Preconcentration of Germanium(IV) on Styrene-Maleic Anhydride Copolymer Modified with Aminobenzoic Acids and Its Spectrophotometric Determination with Bis(2,3,4-trihydroxyphenylazo)benzidine
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ABSTRACT

The styrene-maleic anhydride copolymer was chemically modified, in the presence of formaldehyde, with 4-amino-2-hydroxybenzoic acid or 4-aminobenzoic acid giving sorbents S1 and S2, respectively. The specific sorption behaviour of S1 and S2 towards germanium(IV) was examined and optimized, the preconcentrated germanium(IV) after desorption being spectrophotometrically quantified with bis(2,3,4-trihydroxyphenylazo)benzidine in the presence of hexamethylenetetramine, phenanthroline and 2,2'-bipyridine. The dynamic sorption capacity and detection limits (3σ, n = 17) of germanium were found to be 152.2 and 127.1 mg·g⁻¹, and 0.9 and 1.1 ng·mL⁻¹, for S1 and S2, accordingly. The application of S1 and S2 was demonstrated for the selective preconcentration/determination of germanium in seawater and water obtained after oil pumping.
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1. Introduction

Germanium is an element which is widely used in, e.g., semiconductor production or as a catalyst in production of plastics [1]. It is rather rare and is found in nature dispersed in ore deposits or being dissolved in trace quantities, mainly as soluble germanate anions and methylgermanium species [2,3]. The concentration of germanium and its easy determination on the trace and ultra-trace levels is of importance and has been pursued for many years [1,4].

Preconcentration (also called enrichment) is a generic term for various processes employed to increase the amount of a desired element to be suitable for further processing, e.g., its determination. Preconcentration improves the analytical detection limit, increases the sensitivity by several orders of magnitude, enhances the accuracy of the results and facilitates calibration. Some preconcentration techniques, e.g., sorption [5-10], liquid-liquid extraction [11-15], coprecipitation [16,17], and cloud point method [18], have been employed in combination with spectrophotometric and spectroscopic detection methods [19-31] for the determination of germanium (Table 1). The preconcentration of germanium by sorption offers several advantages over other methods of concentration (e.g., liquid-liquid extraction), such as experimental convenience, low cost and usage of less toxic materials. To date, there are a few works related to the preconcentration of germanium on a solid substrate, for instance on Kelex-100 [29], nanometer sized TiO2/SiO2 [3], TiO2 [2,19], active carbon [32], cellulose [10], chitosan chelating resin [5,9], Sephadex gel [6], anionic resin (IRA-900) [31], goethite [33] and mercapto-modified silica gel [7]. However, most of the described sorbents have one or more of the following disadvantages: relatively low selectivity [2,19,27], low sorption capacity [32], low rate of sorption [5,32,33] or high cost of sorbent [2,3,30]. Therefore, the introduction of a new inexpensive sorbent with better analytical parameters for at least some of the above mentioned points constitutes an important task.

