Contrast-Enhanced CT Colonography Features of Rectal Carcinoid Tumors
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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to retrospectively assess the detectability and enhancement pattern of rectal carcinoid tumors on contrast-enhanced computed tomography colonography (CE-CTC). Methods: The study sample consisted of 12 lesions in nine patients of rectal carcinoid tumors. To evaluate the detectability, two radiologists reviewed axial and 3D images including air enema (AE), virtual endoscopy (VE), and virtual colon dissection (VCD). To determine the contrast enhancement pattern of the tumors, the CT attenuation value of each lesion was measured in three phases. Results: Four of the 12 lesions (33%) were detected on axial images in patients in both the prone and supine positions, the sizes of which were 6 mm or larger. Seven lesions (58%), including the four lesions mentioned above, were detected on 3D images including AE and VE in patients in the prone position, the sizes of which were 5 mm or larger. The ranges of CT attenuation values of the lesions at 40 s, 70 s and 240 s were 112 - 147, 116 - 140 and 82 - 97 HU, respectively. Conclusion: Rectal carcinoid tumors are challenging to detect on CE-CTC. They demonstrated enhancement at the early phase and washout at the delayed phase on CE-CTC.
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1. Introduction

Carcinoid tumors belong to the group of well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors of neuroendocrine neoplasms in the World Health Organization (WHO) classification [1]. Rectal carcinoid tumors are uncommon, representing 1.1% to 1.3% of all rectal tumors [2] [3]. They can show a broad range of clinical behavior, from benign and asymptomatic to disseminated and metastatic. Clinical behavior is a reflection of tumor size, with me-
tastatic potential generally being proportional to size [4]-[6]. Rectal carcinoid tumors are usually found incidentally by rectal examination or endoscopy. Tumors smaller than 10 mm in diameter rarely metastasize, and thus have usually been managed with local excision including endoscopic treatment [7]-[9].

In preoperative workups, computed tomography (CT) is more useful in the demonstration of mesenteric extension and distant metastases. On endoscopy and CT, rectal carcinoid tumors appear as small solitary nodules, multiple masses, or a large polypoid ulcerating mass [10]. Although optical colonoscopy may help accurately examine mucosal lesions, the ability to fully characterize a submucosal abnormality on the sole basis of a luminal examination is somewhat limited. In addition, the diagnostic yield of endoscopic biopsy for such lesions is relatively low [11].

CT colonography (CTC) has become a useful diagnostic tool in colorectal cancer staging and screening, enabling structural evaluations of the colon and other abdominal organs through detailed one-dimensional and three-dimensional (3D) images that can accurately identify colonic and extracolonic pathologies. However, CTC features including the contrast enhancement pattern of rectal carcinoid tumors have been unclear. The purpose of this study was to retrospectively assess the detectability and enhancement pattern on contrast-enhanced CTC (CE-CTC) of rectal carcinoid tumors.

2. Methods

The institutional review board at our institution approved this retrospective study and waived the requirement for informed patient consent.

2.1. Study Patients

The study sample consisted of 12 lesions in nine consecutively registered patients (six men, three women; median age, 54 years) who were pathologically confirmed as having carcinoid tumor of the rectum between January 2007 and August 2013. All patients were endoscopically suspected to have rectal carcinoid tumors and were referred to our institution for further examinations or treatment. As the preoperative workup, all patients were assessed using optical colonoscopy, endosonography, and CE-CTC. All of the tumors were located in the submucosa of the middle to lower rectum, 9 cm from the anal verge [12], with no lymph nodes or distant metastases. All patients were treated by an endoscopic procedure, such as endoscopic mucosal resection or submucosal dissection. The tumor sizes ranged from 2.5 to 12.0 mm (median, 5 mm) in maximum diameter (Table 1).

2.2. Technique of Colonoscopy and CT Colonography

Colonoscopy was immediately followed by same-day CE-CTC using the same bowel preparation. The prepara-
tions for the study examinations included the patient’s oral ingestion of a 2000-mL volume of isotonic poly-
eylene glycol electrolyte solution (Niflec®; Ajinomoto Pharma. Co., Tokyo) in the morning of the day on which
the CTC was performed.

