Comparison of Binding Parameter Constants between Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets and Renvela Tablets by a Validated Ion Chromatography Method
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ABSTRACT

Sevelamer Carbonate is a crosslinked polymeric amine, it is the active ingredient in Renvela Tablets. Sevelamer Carbonate is indicated for the control of hyperphosphatemia in patients with end-stage renal disease. The binding parameter constants of Sevelamer Carbonate were determined using the Langmuir approximation for the dosage form at pH 4.0 and 7.0 by Ion Chromatography. An Ion Chromatography method has been developed to estimate free phosphate in in-vitro phosphate binding study of Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets. The method is selective and capable of detecting phosphate in the presence of placebo matrix. The method has been validated with a lower limit of quantitation of 0.2 mM for Phosphate. A linear response function was established in the range of concentrations 0.2 - 30.0 mM (r > 0.99) for Phosphate. The intra and inter day precision values for Phosphate met the acceptance as per Food and Drug Administrations guidelines. Phosphate was stable in the set of stability studies viz. bench-top and autosampler. The developed method was applied to in-vitro phosphate binding studies of Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets.
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1. Introduction

Sevelamer Carbonate is the active ingredient in Renvela tablets. Sevelamer Carbonate, a cross-linked poly (allylamine Carbonate), is a novel phosphate binder used for the reduction of serum phosphate levels in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [1-6]. The advantage of Sevelamer Carbonate for ESRD over existing therapies, such as calcium or aluminum supplementation, is that it is a non-absorbed, leading to an improved safety profile. There is evidence that treatment with Sevelamer Carbonate leads to the attenuation of the progression of coronary artery and aortic calcification as well as improved control of parathyroid hormone levels relative to calcium salts [7]. The structure of Sevelamer Carbonate is shown in Figure 1.

Aluminum is widely recognized as the cost effective phosphate-binding drug but its use is now generally restricted to ESRD patients who are uncontrolled on other agents, owing to concerns about its toxicity, including dialysis encephalopathy, osteomalacia and microcytic anaemia [8-12]. Calcium-based binders largely replaced aluminum in the 1980s and 1990s and have been the mainstay of treatment for many years [13]. However, large doses are needed with each meal leading to concerns about elevated calcium load, hypercalcaemia, and an increased potential for vascular calcification [14-16]. For this reason, current Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI) guidelines recommend restricting the total dose of elemental calcium provided by calcium binders to less than 1500 mg/day in Stage 5 chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients [17].

The introduction of Sevelamer Carbonate a cationic hydrogel of cross-linked poly (allylamine Carbonate) that does not contain aluminum or calcium (third-generation binder) was a significant advance, enabling reduction of serum phosphate without adding to the patient’s calcium
Sevelamer Carbonate (Renvela; Genzyme Corporation, Cambridge, MA) has been developed as an improved, buffered form of Sevelamer Hydrochloride (Renagel). Sevelamer Carbonate is an anion exchange resin with the same active moiety as Sevelamer Hydrochloride in which carbonate replaces chloride as the anion. The replacement of the chloride with carbonate provides bicarbonate ions that may be a benefit to patients who have CKD and are not receiving dialysis, who are prone to acidosis and do not receive the benefits of renal replacement therapy. Sevelamer Carbonate has been found to have the same safety and efficacy profile as Sevelamer Hydrochloride in hemodialysis patients [19-21].

We never come across of any publication on comparison of the binding parameter constants between Sevelamer Carbonate tablets and Renvela tablets utilizing the Langmuir approximation at various pH by ion chromatography. An important aspect of the analytical characterization of Sevelamer Carbonate tablets is to demonstrate equivalency to the tablet dosage form. The amines in Sevelamer Carbonate may bind phosphate ionically and through hydrogen bonding.

This paper describes the methodology and procedures for the determination the binding constants at two different pH levels utilizing the Langmuir approximation. A comparison of these binding constants demonstrates the equivalency of tablet dosage form at each pH studied. The binding study has been done on Brand tablets (Renvela Tablets 800 mg) as well as Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets 800 mg produced at Invagen Pharmaceutical Inc. The binding study has been performed using the phosphate (KH₂PO₄) buffer solutions of 1 mM, 2.5 mM, 5.0 mM, 7.5 mM, 10.0 mM, 14.5 mM, 30.0 mM and 38.7 mM at two different buffers pH 4.0 and 7.0. The method used for this study has been validated in terms of Precision, Linearity, accuracy, Limit of Quantitation and Robustness. The complete validation data demonstrate the intended purpose of the method in estimating the binding parameter constants of the Sevelamer Carbonate tablets.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Sevelamer Carbonate was obtained from Shasun Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (Chennai, India), N,N-Bis(hydroxyethyl)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (BES) was obtained from Sigma Chemical Company (USA), Potassium phosphate monobasic (KH₂PO₄) and 1 N aqueous sodium hydroxide were obtained from Spectrum Chemicals (USA). Sodium hydroxide pellets were from Spectrum Chemicals (USA). Deionised water was obtained from an in-house TOC water system (Sievers, USA). Renvela tablets purchased commercially which is manufactured by Genzyme pharmaceuticals Inc (USA). Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets 800 mg from Invagen Pharmaceutical Inc.

