Portland cement in the presence of Fe (III) and Ti (IV). The hexavalent chromium complex formed at pH 1.5 allows precise and accurate determination of chromium (VI) over the concentration range 0.05 to 3.0 mg·L\(^{-1}\) of chromium (VI). The validity of the method was examined by analyzing several Standard Reference Material (SRM) Portland cement samples. The MDL (at 95% confidence level) was found to be 25 ng/mL for chromium (VI) in National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) cement samples using the proposed method.
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1. Introduction

In cement industry, raw materials are mixed in controlled proportions and ground to form a fine and homogeneous mixture called raw meal. The raw meal is burnt in kilns to the point of partial melting (~1400°C) where reactions forming clinker phases take place. Clinker is intergrounded with gypsum to form the construction product-cement. Description of cement composition is normally carried out by chemical analysis to give the contents of major and minor components expressed as oxides. In a cement plant, on-line control of the composition of cement is necessary to maintain the composition of the cement within strict requirements [1]. The improvement of the quality is tantamount to the improvement of the chemical composition.

One of the problems affecting cement companies is the need to determine and control the content of the hexavalent chromium, due to its toxic effects. The hexavalent chromium is considered an undesirable component of cement because of its potential health consequences. Cr (VI) content of Portland cement contributes to the debilitating medical condition known as dermatitis [2-7]. Due to increasing health-related concerns, the amount of Cr (VI) found in Portland cement is coming under increasing scrutiny [7,8].

European countries have limited the amount of Cr (VI) allowed in Portland cement; it must be no more than 2 ppm water-soluble chromate relative to the dry cement mass [9]. The major sources of chromium content of...
Portland cement are the kiln feed raw materials, refractory brick, wear metal from grinding media and additives [7].

The chemical, environmental and medical literatures describe research and analytical method development for the determination of Cr (VI) in a broad variety of sample types, including Portland cement, cement constituents and concrete/mortar [10]. The US EPA, ASTM, OSHA and NIOSH and other agencies have established many of these analytical procedures as required test methods. These methods include a range of instrumental techniques including UV-Visible spectrophotometry, atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS), ion chromatography (IC), capillary electrophoresis (CE), XRF and inductively coupled plasma (ICP) by either emission or mass spectrometry. The colorimetric method has been widely used for quantitative analysis of Cr (VI) in cement and its extraction fluids [11-13].

Derivative spectrophotometry opens up possibilities, not only for increasing selectivity [14-16], but also for increasing sensitivity [17,18]. Salinas et al. [19] developed a spectrophotometric method for resolving ternary mixtures; the method is based on the simultaneous use of the first derivative of the ratio spectra and measurements at zero-crossing wavelengths. Although several methods have been applied to determine Cr (VI), direct visible spectrophotometric methods using anthraquinone derivative have not yet been investigated for its determination in Portland cement. The common availability and the relatively low cost instrumentation, the stability of the procedures and the accuracy of the techniques make the absorption spectrophotometry advantageous for cement analysis [20-24]. In this work, fundamental study of the complexation reaction of hexavalent chromium with QINZ is described, a rapid and sensitive first derivative ratio spectrum zero-crossing method is undertaken to determine Cr (VI) in the presence of Fe (III) and Ti (IV) in mixtures using Quinalizarin as a complexing agent. Solution spectra of the extracted Cr (VI), as indicated by increasing sensitivity [17,18].

2.2. Cement Samples

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Standard Reference Materials (SRMs) 1880b and 1885a were used as the Portland cement matrix in this study. Precautions for handling and use were taken in accordance with the instructions on the NIST data sheet. A complete composition of SRMs samples according to NIST certificates of analysis [27] is given in Table 1. Samples of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) were supplied by Assiut Cement (Cemex, Egypt).