Total colonoscopy was performed to verify the rectal lesion and to screen the entire large intestine. After the
rectal lesion was identified, a biopsy was performed, and seven of the 12 lesions were pathologically diagnosed
as carcinoid tumors preoperatively. The other five lesions were clinically diagnosed as carcinoid tumors of the
rectum by endoscopic and endosonographic findings. Before the CT scanning, bowel distension was obtained by
room air gently insufflated in the colon via a transrectal catheter, and 20 mg of scopolamine (Buscopan; Boe-
hringer Ingelheim, Tokyo) was administered intravenously to allow optimal colonic distension, to minimize pe-
ristalsis, and to alleviate spasms.

All CT examinations were performed using a 64-detector-row CT scanner (Aquilion, Toshiba Medical Sys-
tems, Tokyo) according to the following protocol: 120 kV, 200 - 300 mA with automatic exposure control, 64
rows × 1 mm collimation, helical pitch 53.0. CT images were obtained 40 s, 70 s and 240 s after the injection of
120 mL nonionic contrast material (Iopamiron370; Bayer Health Care, Osaka, Japan) at a rate of 3 mL/s with
the patient in the supine position (40 s and 70 s) and in the prone position (240 s). The multi-detector row com-
puted tomography (MDCT) data sets were loaded onto a 3D workstation, Synapse Vincent (Fujifilm Medical,
Tokyo). After all image data sets were transferred onto the workstation, they were converted into 3D images—
air enema (AE), virtual endoscopy (VE), and virtual colon dissection (VCD) [13]—using the workstation’s on-
board software.

2.3. Image Analysis
The image analysis was performed by two gastrointestinal radiologists, with seven and 12 years of experience,
respectively. Before the image interpretation, information that one or more carcinoid tumors were present in the
rectum was given, but the readers were blinded to the location and size of the lesions. First, the readers reviewed
the axial images of all three phases on a 21-inch PACS monitor (Rapideye Station; Toshiba Medical Systems).
Thereafter, they reviewed the AE, VE and VCD images of all three phases on a 20 inch workstation monitor at
over 2 weeks intervals. To evaluate the detectability, the readers determined the presence or absence of rectal
carcinoid tumors. A lesion was determined to be present when a protruded, submucosal tumor-like, nodule or
mass, with or without contrast enhancement on axial images, was visible in the rectum. Differences in assess-
ments were resolved by consensus. The localization of the lesion(s) was precisely determined by the optical en-
doscopic findings as a standard of reference. To determine the contrast enhancement pattern of the rectal carci-
noid tumors, the CT attenuation value of each lesion was measured by the largest possible circular region-of-
interest in all phases.

3. Results
The results are shown in Table 2. There was no discordance between the readers in lesion detection for all im-
ages. Four of the 12 lesions (33%) were detected on axial images in patients in both the prone and supine posi-
tions, the sizes of which were 6 mm or larger. Seven lesions (58%), including the four lesions mentioned above,
were detected on 3D images including AE and VE in patients in the prone position, the sizes of which were 5
mm or larger. Two lesions (case nos.1 and 9) the sizes of which were 5 mm in diameter were detected on 3D
images but not detected on axial images only (Figure 1). In one case (no. 5) with a 5 mm diameter lesion, the
readers detected the tumor on AE and VE taken in the prone position, but did not detect it on VCD with either
the supine or prone position. There were no false positive cases in this detectability test. All four lesions d e-
tected on the axial images (6 mm or larger) demonstrated enhancement at the early phase (40 s and 70 s), and
washout at the delayed phase (240 s) (Figure 2). The ranges of CT attenuation values at 40 s, 70 s and 240 s
were 112 -147, 116 - 140 and 82 - 97 HU, respectively (Figure 3).

4. Discussion
The present study revealed that four of 12 carcinoid tumors (33%) with the size of 6 mm or larger were detected
on axial images. Seven lesions (58%) 5 mm or larger were detected with the use of 3D images. In the case of
colorectal polyps, the sensitivity of CTC has been shown to be directly related to polyp size, with the sensitivity
Table 2. Detectability of the rectal carcinoid tumors on CTC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Axial</th>
<th>3D CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AE, air enema; VE, virtual endoscopy; VCD, virtual colon dissection. *Tumors not detected in supine position.