2.2. Apparatus

A Dionex (Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA) ICS-5000 DC system was used for phosphate analysis. The system consists of an AS-DV Auto Sampler, ICS-5000 SP single Pump with Conductivity Detector and Chromelion software control and data acquisition Version 7.1.1.1127. Separations were performed using a Dionex Ion Pac AS11-HC analytical column (4 × 250 mm) and a Dionex ion pack AG11-HC (4 × 50 mm) guard column. Suppression was achieved with an ASRS-300, 4 mm anion self-regenerating suppressor from Dionex. Samples were prepared in an incubated orbital shaker Max Q 4000 from Thermo Scientific.

2.3. Chromatographic Conditions

The mobile phase was 50 mM NaOH pumped at 0.8 ml/min. Suppression was performed in the recycle mode, with an applied current 99 mA. Injection loop volume was 25 µL with full injection setting.

2.4. Method Development

The major aim is to develop a suitable method with a shorter run time and more specific especially for in-vitro phosphate binding studies. The in-vitro phosphate binding study contains big analytical batch runs which need to be shorter runtimes. The buffer utilized for the binding study contains Potassium Phosphate monobasic, BES and
NaCl. BES and NaCl could contribute significant interference with phosphate peak.

IonPac AS 15 (250 × 4 mm) column with guard column of AG15 (50 × 4 mm) was employed using mobile phase with a concentration of 25 mM Potassium Hydroxide. Under these conditions, Phosphate peak was eluted around 16 minutes with a broad peak shape. Increased the eluent concentration to 80 mM to decrease the run time of phosphate peak. The retention time of phosphate peak was found to be 5 minutes, however the peak shape was found to be asymmetric. In the next approach, Sodium Hydroxide was used as eluent in the concentration range of 25 mM to 80 mM using the same column and no significant improvement was observed in the phosphate peak shape. In another attempt, IonPac AS11-HC (250 × 4 mm) with Guard column AG11 (50 × 4 mm) was employed with 25 mM Sodium hydroxide as eluent. Under these conditions, phosphate retention was found to be 14 minutes with symmetrical peak shape. To decrease the retention time of the phosphate peak increased the concentration of Sodium hydroxide to 50 mM. The phosphate peak retention time dramatically decreased and observed around 7 minutes with symmetrical peak shape. BES and NaCl solutions were injected individually to check the interference with phosphate peak. None of them was interfered with phosphate peak. In these conditions, Chloride peak elutes around 5 minutes which is well separated from phosphate peak. Further increment in the concentration of Sodium hydroxide observed co-elution of chloride and phosphate peaks. The finalized conditions are validated as per Bioanalytical method validation guidance, Food and drug administration.

2.5. Validation of the Method

2.5.1. Selectivity

Selectivity is the ability of an analytical method to differentiate and quantify the analyte in the presence of other components in the sample. Six independent blanks and six independent placebo preparations were analyzed for possible interference of matrix with the analyte (Phosphate). LOQ solution (0.2 mM) was injected along with the blank and placebo solutions into Ion chromatography to check the interference of any other elements. Blank chromatogram shown in Figure 2.

2.5.2. Placebo Binding Check

Placebo solution is checked for any binding with media that affects the selectivity of method. Six independent solutions of Placebo equivalent to one dosage unit of Sevelamer Carbonate Tablet were prepared in 1.0 mM KH₂PO₄ and 38.7 mM KH₂PO₄ respectively, to check the possible binding of placebo.

2.5.3. Calibration Curve

The calibration curve for free phosphate determination consists of a Calibration blank and six non-zero calibration standards covering concentrations ranging from 0.2 mM to 30.0 mM of Monobasic Potassium Phosphate buffer (0.2, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 14.5 & 30.0 mM). Analyte corrected peak intensity values were used to set up the calibration curve and to determine QC sample concentrations. Linear Regression with linearity through zero was used to obtain the best fit of the data for the calibration curve. The acceptance criteria for each back-calculated standard concentration were ±15% deviation from the nominal value except a LLOQ, which was set at ±20%. Calibration curve standards and quality control samples met the acceptance criteria for all experiments used in the final data, demonstrating satisfactory performance of the method during validation. The correlation coefficient for phosphate during validation study was found to be more than 0.999 which indicated good linearity. Typical calibration curve of phosphate shown in Figure 3.

