Rapid and Sensitive Carvedilol Assay in Human Plasma Using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Mass/Mass Spectrometer Detection Employed for a Bioequivalence Study
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Abstract

A method for the determination of carvedilol in human plasma was developed using a high-performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometer (HPLC-MS/MS). Plasma samples were deproteinized using acetonitrile and the supernatant was directly injected onto the HPLC column without any preparative steps. Chromatography was performed on a reversed-phase (C18) column with isocratic mobile phase for 2 min. The calibration curve was linear over the range of 2 to 100 ng/ml ($R^2 > 0.9998$) and the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) was 2 ng/ml. This method showed acceptable precision and accuracy, good recovery from the plasma matrix, and stability during the analytical procedures. When its application to the bioequivalence test of two carvedilol 25 mg tablet formulations in male healthy 28 volunteers, this validated analysis method was appropriate resulting in the bioequivalence of two formulations: no statistically significant difference was observed between the logarithmic transformed area under curve (AUC) and maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) values of the two formulations. The 90% confidence interval for the ratio of the above mentioned two parameters were within the bioequivalence limit of 0.80-1.25. These results suggested that the HPLC-MS/MS analysis method developed was suitable for the carvedilol analysis in human plasma.
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1. Introduction

Carvedilol, (±)-1-carbazol-4-yloxy-3-[(2-(O-methoxy-phenoxo)ethyl]amino]-2-propanol (Scheme 1(a)), is a non-selective lipophilic $\beta_1$- and $\beta_2$- adrenoreceptor antagonist with antioxidant and antiproliferative effects. It has vasodilating properties that are attributed mainly to its blocking activity at $\alpha_2$- receptors [1-4]. Carvedilol is used in the treatment of mild to moderate hypertension and angina pectoris [5] and is often used in combination with other drugs [6].

Carvedilol has been quantified in biological fluids using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence [1-2,6-11] or electrochemical detector [12], mass spectrometry [13-15], hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) with tandem mass spectrometry [16], capillary electrophoresis-ultra violet detector [17] and gas chromatography (GC)-MS detector [18]. The clean-up procedures for the extraction of carvedilol from biological matrix has been carried out by protein precipitation [8-9,13,15], solid-phase extraction (SPE) [2,6,12], liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) [10,14,18], combinations of protein precipitation with SPE [7] or combinations of LLE with back-extraction [1,11]. However, these methods used a large volume of biological fluids (0.15-1.0 ml plasma or 2-5 ml urine), time-consuming pre-treat procedures for LLE, SPE or derivatization steps and required a long run-time (20 min) to obtain high sensitivity. Besides, these methods employed a large injection volume (20-100 μl) or low pH buffers (pH 2-2.5), which affect system stability. In addition, low pH causes degradation of carvedilol [15].

We developed a rapid, simple and specific method for quantitative analysis of carvedilol in human plasma employing HPLC-MS/MS with protein precipitation procedure. This validated analysis method was applied to as-
sessed the bioequivalence of two carvedilol 25 mg tablet formulations in 28 healthy Korean human volunteers.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

Carvedilol was provided by Korea United Pharm. Co., Ltd. (Yeongi-Gun, South Korea). Toremifene (internal standard (IS); Scheme 1(b)) and acetonitrile were purchased from Ningbo Team Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Ningbo, China) and Burdick & Jackson Inc. (Muskegon, MI, USA), respectively. Other chemicals were of HPLC grade or the highest quality available.

2.2. Calibration Standards and Quality Control

Stock solutions of each carvedilol and toremifene (IS) were prepared in acetonitrile at concentrations of 1 mg/ml. The working standard solutions of each carvedilol and the IS (1,000 ng/ml) were prepared by serial dilution of stock solutions with acetonitrile. Each carvedilol and IS solutions were stored at −20°C in polypropylene tubes in the dark when not in use.

Quality control (QC) samples at 2, 5, 20 and 100 ng/ml were prepared by adding 100 μl of the appropriate working standard solutions (40, 100, 400 and 2,000 ng/ml) to 1.9 ml of drug-free human plasma (Red Cross of Korea, Seoul, South Korea). The QC samples were dispensed 50 μl into polypropylene tubes and stored at −20°C until analysis.

