Extraction and Determination of Three Chlorophenols by Hollow Fiber Liquid Phase Microextraction - Spectrophotometric Analysis, and Evaluation Procedures Using Mean Centering of Ratio Spectra Method
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Abstract

A method termed hollow fiber liquid phase microextraction (HF-LPME) was utilized to extract three chlorophenols, 2-chlorophenol (2-CP), 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP), separately from water. The extracted chlorophenols were then separated, identified, and quantified by UV-Vis spectrophotometry with photodiode array detection (UV-Vis/DAD). In the study, experimental conditions such as organic phase identity, acceptor phase volume, sample agitation, extraction time, acceptor phase NaOH concentration, donor phase HCl concentration, salt addition, and UV absorption wavelength were optimized. The statistical parameters of the proposed method were investigated under the selected conditions. The analytical characteristics of the method such as detection limit, accuracy, precision, relative standard deviation (R.S.D.) and relative standard error (R.S.E.) were calculated. The results showed that the proposed method is simple, rapid, accurate and precise for the analysis of ternary mixtures.
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1. Introduction

Chlorophenols (CPs) are chemical species known to be highly toxic and a potential threat to public health. CPs are used extensively as preservatives, fungicides, pesticides, disinfectants, and intermediates in many industries [1]. CPs are generated from phenols during the treatment of tap water with chlorine and are considered to be one of the most obnoxious contaminants [2,3] because they deteriorate taste and produce an unfavorable smell. Moreover, they are thought to be serious health hazards because they accumulate in moderate amounts and show high toxicity [4,5]. These hazardous materials are usually detected in human urine because of the intake of food and water containing CPs and other chlorinated substances as metabolites present in the environment [6,7]. In order to assess human exposure to CPs, a reliable and sensitive analytical method is required.

Many analytical methods, including capillary electrophoresis [7], high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [8,9] and gas chromatography (GC) [10,11], are available for the determination of CPs in human urine samples. Methods such as ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry may be profitable for some applications instead of expensive methods such as HPLC and CEC.

Despite highly selective separation and sensitive instrumentation for quantification, the direct introduction of analytes to the instruments is not usually compatible with environmental determinations.

The sample preparation step in an analytical process typically consists of an extraction procedure that results in the isolation and enrichment of components of interest from a sample matrix.

Classical extraction procedures consume large amounts of solvents, thus creating environmental and occupational hazards, and often provide very little selectivity.
These results emphasize the need for reduction of solvent use, automation, and miniaturization.

Microextraction techniques are the result of looking for the miniaturization of classical extraction techniques in order to expend minimum analysis time and chemicals [12]. In recent years, efforts have been made towards the miniaturization of the traditional liquid–liquid extraction. These techniques, based on the contact between two immiscible liquids, fall into two main categories, namely single-drop microextraction (SDME) [13,14] and hollow fiber liquid phase microextraction (HF-LPME) [15,16].

Hollow fiber liquid-phase microextraction (HF-LPME) was introduced by Pedersen-Bjergaard and Rasmussen to improve the stability and reliability of single drop liquid-phase microextraction (LPME). In this microextraction technique, a water-immiscible organic solvent was immobilized in the pores of a porous hollow fiber, and formed a supported liquid membrane.

The lumen of the hollow fiber was filled with micro-liter amounts of an acceptor phase. The analytes were extracted from the aqueous donor phase into the organic solvent, and then captured into the acceptor phase. After extraction, the extracted phase was directly introduced to the analytical instruments [15]. Three phase HF has been applied to the determination of CPs in this research.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Reagents and Samples

2-Chlorophenol (2-CP), 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich GmbH (Steinheim, Germany). Methanol and acetone and the other solvents used for this study were of HPLC grade. 1-Octanol was purchased from Merck, Darmestadth, Germany.

Stock solutions of the chlorophenols were prepared by dissolving each chlorophenol in methanol to obtain 100 mg·mL⁻¹ solutions. Aliquots of these stock solutions were diluted with water to prepare standard working solutions at a concentration of 100 ng/mL. All the other reagents and solvents used were of analytical reagent grade.