Table 1. Complete composition of SRM(s) samples according to the NIST certificate of analysis [27].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>1880b (wt %)</th>
<th>1885a (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>20.42 ± 0.36</td>
<td>20.909 ± 0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>5.183 ± 0.073</td>
<td>4.026 ± 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>3.681 ± 0.023</td>
<td>1.929 ± 0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>64.16 ± 0.40</td>
<td>62.390 ± 0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.176 ± 0.020</td>
<td>4.033 ± 0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃ (*)</td>
<td>2.710 ± 0.099</td>
<td>2.830 ± 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.0914 ± 0.0052</td>
<td>1.068 ± 0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.646 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.206 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.236 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.195 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.2443 ± 0.0027</td>
<td>0.1220 ± 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂O₃</td>
<td>0.1981 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.0478 ± 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0539 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.0183 ± 0.00057</td>
<td>0.0040 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.01054 ± 0.00034</td>
<td>0.0029 ± 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃ (*)</td>
<td>0.01927 ± 0.00042</td>
<td>0.0195 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.0272±0.0016</td>
<td>0.638±0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>1.666±0.011</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.49</td>
<td>100.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*)The uncertainty estimates for SO₃ and Cr₂O₃ include an additional component of uncertainty of 2% (relative) to account for greater than expected heterogeneity observed during testing of the material after packaging.

Grade reagent in absolute ethanol. A 10⁻⁴ mol·L⁻¹ stock standard solution of potassium dichromate (or potassium chromate) and 10⁻² mol·L⁻¹ stock standard solution of ferric chloride were prepared using the AnalaR grade product. Titanium stock standard solution was prepared as given elsewhere [20]. The metal content of the solution was determined by conventional methods [26]. Solutions of perchloric acid, sodium perchlorate and standard sodium hydroxide solution were all prepared from analytical-reagent grade reagents. Solutions of diverse ions used for interference studies were prepared from AnalaR chloride salts of the metal ions and potassium or sodium salts of the anions to be tested. The solution spectra were recorded in water-etanol containing 50% v/v ethanol. The acid-base properties of the QINZ were studied under our experimental conditions and the pKa values of the reagent were determined.
2.2.1. Dissolution of Cement Samples
Weigh accurately 0.5 g of the sample (dried at 110°C) into a beaker and dissolve it in the minimum volume of hydrochloric acid. Heat to dryness, add 10 mL of HCl (6 mol·L⁻¹) to the residue, digest and filter the insoluble residue into a 25 mL calibrated flask and then dilute to volume with doubly distilled water.

2.2.2. Cement Extraction Procedure [25]
Aqueous cement extracts were prepared according to the TRGS 613 procedure; weigh accurately 10.0 g of the sample in a 250 mL beaker with 40 mL of distilled water. Stir the mixture for 15 ± 1 minutes intensively at 300 rpm. Immediately filter the suspension without washing, through a dry glass frit of porosity 3. If the cementitious preparation contains turbidity, the sample is centrifuged and then filtered through a narrow-pore filtering medium. Aliquot of samples were used for the determination of soluble Cr (VI) in Portland cement.

2.3. Apparatus
A Perkin-Elmer (Norwalk, CT, USA) Lambda 35 double beam spectrophotometer was used for ordinary and first derivative spectral measurements using 1 cm matched quartz cells. The derivative spectra were recorded at a scan speed of 240 nm·min⁻¹, Δλ = 5 nm and a slit width of 2 nm. The smoothing and differentiation calculation are based on a least-squares polynomial convolution function using 17 data points.

2.4. Procedures
2.4.1. Ordinary Spectrophotometry
Transfer an aliquot of a sample solution containing chromium (VI) (1.0 - 75 μg) and/or ferric (III) (12 - 150 μg) and/or titanium (IV) (100 - 200 μg) into 25 mL calibrated flasks. Add 12.5 mL of 1.0 × 10⁻³ mol·L⁻¹ quinalizarin solution and ensure a final ethanol content of 50% v/v. Adjust the pH to 1.5 using 0.2 M perchloric acid, while keeping the ionic strength constant at 0.1 (NaClO₄). Dilute to volume while keeping final ethanol content of 50% v/v. Record the absorbance of the solution from 700 - 500 nm against a reagent blank as the reference. Measure the absorbance value at 565 nm which directly proportional to soluble Cr (VI) content in Portland cement.

2.4.2. Derivative Ratio Spectrum—Zero Crossing Method
The stored spectra of Cr (VI)-QINZ complex, Fe (III)-QINZ complex and their ternary mixture with Ti (IV)-QINZ complex were divided by a standard spectrum of Ti (IV)-QINZ complex. The first derivative of the ratio spectra were recorded from 650 - 550 nm. In the ternary mixture, the concentration of chromium (VI) was proportional to the first derivative divided signals (ΔΔλ) at 572 nm (zero crossing point for Fe (III)/Ti (IV)).