The styrene-maleic anhydride (SMA) copolymer possesses the anhydride moieties and thus is handy for further modification [34]. It was reported that nucleophilic
Table 1. Survey of spectrometric methods applied for the determination of germanium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrophotometric reagent</th>
<th>Limit of detection (Beer’s law)</th>
<th>Selectivity</th>
<th>Analyzed sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenylfluorone and zephiramine&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47.5 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0 - 0.10 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Sn(II, IV), Sb(III), Ta(V), Nb(V), V(II), Mo(VI)</td>
<td>Hot spring water and groundwater</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylfluorone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sb(III), Sb(V), Sn(II), Sn(IV), Mo(V), Ta(IV)</td>
<td>Lignite ashes</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylfluorone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05 ppm, (0.1 - 0.6 ppm)</td>
<td>In(III), Fe(II), Te(IV), Ti(I), Sn(IV)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechol violet and cetyltrimethylanmonium bromide&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(0.1 - 1.0 ppm)</td>
<td>Sn(IV), Fe(III), Bi(III), Cr(VI), Mo(VI), V(V), Sb(III)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Chlorophenylfluorone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01 mg·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0 - 1.2 mg·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>La(III), Au(III), Ni(II), Co(II), Sn(II), Fe(II), Ag(I), Pt(IV), Cr(III), Zn(II), Mn(II), W(III), In(III), Mo(V), Ti(IV), Sn(IV), Cr(III), Zr(IV), Sb(III)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-(o-Chlorophenyl)-2,6,7-trihydroxyxanthen-3-one in the presence of cetyltrimethylanmonium bromide&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(0 - 0.20 g·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Ba(II), Pb(II), Ga(III), Sb(III), V(II), Cr(VI), W(VI), Mo(VI)</td>
<td>Minerals and Ores</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconcentration/separation procedure (spectrophotometric reagent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrogallol&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−9&lt;/sup&gt; M (0 - 1 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−8&lt;/sup&gt; M)</td>
<td>As(III), Sn(IV)</td>
<td>Ore</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-sized TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43 ng L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sr(II), Zn(II), PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;3−&lt;/sup&gt;, F&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (salcyl fluorone in the presence of cetyltrimethylanmonium bromide)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.2 ng·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Si(IV), Sn(IV), Al(III), Fe(II)</td>
<td>Water and certified reference material (GBW07311)</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercapto-modified silica gel&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.813 ng·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0.01 - 0.20 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Co(II), Cu(II), Ni(II)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconcentration on an organic solvent-soluble membrane and determination with o-nitrophenylfluorone in presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.4 μg·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0.02 – 0.36 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Pb(II), Mo(VI)</td>
<td>Chinese herb, natural water, drinking water, urine samples</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coprecipitation of germanium in the presence of Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;, Ga&lt;sup&gt;3+&lt;/sup&gt;, Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt; and HCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.6 pg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sea-water, surface water and ground-water</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel hydroxide&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11 μg·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pb, Fe, Co, Cd, Cu, Ag, Al</td>
<td>Standard reference, GBW07302, GBW07308</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud point methodology, triton X-114&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.59 μg·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (10 - 30 μg·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>As, Te, Sb</td>
<td>Tap and drinking water</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; nanoparticles (salcyl fluorone in the presence of cetyltrimethylanmonium bromide)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21 ng·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0 - 0.24 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Cr(III), Hg(II), Ti(IV), U(VI), As(III), Bi(III), Sn(II), Se(VI), Te(VI), Be(II), Pt(IV), Pd(II), Ru(IV), Ir(III), Os(VI), Ag(I), Au(II)</td>
<td>Foods</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteropolyoxomolybdate&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Certified reference materials (GBW07401 and GBW07402)</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation with Fe(OH)&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; and determination with trimethoxyphenylfluorone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21 ng·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0 - 0.24 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Cr(III), Hg(II), Ti(IV), U(VI), As(III), Bi(III), Sn(II), Se(VI), Te(VI), Be(II), Pt(IV), Pd(II), Ru(IV), Ir(III), Os(VI), Ag(I), Au(II)</td>
<td>Foods</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Methylenbenzeneazosalicylfluorone) using ultrasound-assisted leaching&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.75 ng·L&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; (0 - 0.72 μg·mL&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Certified reference materials (GBW07401 and GBW07402)</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.
Kelex-100, [7-(4-ethyl-1-methyloctyl)-8-hydroxyquinoline] functional sol gel

As(II), Sb(III), Zn(II), Ni(II) Water [27]

Chitosan functionalized with di-2-propanolamine

0.002 μg L⁻¹ (0 - 50 μg L⁻¹)

The separation was performed by an isocratic elution

(0.05 - 5.00 μg·mL⁻¹)

Anionic resin (IRA-900), catechol

0.9 ng·mL⁻¹ (1.2 - 18.2 ng·mL⁻¹)