Samples for calibration curve were prepared by spiking 100 μl of carvedilol working standard solutions (40, 100, 200, 400, 1,000 and 2,000 ng/ml) to 1.9 ml of drug-free human plasma.

2.3. Sample Preparation

All frozen (−20°C) human plasma samples were previously thawed at ambient temperature and mixed with a vortex-mixer for 10 s. Five-microliter of IS solution was spiked to 50 μl of human plasma samples or QC samples, and then introduced into polypropylene tube which containing 150 μl of acetonitrile and vortex-mixed for approximately 20 s. More than 75% of acetonitrile solution was sufficient for the protein precipitation. After centrifuge at 20,000 g for 10 min, 150 μl of the supernatant was transferred to 2 ml glass vial with insert for injection.

2.4. Apparatus

The HPLC system consisted of an Agilent 1200 series
different from carvedilol, but it has a very similar mass analysis parameters, such as fragmentor and collision energy, and extraction recovery. In addition, separation of carvedilol from toremifene was good in this analytical condition of the mobile phase and the column used.

2.6. Method Validation

2.6.1. Selectivity
The selectivity was evaluated by analyzing blank plasma samples obtained from 6 different subjects.

2.6.2. Linearity and Calibration Curve
Linearity was determined to assess the performance of the method. The calibration curve was constructed in the range of 2-100 ng/ml to encompass the expected plasma concentrations after the oral administration of two carvedilol 25 mg tablets to human subjects, and obtained with no weighted function. The suitability of the curve was confirmed by back-calculating the concentrations of calibration standards.

2.6.3. Accuracy and Precision
Batches were analyzed on consecutive five days to complete the method validation. In each batch, QC samples at 2, 5, 20 and 100 ng/ml were assayed in sets of five replicates to evaluate the intra- and inter-batch precision and accuracy [19]. The precision was calculated as
S.D./average (or mean) $\times$ 100, while the accuracy was estimated as average (or mean)/nominal concentration $\times$ 100.

### 2.6.4. Stability

#### 2.6.4.1. Freeze and Thaw Stability

Three sets of QC samples of low and high concentrations were stored at $-70^\circ$C and subjected to three thawing and freezing cycles. The QC samples were analyzed using a freshly prepared calibration curve.

#### 2.6.4.2. Post Preparation Stability

Three sets of QC samples of low and high concentrations were analyzed on the first validation day and left in the auto-sampler at 4°C. The QC samples were then analyzed 24 h later using a freshly prepared calibration curve.

#### 2.6.4.3. Short and Long Term Stability

Three sets of QC samples of low and high concentrations were stored at 25°C for 24 h (short term) or at $-70^\circ$C for 60 days (long term) and analyzed using a freshly prepared calibration curve.

#### 2.6.4.4. Recoveries

The sample preparation method is based on protein precipitation by acetonitrile. Usually, such procedure allows higher recoveries for the target compounds, as the solubility in the supernatant is enhanced by the organic solvent [11]. The recoveries of carvedilol and the IS were assessed by analyzing three sets of standards at three concentrations (5, 20 and 100 ng/ml). The recoveries for carvedilol and the IS were assessed the ratio of the mean concentration (5, 20 and 100 ng/ml) and the IS were analyzed by analyzing three sets of standards at three concentrations (5, 20 and 100 ng/ml). The recoveries for carvedilol and the IS were assessed the ratio of the mean peak area of an analyte spiked before extraction to the mean peak area of an analyte spiked post-extraction.