A Q3/2 Accurel polypropylene hollow fiber membrane (600 μm i.d., 200 μm wall thickness, 0.2 μm pore size) was purchased from Membrana GmbH (Wuppertal, Germany). The hollow fiber was cut into 4.0 cm segments, cleaned with acetone, and dried before use.

De-ionized water was purified in a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Field samples, a reservoir and a tap water sample, were collected from Mashhad, Iran.

2.2. Extraction Process and Initial Conditions

A 14-mL aqueous solution (0.1 M HCl) containing all analytes at concentrations of 100 ng/mL each and a 1 cm stir bar were placed in a 16-mL sample vial previously loaded with 1 g of NaCl. The solution was stirred at 1000 rpm for 2 min to dissolve the NaCl. Acceptor solution (12.5 μL, 0.1 M NaOH) was withdrawn into the microsyringe. The needle tip of the microsyringe was then inserted into the 5.0 cm hollow fiber, and the fiber was filled with the acceptor phase. Before that, the fiber pores were impregnated with organic solvent. After impregnation, excess organic solvent was carefully removed from the lumen of the fiber. Then, the fiber was moved to the aqueous sample solution. The magnetic stirrer was set at a speed of 500 rpm. The setup arrangement is illustrated in Figure 1. After extracting for 40 min, the stirrer was stopped. All acceptor phase (about 12 μL) was withdrawn back into the microsyringe. Finally, the acceptor phase was injected into a UV-Vis cell and then was diluted to 2.0 mL with acceptor phase solution and introduced into the spectrophotometer for further analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

In this experiment, the chlorophenols were extracted from an acidified donor phase (sample solution) into an organic phase, which impregnated the pores of a hollow fiber. The chlorophenols were then converted into chlorophenolate anion by extracting the chlorophenols from the organic phase into an alkaline acceptor phase (enriched extract). The extracted analytes were then quantified by UV-Vis spectrophotometer/DAD.

3.1. Optimization of Variables

The microextraction conditions for the three chlorophe-
nols must be optimized. In this purpose the univariant method was conducted to pursue the optimal experimental conditions for the microextraction procedure. Analytical parameters, including organic phase identity, acceptor phase volume, stirring rate, extraction time, acceptor phase NaOH concentration, donor phase HCl concentration, and salt addition were investigated and identified to improve efficiency. The conditions for LLLME are as follows: 14 mL of 6.0 M HCl, 1.5 g of NaCl(s) as the donor phase, 15 µL of 0.1 M NaOH as the acceptor phase, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene/1-octanol (70/30) as the organic phase, 900 rpm stirring speed, and 45 min extraction time.

3.2. Selection of Organic Solvent

The selection principles for a suitable organic solvent are as follows: First, the solvent should be easily immobilized in the pores of the polypropylene hollow fiber. Even more important is that the solvent must be of low volatility and immiscible with water. Most important of all, the solubility of the analytes in the organic solvent must be higher than in the donor phase. Some proper organic solvents were examined for extracting chlorophenols. Accordingly, o-xylene (OX), p-xylene (PX), octanol (OC), and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (TCB) were tested. The results shown in Figure 2 indicate how extraction efficiencies were achieved with these aromatic solvents.

Two xylenes with non-polar functional groups showed acceptable extraction ability, presumably by forming induced dipoles by ring-electrons. Trichlorobenzene also has high extraction efficiency because the molecular structure of tri-chlorobenzene is very similar to those of the chlorophenols, except that the low polarity of tri-chloro benzene is such as to cause low extraction efficiencies for monochlorophenols. To improve extraction for all the chlorophenols, extractions utilizing various solvent mixtures (v/v = 1/1) were tested. As trial results indicated, the extractions of toxic polychlorophenols were more effective with trichlorobenzene, but 1-octanol was completely compatible with the polypropylene hollow fiber and thus use of solvent mixtures achieves better reproducibility and precision. Therefore 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene/octanol (70/30) was selected as the optimal organic phase.