Modified copolymer styrene-maleic anhydride, (bis(2,3,4-trihydroxyphenylazo) benzidine in the presence of heterocyclic amines)

Cu(II), Fe(III)

Seawater and water obtained after oil pumping

This work

Detection technique:  a spectrophotometry;  b adsorptive stripping voltammetric;  c graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry;  d hydride generation flame atomic absorption spectrometry;  e hydride generation-atomic emission spectrometry;  f flow injection hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry;  g inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry;  h high-performance ion-exclusion chromatography;  i atomic absorption spectrometry.

amino-or hydroxyl-containing compounds were involved in the modification of the SMA copolymer by a ring-opening reaction [35]; the SMA was also modified by various alkali and used as a pigment dispersant [36,37]. However, to the best of our knowledge, SMA has not been modified with compounds containing chelating groups in the presence of formaldehyde. In particular, modification of the SMA copolymer with 4-amino-2-hydroxybenzoic acid (giving polymer S₁) and 4-amino-benzoic acid (giving polymer S₂) in the presence of formaldehyde in water (Scheme 1) has not been performed before this work.

From another perspective, different analytical reagents have already been used for the spectrophotometric determination of germanium(IV) (see Table 1), but, the analytical parameters for most of them are rather moderate. In fact, none of the described reagents has good integrate analytical parameters (low detection limits, large Beer’s law interval and selectivity, low cost). In view of that, we decided to introduce a new effective analytical reagent-bis(2,3,4-trihydroxyphenylazo)benzidine (H₆L, Scheme 2) for easy and straightforward determination of germanium(IV).

Thus, the aim of this work is to develop a new routine method for the effective preconcentration of germanium (IV) combined with its easy spectrophotometric determination.

2. Experimental
2.1. Materials and Instrumentation

All the chemicals were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich) and used as received. Infrared spectra (4000 - 400 cm⁻¹) were recorded on a BIO-RAD FTS 3000MX instrument in KBr pellets. To create the necessary pH values, a commercial volumetric concentrate (fixanal) of HCl (pH 1 - 2) and an ammonium acetate buffer solution (pH 3 - 11) were used. The acidity of the solutions was measured using an I-130 potentiometer with an ESL-43-07 glass electrode adjusted by standard buffer solutions and an EVL-1M3.1 silver-silver chloride
reference electrode. The absorbance of the solutions was measured on a Lambda 40 spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer) in 1 cm quartz cells. 1.00 × 10⁻³ M ethanol solutions of H₂L, hexamethylenetetramine (HA), phenanthroline (PA) and 2,2'-bipyridine (BP) were used. A starting 1.00 × 10⁻¹ M solution of germanium(IV) was prepared by dissolving metallic germanium (99.99%) as described [38] and the concentration of Ge(IV) was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy [39]. The stock solutions of the various metal ions (mg·L⁻¹) were prepared with their nitrate or chloride salts (≥99.99%) and used to investigate the possible effects of interfering ions. Doubly distilled water was used throughout the experiments. In desorption of germanium, 0.2 - 3.0 M acid solutions (prepared from H₂SO₄ 98% w/w, HClO₄ 60% w/w, HCl 33% w/w, HNO₃ 65% w/w) were used. Glass mini-column (5 mm i.d. × 50 mm) was used for packing the sorbent in dynamic sorption study.

2.2. Syntheses of SMA

Copolymerization of maleic anhydride with styrene (1:1 ratio) was performed as described [40-42]: 250 mL round bottom flask was loaded with 70 mL benzene as a solvent and 15.6 g (0.149 mole) of styrene, 14.7 g (0.149 mole) of maleic anhydride and azobisisobutyronitrile as an initiator (1.6 mole%). The mixture was stirred for 14 h at 70°C under nitrogen atmosphere. After reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into a large volume (200 mL) of diethyl ether; the polymer product (SMA) precipitated as a white powder, which then was filtered off and dried overnight under vacuum at 50°C to constant weight (yield 95%).