### 2.7. Bioequivalence Study

The developed method was applied to evaluate the bioequivalence of two tablet formulations of carvedilol. The standard reference formulation was Dilatrend® tablet (Lot No. JA002; Chong Kun Dang Co., Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) and the test formulation was Carvedol® tablet (Lot No. 518702; Korea United Pharm. Co., Ltd., Ywongi-Gun, South Korea). The study consisted of an open study of 28 healthy Korean male volunteers (age, 22-27 years; body weight, 64.3-80.5 kg) who were qualified according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Each formulation was administered to 28 healthy volunteers under an open, randomized and two-period crossover design with a week of washout period. The volunteers were fasted for 12 h prior to the dosing, received a single oral dose of carvedilol (25 mg tablet) with 240 ml of water. Blood samples (2 ml) were withdrawn from the forearm vein into glass tubes at 0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 and 36 h after the oral administration of carvedilol, and centrifuged. The plasma was divided into two 0.5 ml aliquots for analysis and stored at $-70^\circ$C. The maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$), time to reach $C_{\text{max}}$ ($T_{\text{max}}$) and total area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity (AUC) were calculated by BA Calc 2007 (Version 1.0.0., KFDA). The bioequivalence of two carvedilol 25 mg tablet formulations was assessed by K-BE Test 2007 (Version 1.1.0., KFDA, Korea). Institutional Review Board approved the bioequivalence study protocol. The informed consent was obtained from the subjects after explaining the nature and purpose of the study in accordance with Korean Guidelines for Bioequivalence Test [19].

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Selectivity

As shown in Figures 1(a) and (b), no endogenous peak was observed in the mass chromatogram from blank plasma. The chromatogram for the standard lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ; 2 ng/ml; the signal-to-noise ratio of greater than 10) sample is also shown in Figures 1(c) and (d), in which the retention times for carvedilol and the IS were 1.10 and 1.20 min, respectively.

#### 3.2. Linearity of Calibration Curve

Five calibration curves were constructed over the concentration ranges from 2 to 100 ng/ml of carvedilol in human plasma (Table 1). Based on a linear least-squares regression without a weighted function, the mean equation ($\pm$ S.D.) of the calibration curve obtained from six concentrations ($n = 5$) was $y = 0.0294 (\pm 0.0081) x - 0.0028 (\pm 0.0044) (R^2 > 0.9998)$, where $y$ represents the carvedilol concentration and $x$ represents the ratio of carvedilol peak area to that of the IS. The LLOQ was set at 2 ng/ml. The precision and accuracy were ranged from 0.4 to 7.9% and from 99.1 to 104.5%, respectively, suggesting that the method developed was linear, precise and accurate over the concentration ranges employed.

#### 3.3. Intra- and Inter-Batch Variability of QC Samples

The results of validating the precision and accuracy of QC samples with intra- and inter-batch variability are summarized in Table 2. At the LLOQ of 2 ng/ml, the precision and accuracy for intra- and inter-batch were 5.1 and 114.3%, and 8.3 and 116.0%, respectively, satisfying with the acceptance criteria of less than $\pm$ 20% (from
Figure 1. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) chromatograms of blank human plasma of (a) carvedilol and (b) IS, MRM chromatogram of lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ, 2 ng/ml) of (c) carvedilol and (d) IS.
Table 1. Linearity assessment of calibration curves of carvedilol in human plasma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/ml)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision (%) | 7.9 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 |

Accuracy (%) | 104.5 | 101.1 | 99.7 | 100.7 | 99.1 | 100.2 |

Table 2. Summary of precision and accuracy of quality control samples with inter- and intra-batch variability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>2 ng/ml</th>
<th>5 ng/ml</th>
<th>20 ng/ml</th>
<th>100 ng/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>105.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>103.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision (%) | 5.1 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 |

Accuracy (%) | 114.3 | 99.2 | 101.4 | 103.6 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>2 ng/ml</th>
<th>5 ng/ml</th>
<th>20 ng/ml</th>
<th>100 ng/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>102.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision (%) | 8.3 | 4.1 | 9.3 | 9.4 |

Accuracy (%) | 116.0 | 106.6 | 104.0 | 102.9 |

100% for accuracy and 0% for precision). For other concentrations, those also meet the criteria of less than 15% for both intra- and inter-batch QC samples, also suggesting the method developed was precise and accurate for the intra- and inter-batch assays.