3.3. Effect of Extraction Time

LLLME is dependent on equilibrium rather than exhaustive extraction [17]. The results obviously indicate that adequate time must be allowed for the system to reach equilibrium in the partitioning of analytes between the donor and acceptor phases (see Figure 3). However, when considering matching the extraction time with the duration of spectroscopic analysis, an extraction period of 45 min was chosen for subsequent extractions.

3.4. Effect of Acceptor Phase Volume

The acceptor phase was a basic solution (0.1 M NaOH). Generally, in the three-phase LLLME systems, a smaller volume of acceptor phase involves a higher analyte concentration (or enrichment) in the acceptor phase [18]. However, the important factor for LLLME is not concentration, but the total mass of the analytes in the acceptor phase. Accordingly, the acceptor phase should be of large volume to promote analyte transport to the acceptor phase. The acceptor phase volume was examined over the range of 7 - 17 µL. As trial results indicated, 15.0 µL of acceptor phase provided superior operation and this volume was used for subsequent extractions.

3.5. Effect of the Donor Phase PH on the Extraction

To extract the chlorophenol, a weak acid, into the organic phase, the donor phase must be a weak base. The results shown in Figure 4 indicate that the extraction efficiencies were achieved with a basic solution (0.1 M NaOH) in the donor phase. However, when considering matching the extraction time with the duration of spectroscopic analysis, an extraction period of 45 min was chosen for subsequent extractions.

Figure 2. Effect of organic solvent on the extraction procedure.

Figure 3. Effect of extraction time on the method efficiency.
phase from the donor phase, the pH of the donor phase was acidified to convert the analytes into their molecular (i.e., uncharged) form [19].

As trial results indicated, the extraction efficiencies of the chlorophenols varied slightly with HCl(aq) concentration of the donor phase from 0.01 M to 0.5 M. The pKa values of the chlorophenols studied range from 6.23 to 8.49. Theoretically, a pH value of the donor phase of 4.0 would be sufficiently acidic. In order to deal with potential matrix interference in real field samples, HCl solution with pH = 3 was used.

### 3.6. Effect of Acceptor Phase PH on the Extraction

Chlorophenols are weakly acidic in character, so the acceptor solution must be sufficiently alkaline to convert them to the ionic form in order to extract them from the organic phase. On examining NaOH(aq) concentrations from 0.01 M to 1 M, the results indicate that 0.05 M NaOH(aq) is satisfactory. Nevertheless, it is not of sufficient high alkalinity for the extraction of real field samples. Many contaminants could be extracted into the acceptor phase from a real field sample matrix; these contaminants could neutralize the basicity of the acceptor phase. Because of this, 0.1 M NaOH(aq) was selected as the acceptor phase.

### 3.7. Effect of Agitation Speed

Agitation of the donor solution reduces the required extraction time and increases extraction efficiency. Stirring provides fresh donor solution for the organic phase to extract and reduces the effect of the stationary boundary layer zone (Nernstian layer) produced close to the organic phase; these factors promote analyte transport from the donor phase to the organic phase [20].

However, agitation in excess of the optimal stirring rate may also cause lower extraction efficiency because the hollow fiber is vibrated by the surrounding turbulent flow and air bubbles reduced absorption for each analyte and a decrease in the precision of the method [21].

To evaluate the effect of stirring, donor solution was extracted at varying stirring rates (300 - 1000 rpm). It was found that the extraction efficiencies of these chlorophenols increased at higher stirring rates and reached a maximum at 900 rpm. Consequently, 900 rpm was chosen for subsequent extractions.

### 3.8. Effect of Salt Addition

Adding salt to the analytes may have contradictory effects. First, one expects a positive effect from salting out when the ionic strength of the donor phase is increased. This is due to the decrease in the solubility of the analytes in the aqueous phase and enhances their partitioning into the organic phase. Secondly, a negative effect is attributed to changes in the physical properties of the Nernst diffusion film, which results in reducing the rate of diffusion of the analytes from the donor phase into the organic phase. In addition, the salting out phenomenon would also reduce the solubility of organic solvent in water, causing the organic phase to exhaustively wall off the acceptor phase from the donor phase, a quite desirable result.