Then the SMA polymer was chemically modified with aminobenzoic acids in the presence of formaldehyde: a part of the synthesized SMA (4 g), formaldehyde (5 mL, 38% water solution) and 4-amino-2-hydroxybenzoic acid (2 g) were mixed in 60 mL water at 90°C and stirred for 3 h. The chemically modified product was filtered on a filter paper and washed 2 times with small portions (5 mL) of water and dried at 50°C in oven.

2.3. Construction of Calibration Curves for Spectrophotometric Determination

To determine the limits of detection and correspondence to the Beer’s law, portions of 0.02 - 1.40 mL of the 1.00 × 10⁻³ M reference germanium(IV) solution were placed into eight 25.00 mL calibrated flasks. Then 2.00 mL of 1.00 × 10⁻³ M ethanol solutions of H₂L and 2.00 mL of 1.00 × 10⁻³ M ethanol solutions of HA (or PA, BP) were added, and the mixtures were diluted up to the mark with 0.01 M HCl. The absorbance of the solutions was measured (λ_max nm, l = 1 cm) against the reference solution giving the corresponding calibration curve.

2.4. Effect of pH on the Sorption at Static Conditions

The static sorption experiments were carried out using a series of 25 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mg sorbents and 100 mg·L⁻¹ germanium solution at different pH and stirred for 1 h. After 1 h the concentration of Ge(IV) in solution was measured by a spectrophotometric method: an aliquot (1 mL) was placed into a 25.00 mL volumetric flask, then 1.00 mL of 1.00 × 10⁻³ M H₂L and 1.00 mL of 1.00 × 10⁻³ M BP solutions were added and the mixture was diluted to mark with 0.01 M HCl. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 477 nm against the blank experiment. The concentration of germanium was found using the calibration curve. The sorption percentage (sorption, %) was calculated based on the following equation [43]:

\[
\text{Sorption, } % = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i} \times 100
\]

where \(C_i\) is the initial concentration (mg·L⁻¹), \(C_f\) the equilibrium metal ion concentration (mg·L⁻¹) in solution.

2.5. Optimization of Flow Rates and Selection of Eluent Solution

A micro-column (5 mm i.d. × 50 mm in length) was filled with a total of 50 mg of S₁ or S₂, and tapped with glass wool. A solution containing 25 mL of 200 mg·L⁻¹ Ge(IV) with pH value adjusted to 4 (5 in the case of S₂) was used. The micro-column was passed through the column at a flow rate of 0.1 - 3.0 mL·min⁻¹. To select an appropriate eluent, 0.2 - 3.0 M acid solutions (prepared from H₂SO₄ 98% w/w, HClO₄ 60% w/w, HCl 33% w/w, HNO₃ 65% w/w) were used. The different eluent solutions passed through the column with 0.1 - 3.0 mL·min⁻¹ flow rate.

2.6. Dynamic Sorption Capacity

Dynamic sorption capacity of sorbents towards germanium was examined by passing 25 mL, 400 mg·L⁻¹ Ge(IV) solution through micro-column containing 50 mg sorbent with 1.4 mL·min⁻¹ flow rate. Each 25 mL of the eluent was collected for the determination of Ge(IV) concentration until Ge(IV) concentration equates the initial concentration. After the column, the concentration of Ge(IV) was measured by spectrophotometric method. The sorption capacity of sorbents was calculated by the following equation [43]:

\[
q = \frac{V \times (C_i - C_f)}{m}
\]
where \( q \) is the sorption capacity of sorbents (mg·g\(^{-1}\)); \( V \) is the volume of the solution in L; \( C_i \) is the initial concentration (mg·L\(^{-1}\)), \( C_f \) is the final concentration of Ge(IV) after passing through column (mg·L\(^{-1}\)), \( m \) is the mass of added sorbent in g.