3.4. Stability

Stability studies for carvedilol made on QC samples at concentrations of 2 and 100 ng/ml were considered to be stable if the difference of concentrations for each processing are less than ±15%. The stability results after three freeze-thawing, post preparation, and short and long term studies are summarized in Table 3. For all stability studies, % changes ranged from 94.7% (–5.3%, long term, low concentration) to 106.4% (+6.4%, short term, low concentration) satisfying the acceptance criteria for the less than ±15% differences. These results indicates that carvedilol in human plasma is stable during sample preparation procedures, short and long term...
Table 3. Stability of quality control samples (carvedilol concentration, ng/ml).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Freeze and thaw</th>
<th>Post preparation</th>
<th>Short term</th>
<th>Long term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low*</td>
<td>High**</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>103.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>100.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSD (%)***</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stability (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>100.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Low: 2 ng/ml; **High: 100 ng/ml; ***RSD (%): Relative Standard Deviation (percent) = S.D./average × 100.

Table 3. Stability of quality control samples (carvedilol concentration, ng/ml).

Figure 2. Mean plasma concentration−time curves of carvedilol obtained after the single oral administration of 25 mg of test (○−○) and reference (●−●) carvedilol formulations to humans (n = 28). Vertical bars represent S.D.

3.5. Recovery

From the five replicates of the recovery study at 5, 20 and 100 ng/ml of carvedilol concentrations, the % recovered at each concentration were 85.8 ± 4.2% (coefficient of variation), 85.4 ± 4.9% and 84.9 ± 2.0%, respectively. The recovery of IS was 85.7 ± 3.2% (coefficient of variation). Possible cause for the less than 100% of the recovery could be due to the co-precipitation of carvedilol and the IS with plasma proteins. However, these results were satisfied with the reproducible and reliable recovery for both carvedilol and the IS from human plasma matrix.

According to the results of selectivity, linearity, stability and recovery studies, this analytical method was considered to meet the acceptance criteria in all areas of industrial guidance for the bioanalytical method validation set by the regulatory agency in US and Korea [19,20].

3.6. Application to Bioequivalence Study

The analytical method developed above was applied to assess the bioequivalence of two formulations of carvedilol in human. After analyzing 700 plasma samples from 28 healthy male volunteers, the mean plasma concentration−time curves of carvedilol are shown in Figure 2. The plasma concentrations of carvedilol ranged...
from 2.1 to 362.4 ng/ml for both test and reference formulations. The $C_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$ appeared to be around 100 ng/ml and 1 h, respectively. In Figure 2, the mean plasma curves of two formulations were apparently similar and shown typical pattern for carvedilol plasma concentration curves after the oral administration to healthy humans [21].

The relevant pharmacokinetic parameters of carvedilol are listed in Table 4. The $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC values were 111.2 ng/ml and 364.0 ng·h/ml, respectively, for the test formulation while those for the reference formulation were 107.7 ng/ml and 359.4 ng·h/ml, respectively. The AUC of the test and reference formulations were $364.0 \pm 217.9$ ng·h/ml and $359.4 \pm 202.1$ ng·h/ml, respectively showing less than 1.5% difference in AUC. The values of the $T_{\text{max}}$ and half-life ($T_{1/2}$) of the two formulations were very similar ranging from 1.2 to 1.4 h and from 8.2 and 8.4 h, respectively (Table 4). The calculated 90% confidence interval (CI) for mean $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC of test/reference individual ratios were 89.5-114.5% and 93.5-108.6%, respectively, and within the 80–125% interval which is defined as bioequivalent by the regulatory agency [19,20].

4. Conclusions

A rapid and simple HPLC–MS/MS method for the determination of carvedilol in human plasma has been successfully developed and validated using an one-step protein precipitation procedure. Although this method has simple sample preparation procedure, it demonstrated acceptable selectivity, sensitivity, precision and accuracy. The validated method is suitable for high throughput assays such as bioequivalence studies and was successfully applied to assay human plasma samples for bioequivalence study of carvedilol. Carvedilol is unstable at low pH but there was no perceivable degradation of the analyte under the described stability test conditions. The mobile phase containing a small amount of formic acid did not interfere with the bioanalysis and presence of the acid was necessary in order to improve the detection of the compounds in the positive mode.

The 90% CI for $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC ratios were within the 80-125% interval and the $T_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{1/2}$ for the two formulations were quite similar. Therefore, the tested carvedilol formulation can be considered as bioequivalent to the reference formulation for both the rate and the extent of absorption.
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