2.5.4. Precision and Accuracy

The intra-assay precision and accuracy were estimated by analyzing replicates of Phosphate buffer solutions at four different QC levels, i.e. 0.2 (LLOQ), 0.6 (LQC), 2.0 (MQC) and 20.0 (HQC) mM. The inter assay precision was determined by analyzing the four levels QC samples on three different runs. The criteria for acceptability of the data included accuracy within ±15% standard deviation (SD) from the nominal values and a precision of within ±15% relative standard deviation (RSD) except for LLOQ, where it should not exceed 20% RSD (Table 1).

2.5.5. Stability

The bench top stability and auto sampler stability was determined by analysing six Tablets of Sevelamer Carbonate incubated in low (1.0 mM) and high (38.7 mM)
Figure 3. Calibration curve for phosphate peak generated during method validation studies.

Table 1. Intra- and inter-day precision determination quality control samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical concentration (mM)</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Average measured concentration (mM)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.033</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.955</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.700</td>
<td>0.1549</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>103.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.083</td>
<td>0.1032</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.817</td>
<td>0.1516</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inter-day variation (18 replicates at each concentration)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical concentration (mM)</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Average measured concentration (mM)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.993</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.200</td>
<td>0.4530</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5.6. Robustness

Robustness is defined as degree of reproducibility of results obtained when various experimental conditions were altered such as RPM, Temperature and pH. Robustness is established by analyzing three tablets of Se-

concentrations of Phosphate buffer at pH 4.0 and 7.0. As per the experimental conditions, samples were analyzed initially (0 hour), after 24 hours and 48 hours with freshly prepared calibration standards and two replicates of each low, medium and high QC’s.
velamer Carbonate incubated in low (1 mM) and high (38.7 mM) concentrations of Phosphate buffers by altering the RPM (150, 200 & 250), Temperature (34.0°C, 37.0°C and 40.0°C) and pH (6.80, 7.00 & 7.20, 3.80, 4.00 & 4.20). The free phosphate is estimated with freshly prepared calibration standards and two sets of QC’s.

2.5.7. Phosphate Binding Plateau
The study was performed in 38.7 mM phosphate buffer with 6 tablets of Renvela Tablets 800 mg in 300 ml at pH 4.0 and 7.0. 10 ml of sample was withdrawn from each vessel at time intervals of 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 min’s. The samples were filtered through 0.45 µm filters and analyzed on Ion Chromatography for binding plateau. The Phosphate binding was calculated at each interval, and found to be similar at all the time intervals (Figure 4).

2.6. In-Vitro Phosphate Binding Study
Equilibrium Binding
In-vitro phosphate binding study was performed on Renvela Tablets 800 mg (Genzyme) and Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets 800 mg (Invagen). Two individual sets of aqueous phosphate solutions were prepared at the following concentration 38.7 mM, 30.0 mM, 14.5 mM, 10.0 mM, 7.5 mM, 5.0 mM, 2.5 mM and 1.0 mM. Each set of phosphate solutions were prepared so that a final pH of 4.0 and 7.0 was obtained after the addition of Renvela tablets, as described below. All solutions contained 100 mM BES and 80 mM NaCl.

The entire study has been done with Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets 800 mg with 300 ml volume of the phosphate buffer solution. The solutions at pH 4.0 were prepared by adding the tablets to a set of phosphate solutions, which had no prior pH adjustments. Upon their disintegration, approximately 3 ml of 1 N HCl is added for 1.0 mM to 14.5 mM buffer solutions, whereas as 5 ml was added to 30.0 mM and 38.7 mM buffer solutions. The pHs of the final solutions were approximately 4.0.

The solutions at pH 7.0 were prepared by adjusting the pH of each solution to 7.0 with 1 N NaOH. Approximately 50 ml of 1 N NaOH was volumetrically added per liter of solution. After the addition of the tablets the pH of the solution was approximately 7.0. Twelve tablets of Renvela and Sevelamer Carbonate were utilized at each buffer concentration. The pH of this solution does not change because the pKₐ of BES is 7.1 and thus provides excellent buffering capacity in this pH range. BES was utilized throughout this experiment so that a direct comparison of all results is possible. It has been demonstrated that BES, in concentrations from 60 to 120 mM, does not affect the phosphate binding.