2.4.3. Colorimetric Determination of Soluble Cr⁶⁺ in Portland Cement
Weigh accurately 10 g of the sample into a beaker and prepare the sample solution as indicated earlier in Cement Extraction Procedure [25]. Transfer a 2.0 - 5.0 mL aliquot of the prepared extracted cement solution into a 25 mL calibrated flask and add 5.0 mL of QINZ (1.0 × 10⁻³ M). Adjust the pH to 1.5 by the addition of 0.2 M perchloric acid, while keeping the ionic strength constant at 0.1 (NaClO₄). Dilute to volume while keeping final ethanol content of 50% v/v. Record the absorbance of the solution from 700 - 500 nm against a reagent blank as the reference. Measure the absorbance value at 565 nm which directly proportional to soluble Cr (VI) content in Portland cement.

2.4.4. Derivative Spectrophotometric Determination of Total Cr⁶⁺ in Portland Cement
Weigh accurately 0.5 g of the sample into a beaker and prepare the sample solution as indicated earlier in dissolution of cement samples. Transfer a 0.5 - 1.0 mL aliquot of the prepared cement solution into a 25 mL calibrated flask and add 12.5 mL of QINZ (10⁻³ M). Adjust the pH to 1.5 by the addition of 0.2 M perchloric acid, at the ionic strength of 0.1 (NaClO₄). Dilute to volume while keeping final ethanol content of 50% v/v. Record the absorbance of the solution from 700 - 500 nm against a reagent blank. Divide the obtained normal spectrum by a standard one for Ti(IV)-complex. Record the first derivative of the ratio spectrum and measure the amplitudes (ΔΔλ) at proper zero crossing wavelength as mentioned above. Total Cr (VI) content in Portland cement is calculated directly using regression equation.

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Acid-Base Properties of the Reagent
The QINZ reagent yields five colored acid-base forms in solutions of pH=2.0 - 11.0: H₄L, H₃L⁻, H₂L²⁻, HL³⁻ and L⁴⁻, while keeping the ionic strength constant at 0.1 (NaClO₄). Dilute to volume while keeping final ethanol content of 50% v/v. Distinct isosbestic points are observed for the particular acid-base equilibrium. The absorbance versus pH graphs were interpreted assuming that a particular equilibrium is established under selected conditions. Under our experimental conditions, pKₐ₁ (H₄L/H₃L⁻) = 2.5, pKₐ₂ (H₃L⁻/H₂L²⁻) = 3.1, pKₐ₃ (H₂L²⁻/HL³⁻) = 5.5 and pKₐ₄ (HL³⁻/L⁴⁻) = 10.5 (I = 0.1, 25°C).

3.2. Complexation Equilibria of Cr⁶⁺ with QINZ
The complexation equilibria of Cr⁶⁺ with Quinalizarin were studied in solutions containing 50% (v/v) ethanol over the pH range 0.5 - 3.5. The solution spectra were recorded in solutions containing an excess of the reagent
and in solutions containing an excess of the metal. The absorption spectra reflect the formation of a complex with a band at 565 nm (where the reagent, Quinalizarin does not absorb). The complex formation starts at pH 1.0. The colour development is attained at pH 1.5 - 2.0. At higher pH values, a decrease of absorbance is observed. The absorbance versus pH graphs for the Cr (VI)-QINZ system in Figure 1 were interpreted using the relationships reported elsewhere [28,29]. The number of protons released during complexation (q) and the equilibrium constant (Keq) were proved graphically from the plots of solutions investigated.

The logarithmic transformations of equimolar solutions and solutions with an excess of reagent are straight lines with a slope (q) and intercept including (Keq). By considering the acid-base equilibria of Quinalizarin in 50% (v/v) ethanol and the distribution ratio of the reagent species at different pH values, one can assume that the neutral form of the reagent (H₄L) is the prevalent ligand species in the pH range of complexation. At this pH range, the graphical analysis of the absorbance versus pH graphs, for solutions with different component ratios, indicated the best fit for the formation of complex with the liberation of two protons according to equilibrium (A).

\[
\text{Cr}^{6+} + \text{H}_4\text{L} \leftrightarrow \text{CrLH}_2^{4+} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad \text{(A)}
\]

### 3.3. Equilibrium and Stability Constants

The equilibrium constant Keq was determined by considering equilibrium (A). The stability constant (β) of chromium-QINZ complex is related to the equilibrium constant (Keq) by the expression \( \beta = K_{eq} K_{a1}^{-1} K_{a2}^{-1} \). The calculated values of the apparent equilibrium constant and stability constant at pH 1.5 are \( 8.8 \times 10^4 \) and \( 3.5 \times 10^{10} \), respectively.