Figure 1. 37-year-old man with rectal carcinoid tumor with the size of 5mm in diameter (case no. 9). (a) Optical colonoscopy shows smooth-surfaced submucosal tumor located in the rectum. (b) The rectal carcinoid tumor is not evident on axial images. There seems to be focal wall thickening at the 10-o’clock position in the rectum, which is a partial visualization of normal fold. The carcinoid tumor of this case locates in the left wall of the rectum. (c) Virtual endoscopy shows smooth-surfaced tumor in the rectum (arrow). (d) Air enema shows smooth-surfaced tumor in the left wall of the rectum (arrow). (e) The tumor is also detectable on virtual colon dissection (arrow).
of CTC for the detection of polyps 5 mm or smaller in the range of 10% - 67% [14]. Carcinoid tumors may be less detectable than mucosal polyps on CTC because the carcinoid tumors manifest as submucosal tumors, which are smooth-surfaced and have ill-defined margins.

However, in the present patient series the smaller lesions which were not detectable on axial images could only be detected with the addition of 3D CT. In one patient (case no. 5) with a rectal carcinoid tumor 5 mm in diameter, the readers detected the tumor on AE and VE with the patient in the prone position, but they did not detect the tumor with the patient in the supine position. The main causes of missed or overcalled lesions by CTC are poorly distended segments, inadequate bowel preparation, and flat mucosal lesions. Collapsed colonic segments may be misinterpreted as annular neoplasms or may preclude the detection of flat lesions or significant polyps [15]. In particular, rectal distention is poorer when patients are in the supine position [16]. In the same case in our series (case no. 5), the tumor was not detected on VCD with the use of either the supine or prone position. Lee et al. mentioned that the image distortion on VCD makes polyps look unfamiliar to readers, thus causing unnecessary inconvenience in interpretation and necessitating additional training for readers to become accustomed to the unnatural appearances of polyps [17].

In the present study, carcinoid tumors revealed well-enhanced lesions in the early phase, and washout at the delayed phase after the administration of intravenous contrast agent. Karen et al. noted that carcinoid tumors of the gastrointestinal tract can be seen as dramatically enhancing submucosal lesions, because of their increased vascularity [18]. Carcinoid tumors of the stomach, duodenum and small intestine also show early-phase en-

Colorectal adenomas and adenocarcinomas also demonstrate enhancement on CE-CTC. Oto et al. reported that the mean CT attenuation value of colorectal adenomas and adenocarcinomas 70 s after the administration of an intravenous contrast agent were 78.9 ± 4.4 and 90.7 ± 2.1 HU, respectively [19]. In the present study, all carcinoid tumors for which attenuation was measured on CE-CTC had values of more than 112 HU at the early phase, 40 s or 70 s after the administration of the contrast agent. Although the study sample and/or examination methods differ among studies, the CT values or enhancement pattern on CE-CTC may be key features in differentiating carcinoid tumors from colorectal adenomas or adenocarcinomas.

Optical colonoscopy contributes to the accurate differentiation of mucosal lesions from lesions of submucosal origin, but the ability to fully characterize a submucosal abnormality on the sole basis of a luminal examination is somewhat limited because the diagnostic yield of endoscopic biopsy for such lesions is relatively low [11]. In five of 12 lesions in the present patient series, the diagnosis of the lesion as a carcinoid tumor could not be accomplished preoperatively with the biopsy specimen.

CE-CTC is a useful noninvasive diagnostic tool for carcinoid tumors of the colorectal region. In the present study, three patients had multiple lesions. The second carcinoid tumors were incidentally discovered by optical colonoscopy in patients known to have a carcinoid tumor in the rectum. CE-CTC may also be useful in screening for concurrent second lesions of rectal carcinoid tumors, as is true of optical colonoscopy.

The present study had several limitations. First, this retrospective study included a small number of patients. Second, we did not have the data of precontrast CTC. We applied our institution’s standard CT protocol for colorectal cancers, which included CE-CTC only, to carcinoid tumors. Precontrast CTC is essential to accurately assess the enhancement pattern of carcinoid tumors. Third, in clinical settings, the significance of performing CTC for rectal carcinoid tumors is controversial. Endosonography is a commonly used technique in the diagnosis of rectal carcinoid tumors with high accuracy [20] [21]. The clinical information of rectal carcinoid tumors obtained by CE-CTC might not exceed that obtained by endosonography.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, rectal carcinoid tumors are challenging to detect on CE-CTC. However, the detection rate increased with the addition of 3DCT. Rectal carcinoid tumors demonstrated enhancement at the early phase and washout at the delayed phase on CE-CTC. These features may play an important role in differentiating carcinoid tumors from other rectal tumors.
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