Therefore, 0.0 - 2.0 g of NaCl(s) was added to 14 mL of the donor phase to determine the effect of salt addition. As the experimental results indicated, the addition of 1.5 g NaCl(s) (9.7%, w/w) of sodium chloride optimally enhanced the extraction of the chlorophenols in water. This quantity of NaCl was therefore used in subsequent experiments.

### 3.9. Quantitative Aspects

Absorbance measurements at the band maxima of the UV spectra obey the linear Beer’s law more accurately than measurements off the band maxima. The UV spectra of the analytes can be utilized to identify target analytes in the UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Accordingly, each extracted chlorophenol was quantified at its own maximum adsorption wavelength (as shown in Figure 4).

The chlorophenols were determined under selected experimental conditions to assess repeatability, linearity, coefficient of determination, and detection limit. The results are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 4. UV spectra of the various analytes, obtained with Diod Array Detector (DAD).](https://example.com/figure4.png)
Table 1. Method performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>UV Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Linear range (ng/mL)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>LODa (ng/mL)</th>
<th>RSD(%)b</th>
<th>RSD(%)c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-CP</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0.5-100</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-DCP</td>
<td>201 nm</td>
<td>0.5-100</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-TCP</td>
<td>204 nm</td>
<td>0.5-100</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) LODs are calculated as three times the standard deviation of seven replicated runs of a mixture containing 0.5 ng/mL each of the compound; b) Data are based on the absorbance of seven replicated runs of a mixture containing 0.5 ng/mL each of the compounds; c) Data is based on the absorbance of seven replicated runs of a mixture containing 5 ng/mL each of the compound.

3.10. Real Sample Analysis

Two samples, waste-water (collected from an industrial center near Mashhad, Iran) and tap water (from Mashhad, Iran), were analyzed to demonstrate the practical applicability of this technique.

These two samples were filtered with a Milli-Q filtering system (Millipore), and the tap water sample was overdosed with sodium thiosulfate (80 µg/mL) to neutralize residual chlorine or hypochlorite. The analytical results of these samples indicated that the tap water sample is free from chlorophenols, but a target analyte is present in the waste-water sample. The detected analyte, whose concentration is 0.8 ng/mL, coincides with 2,4,5-trichlorophenol.

For recovery, this LLLME method depends on equilibrium rather than exhaustive extraction.

Instead of absolute recoveries, relative recoveries determined as the ratio of the real field sample outcome to that of the deionized water sample are summarized in Table 2. These results indicate that the relative recoveries of chlorophenols from the spiked waste-water and tap water samples (performed in three replicates on 0.5 ng/mL of each compound spiked) were 94.1% - 101.4% and 87.8% - 99.2%, respectively.

3.11. Chemometrics Evaluation of the Method

The main problem of spectrophotometric multicomponent analysis is the simultaneous determination of two or more compounds in the same mixtures without preliminary separation.

Several spectrophotometric determination methods have been used for resolving mixtures of compounds with overlapping spectra. When these methods are compared with each other, the range of application of derivative spectrophotometry is more reliable with respect to utility and sensitivity than normal spectrophotometry. Other spectrophotometric methods, such as partial least squares regression (PLSR), principal component regression (PCR), multi-wavelength linear regression analysis (MLRA), H-point standard addition method (HPSAM) for binary and ternary mixtures have been utilized [22-25].

Recently, Bahram et al. proposed a new spectrophotometric method for the simultaneous determination of ternary mixtures, without prior separation steps. This method is called the successive derivative ratio spectra [26,27]. The method is based on the successive derivatives of ratio spectra in two steps. The method was evaluated by model data and also by application to the simultaneous spectrophotometric determination of cobalt, nickel and zinc based on their complexes with 1-(2-pyridylazo)2-naphthol in micellar media as experimental data.