### 3.4. Analytical Characteristics of the Method

Under the optimum conditions, a linear calibration graph for the normal spectrophotometric method was obtained from 0.05 - 3.0 μg·mL⁻¹ of chromium. The molar absorptivity of the Cr (VI) complex at 565 nm was \( 6.0 \times 10^3 \) L·mole⁻¹·cm⁻¹. The Sandell sensitivity of the reaction of Cr (VI) was found to be 3.2 ng·cm⁻². The reproducibility of the method was checked by analyzing a series of five solutions with a Cr (VI) concentration of 1.0 μg·mL⁻¹. The relative standard deviation (RSD) was found to be 0.96%.

The detection limit (at the 95% confidence level) of the proposed method for the mean of five analyses was calculated. The calculated detection limit was found to be 25 ng·mL⁻¹ for the normal spectrophotometric procedure of certified NIST SRMs. Results obtained for the analysis of cement materials were given in Table 2.

### 3.5. Effect of Diverse Ions

To assess the usefulness of the proposed method, the effects of diverse ions that are often exist in Portland cement were studied. The tolerance of the method to foreign ions was investigated with solutions containing 0.02

---

**Figure 1.** Absorbance vs pH graphs for Cr (VI)-QINZ complex. A = 565 nm, 50% (v/v) ethanol, 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ (NaClO₄). 1) \( C_M = 2 \times 10^{-4} \) mol·L⁻¹; \( C_L = 3 \times 10^{-4} \) mol·L⁻¹. 2) \( C_M = 2 \times 10^{-2} \) mol·L⁻¹; \( C_L = 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \) mol·L⁻¹.

**Figure 2.** Absorption spectra of Cr (VI)-QINZ complexes, \([\text{Cr(VI)}] = 1) 0.2, 2) 0.416, 3) 0.624, 4) 0.832, 5) 1.04, 6) 1.248, 7) 1.456 \text{ mg·L}^{-1}; \) OPC(a), 2) OPC(b), \([\text{QINZ]} = 2 \times 10^{-4} \) mol·L⁻¹, 50% (v/v) ethanol, 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ (NaClO₄), pH 1.5.
Table 2. Spectrophotometric determination of soluble Cr (VI) in some Portland cement materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Using the proposed method</th>
<th>Cr (VI) using DPC</th>
<th>Cr (VI) using AAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPC (a)</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC (b)</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0762</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regression equation:
Abs. 565nm = 6.0 × 10^{3} C_{Cr(VI)}

r = 0.9998, S = 2 × 10^{-4}

mg of Cr^{6+} per 25 mL and various amounts of foreign ions. The tolerance criterion for a given ion was taken to be the deviation of the absorbance values by more than ±2% from the expected value. The determination of chromium was possible in the presence of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca^{2+}, Sr^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Al^{3+}, Cr^{3+}, SO_{4}^{2-}, SO_{3}^{2-}, NO_{3}^{-}, Cl^{-}, Br^{-}, I^{-} and PO_{4}^{3-} (10.0 mg). The ions Fe^{3+} and Ti^{4+} interfered seriously which was overcome by using derivative ratio spectra-zero crossing method.

3.6. Derivative Ratio Spectrum—Zero Crossing Method for the Determination of Hexavalent Chromium in Presence of Ferric (III) and Titanium (IV)

In order to resolve the ternary mixture, we needed to select the appropriate zero-crossing wavelengths that permitted the determination of one component in the presence of the other two [24].

The reproducibility of zero-crossing wavelengths of derivative ratio spectra was checked by recording the first derivative ratio spectra of Fe (III)-QINZ system, at different concentrations of Fe (III) and using a standard spectrum of Ti (IV)-QINZ complex as a divisor Figure 3. The zero-crossing wavelengths were obtained at 552 and 572 nm.