All the samples were then placed on a Max Q 4000 incubator shaker at 37°C for 2 hours. The samples were removed, filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon syringe filter. The samples are analyzed with the established calibration curve along with QC samples at low, medium and high concentrations were assayed in duplicate and were distributed among unknown samples in the analytical run.

A calibration curve was generated at each pH to produce a total of two separate 6 point calibration curves for quantitation at pH 4.0 and 7.0. The area of the phosphate peak versus the concentration was plotted and the coefficient of determination values for each curve was greater than 0.998. Typical chromatogram of phosphate shown in Figure 5.

2.7. Calculations
The unbound phosphate concentrations remaining in each sample were calculated from the linear regression generated from a plot of the area of the phosphate peak versus the concentration of phosphate (mM) using the following equation:

\[ C = \frac{A}{m} \]

where \( C \) is the concentration (mM), \( A \) is the area of the phosphate peak, and \( m \) is the slope of the regression line.
Unbound phosphate concentration (mM) = Area of phosphate – intercept 
Slope

From the known initial concentration of phosphate in each solution before the addition of Sevelamer Carbonate (i.e., 38.7 mM, 30.0 mM, 14.5 mM, 10.0 mM, 7.5 mM, 5.0 mM, 2.5 mM and 1.0 mM) the bound concentration was calculated by subtracting the unbound concentration from the initial concentration.

Bound phosphate concentration (mM) = initial concentration (mM) – Unbound phosphate concentration (mM).

The phosphate binding capacity, in mmol of phosphate/g of polymer, was calculated as follows:

Phosphate binding capacity (mmol/g) = bound phosphate concentration (mM) x \( V_s \)

where \( V_s \) is the volume of solution, approximately 0.3 L. The weight (g) is the weight of Sevelamer Carbonate.

The phosphate binding constants were calculated from the Langmuir approximation. The monomolecular adsorption of an adsorbate (phosphate) from solution, at constant temperature, onto an adsorbent (Sevelamer Carbonate). This process is described by the Langmuir equation:

\[
\frac{C_{eq}}{x/m} = \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} + \frac{C_{eq}}{k_2}
\]

where \( C_{eq} \) is the concentration, in mM of phosphate remaining in solution at equilibrium or the unbound concentration. \( x/m \) is the amount of phosphate bound per weight of polymer in mmol/g. The constant \( k_1 \) is the affinity constant and is related to the magnitude of the forces, which are involved in binding. The constant \( k_2 \) is the Langmuir capacity constant and is the maximum amount of phosphate that can be bound per unit weight of Sevelamer Carbonate.

The affinity and Langmuir capacity constants were calculated by performing linear regression on a plot of the unbound (mM)/bound (mmol/g) versus the unbound (mM) concentrations. The \( k_1 \) value is calculated by dividing the slope of the regression line by the intercept, the \( k_2 \) value is equal to the inverse of the slope (Figures 6 and 7, Table 2).

3. Results & Discussion

The results demonstrate that at each pH, no significant change observed in the Langmuir capacity constant (\( k_2 \)) and affinity constant (\( k_1 \)) was observed in Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets 800 mg when compared with the Brand product (Renvela Tablets 800 mg). Sevelamer Carbonate is the phosphate bound by ion exchange of chloride and by phosphate from a solid polymer (Equation (1)). Therefore, the absolute binding capacity is difficult to predict because it depends on the degree of ion exchange varies in the presence of the placebo matrix.

4. Conclusions

A validated Ion Chromatography method has been developed to estimate binding parameter constants in Sevelamer Carbonate tablets. The method is selective and is capable, to detect unbound phosphate quantitatively in the binding study. The method has been validated in terms of selectivity, precision, linearity, accuracy, limit of quantification and robustness. The validated method can be used to estimate phosphate in in-vitro studies of Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets. The determinations of
Table 2. The affinity and Langmuir capacity constants calculated at two pH levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer solutions</th>
<th>Sevelamer carbonate tablets 800 mg</th>
<th>Renvela tablets 800 mg</th>
<th>$K_1$ (slope/intercept)</th>
<th>$K_2$ (I/slope)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 7.0</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 4.0</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Langmuir plot of Sevelamer Carbonate Tablets 800 mg (Invagen) and Renvela Tablets 800 mg (Brand) at pH 4.0.

R-NH$_3$ + HCO$_3$ (s) + H$_2$PO$_4$ (aq) $\rightarrow$ R-NH$_3$ + H$_2$PO$_4$ (s) + HCO$_3$ (aq)  
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