In our research this new and very simple method was developed for the simultaneous determination of ternary chlorophenols mixtures, with prior separation steps. This method is based on the mean centering of ratio spectra. This method eliminates derivative steps and therefore signal-to-noise ratio is enhanced.
4. Modeling

4.1. Validation of the Method

Validation of the method with model data to demonstrate the analytical applicability of the proposed method for the analysis of ternary mixtures, three spectra were created. The three curves form model of the overlapping spectra of three compounds X, Y and Z in the range 190 - 230 nm (Figure 4).

The mathematical explanation of the procedure was illustrated by the authors. After modeling procedure, the method has been applied to the simultaneous analysis of ternary mixtures of chlorophenols. The analytical characteristics of the method such as detection limit, accuracy, precision, relative standard deviation (R.S.D.) and relative standard error (R.S.E.) was calculated. The results showed that the proposed method is accurate and precise method for analysis of ternary mixtures (see Tables 3 and 4).

Limit of detection of the method for determination of chlorophenols in their ternary mixtures (defined as the concentration equivalent to three times the standard deviation of five replicate measurements of the blank) are also shown in Table 4.

The effect of divisor concentration on the analytical parameters such as detection limit, slope, intercept and correlation coefficient of the calibration equations was tested. It was observed that changing the concentration of divisors in their linear calibration range had no significant effect on the analytical parameters.

Therefore, a normalized spectrum of each of the analytes was used as divisor spectrum in the proposed method.

In order to obtain the accuracy and precision of the method, several synthetic mixtures with different concentration ratios of chlorophenols were analyzed using the proposed method.

The prediction error of a single component in the mixtures was calculated as the relative standard error (R.S.E.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (ng/mL) (in mixture)</th>
<th>Estimated Conc. (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-CP 2,4-DCP 2,4,6-TCP</td>
<td>2-CP 2,4-DCP 2,4,6-TCP</td>
<td>2-CP 2,4-DCP 2,4,6-TCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7 0.5 0.1</td>
<td>0.76 0.58 0.11</td>
<td>108.57 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 0.9 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 0.81 0.15</td>
<td>100 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 0.8 0.2</td>
<td>0.41 0.93 0.28</td>
<td>102.5 98.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 0.8 1</td>
<td>0.33 0.73 1</td>
<td>82.5 98.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8 0.2 0.3</td>
<td>1 0.24 0.29</td>
<td>125 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 0.1 0.6</td>
<td>0.96 0.63 0.91</td>
<td>96 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9 0.4 0.2</td>
<td>0.9 0.45 0.94</td>
<td>100 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9 0.9 0.8</td>
<td>0.85 0.86 0.88</td>
<td>94.44 95.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 0.6 0.1</td>
<td>0.57 0.61 0.57</td>
<td>95 101.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9 0.5 0.4</td>
<td>0.91 0.59 0.33</td>
<td>101.11 98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean recovery % 100.51 100.37 97.92
R.S.E. single % 9.879 6.751 5.862
R.S.E. total % 7.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Wavelength max</th>
<th>Calibration slope</th>
<th>Calibration intercept</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Linear range (ng·mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>LOD (ng·mL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-CP</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>-0.0066</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.01 - 100</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-DCP</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>86.26</td>
<td>-14.446</td>
<td>0.9960</td>
<td>0.01 - 100</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-TCP</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>+0.0139</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>0.01 - 100</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the prediction concentration. Table 4 also shows the reasonable single and total relative errors for such system.

5. Conclusions

The results obtained in this work indicate the application of hollow fiber three liquid phase microextraction of chlorophenols from water samples, with the obvious advantages of higher enrichment factors and lower detection limits. This technique is simple, economical, safe for the examiner, rapid, sensitive and easy to understand and apply.

The application of UV-Vis spectrophotometry for the simultaneous determination of analytes in water samples is feasible by using homemade software. This technique consists of a fast, inexpensive procedure and provides an easy method with which to assay the target analytes in environmental samples.
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