### 2.7. Construction of Calibration Curves for Sorption-Spectrophotometric Determination

The calibration graphs were constructed under selected conditions (50 mg sorbent, pH 4 and 5, flow rate of sample 1.4 and 1.7 mL min\(^{-1}\) and flow rate of eluent 1.0 and 1.3 mL min\(^{-1}\) for S\(_1\) and S\(_2\), respectively). To determine the concentration of Ge(IV) with H\(_6L\) in the presence of BP, 1.00 - 12.00 mL portions of 6.31 × 10\(^{-7}\) M (7.50 × 10\(^{-7}\) M when S\(_2\) used as sorbent) reference Ge(IV) solution were passed through the micro-column and the retained Ge(IV) was repeatedly eluted by 1 mL of 1 M HCl into each of eight 25.00 mL calibrated flasks. Then 1.00 mL of 1.00 × 10\(^{-3}\) M solution of H\(_6L\) and 1.00 mL of 1.00 × 10\(^{-3}\) M solution of BP were added to each flask, and the mixtures were diluted up to the mark with bidistilled water. Absorbance of the solutions was measured (\( \lambda = 477 \) nm, \( l = 1 \) cm) against the reference solution. The absorbance of the solutions was measured (\( \lambda = 477 \) nm, \( l = 1 \) cm) against the reference solution.

2.9. Water Obtained after Oil Pumping

To 1000 mL of the filtered water obtained in oil pumping (Dubendi, Azerbaijan), an ammonium acetate buffer was added to adjust pH to 4 (or 5 in the case of S\(_2\)) and then the solution was passed through the column with 1.4 mL·min\(^{-1}\) flow rate (S\(_1\)). The sorbed Ge(IV) ions were eluted by 1 mL of 1 M HCl with 1.0 mL min\(^{-1}\) flow rate and their concentration in the eluate was determined by spectrophotometry and atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Spectrophotometric Determination

In this work we introduce a new method of spectrophotometric determination of Ge(IV) with bis(2,3,4-trihydroxyphenylazo)benzidine (H\(_6L\), Scheme 2) as a spectrophotometric agent. The synthesis and characterization of H\(_6L\) were reported earlier by some of us [44], and hence will not be discussed. An investigation of the Ge(IV)-H\(_6L\)-HA system in a wide range of pH values showed that H\(_6L\) reacts with germanium(IV) ions in a weakly acidic medium to form an orange soluble compound; the most intensive coloration develops at pH 4.0 (Table 2). It should be noted that, when pH is below 2.0, Ge(IV) exists as Ge\(^{4+}\), while within the pH range of 3.0 - 9.1, the aqueous Ge\(^{4+}\) species hydrolyze to the neutral Ge(OH)\(_4\). When pH ranges from 9.1 to 12.1, the hydrolysis proceeds further leading to the negatively charged Ge(OH)\(_4^{2-}\); and when pH is above 12.0, the primary species are GeO\(_2\)\(^{2-}\) [3]. To simplify the interpretation, it is necessary to eliminate hydroxo-oxo complex formation, i.e., to find a way to determine Ge(IV) at pH ≤ 2. It was found by us that at pH 2 the absorbance of solutions significantly increases when hexamethylenetetramine (HA), phenanthroline (PA) and 2,2'-bipyridine (BP) were added (Table 2).

To determine the stoichiometry of the components in Ge(IV)-H\(_6L\)-HA, Ge(IV)-H\(_6L\)-PA and Ge(IV)-H\(_6L\)-BP systems, we used the curve-crossing method [45]. The Astakhov method [45] showed that in the presence and absence of HA, PA, or BP the slope of dependence of pH from \( \lg [\text{Alm}] / (\Delta \text{Alm} - \Delta A) \) equals 4 at pH 1 - 2; hence, the molar ratios of the components in associates Ge(IV): H\(_6L\)-HA (or PA, BP) can be 2:2:2, respectively. The obedience to the Beer’s law is determined for each system (Section 2.2, Table 2). The selectivity of reaction
3.3.1. Effect of pH