Figure 4 shows the derivative ratio spectra of a series of ternary mixtures containing increasing amounts of chromium (VI), using Ti-complex as a divisor. Chromium (VI) can be determined using the absolute value of the total first derivative divided spectrum (1DD) at a wavelength corresponding to the zero-crossing point of ferric complex. The height h, corresponding to values taken at 572 nm (zero-crossing point of ferric complex) is proportional to chromium (VI) concentration.
In order to test the validity of the method, several synthetic mixtures of chromium (VI), ferric (III) and titanium (IV) were prepared and tested up to 3.0 mg·L⁻¹ for chromium (VI), 3.0 mg·L⁻¹ for ferric (III) and 7.0 mg·L⁻¹ for titanium (IV) in the ternary mixture Table 3. Mean recoveries and the relative standard deviations were found to be 101 and 0.96 for chromium, using Ti-QINZ as a divisor.

3.6.1. Effect of Divisor
The standard spectra of the three components can be used as divisor; but we found that the most favorable results were obtained using the standard spectrum of titanium (IV) (7.0 mg·L⁻¹).

3.6.2. Calibration Graphs and Statistical Analysis of the Results
The calibration graph, prepared by plotting the first derivative divided value, ^1/DD, (h) versus hexavalent chromium concentration gave a straight line passing through the origin confirming the mutual independence of the derivative signals of the three complexes. The calibration graph obtained was linear over a range of 0.0 - 3 mg·L⁻¹ of chromium. A critical evaluation of the proposed method as obtained by statistical analysis of the experimental results is given in Table 4. The detection limits (at the 95% confidence level) of the proposed method for the mean of five analyses were determined. The calculated detection limit is 25 ng/mL for chromium using Ti-complex as a divisor.

Table 3. Results of analyzing synthetic mixtures containing varying amounts of Cr (VI), Fe (III) and Ti (IV) using the proposed method and determination of Cr (VI) in some Portland cement materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition of mixture (mg·L⁻¹)</th>
<th>7.0 mg·L⁻¹ Ti (IV) (divisor)</th>
<th>1DD572 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>Fe (II)</td>
<td>Ti (IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Statistical data for calibration graphs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression equations</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>S_m</th>
<th>S_b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abs,565 = 6.0 × 10^(-1) Cr(VI)</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>2 × 10^(-4)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1DD572 = 450 Cr(VI) + 9.0 × 10^(-4)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>2 × 10^(-3)</td>
<td>1 × 10^(-3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abs,565 = Absorbance at 565 nm, 1DD = The first derivative divided signal, Cr(VI) concentration (mg·L⁻¹), r = Regression coefficient, S_m = Standard deviation of slope and S_b= Standard deviation of intercept.

4. Applications
The potential of QINZ as a reagent for the direct spectrophotometric determination of hexavalent chromium prompted us to explore the applicability of the method for determination of soluble hexavalent chromium content in Portland cement according to TRGS 613 extraction procedure and the determination of total hexavalent chromium content in Portland cement in presence of Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂. The validity of direct spectrophotometry and first derivative ratio spectra-zero crossing methods were thoroughly examined. Replicate analysis of ordinary Portland and NIST cement samples SRM 1880b and 1885a were performed (representative spectra are shown in Figures 2 and 4). Soluble hexavalent chromium concentration in direct spectrophotometry was determined by measuring the absorbance at 565 nm. The total hexavalent chromium concentration was determined by measuring 1DD signals at appropriate wavelength. Using Ti (IV)-QINZ complex as a divisor, Cr (VI) concentration determined by measuring 1DD signals at 572 nm (zero-crossing point for ferric complex).

The obtained values for Cr (VI) concentrations in ordinary Portland and NIST cement materials by using the proposed method were found to be in a good agreement with those obtained by using diphenylcarbazide method (Tables 2 and 3). In the precision study, five determinations were carried out for each sample. A good precision of the proposed method was obtained, which allow the application of the method to the routine analysis of cement.

5. Conclusion
The proposed quinalizarin spectrophotometric method for the determination of Cr (VI) content of Portland cement has proved to be reliable, rapid and accurate. The method is efficient and precise enough and has the potential to be used as a rapid test method for the determination of hexavalent chromium in Portland cement.
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