The sorption behavior of S1 and S2 was examined at the pH range from 1 to 10 (Figure 1). In solutions, the concentration of Ge(IV) is checked by the spectrophotometric method (after 1 h). It was found that the modification of the aromatic part of 4-aminobenzoic acid with -OH group influences the optimal pH of sorption and shifts it to the acidic medium. The low sorption at pH less than 4 can be associated with the protonation of functional groups of the sorbent and a low degree of swelling of the polymer. At such pH values, Ge(IV) ions occur in a hydrated $[\text{Ge(OH}_2\text{)]}^{n+}$ form [3]. The swelling capacity of the polymer sorbent grows as pH increases (pH 4 - 5), which favors the interaction between metal ions and coordinatively active groups of the sorbent. At higher pH values, the hydrolysis prevails and, as a consequence, the degree of Ge(IV) sorption is gradually reduced. Thus, all of the following experiments were carried out at pH 4 (for S1) and pH 5 (for S2).

3.3.2. Optimization of Flow Rates and Selection of the Eluent Solutions

The variation of flow rates within the 0.1 - 3.0 ml min$^{-1}$ interval indicated that a quantitative recovery (>95%) of Ge(IV) was obtained at the flow rate of 1.4 and 1.7 mL·min$^{-1}$ for S1 and S2, respectively. The recoveries of Ge(IV) decrease rapidly with increasing the flow rate from 1.4 (or 1.7) to 2.0 (or 2.5) mL·min$^{-1}$. Higher flow rates seem to cause incomplete chelation of the cations on the sorbent. Therefore, the flow rates of 1.4 and 1.7 mL·min$^{-1}$ were chosen for S1 and S2, respectively.

A number of acids with different concentrations (0.2 - 2.0 M) were studied for the elution of Ge(IV) retained on S1 and S2 (Figure 2). Upon variation of the eluent solutions, it was found that the recovery of Ge(IV) is strongly dependent on the eluent flow rate, the higher the flow rate of the solution, the lower the recovery. When the flow rate was controlled within the range of 1.0 - 1.3 mL·min$^{-1}$, the recoveries of Ge(IV) was over 98%. In the subsequent experiments, 1 mL of 1 M HCl (flow rate 1.0 and 1.3 mL·min$^{-1}$ for S1 and S2, respectively) was used as eluent. The quantitative recoveries were also found after 20 cycles of column pretreatment, indicating good chemical stability of the sorbents after prolonged usage. The effect of the eluant volume on the recovery of Ge(IV) was also investigated by keeping the HCl concentration at 1.0 M. The results showed that quantitative recoveries could be obtained with 1 mL of 1 M HCl (Figure 2, flow rate 1.0 and 1.3 mL/min for S1 and S2, respectively).

3.3.3. Effect of the Sample Volume and Dynamic Sorption Capacity

In the analysis of water samples using solid phase extraction, the appropriate sample volume is among the key parameters to increase the recovery. Therefore, the sorption of Ge(IV) was studied with the sample volume ranging from 25 to 500 mL with a metal ion concentration of 25 mg L$^{-1}$ (50 mg sorbent). The quantitative recoveries (R > 95%) were obtained with a sample volume less than 300 and 250 mL Ge(IV) for S1 and S2, respectively. As described above, 1 mL of 1 M HCl was enough for desorption of Ge(IV) from S1. Concentration of acid = 1 M constant. 1) HCl; 2) HClO₄; 3) H₂SO₄; 4) HNO₃.
to elute the analytes sorbed on sorbents, the enrichment (preconcentration) of Ge(IV) is about 190 and 160 for S1 and S2, respectively.

The dynamic sorption capacity (Section 2.5) was determined under the optimal conditions (50 mg sorbent, 25 mL 400 mg L⁻¹ Ge(IV), flow rate of 1.4 and 1.7 mL·min⁻¹ for S1 and S2, respectively) and was found to be 152.2 and 127.1 mg·g⁻¹ for S1 and S2, respectively, what is higher than that of the previously studied chitosan resins (101 - 106 mg·g⁻¹) [9,28].

3.4. Detection Limits and Precision

The calibration curves under the chosen optimal chemical and flow conditions were plotted after the described above preconcentration process. The Beer’s law was obeyed in the range of 1.2 - 18.2 and 1.4 - 21.6 ng·mL⁻¹ for S1 and S2, respectively. In the determination without preconcentration, the linear range is observed in the range of 0.23 - 3.46 μg·mL⁻¹ (see Table 1). The limit of detection as determined from the intersection of the two extrapolated segments of the calibration graph was 0.9 and 1.1 ng·mL⁻¹ [relative standard deviation (RSD), 3.6 and 3.7%] for S1 and S2, respectively. Thus, the found detection limit is much lower than that of the previously reported methods, generally around ≥7.2 ng·mL⁻¹ [3,8].

3.5. Effect of the Interfering Species

A most important characteristic of a sorbent is its response for the primary ion in the presence of other cations. The effect of the interfering species upon the sorption was investigated by the use of the proposed method at optimized conditions (see Experimental). The tolerance limits (w/w) less than ±3% change in the percent uptake of germanium ions was taken as being free from the interference. The experimental results indicated that alkaline and earth-alkaline metals, Zn(II), Cd(II), Mn(II), Ni(II), Co(II), Al(III), Ga(III), In(III), Bi(III), Ti(IV), Zr(IV), Hf(IV), Sn(IV) and Pb(IV) as well as anions had no significant effect on the separation and determination of Ge(IV) under the recommended conditions, and the most serious interference arose from Cu(II) and Fe(III) (Table 3). However, this interference can be completely eliminated by masking with 0.5 mL of 0.01 M oxalic acid or citric acid. The above results also clearly demonstrate that the described herein sorbents show much better selectivity for the Ge⁴⁺ ion in comparison to the previously described ones, especially in view of such interfering ions as Co³⁺, Mn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Zn²⁺, Sn⁴⁺ and PO₄³⁻ [2,3,27,30]. The now described results also clearly indicate that the proposed sorbents can be applied for the column preconcentration/enrichment of germanium in the presence of high background matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>S₁ [M]/[Ge(IV)]</th>
<th>S₂ [M]/[Ge(IV)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na(I)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(I)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(II)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(II)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba(II)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(II)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(II)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(II)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(II)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(III)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga(III)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In(III)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi(III)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(IV)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr(IV)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf(IV)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn(IV)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(IV)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄³⁻</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No interference.

3.6. Application to Natural Samples

The described herein preconcentration/determination of Ge was applied to samples of seawater and water obtained after oil pumping, and a comparison with the results of the reference atom-absorption analysis shows high accuracy and precision of the proposed methodology (Table 4).

Germanium in the studied water samples exists at several ten ng·L⁻¹ levels [3,28], thus, upon the treatment, it was concentrated by 160 or 190 fold. The known amounts of germanium were also spiked to water samples before
column pretreatment. A good agreement between the determined and added amount of germanium has been obtained. Excellent recoveries were reached, indicating the suitability of the sorbents for the selective collection of germanium from various water samples.

4. Conclusion

The obtained results demonstrate the efficiency of the chemically modified styrene-maleic anhydride copolymer sorbents towards selective quantitative sorption (separation) and preconcentration of germanium. The sorption is markedly influenced by the used complexing reagent (modificator), pH and flow rate. In contrast to some other reported sorbents (Table 1) the studied sorbent shows better characteristics, i.e., being superior in terms of selectivity, detection limits and dynamic sorption capacity. The separation step results in an analytical sample which is relatively free of interferences of many ions. Favourable features of the described methodology are its low instrument and running costs, easy operation, and high sensitivity. It can be used in routine analysis and can be applied for the determination of ultra-trace germanium in a diversity of objects (environmental and industrial samples) without significant interaction from other cationic species present in the samples.
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